## EXHIBIT 44

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES,

AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES

Case No. 16-2738 (FLW) (LHG)

MDL Docket No. 2738

Friday, January 11, 2019

- - - - -

The video deposition of SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D., taken pursuant to notice, was held at the Embassy Suites Huntsville, 850 Monroe Street S.W., Huntsville, Alabama, commencing at approximately 9:04 a.m., on the above date, before Lois Anne Robinson, Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public for the State of Alabama.

	Page 2				Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	1	INDEX	Κ	
2	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS' STEERING COMMITTEE: BEASLEY ALLEN, P.C.	2	EXAMINATION	]	PAGE
	218 Commerce Street	3			
4	Montgomery, Alabama 36104 BY: P. LEIGH O'DELL, Esquire	4	By Ms. Brown	7	
5	Leigh.odell@beasleyallen.com	5	By Mr. Ferguson	307	
_	JENNIFER K. EMMEL, ESQUIRE	6	By Ms. O'Dell	357	
6 7	Jennifer.emmel@beasleyallen.com BURNS CHAREST, LLP	1	•		
•	900 Jackson Street, Suite 500	7	By Ms. Brown	372	
8	Dallas, Texas 75202	8	By Ms. O'Dell	389	
9	BY: MARTIN D. BARRIE, J.D., Ph.D. Mbarrie@burnscharest.com	9			
10	NAPOLI SHKOLNIK PLLC	10	****	k	
11	400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747	11			
	BY: ALASTAIR J. M. FINDEIS, ESQUIRE	12	EXHIBITS		
12	Afindeis@napolilaw.com	13	Deposition Exhibit Number	er 1	14
13 14	FOR THE DEFENDANT, JOHNSON & JOHNSON: WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP	14	Notice of Deposition		
	17 Hulfish Street, Suite 201	15	Deposition Exhibit Number	er 2	33
15	Princeton, NJ 08542-3792 BY: ALLISON M. BROWN, ESQUIRE	1	•	J1 4	33
16	Allison.brown@weil.com	16	Levy expert report	2	16
L7	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP	17	Deposition Exhibit Number		16
18	767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153-0119	18	Levy invoices of 5/2/18 a	and 1/8/19	
	BY: ALEXIS KELLERT, ESQUIRE	19	Deposition Exhibit Number	er 4	19
L9 20	Alexis.kellert@weil.com SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP	20	Government of Canada d	ocument rega	rding draft screening
20	4 Times Square	21	assessment of talc		
21	New York, New York 10036	22	Deposition Exhibit Number	er 5	21
22	BY: Benjamin Halperin, Esquire Benjamin.halperin@skadden.com	23	Government of Canada d		rding notential risk o
23	<b>Stiffaniana prini (Workland Sirveri</b>	24	lung effects and ovarian	_	
	Page 3				Page 5
1	APPEARANCES-(continued)	1	INDEX-(0	Continued)	5
2		2	Deposition Exhibit Number	er 6	23
3	FOR THE DEFENDANT, IMERYS TALC AMERICA:	3	Draft manuscript regardi		review and
J	GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP	4	meta-analysis of the asso		
4	816 Congress Avenue, Suite 1510	1	•		ni perincai use oi tai
5	Austin, Texas 78701 BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQUIRE	5	and risk of ovarian cance		
_	Kferguson@gordonrees.com	6	Deposition Exhibit Number	er 7	30
6	COLINSEL EOD DII:	7	Hamilton article		
	COUNSEL FOR PTI:	8	D 21 P 1 1 2 3 T 1	0	49
7	TUCKER ELLIS, LLP	"	Deposition Exhibit Number	51 0	
7 8	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950	9	Judith Zelikoff expert rep		
7	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997		_	port	59
7 8 9	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950	9	Judith Zelikoff expert rep	oort er 9	
7 8 9 LO	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com	9 10 11	Judith Zelikoff expert rep Deposition Exhibit Numb Mayo Clinic website artic	oort er 9 cle entitled "C	ancer"
7 8 9 LO L1	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE	9 10 11 12	Judith Zelikoff expert rep Deposition Exhibit Number Mayo Clinic website artic Deposition Exhibit Number	oort er 9 cle entitled "C	
7 8 9 10	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com	9 10 11 12 13	Judith Zelikoff expert rep Deposition Exhibit Numbe Mayo Clinic website arti Deposition Exhibit Numbe Wikipedia page	oort er 9 cle entitled "C er 10	ancer" 72
7 8	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com  COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street N.W.	9 10 11 12 13 14	Judith Zelikoff expert rep Deposition Exhibit Numb Mayo Clinic website arti Deposition Exhibit Numb Wikipedia page Deposition Exhibit Numb	oort er 9 cle entitled "C er 10	ancer"
7 8 9 10 11 12	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com  COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-1454	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Judith Zelikoff expert rep Deposition Exhibit Numbe Mayo Clinic website artic Deposition Exhibit Numbe Wikipedia page Deposition Exhibit Numbe Coussens and Werb artic	oort er 9 cle entitled "C er 10 er 11	72 75
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com  COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street N.W.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Judith Zelikoff expert rep Deposition Exhibit Number Mayo Clinic website artic Deposition Exhibit Number Wikipedia page Deposition Exhibit Number Coussens and Werb artic Deposition Exhibit Number	oort er 9 cle entitled "C er 10 er 11 le er 12	72 75 82
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com  COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-1454 BY: RENÉE B. APPEL, ESQUIRE	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Judith Zelikoff expert rep Deposition Exhibit Numbe Mayo Clinic website artic Deposition Exhibit Numbe Wikipedia page Deposition Exhibit Numbe Coussens and Werb artic	oort er 9 cle entitled "C er 10 er 11 le er 12	72 75 82
7 8 9 10 11	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com  COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-1454 BY: RENÉE B. APPEL, ESQUIRE	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Judith Zelikoff expert rep Deposition Exhibit Number Mayo Clinic website artic Deposition Exhibit Number Wikipedia page Deposition Exhibit Number Coussens and Werb artic Deposition Exhibit Number	oort er 9 cle entitled "C er 10 er 11 de er 12 Molecular Bas	72 75 82 sis Supporting the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com  COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-1454 BY: RENÉE B. APPEL, ESQUIRE Rappel@seyfarth.com	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Judith Zelikoff expert rep Deposition Exhibit Number Mayo Clinic website artic Deposition Exhibit Number Wikipedia page Deposition Exhibit Number Coussens and Werb artic Deposition Exhibit Number Preprint manuscript of "N	oort er 9 cle entitled "C er 10 er 11 de er 12 Molecular Bas	72 75 82 sis Supporting the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com  COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-1454 BY: RENÉE B. APPEL, ESQUIRE Rappel@seyfarth.com	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Judith Zelikoff expert rep Deposition Exhibit Number Mayo Clinic website artic Deposition Exhibit Number Wikipedia page Deposition Exhibit Number Coussens and Werb artic Deposition Exhibit Number Preprint manuscript of "Nassociation of Talcum Proversion Cancer"	oort er 9 cle entitled "C er 10 er 11 le er 12 Molecular Bas	72 75 82 sis Supporting the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com  COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-1454 BY: RENÉE B. APPEL, ESQUIRE Rappel@seyfarth.com	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Judith Zelikoff expert rep Deposition Exhibit Number Mayo Clinic website artic Deposition Exhibit Number Wikipedia page Deposition Exhibit Number Coussens and Werb artic Deposition Exhibit Number Preprint manuscript of "N Association of Talcum Pr Ovarian Cancer" Deposition Exhibit Number	oort er 9 cle entitled "C er 10 er 11 le er 12 Molecular Bas owder Use Wi	72 75 82 is Supporting the th Increased Risk of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com  COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-1454 BY: RENÉE B. APPEL, ESQUIRE Rappel@seyfarth.com  VIDEOGRAPHER: JULIE ROBINSON	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Judith Zelikoff expert rep Deposition Exhibit Number Mayo Clinic website artic Deposition Exhibit Number Wikipedia page Deposition Exhibit Number Coussens and Werb artic Deposition Exhibit Number Preprint manuscript of "Nassociation of Talcum Provarian Cancer" Deposition Exhibit Number December 26 Email to December 26 Emai	oort er 9 cle entitled "C er 10 er 11 de er 12 Molecular Bas owder Use Wi er 13 r. Saed	72 75 82 82 sis Supporting the th Increased Risk of
7 8 9 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 119 119 119 119 119 119 119 119	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com  COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-1454 BY: RENÉE B. APPEL, ESQUIRE Rappel@seyfarth.com  VIDEOGRAPHER: JULIE ROBINSON  LOIS ANNE ROBINSON, RPR, RDR, CRR	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Judith Zelikoff expert rep Deposition Exhibit Number Mayo Clinic website artic Deposition Exhibit Number Wikipedia page Deposition Exhibit Number Coussens and Werb artic Deposition Exhibit Number Preprint manuscript of "Nassociation of Talcum Povarian Cancer" Deposition Exhibit Number December 26 Email to D Deposition Exhibit Number	oort er 9 cle entitled "C er 10 er 11 de er 12 Molecular Bas owder Use Wi er 13 r. Saed er 14	72 75 82 is Supporting the th Increased Risk of 82 142
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com  COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-1454 BY: RENÉE B. APPEL, ESQUIRE Rappel@seyfarth.com  VIDEOGRAPHER: JULIE ROBINSON	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Judith Zelikoff expert rep Deposition Exhibit Number Mayo Clinic website artic Deposition Exhibit Number Wikipedia page Deposition Exhibit Number Coussens and Werb artic Deposition Exhibit Number Preprint manuscript of "Nassociation of Talcum Provarian Cancer" Deposition Exhibit Number December 26 Email to December 26 Emai	oort er 9 cle entitled "C er 10 er 11 le er 12 Molecular Bas owder Use Wi er 13 r. Saed er 14 lausibility in S	72 75 82 82 85 Supporting the th Increased Risk of 82 142 Supporting Evidence

	Page 6		Page 8
1	INDEX-(continued)	1	A Good morning.
2	Deposition Exhibit Number 15 190	2	Q My name is Alli Brown. I represent
3	NTP study	3	Johnson & Johnson, and I'll start with some
4	Deposition Exhibit Number 16 192	4	questions for you here today.
5	2014 Citizens Petition to FDA	5	Dr. Levy, have you ever been deposed
6	Deposition Exhibit Number 17 208	6	before?
7	Buz'Zard study	7	A Yes.
8	Deposition Exhibit Number 18 218	8	Q And tell me, how many times?
9	"Perineal Talc Use and Ovarian Cancer," by Ross Penninkilampi	9	A In a setting like this, once.
10	Deposition Exhibit Number 19 249	10	Q Okay. What was the nature of that
11	Heller article	11	deposition?
12	Deposition Exhibit Number 20 270	12	A It was a patent litigation case.
13	Merritt paper - "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation	13	Q Were you serving as an expert witness
14	and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian	14	in that case?
15	Cancer"	15	A I was.
16	Deposition Exhibit Number 21 326	16	Q Were you hired by the plaintiffs or the
17	Nunes article	17	defendants?
18	Deposition Exhibit Number 22 367	18	A The plaintiffs.
19	Park article	19	Q And, just generally, what were the
20		20	issues in that case?
21		21	A It was entirely focused on evaluation
22		22	of prior art in the genomic space.
23		23	Q And any time
24		24	And do you remember the name of that
	Page 7		Page 9
1	VIDEOGRAPHER:	1	case, by the way?
2	We are now on the record. My name is	2	A I don't. It was, gosh, twelve years
3	Julie Robinson. I'm a videographer representing	3	ago or so.
4	Golkow Litigation Services.	4	Q I see.
5	T- 11- 1-4- :- I 114- 2010 1		`
	Today's date is January 11th, 2019, and	5	Did that case go to trial?
6	the time is 9:04 a.m.	5 6	
6 7			Did that case go to trial?
1	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in  Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of	6	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.
7	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in  Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of  Johnson & Johnson Talcum Power Product Marketing,	6 7	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.  Q Okay. And other than that one patent
7	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of Johnson & Johnson Talcum Power Product Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability	6 7 8	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.  Q Okay. And other than that one patent case you just described for us, were there other
7 8 9 10 11	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of Johnson & Johnson Talcum Power Product Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket Number 2738.	6 7 8 9 10 11	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.  Q Okay. And other than that one patent
7 8 9 10 11 12	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of Johnson & Johnson Talcum Power Product Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket Number 2738.  The deponent is Dr. Shawn Levy.	6 7 8 9 10	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.  Q Okay. And other than that one patent case you just described for us, were there other depositions that you've given?  A No.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of Johnson & Johnson Talcum Power Product Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket Number 2738.  The deponent is Dr. Shawn Levy. Counsel will be noted on the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.  Q Okay. And other than that one patent case you just described for us, were there other depositions that you've given?  A No.  Q And I think, when you started to answer
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of Johnson & Johnson Talcum Power Product Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket Number 2738.  The deponent is Dr. Shawn Levy. Counsel will be noted on the stenographic record.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.  Q Okay. And other than that one patent case you just described for us, were there other depositions that you've given?  A No.  Q And I think, when you started to answer the question in the beginning, you said "in a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of Johnson & Johnson Talcum Power Product Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket Number 2738.  The deponent is Dr. Shawn Levy. Counsel will be noted on the stenographic record.  The court reporter is Lois Robinson,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.  Q Okay. And other than that one patent case you just described for us, were there other depositions that you've given?  A No.  Q And I think, when you started to answer the question in the beginning, you said "in a setting like this." Is there another time, in
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of Johnson & Johnson Talcum Power Product Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket Number 2738.  The deponent is Dr. Shawn Levy. Counsel will be noted on the stenographic record.  The court reporter is Lois Robinson, who will now swear in the witness.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.  Q Okay. And other than that one patent case you just described for us, were there other depositions that you've given?  A No.  Q And I think, when you started to answer the question in the beginning, you said "in a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of Johnson & Johnson Talcum Power Product Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket Number 2738.  The deponent is Dr. Shawn Levy. Counsel will be noted on the stenographic record.  The court reporter is Lois Robinson, who will now swear in the witness.  SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D.,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.  Q Okay. And other than that one patent case you just described for us, were there other depositions that you've given?  A No.  Q And I think, when you started to answer the question in the beginning, you said "in a setting like this." Is there another time, in your mind, where you've given testimony under oath?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of Johnson & Johnson Talcum Power Product Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket Number 2738.  The deponent is Dr. Shawn Levy. Counsel will be noted on the stenographic record.  The court reporter is Lois Robinson, who will now swear in the witness.  SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D., the witness, after having first been	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.  Q Okay. And other than that one patent case you just described for us, were there other depositions that you've given?  A No.  Q And I think, when you started to answer the question in the beginning, you said "in a setting like this." Is there another time, in your mind, where you've given testimony under
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of Johnson & Johnson Talcum Power Product Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket Number 2738.  The deponent is Dr. Shawn Levy. Counsel will be noted on the stenographic record.  The court reporter is Lois Robinson, who will now swear in the witness.  SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D., the witness, after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.  Q Okay. And other than that one patent case you just described for us, were there other depositions that you've given?  A No.  Q And I think, when you started to answer the question in the beginning, you said "in a setting like this." Is there another time, in your mind, where you've given testimony under oath?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of Johnson & Johnson Talcum Power Product Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket Number 2738.  The deponent is Dr. Shawn Levy. Counsel will be noted on the stenographic record.  The court reporter is Lois Robinson, who will now swear in the witness.  SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D., the witness, after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.  Q Okay. And other than that one patent case you just described for us, were there other depositions that you've given?  A No.  Q And I think, when you started to answer the question in the beginning, you said "in a setting like this." Is there another time, in your mind, where you've given testimony under oath?  A No, not under oath. That's why I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of Johnson & Johnson Talcum Power Product Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket Number 2738.  The deponent is Dr. Shawn Levy. Counsel will be noted on the stenographic record.  The court reporter is Lois Robinson, who will now swear in the witness.  SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D., the witness, after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.  Q Okay. And other than that one patent case you just described for us, were there other depositions that you've given?  A No.  Q And I think, when you started to answer the question in the beginning, you said "in a setting like this." Is there another time, in your mind, where you've given testimony under oath?  A No, not under oath. That's why I was
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of Johnson & Johnson Talcum Power Product Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket Number 2738.  The deponent is Dr. Shawn Levy. Counsel will be noted on the stenographic record.  The court reporter is Lois Robinson, who will now swear in the witness.  SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D., the witness, after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.  Q Okay. And other than that one patent case you just described for us, were there other depositions that you've given?  A No.  Q And I think, when you started to answer the question in the beginning, you said "in a setting like this." Is there another time, in your mind, where you've given testimony under oath?  A No, not under oath. That's why I was  So I've had a number of meetings, all
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the time is 9:04 a.m.  This video deposition is being held in Huntsville, Alabama, in the matter of Johnson & Johnson Talcum Power Product Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket Number 2738.  The deponent is Dr. Shawn Levy. Counsel will be noted on the stenographic record.  The court reporter is Lois Robinson, who will now swear in the witness.  SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D., the witness, after having first been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Did that case go to trial?  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Have you ever testified at trial?  A I have not.  Q Okay. And other than that one patent case you just described for us, were there other depositions that you've given?  A No.  Q And I think, when you started to answer the question in the beginning, you said "in a setting like this." Is there another time, in your mind, where you've given testimony under oath?  A No, not under oath. That's why I was  So I've had a number of meetings, all limited to the patent space of mainly prior art

	Page 10		Page 12
1	with a court reporter, under oath, et cetera.	1	with.
2	Q Understood.	2	Q Okay. In front of you is the
3	So this would then be the second time	3	plaintiffs' lawyer's laptop. Is that right?
4	you've been deposed in a setting like this.	4	A That's right.
5	A Correct.	5	Q Okay. And what is contained on the
6	Q Is that fair?	6	plaintiffs' lawyer's laptop?
7	Okay. So a few ground rules that you	7	MS. O'DELL:
8	may already be familiar with from your prior	8	I think I'd probably be better to speak
9	experience. First, we'll try not to speak over	9	to it.
10	each other. Is that fair?	10	MS. BROWN:
11	A That's fair.	11	No, no. Let's get it from the witness,
12	Q That way, our court reporter can get	12	and then if you want to make a statement for the
13	down all my questions and all your answers.	13	record, of course.
14	Okay?	14	Q Let's let's get your understanding
15	A (Nods affirmatively.)	15	of what's on this laptop in front of you.
16	Q If you don't understand a question of	16	A Other than what's on the USB drive that
17	mine, will you let me know?	17	I've been using, I I don't have any knowledge
18	A I will.	18	of what's on it.
19	Q Okay. Try to verbalize your answers,	19	Q Okay. Do you know what's on the USB
20	too, so our court reporter can take them down.	20	drive?
21	Okay?	21	A I do.
22	A Understood.	22	Q What's that?
23	Q Okay. If you need a break, let me	23	A It's a collection of literature cited
24	know, and we'll be happy to accommodate you.	24	in reliance literature list that from
	Page 11		Page 13
1	Do you understand you're under oath	1	Ć.
		1	my from my report.
2		2	
2	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?		
	here today, same as if you were in a court of	2	Q Did you put together the items that are
3	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?	2 3	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front
3 4	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.	2 3 4	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?
3 4 5	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am	2 3 4 5	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL:
3 4 5 6	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I	2 3 4 5 6	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.
3 4 5 6 7	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I see you have a couple of items in front of you,	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I see you have a couple of items in front of you, and I want to identify what we have for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Yes. MS. BROWN:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I see you have a couple of items in front of you, and I want to identify what we have for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Yes.  MS. BROWN: Q Is that your USB drive?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I see you have a couple of items in front of you, and I want to identify what we have for the record.  To your right is an iPad that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Yes.  MS. BROWN: Q Is that your USB drive? A No. I put together the list.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I see you have a couple of items in front of you, and I want to identify what we have for the record.  To your right is an iPad that is showing the realtime of my questions and your answers. Will you be using that to assist you in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Yes.  MS. BROWN: Q Is that your USB drive? A No. I put together the list. As far as who moved the files and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I see you have a couple of items in front of you, and I want to identify what we have for the record.  To your right is an iPad that is showing the realtime of my questions and your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Yes.  MS. BROWN: Q Is that your USB drive? A No. I put together the list. As far as who moved the files and organized the files on the USB, that, I don't
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I see you have a couple of items in front of you, and I want to identify what we have for the record.  To your right is an iPad that is showing the realtime of my questions and your answers. Will you be using that to assist you in your testimony here today?  A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Yes. MS. BROWN: Q Is that your USB drive? A No. I put together the list. As far as who moved the files and organized the files on the USB, that, I don't know.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I see you have a couple of items in front of you, and I want to identify what we have for the record.  To your right is an iPad that is showing the realtime of my questions and your answers. Will you be using that to assist you in your testimony here today?  A Yes.  Q Okay. In front of you you have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Yes. MS. BROWN: Q Is that your USB drive? A No. I put together the list. As far as who moved the files and organized the files on the USB, that, I don't know. Q Okay. Are all of the files on that USB
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I see you have a couple of items in front of you, and I want to identify what we have for the record.  To your right is an iPad that is showing the realtime of my questions and your answers. Will you be using that to assist you in your testimony here today?  A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Yes. MS. BROWN: Q Is that your USB drive? A No. I put together the list. As far as who moved the files and organized the files on the USB, that, I don't know. Q Okay. Are all of the files on that USB drive documents that you considered in connection
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I see you have a couple of items in front of you, and I want to identify what we have for the record.  To your right is an iPad that is showing the realtime of my questions and your answers. Will you be using that to assist you in your testimony here today?  A Yes.  Q Okay. In front of you you have a laptop computer.  A (Nods affirmatively.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Yes. MS. BROWN: Q Is that your USB drive? A No. I put together the list. As far as who moved the files and organized the files on the USB, that, I don't know. Q Okay. Are all of the files on that USB drive documents that you considered in connection with your opinion in this case?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I see you have a couple of items in front of you, and I want to identify what we have for the record.  To your right is an iPad that is showing the realtime of my questions and your answers. Will you be using that to assist you in your testimony here today?  A Yes.  Q Okay. In front of you you have a laptop computer.  A (Nods affirmatively.)  Q Will you be using that to assist you in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Yes. MS. BROWN: Q Is that your USB drive? A No. I put together the list. As far as who moved the files and organized the files on the USB, that, I don't know. Q Okay. Are all of the files on that USB drive documents that you considered in connection with your opinion in this case? A They are. Q Any other materials in front of you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I see you have a couple of items in front of you, and I want to identify what we have for the record.  To your right is an iPad that is showing the realtime of my questions and your answers. Will you be using that to assist you in your testimony here today?  A Yes.  Q Okay. In front of you you have a laptop computer.  A (Nods affirmatively.)  Q Will you be using that to assist you in your testimony?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Yes. MS. BROWN: Q Is that your USB drive? A No. I put together the list. As far as who moved the files and organized the files on the USB, that, I don't know. Q Okay. Are all of the files on that USB drive documents that you considered in connection with your opinion in this case?  A They are. Q Any other materials in front of you that you'll be using to assist you in your
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I see you have a couple of items in front of you, and I want to identify what we have for the record.  To your right is an iPad that is showing the realtime of my questions and your answers. Will you be using that to assist you in your testimony here today?  A Yes.  Q Okay. In front of you you have a laptop computer.  A (Nods affirmatively.)  Q Will you be using that to assist you in your testimony?  A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Yes. MS. BROWN: Q Is that your USB drive? A No. I put together the list. As far as who moved the files and organized the files on the USB, that, I don't know. Q Okay. Are all of the files on that USB drive documents that you considered in connection with your opinion in this case? A They are. Q Any other materials in front of you that you'll be using to assist you in your testimony here today?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I see you have a couple of items in front of you, and I want to identify what we have for the record.  To your right is an iPad that is showing the realtime of my questions and your answers. Will you be using that to assist you in your testimony here today?  A Yes.  Q Okay. In front of you you have a laptop computer.  A (Nods affirmatively.)  Q Will you be using that to assist you in your testimony?  A Yes.  Q And tell me, is this your laptop?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Yes. MS. BROWN: Q Is that your USB drive? A No. I put together the list. As far as who moved the files and organized the files on the USB, that, I don't know. Q Okay. Are all of the files on that USB drive documents that you considered in connection with your opinion in this case? A They are. Q Any other materials in front of you that you'll be using to assist you in your testimony here today? A There's a I have a hard copy of my
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	here today, same as if you were in a court of law?  A I do.  Q Okay. I am And, before we get started, Doctor, I see you have a couple of items in front of you, and I want to identify what we have for the record.  To your right is an iPad that is showing the realtime of my questions and your answers. Will you be using that to assist you in your testimony here today?  A Yes.  Q Okay. In front of you you have a laptop computer.  A (Nods affirmatively.)  Q Will you be using that to assist you in your testimony?  A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Did you put together the items that are contained on the USB drive that you have in front of you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Yes. MS. BROWN: Q Is that your USB drive? A No. I put together the list. As far as who moved the files and organized the files on the USB, that, I don't know. Q Okay. Are all of the files on that USB drive documents that you considered in connection with your opinion in this case? A They are. Q Any other materials in front of you that you'll be using to assist you in your testimony here today?

	Page 14		Page 16
1	Q Who who did?	1	Thank you.
2	A My the the attorneys I've been	2	by marking these, and I'll ask you
3	working with. So I they they provided the	3	some questions about what we have.
4	printout and the nice binder that it's in.	4	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 3
5	Q Okay. Did you, Doctor, make any notes	5	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
6	on the report that you have in front of you?	6	MS. BROWN:
7	A No.	7	Q I'll mark as Exhibit 3 to your
8	Q Okay. I'm gonna hand you what we have	8	deposition two invoices counsel for plaintiffs
9	marked as Exhibit 1 to your deposition, which is	9	just handed me, one dated May 2nd, 2018, and the
10	a notice of your deposition.	10	other dated January 8th, 2019. And we only have
11	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 1	11	one copy, so let me hand it to you and ask you,
12	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	12	are these invoices that you created, Doctor?
13	MS. BROWN:	13	A They are.
14	Q And I'll ask, is this something that	14	Q Okay. And I want to take that back for
15	you have ever seen before?	15	one second.
16	A Yes.	16	Looks like the first entry on your
17	Q When did you see it?	17	invoice is dated May 16th, 2017. Does that sound
18	A I'd have to review my email, but it was	18	right to you?
19	some sometime ago, some weeks ago.	19	A That sounds right.
20	Q Okay. Have you brought any	20	Q When were you first approached about an
21	And you understand that this Notice of	21	involvement in this case?
22	Deposition that we've marked as Exhibit 1	22	A Earlier in 2017.
23	requests that you bring certain documents with	23	Q Okay. And who approached you?
24	you here today?	24	A Leigh and Jennifer. I'd have to verify
	- 15		- 15
	Page 15		Page 17
1	A Yes.		
_		1	in my email whom I may have heard from first.
2	Q Okay.	2	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are
3	Q Okay. MS. O'DELL:	2 3	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is
3 4	Q Okay.  MS. O'DELL:  Let me just insert for the record,	2 3 4	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?
3 4 5	Q Okay.  MS. O'DELL:  Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?</li><li>A That's right.</li></ul>
3 4 5 6	Q Okay. MS. O'DELL: Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?</li> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And did they had you known them</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7	Q Okay. MS. O'DELL: Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?</li> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay.  MS. O'DELL:  Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?</li> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay.  MS. O'DELL:  Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections.  MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?  A That's right.  Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No.  Q from plaintiffs' lawyers?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay.  MS. O'DELL:  Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections.  MS. BROWN:  And we are in receipt of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?</li> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No.</li> <li>Q from plaintiffs' lawyers?</li> <li>A I I did not know them.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Okay. MS. O'DELL: Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections. MS. BROWN: And we are in receipt of your objections.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?  A That's right.  Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No.  Q from plaintiffs' lawyers?  A I I did not know them.  Q Did they call you at your place of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. MS. O'DELL: Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections. MS. BROWN: And we are in receipt of your objections. Q And your counsel for the plaintiffs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?  A That's right.  Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No.  Q from plaintiffs' lawyers?  A I I did not know them.  Q Did they call you at your place of business?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. MS. O'DELL: Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections. MS. BROWN: And we are in receipt of your objections. Q And your counsel for the plaintiffs represented that some materials have been brought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?  A That's right.  Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No.  Q from plaintiffs' lawyers?  A I I did not know them.  Q Did they call you at your place of business?  A I believe the first contact was email.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay.  MS. O'DELL:  Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections.  MS. BROWN:  And we are in receipt of your objections.  Q And your counsel for the plaintiffs represented that some materials have been brought to the deposition. Do you have any materials	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?  A That's right.  Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No.  Q from plaintiffs' lawyers?  A I I did not know them.  Q Did they call you at your place of business?  A I believe the first contact was email.  But, ultimately, yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay.  MS. O'DELL:  Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections.  MS. BROWN:  And we are in receipt of your objections.  Q And your counsel for the plaintiffs represented that some materials have been brought to the deposition. Do you have any materials with you responsive to this notice?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?  A That's right.  Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No.  Q from plaintiffs' lawyers?  A I I did not know them.  Q Did they call you at your place of business?  A I believe the first contact was email.  But, ultimately, yes.  Q Okay. And was there any connection,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. MS. O'DELL: Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections. MS. BROWN: And we are in receipt of your objections. Q And your counsel for the plaintiffs represented that some materials have been brought to the deposition. Do you have any materials with you responsive to this notice? A Well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?  A That's right. Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No. Q from plaintiffs' lawyers? A I I did not know them. Q Did they call you at your place of business? A I believe the first contact was email. But, ultimately, yes. Q Okay. And was there any connection, meaning did someone refer the plaintiffs' lawyers
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. MS. O'DELL: Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections. MS. BROWN: And we are in receipt of your objections. Q And your counsel for the plaintiffs represented that some materials have been brought to the deposition. Do you have any materials with you responsive to this notice? A Well MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?  A That's right. Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No. Q from plaintiffs' lawyers? A I I did not know them. Q Did they call you at your place of business? A I believe the first contact was email. But, ultimately, yes. Q Okay. And was there any connection, meaning did someone refer the plaintiffs' lawyers to you, or do you know?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. MS. O'DELL: Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections. MS. BROWN: And we are in receipt of your objections. Q And your counsel for the plaintiffs represented that some materials have been brought to the deposition. Do you have any materials with you responsive to this notice? A Well MS. O'DELL: I'll provide to you invoices that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?  A That's right. Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No. Q from plaintiffs' lawyers? A I I did not know them. Q Did they call you at your place of business? A I believe the first contact was email. But, ultimately, yes. Q Okay. And was there any connection, meaning did someone refer the plaintiffs' lawyers to you, or do you know? A I don't know.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. MS. O'DELL: Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections. MS. BROWN: And we are in receipt of your objections. Q And your counsel for the plaintiffs represented that some materials have been brought to the deposition. Do you have any materials with you responsive to this notice? A Well MS. O'DELL: I'll provide to you invoices that are responsive to the Notice, and there are materials	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?  A That's right. Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No. Q from plaintiffs' lawyers? A I I did not know them. Q Did they call you at your place of business? A I believe the first contact was email. But, ultimately, yes. Q Okay. And was there any connection, meaning did someone refer the plaintiffs' lawyers to you, or do you know? A I don't know. Q Do you have any idea how the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. MS. O'DELL: Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections. MS. BROWN: And we are in receipt of your objections. Q And your counsel for the plaintiffs represented that some materials have been brought to the deposition. Do you have any materials with you responsive to this notice? A Well MS. O'DELL: I'll provide to you invoices that are responsive to the Notice, and there are materials that Dr. Levy has seen since his report was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?  A That's right. Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No. Q from plaintiffs' lawyers? A I I did not know them. Q Did they call you at your place of business? A I believe the first contact was email. But, ultimately, yes. Q Okay. And was there any connection, meaning did someone refer the plaintiffs' lawyers to you, or do you know? A I don't know. Q Do you have any idea how the plaintiffs' lawyers found you?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay.  MS. O'DELL: Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections.  MS. BROWN: And we are in receipt of your objections.  Q And your counsel for the plaintiffs represented that some materials have been brought to the deposition. Do you have any materials with you responsive to this notice? A Well MS. O'DELL: I'll provide to you invoices that are responsive to the Notice, and there are materials that Dr. Levy has seen since his report was served, and and those are copies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?  A That's right. Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No. Q from plaintiffs' lawyers? A I I did not know them. Q Did they call you at your place of business? A I believe the first contact was email. But, ultimately, yes. Q Okay. And was there any connection, meaning did someone refer the plaintiffs' lawyers to you, or do you know? A I don't know. Q Do you have any idea how the plaintiffs' lawyers found you? A I do not.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. MS. O'DELL: Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections. MS. BROWN: And we are in receipt of your objections. Q And your counsel for the plaintiffs represented that some materials have been brought to the deposition. Do you have any materials with you responsive to this notice? A Well MS. O'DELL: I'll provide to you invoices that are responsive to the Notice, and there are materials that Dr. Levy has seen since his report was served, and and those are copies. MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?  A That's right. Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No. Q from plaintiffs' lawyers? A I I did not know them. Q Did they call you at your place of business? A I believe the first contact was email. But, ultimately, yes. Q Okay. And was there any connection, meaning did someone refer the plaintiffs' lawyers to you, or do you know? A I don't know. Q Do you have any idea how the plaintiffs' lawyers found you? A I do not. Q Okay. It looks like, Doctor, that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay.  MS. O'DELL: Let me just insert for the record, we've objected to certain requests contained in the notice, and objections have been served, and materials have been brought to this deposition consistent with those objections.  MS. BROWN: And we are in receipt of your objections.  Q And your counsel for the plaintiffs represented that some materials have been brought to the deposition. Do you have any materials with you responsive to this notice? A Well MS. O'DELL: I'll provide to you invoices that are responsive to the Notice, and there are materials that Dr. Levy has seen since his report was served, and and those are copies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. And Leigh and Jennifer are counsel for plaintiffs in this litigation; is that right?  A That's right. Q And did they had you known them prior to receiving contact early in 2017 A No. Q from plaintiffs' lawyers? A I I did not know them. Q Did they call you at your place of business? A I believe the first contact was email. But, ultimately, yes. Q Okay. And was there any connection, meaning did someone refer the plaintiffs' lawyers to you, or do you know? A I don't know. Q Do you have any idea how the plaintiffs' lawyers found you? A I do not.

	Page 18		Page 20
1	A It does.	1	Your report in this case was served in
2	Q Looks like something's blacked out on	2	November of 2018; correct?
3	the second page of the invoices. Do you know	3	A Correct.
4	what that is?	4	Q Fair to say, then, that Exhibit 4,
5	MS. O'DELL:	5	which you saw for the first time in December of
6	I'll just say that redactions were made	6	2018, did not inform the opinions contained in
7	by counsel. They referenced the subject matter	7	your report?
8	of conversations between Dr. Levy and counsel,	8	A That's correct.
9	and those have been redacted because of work	9	Q Okay. Did the does Exhibit 4
10	product privilege.	10	contain any information regarding chronic
11	MS. BROWN:	11	inflammation as the proposed mechanism of ovarian
12	Okay.	12	cancer induced by talc?
13	Q Is it fair, Doctor, that you've spent a	13	A I don't believe it does. I'd have to
14	total of 33 hours forming your opinions in this	14	review take a look at it to be sure.
15	case?	15	MS. O'DELL:
16	A That's fair.	16	And if you need to look at it, I'm sure
17	Q Okay. Do you have any additional	17	counsel will hand it to you.
18	invoices that you plan to submit to the lawyers	18	MS. BROWN:
19	for the plaintiffs?	19	Q I'm handing you, Doctor
20	A Yes.	20	MS. O'DELL:
21	Q Okay. And can you ballpark for me how	21	Excuse me. If you need to look at it
22	much additional time you've spent since the last	22	to answer that question, you may.
23	entry here, which appears to be December 12th,	23	A To be sure I'm accurate in my answer,
24	2018?	24	I'd like to take a look at that.
-	Page 19		Page 21
1	A There's probably another not	1	MS. BROWN:
2	including this morning roughly 15 hours.	2	Q Sure. Sitting here Hold on.
3	Okay. I'll hand you, Doctor, what we	3	
4	have marked as Exhibit 4 to your deposition.	4	Sitting here today, you're not aware if
5	This is another document counsel for the	5	Exhibit 4 contains any information regarding the
6	plaintiffs just handed me.		1 1 ' C1 ' ' C ' '
7	(DEDOCITION EVHIDIT NUMBER 4	6	proposed mechanism of chronic inflammation as a
7	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4	7	cause for ovarian cancer?
8	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	7 8	cause for ovarian cancer? MS. O'DELL:
8 9	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN:	7 8 9	cause for ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the question.
8 9 10	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify that for the record,	7 8 9 10	cause for ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the question.  If you need to see the document,
8 9 10 11	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify that for the record, please.	7 8 9 10 11	cause for ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the question.  If you need to see the document,  Doctor, you may ask for it.
8 9 10 11 12	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify that for the record, please. A This is a printed copy from a website	7 8 9 10 11 12	cause for ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the question.  If you need to see the document,  Doctor, you may ask for it.  A Yeah. I'm not I'm not able to
8 9 10 11 12 13	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify that for the record, please. A This is a printed copy from a website from the government of Canada discussing their	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	cause for ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the question.  If you need to see the document,  Doctor, you may ask for it.  A Yeah. I'm not I'm not able to answer it accurately without seeing the document.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify that for the record, please. A This is a printed copy from a website from the government of Canada discussing their draft screening assessment of talc.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	cause for ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the question. If you need to see the document,  Doctor, you may ask for it.  A Yeah. I'm not I'm not able to answer it accurately without seeing the document. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify that for the record, please. A This is a printed copy from a website from the government of Canada discussing their draft screening assessment of talc. Q Okay. Is that something you've seen	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	cause for ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the question.  If you need to see the document,  Doctor, you may ask for it.  A Yeah. I'm not I'm not able to answer it accurately without seeing the document.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify that for the record, please. A This is a printed copy from a website from the government of Canada discussing their draft screening assessment of talc. Q Okay. Is that something you've seen before today?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	cause for ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the question.  If you need to see the document,  Doctor, you may ask for it.  A Yeah. I'm not I'm not able to answer it accurately without seeing the document.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  MS. BROWN:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify that for the record, please. A This is a printed copy from a website from the government of Canada discussing their draft screening assessment of talc. Q Okay. Is that something you've seen before today? A Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	cause for ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the question. If you need to see the document, Doctor, you may ask for it.  A Yeah. I'm not I'm not able to answer it accurately without seeing the document. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Handing you what we've marked as
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify that for the record, please. A This is a printed copy from a website from the government of Canada discussing their draft screening assessment of talc. Q Okay. Is that something you've seen before today? A Yes. Q When did you see it first?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	cause for ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the question. If you need to see the document,  Doctor, you may ask for it.  A Yeah. I'm not I'm not able to answer it accurately without seeing the document. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 5, would you tell me what that is,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify that for the record, please. A This is a printed copy from a website from the government of Canada discussing their draft screening assessment of talc. Q Okay. Is that something you've seen before today? A Yes. Q When did you see it first? A Sometime in December.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cause for ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the question. If you need to see the document, Doctor, you may ask for it.  A Yeah. I'm not I'm not able to answer it accurately without seeing the document. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 5, would you tell me what that is, Doctor?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify that for the record, please. A This is a printed copy from a website from the government of Canada discussing their draft screening assessment of talc. Q Okay. Is that something you've seen before today? A Yes. Q When did you see it first? A Sometime in December. Q Did the lawyers for plaintiffs give it	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	cause for ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the question.  If you need to see the document,  Doctor, you may ask for it.  A Yeah. I'm not I'm not able to answer it accurately without seeing the document.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 5, would you tell me what that is, Doctor?  A This is another document from the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify that for the record, please. A This is a printed copy from a website from the government of Canada discussing their draft screening assessment of talc. Q Okay. Is that something you've seen before today? A Yes. Q When did you see it first? A Sometime in December. Q Did the lawyers for plaintiffs give it to you?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cause for ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the question.  If you need to see the document,  Doctor, you may ask for it.  A Yeah. I'm not I'm not able to answer it accurately without seeing the document.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 5, would you tell me what that is,  Doctor?  A This is another document from the government government of Canada discussing the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify that for the record, please. A This is a printed copy from a website from the government of Canada discussing their draft screening assessment of talc. Q Okay. Is that something you've seen before today? A Yes. Q When did you see it first? A Sometime in December. Q Did the lawyers for plaintiffs give it to you? A They did.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cause for ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the question.  If you need to see the document,  Doctor, you may ask for it.  A Yeah. I'm not I'm not able to answer it accurately without seeing the document.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 5, would you tell me what that is, Doctor?  A This is another document from the government government of Canada discussing the potential risk of lung effects and ovarian cancer
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify that for the record, please. A This is a printed copy from a website from the government of Canada discussing their draft screening assessment of talc. Q Okay. Is that something you've seen before today? A Yes. Q When did you see it first? A Sometime in December. Q Did the lawyers for plaintiffs give it to you?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cause for ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the question.  If you need to see the document,  Doctor, you may ask for it.  A Yeah. I'm not I'm not able to answer it accurately without seeing the document.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 5, would you tell me what that is,  Doctor?  A This is another document from the government government of Canada discussing the

	Page 22		Page 24
1	know?	1	Q Does Exhibit 6 contain any information
2	MS. O'DELL:	2	regarding the proposed mechanism of chronic
3	Object to the form.	3	inflammation?
4	A Yeah. That I don't I don't have	4	A It does in reference, I believe. I'm
5	the information available to answer that	5	reminding myself if if it shared the same
6	accurately.	6	materials that I had referenced in my report.
7	MS. BROWN:	7	So, yes, it does.
8	Q Have you seen Exhibit 5 prior to this	8	Q Are you looking at a particular page,
9	morning?	9	Doctor?
10	A I have.	10	A I am.
11	Q When did you first see Exhibit 5?	11	Q And would you identify that for the
12	A Similar in time to the earlier report	12	record.
13	or this yes. Similar in time to the	13	A I'm looking at page 23, beginning at
14	earlier to the same document from Exhibit 4.	14	line 220.
15	Q To the best of your recollection,	15	Q And what information does Exhibit 6 at
16	Doctor, you first saw Exhibit 5 after completing	16	page 23 contain regarding chronic inflammation?
17	your report in this matter; is that right?	17	A It discusses inflammation of the
18	A That is right.	18	epithelial ovarian surfaces in animal models and
19	Q Fair to say, then, that Exhibit 5 did	19	provides two different references.
20	not inform the opinions contained in your MDL	20	Q And were those references information
21	report?	21	you considered in forming your opinions in this
22	A That's correct.	22	case?
23	Q Handing you, Doctor, what we've marked	23	A Let me make sure of that.
24	as Exhibit 6 to your deposition, another document	24	Yes.
	Page 23		D 05
	rage 23		Page 25
1		1	
1 2	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided	1 2	Q And would you state what they are for
	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.		Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?
2	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6	2	<ul><li>Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?</li><li>A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al.,</li></ul>
2 3	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.	2	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?
2 3 4	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN:	2 3 4	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please? A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology,
2 3 4 5	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please? A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.
2 3 4 5 6	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  MS. BROWN:  Q Would you identify for the record	2 3 4 5 6	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?  A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The
2 3 4 5 6 7	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  MS. BROWN:  Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?  A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian" "The Pathology of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6? A So this is a draft manuscript or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?  A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian" "The Pathology of Ovarian Cancer Precursors," which is a review of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6? A So this is a draft manuscript or preprint manuscript that's been submitted for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please? A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian" "The Pathology of Ovarian Cancer Precursors," which is a review of R.E. Scully in the Journal of Cellular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6? A So this is a draft manuscript or preprint manuscript that's been submitted for peer review discussing the systematic review and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?  A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian" "The Pathology of Ovarian Cancer Precursors," which is a review of R.E. Scully in the Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, and that is a supplement from 1995.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6? A So this is a draft manuscript or preprint manuscript that's been submitted for peer review discussing the systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between perineal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?  A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian" "The Pathology of Ovarian Cancer Precursors," which is a review of R.E. Scully in the Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, and that is a supplement from 1995. The latter is not referenced in my report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6? A So this is a draft manuscript or preprint manuscript that's been submitted for peer review discussing the systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between perineal use of talc and risk of ovarian cancer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?  A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian Cancer Precursors," which is a review of R.E. Scully in the Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, and that is a supplement from 1995. The latter is not referenced in my report.  Q Have you reviewed the Scully paper in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6? A So this is a draft manuscript or preprint manuscript that's been submitted for peer review discussing the systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between perineal use of talc and risk of ovarian cancer. Q Had you seen Exhibit 6 prior to this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?  A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian Cancer Precursors," which is a review of R.E. Scully in the Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, and that is a supplement from 1995. The latter is not referenced in my report.  Q Have you reviewed the Scully paper in connection with your opinions in this matter?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6? A So this is a draft manuscript or preprint manuscript that's been submitted for peer review discussing the systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between perineal use of talc and risk of ovarian cancer. Q Had you seen Exhibit 6 prior to this morning?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please? A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian Cancer Precursors," which is a review of R.E. Scully in the Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, and that is a supplement from 1995. The latter is not referenced in my report. Q Have you reviewed the Scully paper in connection with your opinions in this matter? A Not specifically, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6? A So this is a draft manuscript or preprint manuscript that's been submitted for peer review discussing the systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between perineal use of talc and risk of ovarian cancer. Q Had you seen Exhibit 6 prior to this morning? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?  A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian Cancer Precursors," which is a review of R.E. Scully in the Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, and that is a supplement from 1995. The latter is not referenced in my report.  Q Have you reviewed the Scully paper in connection with your opinions in this matter?  A Not specifically, no. Q You have, however, reviewed the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6? A So this is a draft manuscript or preprint manuscript that's been submitted for peer review discussing the systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between perineal use of talc and risk of ovarian cancer. Q Had you seen Exhibit 6 prior to this morning? A Yes. Q When did you first see Exhibit 6?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?  A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian Cancer Precursors," which is a review of R.E. Scully in the Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, and that is a supplement from 1995. The latter is not referenced in my report.  Q Have you reviewed the Scully paper in connection with your opinions in this matter?  A Not specifically, no. Q You have, however, reviewed the Hamilton paper?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  MS. BROWN:  Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6?  A So this is a draft manuscript or preprint manuscript that's been submitted for peer review discussing the systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between perineal use of talc and risk of ovarian cancer.  Q Had you seen Exhibit 6 prior to this morning?  A Yes.  Q When did you first see Exhibit 6?  A It was in December as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?  A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian Cancer Precursors," which is a review of R.E. Scully in the Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, and that is a supplement from 1995. The latter is not referenced in my report. Q Have you reviewed the Scully paper in connection with your opinions in this matter? A Not specifically, no. Q You have, however, reviewed the Hamilton paper? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  MS. BROWN:  Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6?  A So this is a draft manuscript or preprint manuscript that's been submitted for peer review discussing the systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between perineal use of talc and risk of ovarian cancer.  Q Had you seen Exhibit 6 prior to this morning?  A Yes.  Q When did you first see Exhibit 6?  A It was in December as well.  Q Exhibit 6 did not inform your opinions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?  A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian Cancer Precursors," which is a review of R.E. Scully in the Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, and that is a supplement from 1995. The latter is not referenced in my report.  Q Have you reviewed the Scully paper in connection with your opinions in this matter?  A Not specifically, no. Q You have, however, reviewed the Hamilton paper?  A Yes. Q You would agree that the Hamilton paper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6? A So this is a draft manuscript or preprint manuscript that's been submitted for peer review discussing the systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between perineal use of talc and risk of ovarian cancer. Q Had you seen Exhibit 6 prior to this morning? A Yes. Q When did you first see Exhibit 6? A It was in December as well. Q Exhibit 6 did not inform your opinions in this matter. Fair?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?  A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian Cancer Precursors," which is a review of R.E. Scully in the Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, and that is a supplement from 1995. The latter is not referenced in my report.  Q Have you reviewed the Scully paper in connection with your opinions in this matter?  A Not specifically, no. Q You have, however, reviewed the Hamilton paper?  A Yes. Q You would agree that the Hamilton paper does not show inflammation leading to neoplastic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6? A So this is a draft manuscript or preprint manuscript that's been submitted for peer review discussing the systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between perineal use of talc and risk of ovarian cancer. Q Had you seen Exhibit 6 prior to this morning? A Yes. Q When did you first see Exhibit 6? A It was in December as well. Q Exhibit 6 did not inform your opinions in this matter. Fair? A They did not inform the content of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?  A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian Cancer Precursors," which is a review of R.E. Scully in the Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, and that is a supplement from 1995. The latter is not referenced in my report.  Q Have you reviewed the Scully paper in connection with your opinions in this matter?  A Not specifically, no. Q You have, however, reviewed the Hamilton paper?  A Yes. Q You would agree that the Hamilton paper does not show inflammation leading to neoplastic changes in animals?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN: Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6? A So this is a draft manuscript or preprint manuscript that's been submitted for peer review discussing the systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between perineal use of talc and risk of ovarian cancer. Q Had you seen Exhibit 6 prior to this morning? A Yes. Q When did you first see Exhibit 6? A It was in December as well. Q Exhibit 6 did not inform your opinions in this matter. Fair? A They did not inform the content of the report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?  A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian Cancer Precursors," which is a review of R.E. Scully in the Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, and that is a supplement from 1995. The latter is not referenced in my report.  Q Have you reviewed the Scully paper in connection with your opinions in this matter?  A Not specifically, no. Q You have, however, reviewed the Hamilton paper?  A Yes. Q You would agree that the Hamilton paper does not show inflammation leading to neoplastic changes in animals?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	counsel provided, counsel for plaintiffs provided in response to your deposition notice.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  MS. BROWN:  Q Would you identify for the record Exhibit 6?  A So this is a draft manuscript or preprint manuscript that's been submitted for peer review discussing the systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between perineal use of talc and risk of ovarian cancer.  Q Had you seen Exhibit 6 prior to this morning?  A Yes.  Q When did you first see Exhibit 6?  A It was in December as well.  Q Exhibit 6 did not inform your opinions in this matter. Fair?  A They did not inform the content of the report.  Q Have you reviewed and analyzed Exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And would you state what they are for the record, please?  A One reference is T.C. Hamilton, et al., The British Journal of Experimental Pathology, from 1984.  And the other reference is "The Pathology of Ovarian Cancer Precursors," which is a review of R.E. Scully in the Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, and that is a supplement from 1995. The latter is not referenced in my report.  Q Have you reviewed the Scully paper in connection with your opinions in this matter?  A Not specifically, no. Q You have, however, reviewed the Hamilton paper?  A Yes. Q You would agree that the Hamilton paper does not show inflammation leading to neoplastic changes in animals?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

	Page 26		Page 28
1	regarding neoplasm.	1	MS. O'DELL:
2	MS. BROWN:	2	paper in order to answer the
3	Q Does the Hamilton paper support your	3	question
4	view that chronic inflammation is a plausible	4	MS. BROWN:
5	mechanism for talc-induced ovarian cancer?	5	Counsel
6	A It supports my opinion that	6	MS. O'DELL:
7	inflammation is a component in the progression to	7	you may do that.
8	ovarian cancer.	8	MS. BROWN:
9	Q Is it your testimony that the Hamilton	9	Counsel, he is absolutely entitled to
10	paper supports your opinion that chronic	10	get the paper. We're going to do that.
11	inflammation leads to neoplastic changes?	11	Q Sitting here today, do you recall
12	A No, not necessarily.	12	MS. O'DELL:
13	Q Okay. Tell me how it is that the	13	But he is not
14	Hamilton paper supports your opinion that chronic	14	MS. BROWN:
15	inflammation can cause ovarian cancer.	15	It's a fair question.
16	A Well, the so my opinion regarding	16	MS. O'DELL:
17	that the role of inflammation in ovarian cancer	17	Is it not a fair question.
18	is not based on a single study, particularly one	18	MS. BROWN:
19	that is now approaching or is now over 30 years	19	I'm not gonna
20	old.	20	MS. O'DELL:
21	Q Okay. Does	21	He's asking
22	A So it's a I reviewed the that	22	MS. BROWN:
23	paper as well as a large number or the totality	23	do this with you.
24	of the available evidence stretching across many	24	MS. O'DELL:
	Page 27		Page 29
1	years to develop the opinion that's represented	1	Yes, you are. If he's asked to see the
2	in my report.	2	paper, he gets to look at the paper. Because
3	Q Sure.	3	this is not a situation where you can say, "Oh,
4	A And to that opinion is no one study	4	I'll show it to you later," ask all these
5	or one singular piece of information is the basis	5	questions, try to get him to answer when he said
6	of that opinion.	6	I want to see the paper and review it. That's
7	Q Okay. But, you know, having reviewed	7	the way this works.
8	Hamilton, that what Hamilton shows is that the	8	MS. BROWN:
9	inflammation they saw in the animals was not	9	Q Dr. Levy, can you answer the question
10	associated with neoplastic changes. Right?	10	without looking at the paper?
11	MS. O'DELL:	11	MS. O'DELL:
12	Excuse me.	12	Would you repeat the question just to
13	Doctor, if you'd like to to pull up	13	make sure we've got it?
14	Hamilton, you may do that.	14	MS. BROWN:
15	MS. BROWN:	15	Yes. Would you please keep your
16	Q And we'll certainly give you time to do	16	objections to form in accordance with the federal
	that, Doctor.	17	rules?
17	C:44: 1 4. 4 4	18	MS. O'DELL:
18	Sitting here today, do you recall that		
18 19	to be the conclusion of Hamilton?	19	My objections have been in accordance
18 19 20	to be the conclusion of Hamilton? MS. O'DELL:	20	with the federal rules.
18 19 20 21	to be the conclusion of Hamilton?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.	20 21	with the federal rules. MS. BROWN:
18 19 20 21 22	to be the conclusion of Hamilton?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  You don't if you need to see the	20 21 22	with the federal rules.  MS. BROWN:  Q Dr. Levy, my question to you was
18 19 20 21 22 23	to be the conclusion of Hamilton?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  You don't if you need to see the  MS. BROWN:	20 21 22 23	with the federal rules.  MS. BROWN:  Q Dr. Levy, my question to you was whether the Hamilton paper, the findings of the
18 19 20 21 22	to be the conclusion of Hamilton?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  You don't if you need to see the	20 21 22	with the federal rules.  MS. BROWN:  Q Dr. Levy, my question to you was

PageID: 204055 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 30		Page 32
1	to neoplastic changes. Do you recall that	1	Q The Hamilton article does not support
2	question?	2	the theory that chronic inflammation leads to
3	A I do recall the question.	3	neoplastic changes in the ovary. Fair?
4	Q Can you answer that question without	4	MS. O'DELL:
5	looking at the paper?	5	Object to the form.
6	A I would need to look at the paper to	6	A The Hamilton article looked at an
7	accurately answer your question.	7	interval of one month, eighteen months, in a rat
8	Q Absolutely. Do you have a copy on your	8	model. And, so, in the constraints of that
9	computer?	9	particular experimental design and given the
10	A I do.	10	state of the art of the technology at the time,
11	Q Okay. We'll mark it, so we're all on	11	the authors did not conclude of a significant
12	the same page, as Exhibit 7.	12	progression of ovarian cancer. But there's
13	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 7	13	clearly limitations in both their experimental
14	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	14	design and time course of the study to draw wide
15	MS. BROWN:	15	conclusions.
16	Q Here's a hard copy, Doctor, if that	16	MS. BROWN:
17	assists you.	17	Q The conclusions of the Hamilton
18	Doctor, looking at the Hamilton article	18	article, Dr. Levy, do not support the hypothesis
19	that you have in front of you, does that refresh	19	that chronic inflammation from talcum powder
20	you that the authors found no association between	20	causes ovarian cancer. Would you agree?
21	the talc-induced changes and neoplasm?	21	A I would not.
22	A No. Their their conclusions were	22	Q The authors did not find that the
23	that the talc-induced changes specifically	23	inflammation seen in Hamilton led to neoplastic
24	fibrosis and the papillary changes did not	24	changes. True?
	Page 31		Page 33
1	appear to be a reaction to tale, but they I	1	A The authors did not report observing
2	don't see the specific inclusion that you asked	2	neoplastic change over the time course of the
3	in the question regarding neoplasm.	3	given study.
4	Q I'm looking at page 103, Doctor, the	4	Q Doctor, I'm handing you the report that
5	first full paragraph that begins "no evidence."	5	you've served in this case, which we'll mark as
6	You with me?	6	Exhibit 2.
7	A One moment. "No evidence of cellular,"	7	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 2
8	that paragraph?	8	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
9	Q Yes.	9	MS. BROWN:
10	And, for the record, that paragraph	10	Q And I'd like you to I'd like to
11	reads, "No evidence of cellular atypia or mitotic	11	direct you to page 14. I'd like to direct your
12	activity was seen in the nonpapillary areas of	12	attention to the last paragraph of the last
13	the surface epithelium of the injected ovaries	13	sentence excuse me of the second full
14	and in no ovary was there any evidence of frank	14	paragraph that begins "additional studies."
15	neoplasia."	15	Do you see that sentence, Doctor?
16	Correct?	16	A What's the beginning of that paragraph
17	A It does read that way, yes.	17	so I make sure I'm looking at the right one?
18	Q And that was a conclusion of the	18	Q Sure. I'd like to direct you on page
19	Hamilton article. Correct?	19	14 of your report to the second full paragraph
20	MS. O'DELL:	20	that begins "In addition to epidemiologic
21	Object to the form.	21	evidence."
22	A That was an observation of the Hamilton	22	Do you see that?
23	article.	23	A I do.
24	MS. BROWN:	24	Q The last paragraph, or the last
21			

PageID: 204056 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 34		Page 36
1	sentence of that paragraph in your report reads,	1	hypothesis that chronic inflammation leads to
2	"Additional studies have also shown the effects	2	cancer in animals. Right?
3	of talc on the immune response."	3	A The
4	Do you see that sentence?	4	MS. O'DELL:
5	A I do.	5	Object to the form.
6	Q And you cite the Hamilton article for	6	A The those two references were not
7	that proposition that we were just reviewing?	7	included in the report to provide the opinion or
8	A Uh-huh.	8	conclusions that you just described.
9	Q True?	9	MS. BROWN:
10	A True.	10	Q Because you know, Doctor, that there's
11	Q And the talc effects on the immune	11	not a single animal study that shows that talc
12	response that were shown in Hamilton were not	12	causes changes in animals that leads to cancer;
13	effects that the authors observed led to	13	right?
14	neoplastic changes. Correct?	14	MS. O'DELL:
15	MS. O'DELL:	15	Object to the form.
16	Object to the form.	16	A Could you could you phrase that
17	A I'm sorry. I'm not sure I understand	17	question again? Sorry.
18	your question.	18	MS. BROWN:
19	MS. BROWN:	19	Q There is not a single animal study,
20		20	Doctor, that supports the opinion that chronic
21	Q Sure. A Are you asking, if I could clarify, are	21	inflammation caused by talc causes ovarian
22	•	22	cancer. Is that correct?
	you are you asking if Hamilton is an		
23	appropriate reference for the effects of talc on	23	MS. O'DELL:
24	the immune response or are you asking if	24	Object to the form.
	Page 35		Page 37
1		1	
1 2	Page 35 Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?	1 2	A In my review of the literature, there
2	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?	2	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the
2	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies,	2 3	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological
2 3 4	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on	2 3 4	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing
2 3 4 5	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?	2 3 4 5	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.
2 3 4 5 6	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the	2 3 4 5 6 7	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?  A Of the immune response, that's true.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic inflammation in animals that leads to neoplastic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?  A Of the immune response, that's true.  Q Okay. The immune response that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic inflammation in animals that leads to neoplastic or cancerous changes in the animals?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?  A Of the immune response, that's true.  Q Okay. The immune response that was observed in Hamilton was not an immune response	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic inflammation in animals that leads to neoplastic or cancerous changes in the animals?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?  A Of the immune response, that's true.  Q Okay. The immune response that was observed in Hamilton was not an immune response that led to cancer. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic inflammation in animals that leads to neoplastic or cancerous changes in the animals?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Compound.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?  A Of the immune response, that's true.  Q Okay. The immune response that was observed in Hamilton was not an immune response that led to cancer. Right?  A As as I stated earlier, on the time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic inflammation in animals that leads to neoplastic or cancerous changes in the animals?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Compound.  A There is one 1971 study that I'm aware
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?  A Of the immune response, that's true.  Q Okay. The immune response that was observed in Hamilton was not an immune response that led to cancer. Right?  A As as I stated earlier, on the time course of the Hamilton study, the authors did not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic inflammation in animals that leads to neoplastic or cancerous changes in the animals?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Compound.  A There is one 1971 study that I'm aware of. I would have to review to remember the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?  A Of the immune response, that's true.  Q Okay. The immune response that was observed in Hamilton was not an immune response that led to cancer. Right?  A As as I stated earlier, on the time course of the Hamilton study, the authors did not report specifically to neoplastic change in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic inflammation in animals that leads to neoplastic or cancerous changes in the animals?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Compound.  A There is one 1971 study that I'm aware of. I would have to review to remember the author. That was an earlier seminal or a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?  A Of the immune response, that's true.  Q Okay. The immune response that was observed in Hamilton was not an immune response that led to cancer. Right?  A As as I stated earlier, on the time course of the Hamilton study, the authors did not report specifically to neoplastic change in the rat or conclude or make that conclusion, nor did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic inflammation in animals that leads to neoplastic or cancerous changes in the animals?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Compound.  A There is one 1971 study that I'm aware of. I would have to review to remember the author. That was an earlier seminal or a earlier study that described the role of talcum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?  A Of the immune response, that's true.  Q Okay. The immune response that was observed in Hamilton was not an immune response that led to cancer. Right?  A As as I stated earlier, on the time course of the Hamilton study, the authors did not report specifically to neoplastic change in the rat or conclude or make that conclusion, nor did they conclude that that was not a possibility	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic inflammation in animals that leads to neoplastic or cancerous changes in the animals?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Compound.  A There is one 1971 study that I'm aware of. I would have to review to remember the author. That was an earlier seminal or a earlier study that described the role of talcum powder and the inflammatory change within the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?  A Of the immune response, that's true.  Q Okay. The immune response that was observed in Hamilton was not an immune response that led to cancer. Right?  A As as I stated earlier, on the time course of the Hamilton study, the authors did not report specifically to neoplastic change in the rat or conclude or make that conclusion, nor did they conclude that that was not a possibility either.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic inflammation in animals that leads to neoplastic or cancerous changes in the animals?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Compound.  A There is one 1971 study that I'm aware of. I would have to review to remember the author. That was an earlier seminal or a earlier study that described the role of talcum powder and the inflammatory change within the ovary.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?  A Of the immune response, that's true.  Q Okay. The immune response that was observed in Hamilton was not an immune response that led to cancer. Right?  A As as I stated earlier, on the time course of the Hamilton study, the authors did not report specifically to neoplastic change in the rat or conclude or make that conclusion, nor did they conclude that that was not a possibility either.  Q And on page 14 of your report you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic inflammation in animals that leads to neoplastic or cancerous changes in the animals?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Compound.  A There is one 1971 study that I'm aware of. I would have to review to remember the author. That was an earlier seminal or a earlier study that described the role of talcum powder and the inflammatory change within the ovary.  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?  A Of the immune response, that's true.  Q Okay. The immune response that was observed in Hamilton was not an immune response that led to cancer. Right?  A As as I stated earlier, on the time course of the Hamilton study, the authors did not report specifically to neoplastic change in the rat or conclude or make that conclusion, nor did they conclude that that was not a possibility either.  Q And on page 14 of your report you have two additional cites for that proposition;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic inflammation in animals that leads to neoplastic or cancerous changes in the animals?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Compound.  A There is one 1971 study that I'm aware of. I would have to review to remember the author. That was an earlier seminal or a earlier study that described the role of talcum powder and the inflammatory change within the ovary.  MS. BROWN:  Q Who's the author of that study, Doctor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?  A Of the immune response, that's true.  Q Okay. The immune response that was observed in Hamilton was not an immune response that led to cancer. Right?  A As as I stated earlier, on the time course of the Hamilton study, the authors did not report specifically to neoplastic change in the rat or conclude or make that conclusion, nor did they conclude that that was not a possibility either.  Q And on page 14 of your report you have two additional cites for that proposition; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic inflammation in animals that leads to neoplastic or cancerous changes in the animals?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Compound.  A There is one 1971 study that I'm aware of. I would have to review to remember the author. That was an earlier seminal or a earlier study that described the role of talcum powder and the inflammatory change within the ovary.  MS. BROWN:  Q Who's the author of that study, Doctor?  A I'm trying to think of where I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?  A Of the immune response, that's true.  Q Okay. The immune response that was observed in Hamilton was not an immune response that led to cancer. Right?  A As as I stated earlier, on the time course of the Hamilton study, the authors did not report specifically to neoplastic change in the rat or conclude or make that conclusion, nor did they conclude that that was not a possibility either.  Q And on page 14 of your report you have two additional cites for that proposition; correct?  A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic inflammation in animals that leads to neoplastic or cancerous changes in the animals?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Compound.  A There is one 1971 study that I'm aware of. I would have to review to remember the author. That was an earlier seminal or a earlier study that described the role of talcum powder and the inflammatory change within the ovary.  MS. BROWN:  Q Who's the author of that study, Doctor?  A I'm trying to think of where I have that reference.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Hamilton's an appropriate reference for something else?  Q In your report, you state that studies, such as Hamilton, have shown effects of talc on the immune response. Correct?  A That is correct.  Q And you said Hamilton as one of the articles that supports that proposition. True?  A Of the immune response, that's true.  Q Okay. The immune response that was observed in Hamilton was not an immune response that led to cancer. Right?  A As as I stated earlier, on the time course of the Hamilton study, the authors did not report specifically to neoplastic change in the rat or conclude or make that conclusion, nor did they conclude that that was not a possibility either.  Q And on page 14 of your report you have two additional cites for that proposition; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A In my review of the literature, there are a number of animal studies that support the opinions in the report regarding the biological plausibility of talc leading to or contributing to neoplastic change.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any animal studies, Doctor, that show talc causing chronic inflammation in animals that leads to neoplastic or cancerous changes in the animals?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Compound.  A There is one 1971 study that I'm aware of. I would have to review to remember the author. That was an earlier seminal or a earlier study that described the role of talcum powder and the inflammatory change within the ovary.  MS. BROWN:  Q Who's the author of that study, Doctor?  A I'm trying to think of where I have

	Page 38		Page 40
1	and then we can take a look at it. Okay?	1	A And I have those on the available
2	A Uh-huh.	2	electronically.
3	Q Okay. Getting back, then, Doctor, to	3	Q Okay. Were you provided with completed
4	what we had marked as Exhibit 6, which is the	4	versions of all the plaintiff experts in the MDL
5	Taher paper, fair to say you reviewed that paper	5	proceeding?
6	after your report was submitted in this case?	6	A I can't speak to whether it was all,
7	A Yes.	7	but I have been provided with several.
8	Q Okay. And did you notice throughout	8	Q Will you list for me the expert reports
9	Taher's paper he makes reference to a number of	9	you've been provided with?
10	supplemental materials?	10	A Sure.
11	A Not specifically.	11	Q Thank you.
12	Q Are you in receipt from plaintiffs'	12	A There are four on on this drive,
13	counsel of those supplemental materials?	13	three I'm sorry. Two. Crowley and Longo.
14	A I'd have to you'd have to give me a	14	Q Two reports from Dr. Crowley and two
15	specific example, and I would be able to answer	15	reports from Dr. Longo?
16	you.	16	MS. O'DELL:
17	Q So, throughout the paper, the authors	17	I don't think that's what he said.
18	make reference to a set of supplemental materials	18	A No. I think there are two, two expert
19	that support their opinions. Do you recall that?	19	reports, one from Dr. Crowley and one from
20	A I certainly recall the reference	20	Dr. Longo.
21	materials to support their opinion. Whether they	21	MS. BROWN:
22	were supplemental or otherwise, that doesn't	22	Q Okay. And the date of the Crowley
23	stand out to me.	23	report, please?
24	Q Okay. And I'm not trying to be tricky.	24	A The according to the file, the
	Page 39		Page 41
		1	
1	I just want to know if you have those materials.	1	_
	I just want to know if you have those materials, and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.	1 2	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.
1 2 3	I just want to know if you have those materials, and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I		date the modified date is November 28, 2018.
2	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.	2	date the modified date is November 28, 2018. Q And
2 3	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature	2 3	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I
2 3 4	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I	2 3 4	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know.
2 3 4 5	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper	2 3 4 5	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know.  Q And the Longo report, do you know the
2 3 4 5 6	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know.  Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that?
2 3 4 5 6 7	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now	2 3 4 5 6 7	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know. Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that? A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now Q Now, Taher	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know.  Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that?  A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in the title. And then there's a sorry. There's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now Q Now, Taher A but I'd have to check.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know.  Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that?  A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in the title. And then there's a sorry. There's a second Longo report, 2018, which has a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now Q Now, Taher A but I'd have to check.  Q Sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know. Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that? A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in the title. And then there's a sorry. There's a second Longo report, 2018, which has a November 28, 2018, date. So my my apologies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now Q Now, Taher A but I'd have to check.  Q Sorry.  The Taher paper did not inform your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know. Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that? A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in the title. And then there's a sorry. There's a second Longo report, 2018, which has a November 28, 2018, date. So my my apologies. To correct, there are two expert reports from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now Q Now, Taher A but I'd have to check.  Q Sorry.  The Taher paper did not inform your the opinions contained in your report dated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know. Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that? A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in the title. And then there's a sorry. There's a second Longo report, 2018, which has a November 28, 2018, date. So my my apologies. To correct, there are two expert reports from Dr. Longo. Q Got it. MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now Q Now, Taher A but I'd have to check.  Q Sorry.  The Taher paper did not inform your the opinions contained in your report dated November of 2018; correct?  A Correct, as written.  Q Okay. Are there any additional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know. Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that? A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in the title. And then there's a sorry. There's a second Longo report, 2018, which has a November 28, 2018, date. So my my apologies. To correct, there are two expert reports from Dr. Longo. Q Got it. MS. O'DELL: So when you were talking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now Q Now, Taher A but I'd have to check.  Q Sorry.  The Taher paper did not inform your the opinions contained in your report dated November of 2018; correct?  A Correct, as written.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know. Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that? A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in the title. And then there's a sorry. There's a second Longo report, 2018, which has a November 28, 2018, date. So my my apologies. To correct, there are two expert reports from Dr. Longo. Q Got it. MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now Q Now, Taher A but I'd have to check.  Q Sorry.  The Taher paper did not inform your the opinions contained in your report dated November of 2018; correct?  A Correct, as written.  Q Okay. Are there any additional documents that either you or your counsel have brought with you here today in response to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know. Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that? A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in the title. And then there's a sorry. There's a second Longo report, 2018, which has a November 28, 2018, date. So my my apologies. To correct, there are two expert reports from Dr. Longo. Q Got it. MS. O'DELL: So when you were talking about MS. BROWN: Counsel, no. Huh-uh. No. We I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now Q Now, Taher A but I'd have to check.  Q Sorry.  The Taher paper did not inform your the opinions contained in your report dated November of 2018; correct?  A Correct, as written.  Q Okay. Are there any additional documents that either you or your counsel have brought with you here today in response to Exhibit 1, the Notice of Deposition?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know. Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that? A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in the title. And then there's a sorry. There's a second Longo report, 2018, which has a November 28, 2018, date. So my my apologies. To correct, there are two expert reports from Dr. Longo. Q Got it. MS. O'DELL: So when you were talking about MS. BROWN: Counsel, no. Huh-uh. No. We I'm gonna ask questions, and he's gonna answer. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now Q Now, Taher A but I'd have to check.  Q Sorry.  The Taher paper did not inform your the opinions contained in your report dated November of 2018; correct?  A Correct, as written.  Q Okay. Are there any additional documents that either you or your counsel have brought with you here today in response to Exhibit 1, the Notice of Deposition?  A So I'm not sure how to answer that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know. Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that? A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in the title. And then there's a sorry. There's a second Longo report, 2018, which has a November 28, 2018, date. So my my apologies. To correct, there are two expert reports from Dr. Longo. Q Got it. MS. O'DELL: So when you were talking about MS. BROWN: Counsel, no. Huh-uh. No. We I'm gonna ask questions, and he's gonna answer. We are not going to have you testify. You are not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now Q Now, Taher A but I'd have to check.  Q Sorry.  The Taher paper did not inform your the opinions contained in your report dated November of 2018; correct?  A Correct, as written.  Q Okay. Are there any additional documents that either you or your counsel have brought with you here today in response to Exhibit 1, the Notice of Deposition?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know. Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that? A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in the title. And then there's a sorry. There's a second Longo report, 2018, which has a November 28, 2018, date. So my my apologies. To correct, there are two expert reports from Dr. Longo. Q Got it. MS. O'DELL: So when you were talking about MS. BROWN: Counsel, no. Huh-uh. No. We I'm gonna ask questions, and he's gonna answer. We are not going to have you testify. You are not to testify about the expert reports.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now Q Now, Taher A but I'd have to check.  Q Sorry.  The Taher paper did not inform your the opinions contained in your report dated November of 2018; correct?  A Correct, as written.  Q Okay. Are there any additional documents that either you or your counsel have brought with you here today in response to Exhibit 1, the Notice of Deposition?  A So I'm not sure how to answer that accurately, but I would say there's a I've been provided with since the completion of my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know. Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that? A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in the title. And then there's a sorry. There's a second Longo report, 2018, which has a November 28, 2018, date. So my my apologies. To correct, there are two expert reports from Dr. Longo. Q Got it. MS. O'DELL: So when you were talking about MS. BROWN: Counsel, no. Huh-uh. No. We I'm gonna ask questions, and he's gonna answer. We are not going to have you testify. You are not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now Q Now, Taher A but I'd have to check.  Q Sorry.  The Taher paper did not inform your the opinions contained in your report dated November of 2018; correct?  A Correct, as written.  Q Okay. Are there any additional documents that either you or your counsel have brought with you here today in response to Exhibit 1, the Notice of Deposition?  A So I'm not sure how to answer that accurately, but I would say there's a I've been provided with since the completion of my report, I've been provided with reports from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know. Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that? A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in the title. And then there's a sorry. There's a second Longo report, 2018, which has a November 28, 2018, date. So my my apologies. To correct, there are two expert reports from Dr. Longo. Q Got it. MS. O'DELL: So when you were talking about MS. BROWN: Counsel, no. Huh-uh. No. We I'm gonna ask questions, and he's gonna answer. We are not going to have you testify. You are not to testify about the expert reports. MS. O'DELL: I'm not gonna
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now Q Now, Taher A but I'd have to check.  Q Sorry.  The Taher paper did not inform your the opinions contained in your report dated November of 2018; correct?  A Correct, as written.  Q Okay. Are there any additional documents that either you or your counsel have brought with you here today in response to Exhibit 1, the Notice of Deposition?  A So I'm not sure how to answer that accurately, but I would say there's a I've been provided with since the completion of my report, I've been provided with reports from other experts in the in the case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know. Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that? A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in the title. And then there's a sorry. There's a second Longo report, 2018, which has a November 28, 2018, date. So my my apologies. To correct, there are two expert reports from Dr. Longo. Q Got it. MS. O'DELL: So when you were talking about MS. BROWN: Counsel, no. Huh-uh. No. We I'm gonna ask questions, and he's gonna answer. We are not going to have you testify. You are not to testify about the expert reports. MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and, if so, I'm gonna request production of them.  A No. I I I don't believe that I have the full list of reference of literature cited from that from this paper Q Okay.  A now Q Now, Taher A but I'd have to check.  Q Sorry.  The Taher paper did not inform your the opinions contained in your report dated November of 2018; correct?  A Correct, as written.  Q Okay. Are there any additional documents that either you or your counsel have brought with you here today in response to Exhibit 1, the Notice of Deposition?  A So I'm not sure how to answer that accurately, but I would say there's a I've been provided with since the completion of my report, I've been provided with reports from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	date the modified date is November 28, 2018.  Q And A Whether that was the written date, I I don't know. Q And the Longo report, do you know the date of that? A It is listed as August 2nd, 2017, in the title. And then there's a sorry. There's a second Longo report, 2018, which has a November 28, 2018, date. So my my apologies. To correct, there are two expert reports from Dr. Longo. Q Got it. MS. O'DELL: So when you were talking about MS. BROWN: Counsel, no. Huh-uh. No. We I'm gonna ask questions, and he's gonna answer. We are not going to have you testify. You are not to testify about the expert reports. MS. O'DELL: I'm not gonna

PageID: 204058 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 42		Page 44
1	MS. BROWN:	1	MS. BROWN:
2	He then he will answer, counsel.	2	Q How did you receive them? Was it email
3	You can't testify.	3	or hard copy?
4	MS. O'DELL:	4	A Neither. They were made available
5	He gave you the date of the file the	5	through a shared storage.
6	file date	6	Q And would you have received an email
7	MS. BROWN:	7	alerting you to their existence on a shared file?
8	That's fine.	8	MS. O'DELL:
9	MS. O'DELL:	9	Dr. Levy, communications between
10	not the date	10	counsel are are subject to the work product
11	MS. BROWN:	11	privilege.
12	On redirect, you are welcome to clean	12	So to the degree you're asking him to
13	up whatever you need to. But we're not gonna	13	convey what was in a communication, then I'll
14	have your testimony on the record about dates of	14	object to that and instruct you not to discuss
15	expert reports.	15	communications between counsel.
16	A So, looking at the report itself, the	16	MS. BROWN:
17	date of the Longo report is November 14th, 2018.	17	Q Which the question does not ask for,
18	MS. BROWN:	18	Doctor.
19	Q And were you provided	19	MS. O'DELL:
20	A The would you like the date of the	20	I believe it does.
21	earlier report?	21	MS. BROWN:
22	Q That would be terrific.	22	Q Here's what I want to know. Did you
23	A It's August 2nd, 2017.	23	rely on any other expert reports in forming your
24	Q Great.	24	opinions in this case?
	Page 43		Page 45
			1490 13
1	Were you provided the two Longo reports	1	
1 2	Were you provided the two Longo reports and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs'	1 2	
			A To to my the content of my
2	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs'	2	A To to my the content of my report, no.
2	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?	2 3	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two
2 3 4	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes.	2 3 4	<ul> <li>A To to my the content of my report, no.</li> <li>Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall when?	2 3 4 5	A To to my the content of my report, no. Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?
2 3 4 5 6	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall when?  A Not specifically. It was, obviously,	2 3 4 5 6	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall when?  A Not specifically. It was, obviously, by their date, sometime after their completion.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall when?  A Not specifically. It was, obviously, by their date, sometime after their completion.  So the Crowley report and the later 2018 Longo	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. There was if I recall and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes. Q Do you recall when? A Not specifically. It was, obviously, by their date, sometime after their completion. So the Crowley report and the later 2018 Longo report were sometime in November or December 2018.  There's I've also had an opportunity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. There was if I recall and the at least the earlier Longo report and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall when?  A Not specifically. It was, obviously, by their date, sometime after their completion.  So the Crowley report and the later 2018 Longo report were sometime in November or December 2018.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. There was if I recall and the at least the earlier Longo report and I'd have to review the specifics at least the earlier Longo report was reviewed and was included in the content in the report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes. Q Do you recall when? A Not specifically. It was, obviously, by their date, sometime after their completion. So the Crowley report and the later 2018 Longo report were sometime in November or December 2018.  There's I've also had an opportunity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. There was if I recall and the at least the earlier Longo report and I'd have to review the specifics at least the earlier Longo report was reviewed and was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes. Q Do you recall when? A Not specifically. It was, obviously, by their date, sometime after their completion. So the Crowley report and the later 2018 Longo report were sometime in November or December 2018.  There's I've also had an opportunity to review a number of several other expert	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. There was if I recall and the at least the earlier Longo report and I'd have to review the specifics at least the earlier Longo report was reviewed and was included in the content in the report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes. Q Do you recall when? A Not specifically. It was, obviously, by their date, sometime after their completion. So the Crowley report and the later 2018 Longo report were sometime in November or December 2018.  There's I've also had an opportunity to review a number of several other expert reports which are not with me today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. There was if I recall and the at least the earlier Longo report and I'd have to review the specifics at least the earlier Longo report was reviewed and was included in the content in the report.  And I would have to since the later
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes. Q Do you recall when? A Not specifically. It was, obviously, by their date, sometime after their completion. So the Crowley report and the later 2018 Longo report were sometime in November or December 2018.  There's I've also had an opportunity to review a number of several other expert reports which are not with me today. Q Do you have a listing of the additional expert reports you were provided with? A I'd have to I could certainly I'd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. There was if I recall and the at least the earlier Longo report and I'd have to review the specifics at least the earlier Longo report was reviewed and was included in the content in the report.  And I would have to since the later Longo report and then the final version of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes. Q Do you recall when? A Not specifically. It was, obviously, by their date, sometime after their completion. So the Crowley report and the later 2018 Longo report were sometime in November or December 2018.  There's I've also had an opportunity to review a number of several other expert reports which are not with me today. Q Do you have a listing of the additional expert reports you were provided with? A I'd have to I could certainly I'd have to provide it. I don't, off the top of my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. There was if I recall and the at least the earlier Longo report and I'd have to review the specifics at least the earlier Longo report was reviewed and was included in the content in the report.  And I would have to since the later Longo report and then the final version of this report were quite close together, I don't recall
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes. Q Do you recall when? A Not specifically. It was, obviously, by their date, sometime after their completion. So the Crowley report and the later 2018 Longo report were sometime in November or December 2018.  There's I've also had an opportunity to review a number of several other expert reports which are not with me today. Q Do you have a listing of the additional expert reports you were provided with? A I'd have to I could certainly I'd have to provide it. I don't, off the top of my head, recall all of them. There was probably	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A No. There was if I recall and the at least the earlier Longo report and I'd have to review the specifics at least the earlier Longo report was reviewed and was included in the content in the report. And I would have to since the later Longo report and then the final version of this report were quite close together, I don't recall if they overlapped or not. I'd have to review the which references I used in here, which will just take a moment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes. Q Do you recall when? A Not specifically. It was, obviously, by their date, sometime after their completion. So the Crowley report and the later 2018 Longo report were sometime in November or December 2018.  There's I've also had an opportunity to review a number of several other expert reports which are not with me today. Q Do you have a listing of the additional expert reports you were provided with? A I'd have to I could certainly I'd have to provide it. I don't, off the top of my head, recall all of them. There was probably approximately a dozen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. There was if I recall and the at least the earlier Longo report and I'd have to review the specifics at least the earlier Longo report was reviewed and was included in the content in the report.  And I would have to since the later Longo report and then the final version of this report were quite close together, I don't recall if they overlapped or not. I'd have to review the which references I used in here, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes. Q Do you recall when? A Not specifically. It was, obviously, by their date, sometime after their completion. So the Crowley report and the later 2018 Longo report were sometime in November or December 2018.  There's I've also had an opportunity to review a number of several other expert reports which are not with me today. Q Do you have a listing of the additional expert reports you were provided with? A I'd have to I could certainly I'd have to provide it. I don't, off the top of my head, recall all of them. There was probably	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A No. There was if I recall and the at least the earlier Longo report and I'd have to review the specifics at least the earlier Longo report was reviewed and was included in the content in the report. And I would have to since the later Longo report and then the final version of this report were quite close together, I don't recall if they overlapped or not. I'd have to review the which references I used in here, which will just take a moment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall when?  A Not specifically. It was, obviously, by their date, sometime after their completion.  So the Crowley report and the later 2018 Longo report were sometime in November or December 2018.  There's I've also had an opportunity to review a number of several other expert reports which are not with me today.  Q Do you have a listing of the additional expert reports you were provided with?  A I'd have to I could certainly I'd have to provide it. I don't, off the top of my head, recall all of them. There was probably approximately a dozen.  Q Were all of the plaintiff expert reports sent to you at once?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. There was if I recall and the at least the earlier Longo report and I'd have to review the specifics at least the earlier Longo report was reviewed and was included in the content in the report.  And I would have to since the later Longo report and then the final version of this report were quite close together, I don't recall if they overlapped or not. I'd have to review the which references I used in here, which will just take a moment.  So, yes, the I did include both Longo reports.  Q The second Longo report was finalized
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes. Q Do you recall when? A Not specifically. It was, obviously, by their date, sometime after their completion. So the Crowley report and the later 2018 Longo report were sometime in November or December 2018.  There's I've also had an opportunity to review a number of several other expert reports which are not with me today. Q Do you have a listing of the additional expert reports you were provided with? A I'd have to I could certainly I'd have to provide it. I don't, off the top of my head, recall all of them. There was probably approximately a dozen. Q Were all of the plaintiff expert reports sent to you at once? MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. There was if I recall and the at least the earlier Longo report and I'd have to review the specifics at least the earlier Longo report was reviewed and was included in the content in the report.  And I would have to since the later Longo report and then the final version of this report were quite close together, I don't recall if they overlapped or not. I'd have to review the which references I used in here, which will just take a moment.  So, yes, the I did include both Longo reports.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and the Dr. Crowley report by plaintiffs' counsel?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall when?  A Not specifically. It was, obviously, by their date, sometime after their completion.  So the Crowley report and the later 2018 Longo report were sometime in November or December 2018.  There's I've also had an opportunity to review a number of several other expert reports which are not with me today.  Q Do you have a listing of the additional expert reports you were provided with?  A I'd have to I could certainly I'd have to provide it. I don't, off the top of my head, recall all of them. There was probably approximately a dozen.  Q Were all of the plaintiff expert reports sent to you at once?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A To to my the content of my report, no.  Q Did you receive the Crowley and two Longo reports after you had already completed your report in this case?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. There was if I recall and the at least the earlier Longo report and I'd have to review the specifics at least the earlier Longo report was reviewed and was included in the content in the report.  And I would have to since the later Longo report and then the final version of this report were quite close together, I don't recall if they overlapped or not. I'd have to review the which references I used in here, which will just take a moment.  So, yes, the I did include both Longo reports.  Q The second Longo report was finalized

	Page 46		Page 48
1	report?	1	Q Did you type the expert report that
2	A Yes. And the	2	we've marked as Exhibit 2 yourself?
3	Q And did you	3	A I did.
4	A And as to when I saw the draft, I	4	Q Did you write all contents of Exhibit 2
5	believe it was and it was sometime in the fall	5	yourself?
6	and/or when reports were being revised and	6	A I did.
7	expanded as more literature became available.	7	Q Were there parts of your report that
8	Q Prior to Longo finalizing and signing	8	you lifted from other published articles?
9	his expert report in the MDL, you had access to a	9	MS. O'DELL:
10	draft of that report; is that right?	10	Object to the form.
11	MS. O'DELL:	11	A Could you describe "lifted"?
12	Object to the form.	12	MS. BROWN:
13	A I can't speak to to that accurately.	13	Q Did you take the words of other authors
14	MS. BROWN:	14	and put them in your expert report as Exhibit 2?
15	Q I thought you just testified you saw a	15	MS. O'DELL:
16	version of the Longo 2018 report that was not	16	Object to the form.
17	final. Is that correct?	17	A No. My my so my report is a
18	MS. O'DELL:	18	review of the available literature at the time
19	Object to the form.	19	that the report was being developed. So, as
20	A I'd have to I'd have to review	20	such, it describes that that literature.
21	my the the literature that I used for the	21	As far as did I specifically copy words
22	report to accurately answer your question.	22	from other reports, no.
23	MS. BROWN:	23	MS. BROWN:
24	Q Well, your report doesn't say a draft,	24	Q Did you work with another plaintiff
	Page 47		Page 49
			5
1	and I'm wondering if you ever saw a non-finalized	1	
1 2	and I'm wondering if you ever saw a non-finalized copy of the Longo report.	1 2	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?
	copy of the Longo report.		expert on the report that we've marked as
2	copy of the Longo report.	2	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?
2	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare	2 3	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not.
2 3 4	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a	2 3 4	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2? A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is?
2 3 4 5	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question	2 3 4 5	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2? A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is? A The name's not familiar to me.
2 3 4 5 6	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different	2 3 4 5 6	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is? A The name's not familiar to me. Q Did you review a draft of
2 3 4 5 6 7	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different than what's referenced as the final.	2 3 4 5 6 7	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not.  Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is?  A The name's not familiar to me.  Q Did you review a draft of  Dr. Zelikoff's report before submitting your own?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different than what's referenced as the final.  Q There were two days between Longo	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is? A The name's not familiar to me. Q Did you review a draft of Dr. Zelikoff's report before submitting your own? A I did not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different than what's referenced as the final.  Q There were two days between Longo serving his report and you serving your report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is? A The name's not familiar to me. Q Did you review a draft of Dr. Zelikoff's report before submitting your own? A I did not. Q Do you think that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different than what's referenced as the final.  Q There were two days between Longo serving his report and you serving your report.  Does that help orient you as to whether you saw a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is? A The name's not familiar to me. Q Did you review a draft of Dr. Zelikoff's report before submitting your own? A I did not. Q Do you think that A Not that I'm aware of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different than what's referenced as the final.  Q There were two days between Longo serving his report and you serving your report.  Does that help orient you as to whether you saw a draft or you saw the final version?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is? A The name's not familiar to me. Q Did you review a draft of Dr. Zelikoff's report before submitting your own? A I did not. Q Do you think that A Not that I'm aware of. Q Do you have any explanation as to why a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different than what's referenced as the final.  Q There were two days between Longo serving his report and you serving your report.  Does that help orient you as to whether you saw a draft or you saw the final version?  A Certainly possible I saw the final version.  Q How many hours did you spend on your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not.  Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is?  A The name's not familiar to me.  Q Did you review a draft of  Dr. Zelikoff's report before submitting your own?  A I did not.  Q Do you think that  A Not that I'm aware of.  Q Do you have any explanation as to why a paragraph in your report is the same as a paragraph in Dr. Zelikoff's report?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different than what's referenced as the final.  Q There were two days between Longo serving his report and you serving your report.  Does that help orient you as to whether you saw a draft or you saw the final version?  A Certainly possible I saw the final version.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is? A The name's not familiar to me. Q Did you review a draft of Dr. Zelikoff's report before submitting your own? A I did not. Q Do you think that A Not that I'm aware of. Q Do you have any explanation as to why a paragraph in your report is the same as a paragraph in Dr. Zelikoff's report?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different than what's referenced as the final.  Q There were two days between Longo serving his report and you serving your report.  Does that help orient you as to whether you saw a draft or you saw the final version?  A Certainly possible I saw the final version.  Q How many hours did you spend on your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is? A The name's not familiar to me. Q Did you review a draft of Dr. Zelikoff's report before submitting your own? A I did not. Q Do you think that A Not that I'm aware of. Q Do you have any explanation as to why a paragraph in your report is the same as a paragraph in Dr. Zelikoff's report?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A I without knowing without seeing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different than what's referenced as the final.  Q There were two days between Longo serving his report and you serving your report.  Does that help orient you as to whether you saw a draft or you saw the final version?  A Certainly possible I saw the final version.  Q How many hours did you spend on your report in this case, Doctor?  A The initial draft of the report? The initial writing of the report?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is? A The name's not familiar to me. Q Did you review a draft of Dr. Zelikoff's report before submitting your own? A I did not. Q Do you think that A Not that I'm aware of. Q Do you have any explanation as to why a paragraph in your report is the same as a paragraph in Dr. Zelikoff's report?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different than what's referenced as the final.  Q There were two days between Longo serving his report and you serving your report.  Does that help orient you as to whether you saw a draft or you saw the final version?  A Certainly possible I saw the final version.  Q How many hours did you spend on your report in this case, Doctor?  A The initial draft of the report? The initial writing of the report?  Q In total, how many hours did you spend	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is? A The name's not familiar to me. Q Did you review a draft of Dr. Zelikoff's report before submitting your own? A I did not. Q Do you think that A Not that I'm aware of. Q Do you have any explanation as to why a paragraph in your report is the same as a paragraph in Dr. Zelikoff's report?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A I without knowing without seeing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different than what's referenced as the final.  Q There were two days between Longo serving his report and you serving your report.  Does that help orient you as to whether you saw a draft or you saw the final version?  A Certainly possible I saw the final version.  Q How many hours did you spend on your report in this case, Doctor?  A The initial draft of the report? The initial writing of the report?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is? A The name's not familiar to me. Q Did you review a draft of Dr. Zelikoff's report before submitting your own? A I did not. Q Do you think that A Not that I'm aware of. Q Do you have any explanation as to why a paragraph in your report is the same as a paragraph in Dr. Zelikoff's report? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A I without knowing without seeing the paragraph in both reports would be I can't comment. MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different than what's referenced as the final.  Q There were two days between Longo serving his report and you serving your report.  Does that help orient you as to whether you saw a draft or you saw the final version?  A Certainly possible I saw the final version.  Q How many hours did you spend on your report in this case, Doctor?  A The initial draft of the report? The initial writing of the report?  Q In total, how many hours did you spend	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is? A The name's not familiar to me. Q Did you review a draft of Dr. Zelikoff's report before submitting your own? A I did not. Q Do you think that A Not that I'm aware of. Q Do you have any explanation as to why a paragraph in your report is the same as a paragraph in Dr. Zelikoff's report? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A I without knowing without seeing the paragraph in both reports would be I can't comment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different than what's referenced as the final.  Q There were two days between Longo serving his report and you serving your report.  Does that help orient you as to whether you saw a draft or you saw the final version?  A Certainly possible I saw the final version.  Q How many hours did you spend on your report in this case, Doctor?  A The initial draft of the report? The initial writing of the report?  Q In total, how many hours did you spend writing your report?  A It was 20 hours initially, and then it would be it would be difficult to provide an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is? A The name's not familiar to me. Q Did you review a draft of Dr. Zelikoff's report before submitting your own? A I did not. Q Do you think that A Not that I'm aware of. Q Do you have any explanation as to why a paragraph in your report is the same as a paragraph in Dr. Zelikoff's report?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A I without knowing without seeing the paragraph in both reports would be I can't comment.  MS. BROWN: Q Let's mark as Exhibit 8 the expert report of Dr. Judith Zelikoff, Ph.D.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different than what's referenced as the final.  Q There were two days between Longo serving his report and you serving your report.  Does that help orient you as to whether you saw a draft or you saw the final version?  A Certainly possible I saw the final version.  Q How many hours did you spend on your report in this case, Doctor?  A The initial draft of the report? The initial writing of the report?  Q In total, how many hours did you spend writing your report?  A It was 20 hours initially, and then it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is? A The name's not familiar to me. Q Did you review a draft of Dr. Zelikoff's report before submitting your own? A I did not. Q Do you think that A Not that I'm aware of. Q Do you have any explanation as to why a paragraph in your report is the same as a paragraph in Dr. Zelikoff's report?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A I without knowing without seeing the paragraph in both reports would be I can't comment.  MS. BROWN: Q Let's mark as Exhibit 8 the expert
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	copy of the Longo report.  A I didn't have an opportunity to compare the finalized Longo report to a what may be a draft or not to accurately answer your question if I saw a draft that was substantially different than what's referenced as the final.  Q There were two days between Longo serving his report and you serving your report.  Does that help orient you as to whether you saw a draft or you saw the final version?  A Certainly possible I saw the final version.  Q How many hours did you spend on your report in this case, Doctor?  A The initial draft of the report? The initial writing of the report?  Q In total, how many hours did you spend writing your report?  A It was 20 hours initially, and then it would be it would be difficult to provide an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	expert on the report that we've marked as Exhibit 2?  A I did not. Q Do you know who Dr. Zelikoff is? A The name's not familiar to me. Q Did you review a draft of Dr. Zelikoff's report before submitting your own? A I did not. Q Do you think that A Not that I'm aware of. Q Do you have any explanation as to why a paragraph in your report is the same as a paragraph in Dr. Zelikoff's report?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A I without knowing without seeing the paragraph in both reports would be I can't comment.  MS. BROWN: Q Let's mark as Exhibit 8 the expert report of Dr. Judith Zelikoff, Ph.D.

	Page 50		Page 52
1	Q Is this something you've seen	1	A They are
2	Oh, sorry. Can I	2	Q The next sentence
3	It's okay, actually. It will flag it	3	A Just one moment, please. I'm just
4	for you?	4	making sure. Your question was are they exactly
5	Is this a report that you've seen	5	the same, and I'm just confirming if they're
6	before, Doctor?	6	exactly the same.
7	A I'll have to see it before I answer.	7	So, yes, I agree they're exactly the
8	Q I'm handing you what we've marked as	8	same.
9	Exhibit 8, which is the expert report of	9	Q You have reviewed them and satisfied
10	Dr. Judith Zelikoff. Is this one of the reports	10	yourself that that those two sentences are
11	that you reviewed prior you reviewed at all?	11	exactly the same; correct?
12	A I would have I would actually have	12	MS. O'DELL:
13	to review my the literature that I reviewed	13	Object to the form.
14	in the totality of the literature that I	14	A There's a single sentence in each
15	reviewed, which I could answer that after a	15	report that is exactly the same. But important
16	break, if necessary. But I don't recall,	16	to comment that this single sentence is a is a
17	specifically recall, this report under	17	basic biological premise of cancer, and, so,
18	Dr. Zelikoff's name. But it is certainly	18	there's no surprise that two expert witnesses
19	possible that I may have seen	19	offering opinions on the role of or the
20	Q Let's look at page 5 of your report,	20	biological plausibility or mechanisms of
21	Doctor.	21	development of cancer would introduce a
22	A Okay.	22	fundamental premise in the same manner.
23	Q And why don't you put that side by side	23	MS. BROWN:
24	with page 20 of Dr. Zelikoff's report. And the	24	Q No surprise that you experts would have
	Page 51		Page 53
1	paragraph in Dr. Zelikoff's report that I want to	1	one sentence that's the same? Is that what
2	direct you to is the first full paragraph on	2	you're saying?
3	page 20 that begins "Genetic mutations."	3	MS. O'DELL:
4	Do you see that?	4	Objection. That's not what he said.
5	A I do.	5	Misrepresents his testimony.
6	Q And the paragraph of your report I want	6	A I'm saying that both would both
7	to direct you to is the paragraph on page 5 that	7	reports detail a fundamental aspect as they
8	begins "Both inherited."	8	would based on the current understanding of
9	Do you see that?	9	the that both inherited and acquired gene
10	A I do.	10	mutations work in concert to cause cancer.
11	Q Okay. The first sentence of that	11	MS. BROWN:
12	paragraph in your report reads, "Both inherited	12	Q Look at the next sentence on page 20 of
13	and acquired gene and acquired gene mutations	13	Dr. Zelikoff's report. It reads as follows:
14	work together to cause cancer."	14	"Even if one has inherited a genetic mutation
15	Do you see that?	15	that predisposes one to cancer," comma, "that
16	A I do.	16	doesn't mean he or she is certain to get cancer."
17	Q The third sentence of the paragraph I	17	Did I read that correctly?
18	directed you to in Dr. Zelikoff's report is	18	A You did.
19	identical and reads, "Both inherited and acquired	19	Q And let's go back to page 5 of your
20	gene mutations work together to cause cancer."	20	report. Skip ahead, if you would one, two,
21	Do you see that?	21	three four sentences to where you were and
22	A I do.	22	find the sentence that begins "Even."
23	Q Those two sentences are exactly the	23	Are you with me?
24	same, are they not?	24	A I am.
23	Q Those two sentences are exactly the	23	Are you with me?

PageID: 204061 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 54		Page 56
1	Q And your report at page 5 reads, "Even	1	identical to your report; correct?
2	if one has inherited a genetic mutation that	2	A We have.
3	predisposes one to cancer," comma, "that doesn't	3	Q Do you have any explanation for why
4	mean he or she is certain to get cancer."	4	that would be?
5	Did I read that correctly?	5	A I do.
6	A You did.	6	Q What's that?
7	Q That's the exact same sentence we just	7	A That these each of these sentences
8	read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?	8	are describing basic introductory information
9	A It is.	9	around the relationship between cancer and
10	Q So now we have two sentences that are	10	genetic mutation.
11	exactly the same in your report and	11	Q And each of you described it with the
12	Dr. Zelikoff's report. Correct?	12	exact same words?
13	MS. O'DELL:	13	A Apparently so.
14	Object to the form.	14	Q Let's keep going.
15	A You have two sentences that are written	15	Page 20 of Dr. Zelikoff's report,
16	the same but certainly not in precisely the same	16	picking up where we left off, Dr. Zelikoff
17	context or organization in the total report.	17	writes: "The inherited gene mutation could
18	MS. BROWN:	18	instead make one more likely to develop cance
19	Q We have two sentences that are	19	when exposed to certain cancer-causing
20	word-for-word identical in two of the plaintiffs'	20	substances."
21	expert reports in this litigation. Is that fair?	21	Do you see that?
22	MS. O'DELL:	22	A I do.
23	Objection. Asked and answered.	23	Q And let's go back to where we were in
24	A So reading your earlier question, you	24	your report, on page 5. "The inherited gene
	Page 55		
1	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just	1	mutation could instead make one more likely to
2	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my	2	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain
2	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.	2 3	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."
2 3 4	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.	2 3 4	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance." Do you see that?
2 3 4 5	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in	2 3 4 5	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.
2 3 4 5 6	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in  Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows:	2 3 4 5 6	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last
2 3 4 5	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene	2 3 4 5	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in  Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in  Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer."  Did I read that correctly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar sentences, but that I believe the tense is an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in  Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar sentences, but that I believe the tense is an important difference between them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in  Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q Let's go back to page 4 excuse me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar sentences, but that I believe the tense is an important difference between them.  Again, as I stated, that these are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q Let's go back to page 4 excuse me page 5 of your report where we just were. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar sentences, but that I believe the tense is an important difference between them.  Again, as I stated, that these are introductory and fundamental perspectives on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q Let's go back to page 4 excuse me page 5 of your report where we just were. And you write: "Rather," comma, "one or more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar sentences, but that I believe the tense is an important difference between them.  Again, as I stated, that these are introductory and fundamental perspectives on cancer and that, in this case, two expert
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in  Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q Let's go back to page 4 excuse me page 5 of your report where we just were. And you write: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar sentences, but that I believe the tense is an important difference between them.  Again, as I stated, that these are introductory and fundamental perspectives on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q Let's go back to page 4 excuse me page 5 of your report where we just were. And you write: "Rather," comma, "one or more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar sentences, but that I believe the tense is an important difference between them.  Again, as I stated, that these are introductory and fundamental perspectives on cancer and that, in this case, two expert witnesses have summarized those things in a similar fashion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in  Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q Let's go back to page 4 excuse me page 5 of your report where we just were. And you write: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar sentences, but that I believe the tense is an important difference between them.  Again, as I stated, that these are introductory and fundamental perspectives on cancer and that, in this case, two expert witnesses have summarized those things in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in  Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q Let's go back to page 4 excuse me page 5 of your report where we just were. And you write: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer." Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar sentences, but that I believe the tense is an important difference between them.  Again, as I stated, that these are introductory and fundamental perspectives on cancer and that, in this case, two expert witnesses have summarized those things in a similar fashion.  Q It doesn't strike you as odd that four
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in  Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q Let's go back to page 4 excuse me page 5 of your report where we just were. And you write: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer." Correct?  A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar sentences, but that I believe the tense is an important difference between them.  Again, as I stated, that these are introductory and fundamental perspectives on cancer and that, in this case, two expert witnesses have summarized those things in a similar fashion.  Q It doesn't strike you as odd that four
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q Let's go back to page 4 excuse me page 5 of your report where we just were. And you write: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer." Correct?  A Correct.  Q That is the identical sentence from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar sentences, but that I believe the tense is an important difference between them.  Again, as I stated, that these are introductory and fundamental perspectives on cancer and that, in this case, two expert witnesses have summarized those things in a similar fashion.  Q It doesn't strike you as odd that four sentences are identical from two expert reports
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q Let's go back to page 4 excuse me page 5 of your report where we just were. And you write: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer." Correct?  A Correct.  Q That is the identical sentence from Dr. Zelikoff's report. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar sentences, but that I believe the tense is an important difference between them.  Again, as I stated, that these are introductory and fundamental perspectives on cancer and that, in this case, two expert witnesses have summarized those things in a similar fashion.  Q It doesn't strike you as odd that four sentences are identical from two expert reports MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going. Next sentence, at page 20 in Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer." Did I read that correctly? A You did. Q Let's go back to page 4 excuse me page 5 of your report where we just were. And you write: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer." Correct? A Correct. Q That is the identical sentence from Dr. Zelikoff's report. Correct? A Starting with "Rather, one or more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar sentences, but that I believe the tense is an important difference between them.  Again, as I stated, that these are introductory and fundamental perspectives on cancer and that, in this case, two expert witnesses have summarized those things in a similar fashion.  Q It doesn't strike you as odd that four sentences are identical from two expert reports MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going. Next sentence, at page 20 in Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer." Did I read that correctly? A You did. Q Let's go back to page 4 excuse me page 5 of your report where we just were. And you write: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer." Correct? A Correct. Q That is the identical sentence from Dr. Zelikoff's report. Correct? A Starting with "Rather, one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	mutation could instead make one more likely to develop cancer when exposed to a certain cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar sentences, but that I believe the tense is an important difference between them.  Again, as I stated, that these are introductory and fundamental perspectives on cancer and that, in this case, two expert witnesses have summarized those things in a similar fashion.  Q It doesn't strike you as odd that four sentences are identical from two expert reports MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Four sentences are not identical.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	asked, "Is that the same exact sentence we just read in Dr. Zelikoff's report; correct?" And my answer was "It is." And it remains the same.  Q Let's keep going.  Next sentence, at page 20 in  Dr. Zelikoff's report, states as follows: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q Let's go back to page 4 excuse me page 5 of your report where we just were. And you write: "Rather," comma, "one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer." Correct?  A Correct.  Q That is the identical sentence from Dr. Zelikoff's report. Correct?  A Starting with "Rather, one or more additional gene mutations may be needed to cause cancer."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cancer-causing substance."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And other than the tense in that last sentence, they, too, are identical. Correct?  A So they're they're certainly similar sentences, but that I believe the tense is an important difference between them.  Again, as I stated, that these are introductory and fundamental perspectives on cancer and that, in this case, two expert witnesses have summarized those things in a similar fashion.  Q It doesn't strike you as odd that four sentences are identical from two expert reports MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Four sentences are not identical.  MS. BROWN:

PageID: 204062 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 58		Page 60
1	Object to the form.	1	Q next to your report, which remains
2	A There there are three	2	Exhibit 2. And I will direct you to the second
3	sentences which are, when considered	3	page of the Mayo Clinic printout, the section
4	individually, they are the same words. When you	4	titled "Causes."
5	consider the now the group of those four	5	Are you with me?
6	sentences together between the two reports, they	6	A Second page.
7	are clearly different organization with	7	Q Double-sided. Flip it over.
8	significantly more information between those	8	A Yes.
9	identical sentences in one or the other.	9	Q Okay. And I'll direct you to page 3 of
10	So the suggestion that they were one	10	your report entitled "The Role of Gene Mutations
11	report was copied into the other, I would say it	11	in the Development of Cancer."
12	is equally interesting that they are more	12	A Uh-huh.
13	different than they are alike, other than the	13	Q Starting with Exhibit 9, the Mayo
14	wording of three sentences.	14	Clinic website, under a section entitled
15	MS. BROWN:	15	"Causes," the Mayo Clinic writes, "Cancer is
16	Q Did someone other than you write the	16	caused by changes" parentheses
17	sentences we've just been looking at in your	17	"(mutations) to the DNA within cells."
18	report?	18	Do you see that?
19	A No.	19	A I do.
20	Q Did you consult the Mayo Clinic's	20	Q And, looking at page 3 of your report,
21	website in connection with writing your report?	21	Doctor, that same sentence or sentence fragment
22	A I don't believe so.	22	appears in the first sentence: "Cancer is caused
23	Q Do you consider the Mayo Clinic's	23	by changes" parentheses "(mutations) to the
24	website to be authoritative an authoritative	24	DNA within cells."
	Page 59		Page 61
1	source, in your view?	1	Correct?
2	MS. O'DELL:	2	MS. O'DELL:
3	Object to the form.	3	Object to the form.
4	A I have no basis for that opinion. I	4	A Say your question again. Are you
5	I haven't reviewed the Mayo Clinic website to	5	asking
6 7	determine that.	6 7	MS. BROWN:
	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 9 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	8	Q It's the same; right, Doctor? MS. O'DELL:
8 9	MS. BROWN:	9	Object to the form.
10	Q Handing you, Doctor, what we've marked	10	A There are eight words or ten words that
11	as Exhibit 9 to your deposition, which is a	11	are the same in this first sentence, again, both
12	printout from the Mayo Clinic website entitled	12	describing some of the fundamental premise of
13	"Cancer."	13	cancer and its in its description.
14	A Uh-huh.	14	MS. BROWN:
15	Q I'll hand it to you. And let me know	15	Q Let's go to the second sentence in the
16	if this is something that you've ever seen	16	Mayo Clinic website, which reads, "The DNA inside
17	before.	17	a cell is packaged into a large number of
18	A Not that I recall.	18	individual genes, each of which contains a set of
19	Q Did you take any language from the Mayo	19	instructions telling the cell what functions to
20	Clinic website to use in your report?	20	perform," comma, "as well as how to grow and
21	A No.	21	divide."
22	Q Let's take a I want you to put the	22	Do you see that?
	Mayo Clinic, which we've marked as Exhibit 9	23	A I do.
23	Mayo Chine, which we've marked as Exhibit 7		
23 24	A Uh-huh.	24	Q And a nearly identical version of that

PageID: 204063 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 62		Page 64
1	sentence appears in your report at page 3 where	1	subparagraph titled "Loss of DNA Repair."
2	you state, "The DNA that makes up our genetic	2	Are you with me?
3	code is organized into a large number of	3	A Yes.
4	individual genes, each of which contains a	4	Q I'm gonna read you two sentences from
5	specific subset of instructions telling the cell	5	the Mayo Clinic. Tell me if I read them
6	what functions to perform," comma, "as well as	6	correctly.
7	how to grow and divide."	7	"DNA repair genes look for errors in a
8	Do you see that?	8	cell's DNA and make corrections. A mutation in a
9	A I do.	9	DNA repair gene may mean that other errors aren't
10	Q Do you notice that nearly all the words	10	corrected, leading cells to become cancerous."
11	are the same as the Mayo Clinic's?	11	Do you see those two sentences, Doctor?
12	MS. O'DELL:	12	A I do.
13	Objection to form.	13	Q Those are two sentences written by the
14	A I, again we we have another	14	folks who produce the Mayo Clinic's website;
15	example of similar language describing	15	correct?
16	introductory and fundamental aspects surrounding	16	A I I have no knowledge of who wrote
17	the basics of cancer biology.	17	that.
18	MS. BROWN:	18	Q The same two sentences appear in your
19	Q Back to the Mayo Clinic next sentence.	19	report on page 4. Quote: "DNA repair genes look
20	Quote: "Errors in the instructions can cause the	20	for errors in a cell's DNA and make corrections.
21	cell to stop its normal function and may allow a	21	A mutation in a DNA repair gene may mean that
22	cell to become cancerous."	22	other errors aren't corrected, leading cells to
23	Do you see that?	23	become cancerous."
24	A I do.	24	Do you see that?
	Page 63		Page 65
1	Q Back to your report at page 3. An	1	A I do.
2	identical sentence: "Errors in the instruction	2	Q Those two sentences are identical in
3	can cause the cell to stop its normal function	3	the Mayo Clinic's website and your report. True?
4	and may allow a cell to become cancerous."	4	MS. O'DELL:
5	Do you see that?	5	Object to the form.
6	A I do.	6	A Again, we have fund basic
7	Q Does that strike you as strange?	7	information that provides an introductory
8	MS. O'DELL:	8	description of the basics of cancer which is used
9	Object to the form.	9	as as an inform informatory foundation for
10	A Strange in what way?	10	latter opinions in the report but is not germane
	MS. BROWN:	11	to the to the opinion in my report.
11			
11 12	Q That your expert report in this	12	And, again, as stated before, that
	Q That your expert report in this litigation contains identical sentences to the	12 13	And, again, as stated before, that succinct fundamental information regarding cancer
12			
12 13	litigation contains identical sentences to the	13	succinct fundamental information regarding cancer
12 13 14	litigation contains identical sentences to the Mayo Clinic's website.	13 14	succinct fundamental information regarding cancer biology in two sources that state things
12 13 14 15	litigation contains identical sentences to the Mayo Clinic's website.  MS. O'DELL:	13 14 15	succinct fundamental information regarding cancer biology in two sources that state things succinctly and clearly in layman's language
12 13 14 15 16	litigation contains identical sentences to the Mayo Clinic's website.  MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Misstates the report.	13 14 15 16	succinct fundamental information regarding cancer biology in two sources that state things succinctly and clearly in layman's language are are similar or even identical, again, does
12 13 14 15 16 17	litigation contains identical sentences to the Mayo Clinic's website.  MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Misstates the report.  A I I don't find it surprising in the	13 14 15 16 17	succinct fundamental information regarding cancer biology in two sources that state things succinctly and clearly in layman's language are are similar or even identical, again, does not surprise me.
12 13 14 15 16 17	litigation contains identical sentences to the Mayo Clinic's website.  MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Misstates the report.  A I I don't find it surprising in the least.	13 14 15 16 17 18	succinct fundamental information regarding cancer biology in two sources that state things succinctly and clearly in layman's language are are similar or even identical, again, does not surprise me. MS. BROWN:
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	litigation contains identical sentences to the Mayo Clinic's website.  MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Misstates the report.  A I I don't find it surprising in the least.  MS. BROWN:	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	succinct fundamental information regarding cancer biology in two sources that state things succinctly and clearly in layman's language are are similar or even identical, again, does not surprise me.  MS. BROWN:  Q We read at least four sentences that
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	litigation contains identical sentences to the Mayo Clinic's website.  MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Misstates the report.  A I I don't find it surprising in the least.  MS. BROWN:  Q Let's turn to page 4 of your report,	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	succinct fundamental information regarding cancer biology in two sources that state things succinctly and clearly in layman's language are are similar or even identical, again, does not surprise me.  MS. BROWN:  Q We read at least four sentences that are identical to the Mayo Clinic. Would you
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	litigation contains identical sentences to the Mayo Clinic's website.  MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Misstates the report.  A I I don't find it surprising in the least.  MS. BROWN:  Q Let's turn to page 4 of your report, please. And I'll direct you to the final bullet	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	succinct fundamental information regarding cancer biology in two sources that state things succinctly and clearly in layman's language are are similar or even identical, again, does not surprise me.  MS. BROWN:  Q We read at least four sentences that are identical to the Mayo Clinic. Would you agree?

PageID: 204064 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 66		Page 68
1	MS. BROWN:	1	from our conversation to comment on those.
2	Counsel, form.	2	MS. BROWN:
3	A There are some similar there are	3	Q You have it right in front of you. We
4	some similarly stated sentences that	4	just looked at them.
5	you're that you've taken out of context in	5	A We did.
6	both cases to find them identical. So I I	6	Q Right?
7	agree that they're identical, but, again,	7	A Yes.
8	don't don't necessarily am surprised since I	8	Q You recall reading a number of
9	have no knowledge of where the information from	9	sentences in the Mayo Clinic website that match
10	the Mayo website was taken from.	10	word for word a number of sentences in your
11	MS. BROWN:	11	report. True?
12	Q You agree a number of sentences in your	12	MS. O'DELL:
13	report are identical to a number of sentences on	13	Object to the form.
14	the Mayo Clinic's website. True?	14	A We've we've read information that
15	MS. O'DELL:	15	is that is similar between the two documents.
16	Object to the form.	16	And, as answered, given the, again, basic
17	A No. I agree that they're I don't	17	fundamental introduction in lay language for
18	agree. There are specific wordings that are the	18	these concepts, it is no surprise that it's the
19	same.	19	same.
20	MS. BROWN:	20	MS. BROWN:
21	Q Doctor, do you not agree that a number	21	Q You're not surprised to find identical
22	of the sentences we just read are identical to a	22	sentences in your report and Dr. Zelikoff's
23	number of sentences that appear on the Mayo	23	report?
24	Clinic's website?	24	A I'm not surprised.
	Page 67		Page 69
1	MS. O'DELL:	1	MS. O'DELL:
2	Object to the form.	2	Object to the form.
3	A I think we've we've specifically	3	MS. BROWN:
4	gone over those individually and answered those	4	Q You are not surprised to find identical
5	questions.	5	sentences in your report and the Mayo Clinic?
6	MS. BROWN:	6	MS. O'DELL:
7	Q And you'll agree the sentences are	7	Objection to form. Asked and answered.
8	identical?	8	A No. I I've answered that.
9	MS. O'DELL:	9	MS. BROWN:
10	Object to the form.	10	Q You need to answer it again.
11	A Again, I I've answered I've	11	Are you
12	answered those when we went through them	12	A I'm not surprised.
13	individually.	13	Q surprised?
14	MS. BROWN:	14	Did you consult Wikipedia in writing
15	Q Well, I want you to answer my question	15	your expert report?
16	now.	16	A I don't recall.
17	You'll agree we've looked at a number	17	Q Do you think it's possible you might
18	of sentences that are identical in your report to	18	have looked at Wikipedia when writing your expert
	the information on the Mayo Clinic's website;	19	report in this litigation?
19	correct?	20	A I've I've looked I've looked at a
20		21	large number of sources in published literature
20 21	MS. O'DELL:		
20 21 22	Object to the form. Misstates his	22	and others.
20 21			and others.  Q Did one of those sources include Wikipedia?

5 reliable. 6 Q Do you understand the concept of 7 scientific reliability when answering a 8 scientific question? 9 MS. O'DELL: 10 Object to the form. 11 A Again, you'd have to that's you'd 12 have to explain your what scientific 13 reliability means in the context of your 14 question. 15 MS. BROWN: 16 Q What does it mean to you? 17 A Scientific reliability? In general 18 terms, it would mean information that comes from 19 a peer-reviewed source. 20 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 21 correct? 22 A Wikipedia generally reso uses 23 a is a summary of commonly at least in 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-review perspective, 25 MS. BROWN: 26 Q Wish it is 27 So from a true peer-review perspective, 28 MS. BROWN: 30 A Uh-huh. 40 Q Do you see that? 41 In A I do. 42 Q And I want to direct your attention to the sentence in the middle of that paragraph that begins "BRCAI combined." 41 begins "BRCAI combined." 42 A Yes. 43 A Yes. 44 Yes. 45 You with want to, side by side with with the direct your attention to the third full paragraph that begins, as well, "BRCAI combined." 46 A Yes. 47 You with me? 48 at page 7. 48 Uh-huh. 40 Q Do you see that? 41 A I do. 42 A Yes. 43 A Yes. 44 Yes. 45 Yes. 46 A Yes. 47 Yes. 48 Yes. 49 And I want to, side by side with with the direct your attention to the third full paragraph that begins, as well, "BRCAI combined." 40 Wikipedia, direct your attention to the third full paragraph that begins, as well, "BRCAI combined." 40 Wikipedia writes, "BRCAI combines with the sentence in the middle of that paragraph that begins are the				Page 72
2 Q Do you consider Wikipedia to be a 3 scientifically reliable source?  4 A What do you man by scientifically reliable.  5 Page 71  1 Sources, but it is 2 So from a true peer-reviewed information in Wikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia page. True?  1 A Possible.  Page 71  1 Sources, but it is 2 On jeel to the form.  A Possible.  Page 71  1 Sources, but it is 2 On flexibility information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource when answering a high page in not included in my wite page in not included in my over expert report at page 7.  1 Sources, but it is 2 On flexibility in peneral 1 on the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the 1 information from Wikipedia is a Page 7.  1 Sources, but it is 2 On flexibility is peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the 1 information in Wikipedia set and provided in the 1 information in the world could edit a 1 information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource when answering a scientific equestion?  A No, that is not my testimony, Doctor, that in not my testimony, no.  Wikipedia page is not included in my with with Wikipedia page is not included in my with with Wikipedia pa	1	A I don't recall.	1	And we'll mark a Wikipedia page as
scientifically reliable source?  A What do you mean by scientifically reliable.  Q Do you understand the concept of scientific reliability when answering a scientific question?  MS. BROWN:  Object to the form.  A Again, you'd have to that's you'd have to explain your what scientific reliability means in the context of your duestion.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you see that?  A Id. A Jan and was remarked to go be you see that?  A Scientific reliability means in the context of your  MS. BROWN:  O What does it mean to you?  MS. BROWN:  O What does it mean to you?  A Scientific reliability? In general terms, it would mean information that comes from a peer-reviewed source.  O Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; correct?  A Wikipedia generally reso uses a is a summary of commonly at least in scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia.  MS. BROWN:  Page 71  sources, but it is So from a true peer-review perspective, Wikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia.  MS. BROWN:  Page 71  Sources, but it is So from a true peer-review perspective, Wikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia.  MS. BROWN:  Page 71  MS. O'EELL:  O Including our kids; right?  MS. D'ELL:  O Miscordination in Wikipedia.  O Including our kids; right?  MS. BROWN:  D Wikipedia peer and the world could edit a Wikipedia peer. True?  MS. BROWN:  Wikipedia peer. True?  A No, that is not my testimony, Doctor, that information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource when answering a scientific question?  Wikipedia peer for incomplex known as the BRCA1-associated genome write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor suppressors, ToNA damage sensors, and write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor suppressors, "Comma," "DNA damage sensors, and write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor write, "BRCA1 combi	2	Q Do you consider Wikipedia to be a	2	^ ^ ~
5 MS. BROWN: 6 Q Do you understand the concept of 7 scientific reliability when answering a 8 scientific question? 9 MS. O'DELL: 10 Object to the form. 11 A Again, you'd have to that's you'd 12 have to explain your what scientific 13 reliability means in the context of your 14 question. 15 MS. BROWN: 16 Q What does it mean to you? 17 A Scientific reliability? In general 18 terms, it would mean information that comes from 19 a peer-reviewed source. 19 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 20 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 21 correct? 22 A Wikipedia generally reso uses 23 a is a summary of commonly at least in 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the 25 information in Wikipedia. 26 Q Including our kids; right? 27 MS. O'DELL: 3 O'Dject to the form. 4 Possible. 4 O Anjone in the world could edit a 20 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 21 Wikipedia spee: True? 22 A No, that is not my testimony, Doctor, that 23 In ormation in Wikipedia is a reliable resource 4 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that 5 Information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource 6 When answering a scientific question? 7 A No, that is not my testimony. That is 8 not my testimony, no. 9 Q Do you - do you think you used 20 Wikipedia specifically. 21 Wikipedia specifically. 22 Wikipedia specifically. 23 A Tam. 24 Vikipedia page is not included in my 25 A Tappears so, yes. 26 O'Day. 27 A Tappears so, yes. 28 A Tappears so, yes. 29 O'Day. 20 O'Day. 21 A A Pessible. 31 O'Der to do you think you used 32 Wikipedia specifically. 31 A Tappears so, yes. 32 O'Day. 33 A Tappears so, yes. 34 Tappears so, yes. 35 A Tappears so, yes. 36 A Tappears so, yes. 37 A Tappears so, yes. 38 A Tappears so, yes. 39 A Tappears so, yes. 40 O'Day. 41 A A Tobic to the form. 41 A Tob. 42 Combined. 43 A Tobic to the form. 44 Combined. 45 A Tobic to the form. 46 A Tobic to the form. 47 A Tobic to the form. 48 Q Turning to your report, page 7, you write, "BRCAI combines with other tumor suppressors,"	3		3	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 10
6 Q Do you understand the concept of 7 secientific question? 8 scientific question? 9 MS. O'DELL: 10 Object to the form. 11 A Again, you'd have to that's you'd 12 have to explain your what scientific 13 reliability means in the context of your 14 question. 15 MS. BROWN: 16 Q What does it mean to you? 17 A Scientific reliability? In general 18 terms, it would mean information that comes from 19 a peer-reviewed source. 20 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 21 correct? 22 A Wikipedia generally reso uses 23 a is a summary of commonly at least in 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed 25 are is a summary of commonly at least in 26 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia. 26 Q Including our kids; right? 27 MS. O'DELL: 28 Object to the form. 29 A Possible. 30 Wikipedia specifically. 40 La Polyou - do you think you used 40 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that in formation from Wikipedia specifically. 41 A gain, I - I - I don't recall using 42 Wikipedia specifically. 42 Wikipedia specifically. 43 A fam, I - I - I don't recall using 44 C Vikipedia specifically. 45 Correct? 46 C Vikipedia specifically. 46 C Vikipedia specifically. 47 to the first full paragraph hat be that? 48 at page 7. 48 at page 7. 48 Uh-huh. 49 Q And I want to direct your attention to the sense that? 40 A Yes. 41 A Yes. 41 A Yes. 41 Do you see that? 42 Q And I want to direct your attention to the third begins "BRCAI combined." 49 Q Wikipedia specifically. 40 And I want to direct your attention to the sense that? 41 A Yes. 41 A Yes. 42 Q Wikipedia write, well a begins as well, "BRCAI combines with special write, "BRCAI combines with special write, "BRCAI combines with other tumor suppressors, of the page 7. 41 A Ido. 42 Q Timing to your report, page 7, you write, "BRCAI combines with other tumor suppressors, of form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCAI-associated genome surveillance complex" - parens - (BASC). 48 Q Turnin	4	A What do you mean by scientifically	4	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
7 scientific reliability when answering a 8 scientific question? 9 MS. O'DELL: 10 Object to the form. 11 A Again, you'd have to that's you'd 11 A A Again, you'd have to that's you'd 12 have to explain your what scientific 13 reliability means in the context of your 14 question. 15 MS. BROWN: 16 Q What does it mean to you? 17 A Scientific reliability? In general 18 terms, it would mean information that comes from 19 a peer-reviewed source. 20 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 21 correct? 22 A Wikipedia generally reso uses 23 a is a summary of commonly at least in 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the 25 information in Wikipedia. 26 Q Including our kids; right? 27 MS. O'DELL: 38 O'Dject to the form. 49 A Possible. 40 MS. BROWN: 41 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 41 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 42 Wikipedia gae. True? 41 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 43 Vikipedia gae. True? 44 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that 45 Information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource wikipedia appe. True? 41 A No, that is not my testimony, Dotor, that 42 No possible. 43 A Telme. 44 Q Do you do you think you used 45 Wikipedia specifically. 46 A Rish and the peer reviewed. 47 A Rish pears so, yes. 48 A Rish pears so, yes. 49 Wikipedia specifically. 40 Constituted in my	5		5	MS. BROWN:
8 scientific question? 9 MS. O'DELL: 10 Object to the form. 11 A Again, you'd have to that's you'd 12 have to explain your what scientific 13 reliability means in the context of your 14 question. 15 MS. BROWN: 16 Q What does it mean to you? 17 A Scientific reliability? In general 18 terms, it would mean information that comes from 19 a peer-reviewed source. 20 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 21 correct? 22 A Wikipedia generally reso uses 23 a is a summary of commonly at least in 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed and that anyone can contribute and edit the 25 information in Wikipedia. 26 Q Including our kids; right? 27 MS. O'DELL: 28 O'DELL: 39 A O'DELL: 40 A Possible. 50 Including our kids; right? 51 MS. BROWN: 52 A Possible. 53 Page 71 54 O'DeLL: 55 G'Den a true peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia. 56 Q Including our kids; right? 57 MS. O'DELL: 58 O'Dect to the form. 59 A Possible. 50 Including our kids; right? 51 MS. BROWN: 52 A Jam. 53 A Jam. 54 Correct? 55 "BRCAI combined." 56 Do you with me? 57 A Jam. 58 Correct? 59 A Uh-huh. 50 Do you see that? 50 A Yes. 50 MS. BROWN: 50 A Wikipedia aperally target you with the sentence in the middle of that paragraph that begins "BRCAI combined." 51 Do you see that? 52 A MI amust to, side by side with begins "BRCAI combined." 50 Wikipedia aperally reso uses 50 A Jam Wikipedia wit to, side by side with full paragraph that begins as well, "BRCAI combined." 50 Wikipedia aperally reso uses 50 A Jam. 50 Q Wikipedia writes, "BRCAI combines with the third full paragraph that begins, as well, "BRCAI combines with the third full paragraph that begins, as well, "BRCAI combines with the third full paragraph that begins as well, "BRCAI combines with the third full paragraph that begins as well, "BRCAI combines with the third full paragraph that begins as well, "BRCAI combines with the third full paragraph that begins as well, "BRCAI combines with the true with the paragraph that begins	6	Q Do you understand the concept of	6	Q I would like to direct you, Dr. Levy,
9 MS. O'DELL: 10 Object to the form. 11 A Again, you'd have to that's you'd 12 have to explain your what scientific 13 reliability means in the context of your 14 question. 15 MS. BROWN: 16 Q What does it mean to you? 17 A Scientific reliability? In general 18 terms, it would mean information that comes from 19 a peer-reviewed source. 20 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 21 correct? 22 A Wikipedia generally reso uses 23 a is a summary of commonly at least in 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the 15 information in Wikipedia. 16 Q Including our kids; right? 17 MS. BROWN: 18 Q And I want to direct your attention to the third function of the function of the third function of the	7	scientific reliability when answering a	7	to the first full paragraph in your expert report
10   Object to the form.   10   Q Do you see that?	8	scientific question?	8	at page 7.
11 A Again, you'd have to that's you'd 12 have to explain your what scientific 13 reliability means in the context of your 14 question. 15 MS. BROWN: 16 Q What does it mean to you? 17 A Scientific reliability? In general 18 terms, it would mean information that comes from 19 a peer-reviewed source. 20 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 21 correct? 22 A Wikipedia generally reso uses 23 a is a summary of commonly at least in 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed 25 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed 26 wikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense 27 that anyone can contribute and edit the 28 information in Wikipedia. 29 G Including our kids; right? 20 MS. BROWN: 30 Wikipedia peer ally reso	9	MS. O'DELL:	9	A Uh-huh.
12	10	Object to the form.	10	Q Do you see that?
reliability means in the context of your question.  14 question.  15 MS. BROWN: 16 Q What does it mean to you? 17 A Scientific reliability? In general 18 terms, it would mean information that comes from a peer-reviewed source. 19 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 20 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 21 correct? 22 A Wikipedia generally reso-—uses 23 a is a summary of commonly at least in scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed 25 So from a true peer-reviewed into the tense that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia. 25 Q Including our kids; right? 26 Q Including our kids; right? 27 MS. O'DELL: 28 Object to the form. 29 A Possible. 20 Q Anyone in the world could edit a light wikipedia page. True? 21 Q Anyone in the world could edit a life formation from Wikipedia is a reliable resource when answering a scientific question? 28 Q Do you do you think you used 29 Wikipedia spee; fixed ly wikipedia page is not included in my 29 Q Do you do you think you used 20 Wikipedia spee; fixed ly wikipedia page is not included in my	11	A Again, you'd have to that's you'd	11	A I do.
14 question. 15 MS, BROWN: 16 Q What does it mean to you? 17 A Scientific reliability? In general 18 terms, it would mean information that comes from 19 a peer-reviewed source. 20 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 21 correct? 22 A Wikipedia generally reso uses 23 a is a summary of commonly at least in 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed 25 a is a summary of commonly at least in 26 y Wikipedia writes, "BRCA1 combined." 27 You with me? 28 A J I am. 29 Wikipedia writes, "BRCA1 combined." 29 You with me? 20 Q And Wikipedia write, "BRCA1 combined." 20 Fage 71 21 correct? 22 A Wikipedia generally reso uses 23 A J I am. 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed 25 You with me? 26 Q Wikipedia writes, "BRCA1 combines with me? 27 A J I am. 28 So from a true peer-reviewed in the sense of that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia. 29 G Including our kids; right? 20 G Including our kids; right? 21 MS, OTDELL: 22 O Including our kids; right? 23 MS, OTDELL: 34 Object to the form. 45 Object to the form. 46 Q Turning to your report, page 7, you write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor suppressors of form a large multi-subunit surveillance complex" parens "BAC-" excuse me "(BASC)," end parens. 29 O A Possible. 20 Wikipedia page. True? 21 A I believe so. 22 J William of the world could edit a signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genom signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genom signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genom write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor suppressors, "comma, "DNA damage sensors, and signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genom surveillance complex" parens (BASC)." 38 Wikipedia page. True? 39 A I am. 40 Distribution of the wikipedia is a reliable resource when an average multi-subunit protein complex known a	12	have to explain your what scientific	12	Q And I want to direct your attention to
15 MS. BROWN: 16 Q What does it mean to you? 17 A Scientific reliability? In general 18 terms, it would mean information that comes from 19 a peer-reviewed source. 20 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 21 correct? 22 A Wikipedia generally reso uses 23 a is a summary of commonly at least in 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed 25 a is a summary of commonly at least in 26 sources, but it is 27 So from a true peer-reviewed in the sense 28 that anyone can contribute and edit the 29 information in Wikipedia. 20 GWikipedia extually is peer-reviewed in the sense 21 sources, but it is 22 So from a true peer-review din the sense 23 Mikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense 24 that anyone can contribute and edit the 25 information in Wikipedia. 26 Q Including our kids; right? 27 MS. O'DELL: 28 Object to the form. 29 A Possible. 30 MS. BROWN: 31 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 32 Q Wikipedia page. True? 33 A I do. 34 I do. 35 Q And I want to, side by side with 36 Q and I want to, side by side with 37 Wikipedia active your attention to the third 38 I Do you see that? 39 Wikipedia hare to your active you attention to the third 40 Wikipedia page. 41 A No, that is not my testimony. Doctor, that 42 I Do you with me? 42 You with me? 42 You with me? 42 You with me? 42 You with me? 43 A I am. 44 Q Wikipedia page sensors, and 44 single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex "- parens 4 Do you see that? 4 A No, that is not my testimony. That is 4 I Do you with me? 4 Surveillance complex" - parens 5 "BAC-" - excuse me "(BASC)," end parens. 5 "BAC-" - excuse me "(BASC)," end parens. 6 Do you see that? 7 A I do. 9 Q I Do you with me? 10 Wikipedia page. True? 11 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 11 signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" - parens 16 Wikipedia page. True? 17 A No, that is not my testimony. That is 18 not my	13	reliability means in the context of your	13	the sentence in the middle of that paragraph that
16 Q What does it mean to you? 17 A Scientific reliability? In general 18 terms, it would mean information that comes from 19 a peer-reviewed source. 20 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 21 correct? 22 A Wikipedia generally reso- — uses 23 a — is a summary of commonly — at least in 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed 25 a — So from a true peer-reviewed in the sense 26 that anyone can contribute and edit the 27 information in Wikipedia. 28 G Including our kids; right? 29 MS. O'DELL: 30 Object to the form. 40 A Possible. 51 Object to the form. 52 A I do. 53 PaGC-I — excuse me — "(BASC)," end parens. 54 O Including our kids; right? 55 Wispedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense 41 Object to the form. 42 A Possible. 43 Possible. 44 I do. 45 Possible. 55 Wispedia page. True? 56 Do you see that? 57 A I do. 58 RGWN: 59 A Possible. 50 Journing to your report, page 7, you with me? 50 Wikipedia page. True? 51 A I believe so. 51 A I am. 52 Wikipedia page. True? 53 A I am. 54 Sample transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex in the unrous with other tumor suppressors, morman, "DNA damage sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex in the unrous suppressors, comma, "DNA damage sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" — parens — (BASC)." 6 Do you see that? 7 A I do. 8 Q Turning to your report, page 7, you write, "BCAI combines with other tumor suppressors, comma, "DNA damage sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" — parens — (BASC)." 7 A I do. 8 Q Truning to your report, page 7, you write, "BCAI combines with other tumor suppressors, "comma, "DNA damage sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated	14	question.	14	begins "BRCA1 combined."
17 A Scientific reliability? In general 18 terms, it would mean information that comes from 19 a peer-reviewed source. 10 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 21 correct? 22 A Wikipedia generally reso uses 23 a is a summary of commonly at least in 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed 25 a is a summary of commonly at least in 26 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed 27 you with me? 28 A I am. 29 Wikipedia writes, "BRCA1 combines with a I am. 20 Wikipedia writes, "BRCA1 combines with growing transport of the tumor suppressors, DNA damage sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as BRCA1-associated genome with growing that anyone can contribute and edit the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the solution in Wikipedia. 20 Wikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the solution in Wikipedia. 31 protein complex known as BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens "(BASC)," end parens. 32 BAC." excuse me "(BASC)," end parens. 33 protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens "BAC." excuse me "(BASC)," end parens. 44 Desire to the form. 45 Do you see that? 46 Do you see that? 47 A I do. 48 Object to the form. 49 Possible. 50 Do you see that? 51 Do you see that? 52 Turning to your report, page 7, you write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens 10 genome surveillance complex more and pare multi-subunit genome surveillance complex more and pare multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex more and pare multi-subunit genome surveillance complex more and pare multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex more and pare multi-subunit genome surveillance complex more and parent m	15	MS. BROWN:	15	Do you see that?
terms, it would mean information that comes from a peer-reviewed source.  Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; correct?  A Wikipedia generally reso uses A is a summary of commonly at least in seientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed  Page 71  sources, but it is So from a true peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia. Q Including our kids; right? MS. O'DELL: B Object to the form. A Possible.  Q Anyone in the world could edit a Wikipedia age. True?  A I believe so.  A I believe so.  A No, that is not my testimony, no.  Wikipedia apeer free with resolution of the third wikipedia specifically.  B Q And I want to, side by side with Wikipedia, direct your attention to the third full paragraph that begins, as well, "BRCA1 combined."  Wikipedia girect your attention to the third full paragraph that begins, as well, "BRCA1 combined."  You with me?  22 You with me?  23 A I am.  Page 7:  Other tumor suppressors, DNA damage sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit surveillance complex" parens "(BASC)," end parens.  B Do you see that?  A I do.  Object to the form.  Q Anyone in the world could edit a signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit surveillance complex with other tumor suppressors, "comma, "DNA damage sensors, and surveillance complex with other tumor surveillance complex with other tumor suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and surveillance complex with other tumor suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and surveillance complex with other tumor suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and surveillance complex with other tumor suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and surveillance complex with other tumor suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and surveillance complex with other tumor suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and surveillance complex with other tumor suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and surveillance complex with other tumor surveillance complex with other tumor surveillance	16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16	
19 a peer-reviewed source. 20 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 21 correct? 22 A Wikipedia generally reso uses 23 a is a summary of commonly at least in 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed 25 a is a summary of commonly at least in 26 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed 27 Page 71 28 So from a true peer-reviewed in the sense 29 Wikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense 30 wikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense 41 that anyone can contribute and edit the 42 information in Wikipedia. 43 Q Including our kids; right? 44 MS. O'DELL: 55 Object to the form. 66 Q Including our kids; right? 67 MS. O'DELL: 68 Object to the form. 69 A Possible. 60 MS. BROWN: 61 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 61 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that 61 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that 62 Wikipedia page. True? 63 Turning to your report, page 7, you 64 Wikipedia page. True? 65 Wikipedia page. True? 66 When answering a scientific question? 77 A No, that is not my testimony, no. 78 Page 71 79 Wikipedia, direct your attention to the third full paragraph that begins, as well, "BRCA1 62 Wikipedia generally reso uses 64 La Imm. 64 Q Wikipedia writes, "BRCA1 combines with 65 information in Wikipedia. 66 Q Including our kids; right? 66 Do you see that? 70 A I do. 71 A I do. 72 A I do. 73 A I do. 74 A I do. 75 A I do. 76 A I do. 77 A I do. 78 Q Turning to your report, page 7, you write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens (BASC)." 76 A That is correct. 77 A No, that is not my testimony. That is not my testimony, no. 78 Page 71 79 Page 71 70 Other tumor suppressors, DNA damage sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens (BASC)." 78 Correct? 79 A Tother tumor suppressors, DNA damage sensors, and single trans	17		17	MS. BROWN:
20 Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed; 21 correct? 22 A Wikipedia generally reso uses 23 a is a summary of commonly at least in 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed  22 You with me? 23 A I am. 24 Vikipedia writes, "BRCA1 combines with  Page 71  1 sources, but it is 2 So from a true peer-review perspective, 3 Wikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense 4 that anyone can contribute and edit the 5 information in Wikipedia. 6 Q Including our kids; right? 7 MS. O'DELL: 8 Object to the form. 9 A Possible. 9 Wikipedia page. True? 10 MS. BROWN: 11 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 12 Wikipedia page. True? 13 A I believe so. 14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource when answering a scientific question? 17 A No, that is not my testimony, no. 18 Q Doyoudo you think you used 20 Wikipedia peec in writing your report? 21 A Again, I I I don't recall using 22 Wikipedia page is not included in my  Page 71  Page 71  Page 71  Page 71  Page 71  Page 71  Other tumor suppressors, DNA damage sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as BRCA1-associated genom surveillance complex parens (BASC), "end parens. Do you see that?  A I do.  Q Turning to your report, page 7, you write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens (BASC)."  Correct?  A That is correct.  A That is correct.  A That is correct.  A That is correct.  A That is papears so, yes.  Q Ookay.  A Again, I I I don't recall using  Wikipedia specifically.  A Except for a the reference included on the Wikipedia page is not included in my	18	terms, it would mean information that comes from	18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21 combined."  22 A Wikipedia generally reso uses 23 a is a summary of commonly at least in 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed  24 Q Wikipedia writes, "BRCAI combines with  25 Page 71  26 So from a true peer-reviewed perspective, 27 Wikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia.  28 G Including our kids; right?  29 MS. O'DELL: 20 Object to the form. 21 MS. BROWN: 22 So from a true peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia. 23 A I am. 24 Q Wikipedia writes, "BRCAI combines with other tumor suppressors, DNA damage sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as BRCAI-associated genome surveillance complex" parens "BAC" excuse me "(BASC)," end parens. 25 Do you see that? 26 A I do. 27 Do you see that? 28 A I am. 29 A I am. 29 A I am. 20 Wikipedia page sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as BRCAI-associated genome surveillance complex in the protein complex known as the BRCAI-associated genome surveillance complex in the world could edit a signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCAI-associated genome surveillance complex in the world could edit a signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCAI-associated genome surveillance complex" parens (BASC)." 20 A No, that is not my testimony, Doctor, that information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource when answering a scientific question? 21 A No, that is not my testimony. That is not my testimony, no. 22 Wikipedia here in writing your report? 23 A I am. 24 Q Those two sentences, Doctor, are identical. 25 Q Do you do you think you used 26 Wikipedia here in writing your report? 27 A Again, I I I don't recall using 28 Wikipedia page is not included on the Wikipedia page is not included on the Wikipedia page is not included on the Wikipedia page is not	19	a peer-reviewed source.	19	= :
22	20	Q And Wikipedia is not peer-reviewed;	20	
23 a - is a summary of commonly at least in 24 scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed  Page 71  Page 71  sources, but it is  So from a true peer-review perspective, Wikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia.  Opicet to the form.  A Possible.  MS. BROWN:  Opicet to the world could edit a Wikipedia page is not my testimony, no.  A No, that is not my testimony, no.  Description  Page 71  Page 71  Page 71  Other tumor suppressors, DNA damage sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens  "BAC." excuse me "(BASC)," end parens.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Opicet to the form.  Q Turning to your report, page 7, you write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens  (BASC)."  Correct?  A No, that is not my testimony. That is not my testimony, no.  Page 72  A I am.  A I other tumor suppressors, DNA damage sensors, and surveillance complex" parens  "BAC." excuse me "(BASC)," end parens.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Do you report, page 7, you write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens  (BASC)."  Correct?  A No, that is not my testimony. That is not my testimony. That is not my testimony, no.  A A Repain I I I don't recall using  Wikipedia here in writing your report?  A A Again, I I I don't recall using  A Except for a the reference included on the Wikipedia page is not included in my	21			combined."
Page 71  Page 71  page 71  page 71  tother tumor suppressors, DNA damage sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as BRCA1-associated genomes with the surveillance complex in the sense protein the form.  Recomplex from the world could edit a protein complex known as BRCA1-associated genomes with the surveillance complex in the sense protein complex known as BRCA1-associated genomes surveillance complex in the sense protein complex known as BRCA1-associated genomes surveillance complex in the surveillance in surveillance complex in the surveillance complex in the surveillance complex in the surveillance in surveillance complex in the surveillance complex in the surveillance complex in the surveillance in surveillance complex in the su	22		22	You with me?
Page 71  sources, but it is  So from a true peer-review perspective,  Wikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia.  Q Including our kids; right?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Possible.  MS. BROWN:  Q Anyone in the world could edit a Wikipedia page. True?  A I believe so.  Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource when answering a scientific question?  A No, that is not my testimony, no.  Q Do you do you think you used Wikipedia here in writing your report?  A Again, I I I don't recall using Wikipedia page is not included in my  Diagnal ransducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens  1 other tumor suppressors, DNA damage sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens  10 MS. BROWN:  11 good Paramature tumor  12 protein complex known as the damage sensors, and signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens  14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource when answering a scientific question?  15 Correct?  16 A That is correct.  17 A No, that is not my testimony. That is identical.  19 Q Do you do you think you used  19 A It appears so, yes.  Q Okay.  A Again, I I I don't recall using  20 Wikipedia specifically.	23	The state of the s	23	
1 sources, but it is 2 So from a true peer-review perspective, 3 Wikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense 4 that anyone can contribute and edit the 5 information in Wikipedia. 6 Q Including our kids; right? 7 MS. O'DELL: 8 Object to the form. 9 A Possible. 10 MS. BROWN: 11 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 12 Wikipedia page. True? 13 A I believe so. 14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that 15 information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource 16 when answering a scientific question? 17 A No, that is not my testimony, no. 18 Q Tother tumor suppressors, DNA damage sensors, and single transducers to form a large multi-subunit surveillance complex" parens 10 MS. BROWN: 11 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 12 Wikipedia page. True? 13 A I believe so. 14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource when answering a scientific question? 16 A That is correct. 17 A No, that is not my testimony. That is 18 not my testimony, no. 19 Q Do you do you think you used 20 Wikipedia here in writing your report? 21 A Again, I I - I don't recall using 22 Wikipedia specifically. 21 A Except for a the reference included 22 Wikipedia page is not included in my	24	scientific terms, a number of peer-reviewed	24	Q Wikipedia writes, "BRCA1 combines with
So from a true peer-review perspective, Wikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia.  Q Including our kids; right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Possible.  MS. BROWN:  Q Anyone in the world could edit a Wikipedia page. True?  A I believe so.  Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource when answering a scientific question? A No, that is not my testimony, no.  Wikipedia specifically.  So from a true peer-reviewe din the sense single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as BRCA1-associated genom surveillance complex" parens "(BASC)," end parens.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q Turning to your report, page 7, you write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens 14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource  A No, that is not my testimony. That is not my testimony, no.  Reposible.  Do you do you think you used  Protein complex known as BRCA1-associated genom surveillance complex" parens "(BASC)."  BA C-" excuse me "(BASC)," end parens.  Do you report, page 7, you write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genom surveillance complex" parens 12 protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genom surveillance complex " parens "(BASC)."  Correct?  A That is correct.  A That is papears so, yes.  Q Okay.  A Again, I I I don't recall using  A Except for a the reference included on the Wikipedia page is not included in my		Page 71		Page 73
So from a true peer-review perspective, Wikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia.  Q Including our kids; right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Possible.  MS. BROWN:  Q Anyone in the world could edit a Wikipedia page. True?  A I believe so.  Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource when answering a scientific question?  A No, that is not my testimony, no.  Q Do you do you think you used Wikipedia specifically.  Single transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as BRCA1-associated genom surveillance complex" parens "(BASC)," end parens.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q Turning to your report, page 7, you write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens 14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that is information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource when answering a scientific question?  A No, that is not my testimony. That is not my testimony, no.  Review of the vicing protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens "(BASC)."  Correct?  A That is correct.  A That is correct	1	sources, but it is	1	other tumor suppressors, DNA damage sensors, and
that anyone can contribute and edit the information in Wikipedia.  Q Including our kids; right?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Possible.  Q Anyone in the world could edit a  Wikipedia page. True?  A I believe so.  Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource when answering a scientific question?  A No, that is not my testimony, no.  Q Do you do you think you used  Wikipedia specifically.  4 surveillance complex" parens  BASC," excuse me "(BASC)," end parens.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q Turning to your report, page 7, you write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor  suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex" parens  14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that if (BASC)."  Correct?  A No, that is not my testimony. That is identical.  A No, that is not my testimony. That is identical.  A Again, I I I don't recall using  Wikipedia specifically.  A Except for a the reference included on the Wikipedia page is not included in my	2	So from a true peer-review perspective,	2	single transducers to form a large multi-subunit
5 information in Wikipedia. 6 Q Including our kids; right? 7 MS. O'DELL: 8 Object to the form. 9 A Possible. 10 MS. BROWN: 11 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 11 signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit 12 Wikipedia page. True? 13 A I believe so. 14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that 15 information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource 16 when answering a scientific question? 17 A No, that is not my testimony. That is 18 not my testimony, no. 19 Q Do you do you think you used 20 Wikipedia page is not included in my 20 Is it your tecall using 21 A Again, I I I don't recall using 22 Wikipedia page is not included in my	3	Wikipedia actually is peer-reviewed in the sense	3	protein complex known as BRCA1-associated genome
6 Q Including our kids; right? 7 MS. O'DELL: 8 Object to the form. 9 A Possible. 9 write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor 10 MS. BROWN: 10 suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and 11 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 11 signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit 12 Wikipedia page. True? 13 A I believe so. 14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that 15 information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource 16 when answering a scientific question? 17 A No, that is not my testimony. That is 18 not my testimony, no. 19 Q Do you do you think you used 20 Wikipedia specifically. 6 Do you see that? 7 A I do. 8 Q Turning to your report, page 7, you write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor 10 suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and 11 signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated 12 protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated 13 genome surveillance complex" parens 14 (BASC)." 15 Correct? 16 A That is correct. 17 A No, that is not my testimony. That is 18 not my testimony, no. 18 identical. 19 Q Do you do you think you used 19 A It appears so, yes. 20 Q Okay. 21 A Again, I I I don't recall using 22 O Okay. 21 A Except for a the reference included 22 Wikipedia specifically.	4	that anyone can contribute and edit the	4	surveillance complex" parens
7 MS. O'DELL: 8 Object to the form. 9 A Possible. 9 write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor 10 MS. BROWN: 10 suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and 11 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 11 signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit 12 Wikipedia page. True? 12 protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated 13 A I believe so. 14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that 15 information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource 16 when answering a scientific question? 17 A No, that is not my testimony. That is 18 not my testimony, no. 19 Q Do you do you think you used 19 A It appears so, yes. 20 Wikipedia here in writing your report? 21 A Again, I I I don't recall using 22 Wikipedia specifically. 23 Object to the form. 29 Q Turning to your report, page 7, you 29 Wikipedia page 7, you 29 Turning to your report, page 7, you 20 Turning to your report, page 7, you 20 Turning to your report, page 7, you 20 Turning to your report, page 7, you 21 A Except for a the reference included 22 Wikipedia specifically.	5	information in Wikipedia.	5	"BAC-" excuse me "(BASC)," end parens.
8 Object to the form. 9 A Possible. 9 write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor 10 MS. BROWN: 11 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 11 signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit 12 Wikipedia page. True? 13 A I believe so. 14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that 15 information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource 16 when answering a scientific question? 17 A No, that is not my testimony. That is 18 not my testimony, no. 19 Q Do you do you think you used 20 Wikipedia here in writing your report? 21 A Again, I I I don't recall using 22 Wikipedia specifically.  8 Q Turning to your report, page 7, you 9 write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor 10 suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and 11 signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit 12 protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated 13 genome surveillance complex" parens 14 (BASC)." 15 Correct? 16 A That is correct. 17 Q Those two sentences, Doctor, are 18 identical. 19 Q Do you do you think you used 19 A It appears so, yes. 20 Q Okay. 21 A Again, I I I don't recall using 22 Wikipedia specifically. 22 on the Wikipedia page is not included in my	6	Q Including our kids; right?	6	Do you see that?
9 Write, "BRCA1 combines with other tumor 10 MS. BROWN: 11 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 11 signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit 12 Wikipedia page. True? 13 A I believe so. 14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that 15 information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource 16 when answering a scientific question? 17 A No, that is not my testimony. That is 18 not my testimony, no. 19 Q Do you do you think you used 20 Wikipedia here in writing your report? 21 A Again, I I I don't recall using 22 Wikipedia specifically. 20 Wikipedia page is not included in my	7	MS. O'DELL:	7	A I do.
MS. BROWN:  Q Anyone in the world could edit a  11 signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit  12 Wikipedia page. True?  13 A I believe so.  14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that  15 information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource  16 when answering a scientific question?  17 A No, that is not my testimony. That is  18 not my testimony, no.  19 Q Do you do you think you used  20 Wikipedia here in writing your report?  21 A Again, I I I don't recall using  22 Wikipedia specifically.  10 suppressors," comma, "DNA damage sensors, and  11 signal transducers to form a large multi-subunit  12 protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated  13 genome surveillance complex" parens  14 (BASC)."  15 Correct?  16 A That is correct.  17 Q Those two sentences, Doctor, are  18 identical.  19 A It appears so, yes.  20 Q Okay.  21 A Except for a the reference included  22 on the Wikipedia page is not included in my	8	Object to the form.	8	Q Turning to your report, page 7, you
11 Q Anyone in the world could edit a 12 Wikipedia page. True? 13 A I believe so. 14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that 15 information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource 16 when answering a scientific question? 17 A No, that is not my testimony. That is 18 not my testimony, no. 19 Q Do you do you think you used 20 Wikipedia here in writing your report? 21 A Again, I I I don't recall using 22 Wikipedia specifically. 21 A Except for a the reference included in my	9	A Possible.	9	
Wikipedia page. True?  12 protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated  13 A I believe so.  14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that  15 information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource  16 when answering a scientific question?  17 A No, that is not my testimony. That is  18 not my testimony, no.  19 Q Do you do you think you used  20 Wikipedia here in writing your report?  21 A Again, I I I don't recall using  22 Wikipedia specifically.  12 protein complex known as the BRCA1-associated  13 genome surveillance complex" parens  14 (BASC)."  15 Correct?  A That is correct.  17 Q Those two sentences, Doctor, are  18 identical.  19 A It appears so, yes.  20 Q Okay.  21 A Except for a the reference included  22 on the Wikipedia page is not included in my	10	MS. BROWN:	1	
13			1	<u> </u>
14 Q Is it your testimony, Doctor, that 15 information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource 16 when answering a scientific question? 17 A No, that is not my testimony. That is 18 not my testimony, no. 19 Q Do you do you think you used 19 A It appears so, yes. 20 Wikipedia here in writing your report? 21 A Again, I I I don't recall using 22 Wikipedia specifically. 23 Is ignored: 24 (BASC)." 25 Correct? 26 A That is correct. 27 Q Those two sentences, Doctor, are 28 identical. 29 Q Okay. 20 Q Okay. 21 A Except for a the reference included 22 on the Wikipedia page is not included in my	12	* * * *		
information from Wikipedia is a reliable resource  when answering a scientific question?  A No, that is not my testimony. That is  not my testimony, no.  Do you do you think you used  Wikipedia here in writing your report?  A Again, I I I don't recall using  Wikipedia specifically.  Do Correct?  A That is correct.  P Q Those two sentences, Doctor, are identical.  P A It appears so, yes.  O Correct?  A That is correct.  P Q Those two sentences, Doctor, are identical.  P A It appears so, yes.  O Correct?  A Except for a the reference included on the Wikipedia page is not included in my				
when answering a scientific question?  16 A That is correct.  17 A No, that is not my testimony. That is  18 not my testimony, no.  19 Q Do you do you think you used  20 Wikipedia here in writing your report?  21 A Again, I I I don't recall using  22 Wikipedia specifically.  16 A That is correct.  17 Q Those two sentences, Doctor, are  18 identical.  19 A It appears so, yes.  20 Q Okay.  21 A Except for a the reference included  22 on the Wikipedia page is not included in my		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · ·
17 A No, that is not my testimony. That is 18 not my testimony, no. 19 Q Do you do you think you used 20 Wikipedia here in writing your report? 21 A Again, I I I don't recall using 22 Wikipedia specifically. 21 Those two sentences, Doctor, are 18 identical. 19 A It appears so, yes. 20 Q Okay. 21 A Except for a the reference included 22 on the Wikipedia page is not included in my		<u>-</u>		
18 not my testimony, no.  19 Q Do you do you think you used 20 Wikipedia here in writing your report? 21 A Again, I I I don't recall using 22 Wikipedia specifically.  18 identical.  19 A It appears so, yes.  20 Q Okay.  21 A Except for a the reference included  22 on the Wikipedia page is not included in my		•		
19 Q Do you do you think you used 20 Wikipedia here in writing your report? 21 A Again, I I I don't recall using 22 Wikipedia specifically.  19 A It appears so, yes. 20 Q Okay. 21 A Except for a the reference included 22 on the Wikipedia page is not included in my				, ,
<ul> <li>Wikipedia here in writing your report?</li> <li>A Again, I I I don't recall using</li> <li>Wikipedia specifically.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Except for a the reference included</li> <li>on the Wikipedia page is not included in my</li> </ul>				
21 A Again, I I I don't recall using 21 A Except for a the reference included 22 Wikipedia specifically. 22 on the Wikipedia page is not included in my				
Wikipedia specifically. 22 on the Wikipedia page is not included in my				
* *		-		
23 Q Okay. Let's take a look at your report   23 report.			1	
			1	
24 at page 7, Doctor. 24 Q Wikipedia has cited a reference, and	24	at page /, Doctor.	24	Wikipedia has cited a reference, and

PageID: 204066 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

1	Page 74		Page 76
1	your sentence stands without a reference. Is	1	Q I'm sorry. What did we mark the
2	that right?	2	Coussens as? 12?
3	A That's right.	3	A Twelve.
4	Q Other than the footnote, the two	4	Q That should have been 11.
5	sentences we just read are identical. True?	5	We have marked the Coussens' article
6	A Both sentences state the same fact in	6	now correctly as Exhibit 11, and I'll direct you
7	the same way. So, similar to our earlier	7	to the last two sentences of the first full
8	discussions, we've now seen a large collection of	8	paragraph. Put that, if you would, Doctor, side
9	fundamental factual information with with	9	by side with your report at page 9, sentence that
10	accurate information from now a number of sources	10	begins "in contrast," both sentences that begin
11	that are stated in similar ways through	11	"in contrast."
12	Wikipedia, other expert reports, and websites all	12	Are you with me?
13	about the fundamentals of cancer.	13	A I am.
14	Q The two sentences we just read, Doctor,	14	Q All right. So, in this published
15	are identical. Correct?	15	article, Ms. or Dr. Coussens writes, "In
16	MS. O'DELL:	16	contrast, proliferating cells that sustain
17	Object to the form.	17	DNA"
18	A We read one sentence in Wikipedia.	18	MS. O'DELL:
19	MS. BROWN:	19	Excuse me, Alli. Sorry. Tell me, are
20	Q And it is identical. True?	20	you in the second paragraph?
21	A Yes. The wording is the same. With,	21	MS. BROWN:
22	of course, Wikipedia, as you already stated,	22	I'm on the end of the first full
23	being editable by anybody and can pull that	23	paragraph.
24	content from anywhere, and it's the I'd have	24	MS. O'DELL:
	Page 75		Page 77
1	to review I'd have to look to see what	1	Commy I thought you yyong in the first
		1	Sorry. I thought you were in the first
2		2	Sorry. I thought you were in the first full paragraph.
2	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same		full paragraph. MS. BROWN:
	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly	2	full paragraph.
3	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same	2	full paragraph. MS. BROWN:
3 4	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.	2 3 4	full paragraph. MS. BROWN: Begins "In contrast."
3 4 5	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One	2 3 4 5	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN:  Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL:
3 4 5 6	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article	2 3 4 5 6	full paragraph. MS. BROWN: Begins "In contrast." MS. O'DELL: Okay.
3 4 5 6 7	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall	2 3 4 5 6 7	full paragraph. MS. BROWN: Begins "In contrast." MS. O'DELL: Okay. MS. BROWN:
3 4 5 6 7 8	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN: Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL: Okay.  MS. BROWN: And we have that side by side with Dr. Levy's report, page 9, the paragraph that also begins "In contrast."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall that?  A That does sound familiar, but I'll have to verify.  Q Handing you what we've marked as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN:  Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL:  Okay.  MS. BROWN:  And we have that side by side with  Dr. Levy's report, page 9, the paragraph that also begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall that?  A That does sound familiar, but I'll have to verify.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN: Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL: Okay.  MS. BROWN: And we have that side by side with Dr. Levy's report, page 9, the paragraph that also begins "In contrast."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall that?  A That does sound familiar, but I'll have to verify.  Q Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 12 [sic] to your report, the Coussens and Werb article.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN: Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL: Okay.  MS. BROWN: And we have that side by side with  Dr. Levy's report, page 9, the paragraph that also begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL: Thank you. MS. BROWN:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall that?  A That does sound familiar, but I'll have to verify.  Q Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 12 [sic] to your report, the Coussens and Werb article.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN: Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL: Okay.  MS. BROWN: And we have that side by side with  Dr. Levy's report, page 9, the paragraph that also begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL: Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall that?  A That does sound familiar, but I'll have to verify.  Q Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 12 [sic] to your report, the Coussens and Werb article.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN: Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL: Okay.  MS. BROWN: And we have that side by side with Dr. Levy's report, page 9, the paragraph that also begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL: Thank you.  MS. BROWN: Q Dr. Coussens writes, "In contrast, proliferating cells that sustain DNA damage
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall that?  A That does sound familiar, but I'll have to verify.  Q Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 12 [sic] to your report, the Coussens and Werb article.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  A Yes, this is a this is a review.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN:  Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL:  Okay.  MS. BROWN:  And we have that side by side with  Dr. Levy's report, page 9, the paragraph that also begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL:  Thank you.  MS. BROWN:  Q Dr. Coussens writes, "In contrast, proliferating cells that sustain DNA damage and/or mutagenic assault" parens "(for
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall that?  A That does sound familiar, but I'll have to verify.  Q Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 12 [sic] to your report, the Coussens and Werb article.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  A Yes, this is a this is a review.  This is an insight review article, which, similar	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN:  Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL:  Okay.  MS. BROWN:  And we have that side by side with  Dr. Levy's report, page 9, the paragraph that also begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL:  Thank you.  MS. BROWN:  Q Dr. Coussens writes, "In contrast, proliferating cells that sustain DNA damage and/or mutagenic assault" parens "(for example, initiated cells), continue to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall that?  A That does sound familiar, but I'll have to verify.  Q Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 12 [sic] to your report, the Coussens and Werb article.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  A Yes, this is a this is a review.  This is an insight review article, which, similar to my report, is likely consolidating information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN: Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL: Okay.  MS. BROWN: And we have that side by side with Dr. Levy's report, page 9, the paragraph that also begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL: Thank you. MS. BROWN: Q Dr. Coussens writes, "In contrast, proliferating cells that sustain DNA damage and/or mutagenic assault" parens "(for example, initiated cells), continue to proliferate in microenvironments rich in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall that?  A That does sound familiar, but I'll have to verify.  Q Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 12 [sic] to your report, the Coussens and Werb article.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  A Yes, this is a this is a review.  This is an insight review article, which, similar to my report, is likely consolidating information from the research knowledge.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN:  Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL:  Okay.  MS. BROWN:  And we have that side by side with  Dr. Levy's report, page 9, the paragraph that also begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL:  Thank you.  MS. BROWN:  Q Dr. Coussens writes, "In contrast, proliferating cells that sustain DNA damage and/or mutagenic assault" parens "(for example, initiated cells), continue to proliferate in microenvironments rich in inflammatory cells and growth/survival factors
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall that?  A That does sound familiar, but I'll have to verify.  Q Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 12 [sic] to your report, the Coussens and Werb article.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  A Yes, this is a this is a review.  This is an insight review article, which, similar to my report, is likely consolidating information from the research knowledge.  MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN:  Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL:  Okay.  MS. BROWN:  And we have that side by side with  Dr. Levy's report, page 9, the paragraph that also begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL:  Thank you.  MS. BROWN:  Q Dr. Coussens writes, "In contrast, proliferating cells that sustain DNA damage and/or mutagenic assault" parens "(for example, initiated cells), continue to proliferate in microenvironments rich in inflammatory cells and growth/survival factors that support their growth."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall that?  A That does sound familiar, but I'll have to verify.  Q Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 12 [sic] to your report, the Coussens and Werb article.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  A Yes, this is a this is a review.  This is an insight review article, which, similar to my report, is likely consolidating information from the research knowledge.  MS. BROWN:  Q I'd like to direct you to the last two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN:  Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL:  Okay.  MS. BROWN:  And we have that side by side with  Dr. Levy's report, page 9, the paragraph that also begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL:  Thank you.  MS. BROWN:  Q Dr. Coussens writes, "In contrast, proliferating cells that sustain DNA damage and/or mutagenic assault" parens "(for example, initiated cells), continue to proliferate in microenvironments rich in inflammatory cells and growth/survival factors that support their growth."  Do you see that sentence?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall that?  A That does sound familiar, but I'll have to verify.  Q Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 12 [sic] to your report, the Coussens and Werb article.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  A Yes, this is a this is a review.  This is an insight review article, which, similar to my report, is likely consolidating information from the research knowledge.  MS. BROWN:  Q I'd like to direct you to the last two sentences of Exhibit 10, the Coussens' article,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN:  Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL: Okay.  MS. BROWN: And we have that side by side with  Dr. Levy's report, page 9, the paragraph that also begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL: Thank you.  MS. BROWN: Q Dr. Coussens writes, "In contrast, proliferating cells that sustain DNA damage and/or mutagenic assault" parens "(for example, initiated cells), continue to proliferate in microenvironments rich in inflammatory cells and growth/survival factors that support their growth." Do you see that sentence?  A I do.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall that?  A That does sound familiar, but I'll have to verify.  Q Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 12 [sic] to your report, the Coussens and Werb article.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  A Yes, this is a this is a review.  This is an insight review article, which, similar to my report, is likely consolidating information from the research knowledge.  MS. BROWN:  Q I'd like to direct you to the last two sentences of Exhibit 10, the Coussens' article, the last two sentences in the first paragraph.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN: Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL: Okay.  MS. BROWN: And we have that side by side with Dr. Levy's report, page 9, the paragraph that also begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL: Thank you. MS. BROWN: Q Dr. Coussens writes, "In contrast, proliferating cells that sustain DNA damage and/or mutagenic assault" parens "(for example, initiated cells), continue to proliferate in microenvironments rich in inflammatory cells and growth/survival factors that support their growth." Do you see that sentence?  A I do. Q The next sentence reads, "In a sense,"
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reference 16 in Wikipedia is. But it's certainly possible that I and Wikipedia summarized the same information from the same source.  Q Let's go to page 9 of your report. One of the articles that you relied on is an article by Lisa Coussens and Zena Werb. Do you recall that?  A That does sound familiar, but I'll have to verify.  Q Handing you what we've marked as Exhibit 12 [sic] to your report, the Coussens and Werb article.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  A Yes, this is a this is a review.  This is an insight review article, which, similar to my report, is likely consolidating information from the research knowledge.  MS. BROWN:  Q I'd like to direct you to the last two sentences of Exhibit 10, the Coussens' article,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	full paragraph.  MS. BROWN:  Begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL:  Okay.  MS. BROWN:  And we have that side by side with  Dr. Levy's report, page 9, the paragraph that also begins "In contrast."  MS. O'DELL:  Thank you.  MS. BROWN:  Q Dr. Coussens writes, "In contrast, proliferating cells that sustain DNA damage and/or mutagenic assault" parens "(for example, initiated cells), continue to proliferate in microenvironments rich in inflammatory cells and growth/survival factors that support their growth."  Do you see that sentence?  A I do.

PageID: 204067 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 78		Page 80
1	See that?	1	Q My question, Doctor, was: Except for
2	A I do.	2	one word, the two sentences we just read from
3	Q Directing your attention to page 9 of	3	Coussens are identical to the two sentences in
4	your report, Doctor, you write, "In contrast,"	4	your report. Is that correct?
5	comma, "proliferating cells that sustain DNA	5	MS. O'DELL:
6	damage and/or mutagenic insult parens "(for	6	Object to the form.
7	example," comma, "initiated cells)," end paren,	7	A So, I as as stated, the two
8	"continue to proliferate in microenvironments	8	sentences are similar.
9	rich in inflammatory cells and growth/survival	9	MS. BROWN:
10	factors that support their growth," period. "In	10	Q Except for one word, they are
11	a sense, tumors act as wounds that fail to heal."	11	identical. Is that correct?
12	Do you see that?	12	MS. O'DELL:
13	A I do.	13	Object to the form. He's asked
14	Q Except for one word, Doctor, those two	14	you've asked the question. He's answered your
15	sentences, including the slashes and the	15	question.
16	parentheses, are identical. Correct?	16	A Again, the two sentences are similar.
17	MS. O'DELL:	17	MS. BROWN:
18	Object to the form.	18	Q Do you understand "identical," what
19	A Those two sentences are similar.	19	"identical" means?
20	MS. BROWN:	20	A Yes. Exactly the same.
21	Q Except for one word, those two	21	Q Okay. Except for one word, those two
22	sentences are identical. True?	22	sentences are exactly the same in the Coussens
23	MS. O'DELL:	23	article and your report. True?
24	Object to the form. Asked and	24	MS. O'DELL:
	Page 79		Page 81
1	answered.	1	Object to the form. Asked and
2	A Yeah. I'd certainly appreciate the	2	answered.
3	similarity between the between the two. But	3	A And we're we're saying the same
4	that's again, as we've been discussing now for	4	thing in different ways, which is that the two
_			uning in different ways, which is that the two
5	an extensive amount of time, in the introductory	5	sentences are similar, stating factual
6	an extensive amount of time, in the introductory review content of the report	5 6	•
			sentences are similar, stating factual
6	review content of the report	6	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and
6 7	review content of the report In fact, I reference the Coussens and	6 7	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles.
6 7 8	review content of the report In fact, I reference the Coussens and Werb paper, so certainly it's not a surprise that	6 7 8	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles.  MS. BROWN:
6 7 8 9	review content of the report  In fact, I reference the Coussens and Werb paper, so certainly it's not a surprise that wording is similar between them and used similar language to describe, again, these factual aspects of fundamental cancer biology, including	6 7 8 9	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles.  MS. BROWN:  Q And the only difference is one word.  Correct?  A Two sentences are similar.
6 7 8 9 10	review content of the report In fact, I reference the Coussens and Werb paper, so certainly it's not a surprise that wording is similar between them and used similar language to describe, again, these factual	6 7 8 9 10	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles.  MS. BROWN:  Q And the only difference is one word.  Correct?
6 7 8 9 10 11	review content of the report In fact, I reference the Coussens and Werb paper, so certainly it's not a surprise that wording is similar between them and used similar language to describe, again, these factual aspects of fundamental cancer biology, including similar references. MS. O'DELL:	6 7 8 9 10 11	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles.  MS. BROWN:  Q And the only difference is one word.  Correct?  A Two sentences are similar.  Q My question was: The only difference is one word. True?
6 7 8 9 10 11	review content of the report  In fact, I reference the Coussens and Werb paper, so certainly it's not a surprise that wording is similar between them and used similar language to describe, again, these factual aspects of fundamental cancer biology, including similar references.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles.  MS. BROWN:  Q And the only difference is one word.  Correct?  A Two sentences are similar.  Q My question was: The only difference is one word. True?  A Let me review again to be sure that we
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	review content of the report  In fact, I reference the Coussens and Werb paper, so certainly it's not a surprise that wording is similar between them and used similar language to describe, again, these factual aspects of fundamental cancer biology, including similar references. MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. My microphone is broken. VIDEOGRAPHER:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles.  MS. BROWN:  Q And the only difference is one word.  Correct?  A Two sentences are similar.  Q My question was: The only difference is one word. True?  A Let me review again to be sure that we would before answering.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	review content of the report  In fact, I reference the Coussens and Werb paper, so certainly it's not a surprise that wording is similar between them and used similar language to describe, again, these factual aspects of fundamental cancer biology, including similar references. MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. My microphone is broken. VIDEOGRAPHER: It's still working. You're good. You	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles. MS. BROWN: Q And the only difference is one word. Correct? A Two sentences are similar. Q My question was: The only difference is one word. True? A Let me review again to be sure that we would before answering. Taken out of context, those two
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	review content of the report  In fact, I reference the Coussens and Werb paper, so certainly it's not a surprise that wording is similar between them and used similar language to describe, again, these factual aspects of fundamental cancer biology, including similar references. MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. My microphone is broken. VIDEOGRAPHER:  It's still working. You're good. You can just lay it on the table and we'll fix it at	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles. MS. BROWN: Q And the only difference is one word. Correct? A Two sentences are similar. Q My question was: The only difference is one word. True? A Let me review again to be sure that we would before answering. Taken out of context, those two sentences are similar.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	review content of the report  In fact, I reference the Coussens and Werb paper, so certainly it's not a surprise that wording is similar between them and used similar language to describe, again, these factual aspects of fundamental cancer biology, including similar references. MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. My microphone is broken. VIDEOGRAPHER:  It's still working. You're good. You can just lay it on the table and we'll fix it at a break.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles. MS. BROWN: Q And the only difference is one word. Correct? A Two sentences are similar. Q My question was: The only difference is one word. True? A Let me review again to be sure that we would before answering. Taken out of context, those two sentences are similar. Q My question was, Doctor, the only
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	review content of the report  In fact, I reference the Coussens and Werb paper, so certainly it's not a surprise that wording is similar between them and used similar language to describe, again, these factual aspects of fundamental cancer biology, including similar references. MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. My microphone is broken. VIDEOGRAPHER:  It's still working. You're good. You can just lay it on the table and we'll fix it at a break. MS. O'DELL:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles.  MS. BROWN:  Q And the only difference is one word.  Correct?  A Two sentences are similar.  Q My question was: The only difference is one word. True?  A Let me review again to be sure that we would before answering.  Taken out of context, those two sentences are similar.  Q My question was, Doctor, the only difference is one word. Is that correct?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	review content of the report  In fact, I reference the Coussens and Werb paper, so certainly it's not a surprise that wording is similar between them and used similar language to describe, again, these factual aspects of fundamental cancer biology, including similar references. MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. My microphone is broken. VIDEOGRAPHER:  It's still working. You're good. You can just lay it on the table and we'll fix it at a break.  MS. O'DELL:  And we've been going about an hour and	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles. MS. BROWN: Q And the only difference is one word. Correct? A Two sentences are similar. Q My question was: The only difference is one word. True? A Let me review again to be sure that we would before answering. Taken out of context, those two sentences are similar. Q My question was, Doctor, the only difference is one word. Is that correct? MS. O'DELL:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	review content of the report  In fact, I reference the Coussens and Werb paper, so certainly it's not a surprise that wording is similar between them and used similar language to describe, again, these factual aspects of fundamental cancer biology, including similar references. MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. My microphone is broken. VIDEOGRAPHER:  It's still working. You're good. You can just lay it on the table and we'll fix it at a break. MS. O'DELL:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles. MS. BROWN: Q And the only difference is one word. Correct? A Two sentences are similar. Q My question was: The only difference is one word. True? A Let me review again to be sure that we would before answering. Taken out of context, those two sentences are similar. Q My question was, Doctor, the only difference is one word. Is that correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to the form. Asked and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	review content of the report  In fact, I reference the Coussens and Werb paper, so certainly it's not a surprise that wording is similar between them and used similar language to describe, again, these factual aspects of fundamental cancer biology, including similar references. MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. My microphone is broken. VIDEOGRAPHER:  It's still working. You're good. You can just lay it on the table and we'll fix it at a break. MS. O'DELL:  And we've been going about an hour and 13 minutes. MS. BROWN:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles.  MS. BROWN:  Q And the only difference is one word.  Correct?  A Two sentences are similar.  Q My question was: The only difference is one word. True?  A Let me review again to be sure that we would before answering.  Taken out of context, those two sentences are similar.  Q My question was, Doctor, the only difference is one word. Is that correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to the form. Asked and answered.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	review content of the report  In fact, I reference the Coussens and Werb paper, so certainly it's not a surprise that wording is similar between them and used similar language to describe, again, these factual aspects of fundamental cancer biology, including similar references. MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. My microphone is broken. VIDEOGRAPHER:  It's still working. You're good. You can just lay it on the table and we'll fix it at a break. MS. O'DELL:  And we've been going about an hour and 13 minutes. MS. BROWN: I'm about to finish up this section.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles.  MS. BROWN:  Q And the only difference is one word.  Correct?  A Two sentences are similar.  Q My question was: The only difference is one word. True?  A Let me review again to be sure that we would before answering.  Taken out of context, those two sentences are similar.  Q My question was, Doctor, the only difference is one word. Is that correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to the form. Asked and answered.  A You know, I think we've we've
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	review content of the report  In fact, I reference the Coussens and Werb paper, so certainly it's not a surprise that wording is similar between them and used similar language to describe, again, these factual aspects of fundamental cancer biology, including similar references. MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. My microphone is broken. VIDEOGRAPHER:  It's still working. You're good. You can just lay it on the table and we'll fix it at a break. MS. O'DELL:  And we've been going about an hour and 13 minutes. MS. BROWN:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sentences are similar, stating factual information about fundamental cancer biology and in two similar review articles.  MS. BROWN:  Q And the only difference is one word.  Correct?  A Two sentences are similar.  Q My question was: The only difference is one word. True?  A Let me review again to be sure that we would before answering.  Taken out of context, those two sentences are similar.  Q My question was, Doctor, the only difference is one word. Is that correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to the form. Asked and answered.

PageID: 204068 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 82		Page 84
1	sentences are different in their context and in	1	A I have.
2	terms of paragraph, but they are similar in	2	Q Have you seen the reviewer comments
3	structure and similar in wording.	3	referenced in Exhibit 13?
4	But, as you stated, with the exception	4	A I have not seen the reviewer comments.
5	of the so they're not. So in a language	5	Q Okay. Exhibit 13 does not inform the
6	perspective, they're not identical. They're	6	opinions of your report dated November of 2018.
7	similar.	7	True?
8	MS. BROWN:	8	A Exhibit 13, being the letter, that is
9	Let's take a break.	9	correct. It does not.
10	VIDEOGRAPHER:	10	Q Okay. And what's Exhibit 12?
11	Going off going off the record. The	11	A Exhibit 12 appears to be a preprint
12	time is 10:15 a.m.	12	version of the previously mentioned paper,
13	(OFF THE RECORD.)	13	"Molecular Basis Supporting the Association of
14	VIDEOGRAPHER:	14	Talcum Powder Use With Increased Risk of Ovarian
15	We're back on the record. The time is	15	Cancer," with the first author, Nicole Fletcher,
16	10:25 a.m.	16	and Dr. Saed is listed as the senior or
17	MS. BROWN:	17	corresponding author.
18	Q Doctor, I am handing you what I have	18	Q Did the lawyers provide you with this
19	marked as Deposition Exhibit 12 and 13. These	19	manuscript, Doctor?
20	are additional documents your counsel identified	20	A Yes, in a but that's yes, they
21	for us this morning as something you have seen	21	did.
22	since your report.	22	Q Do you recall when you were provided
23	(DEPOSITION EXHIBITS 12 AND 13	23	with a copy of the manuscript by the plaintiffs'
24	WERE MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	24	lawyers?
	Page 83		Page 85
1	MS. BROWN:	1	A It was sometime in December toward
2	Q Would you tell us what those two	2	late in the year. The exact date, I'd have to
3	exhibits are, please.	3	review when it came in. And I believe it was
4	A Exhibit Exhibit 13 is a printed copy	4	and the version you have here is a more formal
5	of an email dated December 26th informing	5	preprint version from the from Manuscript
6	Dr. Saed that a manuscript	6	Central, whereas the version I received
7	Is it helpful to identify the	7	was a it appeared to be more of a submission
8	manuscript?	8	version.
9	titled "Molecular Basis Supporting	9	So commenting whether it's
10	the Association of Talcum Powder Use With	10	exact precisely the same content, I I
11	Increased Risk of Ovarian Cancer," submitted to	11	wouldn't be able to say.
12	Reproductive Sciences, has been reviewed. The	12	Q Fair to say, though, Doctor, since you
13	comments were included in the letter.	13	received the manuscript in December of 2018, the
14	Q Have you seen	14	contents of the manuscript did not inform the
15	A And I'm just reading the	15	expert report that you wrote in November of 2018;
16	Q Sure.	16	correct?
17	A It it appears that the so,	17	A Actually, I would say the the I
18	summarizing the letter, the manuscript has been	18	would not agree, from the perspective of Dr. Saed
	reviewed, the comments from the reviewers were	19	has a number of similar studies, as well as a
19		20	number of abstracts that I had the opportunity to
19 20	provided back, and the journal has informed		
	Dr. Saed that they'll accept a revised document	21	review that did inform some of the opinions in
20	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	21 22	review that did inform some of the opinions in the report. Those same information and data were
20 21	Dr. Saed that they'll accept a revised document		

1	Page 86		Page 88
_	So the basis of my opinion includes	1	Q Okay. And I'll ask if you'd be kind
2	some of the information from this manuscript, but	2	enough to do that at a break. Just let us know
3	I but the report does not contain the totality	3	if you had access to something other than the
4	of this.	4	abstract of Dr. Saed's 2018 report at the time
5	Q Right. Because the manuscript wasn't	5	you wrote your report. Fair enough?
6	available to you until after you wrote your	6	A I'll make a note.
7	report. Right?	7	MS. O'DELL:
8	A No, that's not the case. The the	8	Excuse me. Object to the form.
9	the research, some of the research information	9	Abstracts, not one.
10	from this study was available in abstract form,	10	MS. BROWN:
11	and and some I believe a preprint from	11	Q Dr. Levy, you are a Ph.D.; is that
12	Dr. Saed.	12	correct?
13	So it was so it was available.	13	A Correct.
14	Portions of it were available for the report.	14	Q Okay. You are not an M.D.; correct?
15	Q Other than the abstract, did you have	15	A That's correct.
16	access to an earlier version of what we've marked	16	Q What's your Ph.D. in, sir?
17	as Exhibit 12?	17	A Biochemistry and genetics.
18	A I can't accurately answer that without	18	Q You're not an epidemiologist. Fair?
19	comparing them.	19	A I am not.
20	Q Where do you have stored the earlier	20	Q Okay. And the focus of your work at
21	version that you're referring to?	21	HudsonAlpha is on genome sequencing. Is that
22	A Let's see if I what I have here.	22	right?
23	So, from Dr. Saed, I have a used a	23	A No. The the genome sequencing is
24	book chapter which describes some of his	24	a tool that we apply in in the work of my
			Page 89
1	oxidative stress experiments that are also	1	laboratory and in my responsibilities at
2	consistent with the information that's in the	2	HudsonAlpha.
3	in Exhibit 12, as well as some of his earlier	3	Q HudsonAlpha has a team known as the
4	review articles, and that's	4	Breakthrough Breast and Ovarian Cancer Team. Is
5	Let me make sure I'm not missing	5	that right?
6	anything from Fletcher, who's been	6	A I'm not familiar with that name.
7	But, otherwise, the the experiments	7	Q Okay.
,			
_	that were expanded upon in the formal manuscript	8	
8 9	that were expanded upon in the formal manuscript were described in in abstract or, I should	8 9	A There is a a group of faculty who
8	were described in in abstract or, I should		A There is a a group of faculty who have some funding related to breast and ovarian
8 9		9	A There is a a group of faculty who have some funding related to breast and ovarian cancer. It's it's certainly possible that
8 9 10	were described in in abstract or, I should say, summarized form, meaning an abstract that	9 10	A There is a a group of faculty who have some funding related to breast and ovarian cancer. It's it's certainly possible that name was used in in press for some title.
8 9 10 11	were described in in abstract or, I should say, summarized form, meaning an abstract that included methods, results, and conclusions from	9 10 11	A There is a a group of faculty who have some funding related to breast and ovarian cancer. It's it's certainly possible that name was used in in press for some title.
8 9 10 11 12	were described in in abstract or, I should say, summarized form, meaning an abstract that included methods, results, and conclusions from Fletcher and colleagues in Dr. Saed's group.  Q At the time you wrote your report, you	9 10 11 12	A There is a a group of faculty who have some funding related to breast and ovarian cancer. It's it's certainly possible that name was used in in press for some title.  Q Since you're not familiar with that team, fair to say you're not a member of the
8 9 10 11 12 13	were described in in abstract or, I should say, summarized form, meaning an abstract that included methods, results, and conclusions from Fletcher and colleagues in Dr. Saed's group.	9 10 11 12 13	A There is a a group of faculty who have some funding related to breast and ovarian cancer. It's it's certainly possible that name was used in in press for some title.  Q Since you're not familiar with that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	were described in in abstract or, I should say, summarized form, meaning an abstract that included methods, results, and conclusions from Fletcher and colleagues in Dr. Saed's group.  Q At the time you wrote your report, you had an abstract of the 2018 paper that we've	9 10 11 12 13 14	A There is a a group of faculty who have some funding related to breast and ovarian cancer. It's it's certainly possible that name was used in in press for some title.  Q Since you're not familiar with that team, fair to say you're not a member of the Breakthrough Breast and Ovarian Cancer Team?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	were described in in abstract or, I should say, summarized form, meaning an abstract that included methods, results, and conclusions from Fletcher and colleagues in Dr. Saed's group.  Q At the time you wrote your report, you had an abstract of the 2018 paper that we've marked as Exhibit 12; correct?  MS. O'DELL:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A There is a a group of faculty who have some funding related to breast and ovarian cancer. It's it's certainly possible that name was used in in press for some title.  Q Since you're not familiar with that team, fair to say you're not a member of the Breakthrough Breast and Ovarian Cancer Team?  MS. O'DELL:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	were described in in abstract or, I should say, summarized form, meaning an abstract that included methods, results, and conclusions from Fletcher and colleagues in Dr. Saed's group.  Q At the time you wrote your report, you had an abstract of the 2018 paper that we've marked as Exhibit 12; correct?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A There is a a group of faculty who have some funding related to breast and ovarian cancer. It's it's certainly possible that name was used in in press for some title.  Q Since you're not familiar with that team, fair to say you're not a member of the Breakthrough Breast and Ovarian Cancer Team?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Again, I don't my involvement with
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	were described in in abstract or, I should say, summarized form, meaning an abstract that included methods, results, and conclusions from Fletcher and colleagues in Dr. Saed's group.  Q At the time you wrote your report, you had an abstract of the 2018 paper that we've marked as Exhibit 12; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. He said plural.  A Yes. I had two abstracts and then	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A There is a a group of faculty who have some funding related to breast and ovarian cancer. It's it's certainly possible that name was used in in press for some title.  Q Since you're not familiar with that team, fair to say you're not a member of the Breakthrough Breast and Ovarian Cancer Team?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	were described in in abstract or, I should say, summarized form, meaning an abstract that included methods, results, and conclusions from Fletcher and colleagues in Dr. Saed's group.  Q At the time you wrote your report, you had an abstract of the 2018 paper that we've marked as Exhibit 12; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. He said plural.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A There is a a group of faculty who have some funding related to breast and ovarian cancer. It's it's certainly possible that name was used in in press for some title.  Q Since you're not familiar with that team, fair to say you're not a member of the Breakthrough Breast and Ovarian Cancer Team?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Again, I don't my involvement with breast and ovarian cancer at HudsonAlpha is
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	were described in in abstract or, I should say, summarized form, meaning an abstract that included methods, results, and conclusions from Fletcher and colleagues in Dr. Saed's group.  Q At the time you wrote your report, you had an abstract of the 2018 paper that we've marked as Exhibit 12; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. He said plural.  A Yes. I had two abstracts and then possibly	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A There is a a group of faculty who have some funding related to breast and ovarian cancer. It's it's certainly possible that name was used in in press for some title.  Q Since you're not familiar with that team, fair to say you're not a member of the Breakthrough Breast and Ovarian Cancer Team?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Again, I don't my involvement with breast and ovarian cancer at HudsonAlpha is specific to some projects. And whether or not I
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	were described in in abstract or, I should say, summarized form, meaning an abstract that included methods, results, and conclusions from Fletcher and colleagues in Dr. Saed's group.  Q At the time you wrote your report, you had an abstract of the 2018 paper that we've marked as Exhibit 12; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. He said plural.  A Yes. I had two abstracts and then possibly  I'd have to review when I received this	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A There is a a group of faculty who have some funding related to breast and ovarian cancer. It's it's certainly possible that name was used in in press for some title.  Q Since you're not familiar with that team, fair to say you're not a member of the Breakthrough Breast and Ovarian Cancer Team?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Again, I don't my involvement with breast and ovarian cancer at HudsonAlpha is specific to some projects. And whether or not I was named on that team, I I don't know.  MS. BROWN:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	were described in in abstract or, I should say, summarized form, meaning an abstract that included methods, results, and conclusions from Fletcher and colleagues in Dr. Saed's group.  Q At the time you wrote your report, you had an abstract of the 2018 paper that we've marked as Exhibit 12; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. He said plural.  A Yes. I had two abstracts and then possibly  I'd have to review when I received this preprint versus the final version of my report to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A There is a a group of faculty who have some funding related to breast and ovarian cancer. It's it's certainly possible that name was used in in press for some title.  Q Since you're not familiar with that team, fair to say you're not a member of the Breakthrough Breast and Ovarian Cancer Team?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Again, I don't my involvement with breast and ovarian cancer at HudsonAlpha is specific to some projects. And whether or not I was named on that team, I I don't know.  MS. BROWN:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	were described in in abstract or, I should say, summarized form, meaning an abstract that included methods, results, and conclusions from Fletcher and colleagues in Dr. Saed's group.  Q At the time you wrote your report, you had an abstract of the 2018 paper that we've marked as Exhibit 12; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. He said plural.  A Yes. I had two abstracts and then possibly  I'd have to review when I received this preprint versus the final version of my report to see if they overlapped, if they're if I had an	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A There is a a group of faculty who have some funding related to breast and ovarian cancer. It's it's certainly possible that name was used in in press for some title.  Q Since you're not familiar with that team, fair to say you're not a member of the Breakthrough Breast and Ovarian Cancer Team?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Again, I don't my involvement with breast and ovarian cancer at HudsonAlpha is specific to some projects. And whether or not I was named on that team, I I don't know.  MS. BROWN:  Q There are folks at HudsonAlpha,

PageID: 204070 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 90		Page 92
1	Correct?	1	dating back to my early Ph.D. work, and those
2	A No, that's not correct.	2	include cancer. So certainly the subject of
3	Q Your practice is not devoted to ovarian	3	inflammatory response in both chronic and
4	cancer; correct?	4	acute, in controlling cancer has been a subject
5	MS. O'DELL:	5	of my research for some time and certainly
6	Object to the form.	6	bridged into ovarian cancer as well as other
7	A No. My my practice is not devoted	7	cancer types.
8	to ovarian cancer. And but that was	8	MS. BROWN:
9	irrelevant to what I was asked to do in	9	Q You've never published on chronic
10	this in this particular case for	10	inflammation as a potential mechanism by which
11	the regarding the content of my report.	11	talcum powder causes ovarian cancer. Correct?
12	MS. BROWN:	12	A Not specific to talcum powder, no.
13	Q I think I saw you've published one	13	Q You have never given a presentation on
14	article regarding ovarian cancer over the course	14	chronic inflammation as a mechanism for causing
15	of your career. Is that right?	15	ovarian cancer at all; right?
16	A That sounds correct.	16	MS. O'DELL:
17	Q You have not given any presentations	17	Object to the form.
18	regarding ovarian cancer. Is that true?	18	A I'm thinking through my
19	A I would say that's accurate.	19	I don't recall a specific presentation
20	Q You have not received any government	20	with regards to talcum powder and its role in
21	funding to study ovarian cancer. True?	21	ovarian cancer. As far as my discussions or
22	A I received government funding to study	22	presentations around the role of inflammation in
23	breast and ovarian cancer this was in 2002,	23	cancer, including ovarian, it it is it is
24	from the Department of Defense and then,	24	possible, but I can't think of a specific
	Page 91		Page 93
1	subsequent to that, participated in at least one	1	presentation
2			DIESCHIAUOH.
	review for the Department of Defense in reviewing	2	presentation. MS. BROWN:
3	review for the Department of Defense in reviewing ovarian cancer research grants. So that is		MS. BROWN:
	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is	2	MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Since you've been hired by
3		2	MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research
3 4	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the	2 3 4	MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and
3 4 5	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the	2 3 4 5	MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research
3 4 5 6	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive	2 3 4 5 6	MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right?
3 4 5 6 7	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive Cancer Center certainly have been involved in a	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
3 4 5 6 7 8	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive Cancer Center certainly have been involved in a number of projects across a diversity of cancer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive Cancer Center certainly have been involved in a number of projects across a diversity of cancer types, including ovarian and breast cancer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Since since my what was requested
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive Cancer Center certainly have been involved in a number of projects across a diversity of cancer types, including ovarian and breast cancer.  Q Prior to being hired by the plaintiffs'	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Since since my what was requested of me from the plaintiffs' attorneys was to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive Cancer Center certainly have been involved in a number of projects across a diversity of cancer types, including ovarian and breast cancer.  Q Prior to being hired by the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation, you had not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Since since my what was requested of me from the plaintiffs' attorneys was to provide a review of the biological plausibility
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive Cancer Center certainly have been involved in a number of projects across a diversity of cancer types, including ovarian and breast cancer. Q Prior to being hired by the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation, you had not investigated the potential mechanisms by which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Since since my what was requested of me from the plaintiffs' attorneys was to provide a review of the biological plausibility and a connection between talcum powder and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive Cancer Center certainly have been involved in a number of projects across a diversity of cancer types, including ovarian and breast cancer. Q Prior to being hired by the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation, you had not investigated the potential mechanisms by which talcum powder could cause ovarian cancer. Is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Since since my what was requested of me from the plaintiffs' attorneys was to provide a review of the biological plausibility and a connection between talcum powder and inflammation and then discuss the relationship
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive Cancer Center certainly have been involved in a number of projects across a diversity of cancer types, including ovarian and breast cancer. Q Prior to being hired by the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation, you had not investigated the potential mechanisms by which talcum powder could cause ovarian cancer. Is that fair?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Since since my what was requested of me from the plaintiffs' attorneys was to provide a review of the biological plausibility and a connection between talcum powder and inflammation and then discuss the relationship between inflammation and cancer.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive Cancer Center certainly have been involved in a number of projects across a diversity of cancer types, including ovarian and breast cancer. Q Prior to being hired by the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation, you had not investigated the potential mechanisms by which talcum powder could cause ovarian cancer. Is that fair? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Specific as in terms of a specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Since since my what was requested of me from the plaintiffs' attorneys was to provide a review of the biological plausibility and a connection between talcum powder and inflammation and then discuss the relationship between inflammation and cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. As I understand you, Dr. Levy, you were asked by the plaintiffs' lawyers to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive Cancer Center certainly have been involved in a number of projects across a diversity of cancer types, including ovarian and breast cancer. Q Prior to being hired by the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation, you had not investigated the potential mechanisms by which talcum powder could cause ovarian cancer. Is that fair? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Since since my what was requested of me from the plaintiffs' attorneys was to provide a review of the biological plausibility and a connection between talcum powder and inflammation and then discuss the relationship between inflammation and cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. As I understand you, Dr. Levy,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive Cancer Center certainly have been involved in a number of projects across a diversity of cancer types, including ovarian and breast cancer. Q Prior to being hired by the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation, you had not investigated the potential mechanisms by which talcum powder could cause ovarian cancer. Is that fair? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Specific as in terms of a specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Since since my what was requested of me from the plaintiffs' attorneys was to provide a review of the biological plausibility and a connection between talcum powder and inflammation and then discuss the relationship between inflammation and cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. As I understand you, Dr. Levy, you were asked by the plaintiffs' lawyers to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive Cancer Center certainly have been involved in a number of projects across a diversity of cancer types, including ovarian and breast cancer. Q Prior to being hired by the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation, you had not investigated the potential mechanisms by which talcum powder could cause ovarian cancer. Is that fair? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Specific as in terms of a specific fundamental research project?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Since since my what was requested of me from the plaintiffs' attorneys was to provide a review of the biological plausibility and a connection between talcum powder and inflammation and then discuss the relationship between inflammation and cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. As I understand you, Dr. Levy, you were asked by the plaintiffs' lawyers to provide a review of the literature as it relates
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive Cancer Center certainly have been involved in a number of projects across a diversity of cancer types, including ovarian and breast cancer. Q Prior to being hired by the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation, you had not investigated the potential mechanisms by which talcum powder could cause ovarian cancer. Is that fair? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Specific as in terms of a specific fundamental research project? MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Since since my what was requested of me from the plaintiffs' attorneys was to provide a review of the biological plausibility and a connection between talcum powder and inflammation and then discuss the relationship between inflammation and cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. As I understand you, Dr. Levy, you were asked by the plaintiffs' lawyers to provide a review of the literature as it relates to the biological plausibility of talcum powder
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive Cancer Center certainly have been involved in a number of projects across a diversity of cancer types, including ovarian and breast cancer. Q Prior to being hired by the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation, you had not investigated the potential mechanisms by which talcum powder could cause ovarian cancer. Is that fair? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Specific as in terms of a specific fundamental research project? MS. BROWN: Q At all. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Since since my what was requested of me from the plaintiffs' attorneys was to provide a review of the biological plausibility and a connection between talcum powder and inflammation and then discuss the relationship between inflammation and cancer. MS. BROWN: Q Okay. As I understand you, Dr. Levy, you were asked by the plaintiffs' lawyers to provide a review of the literature as it relates to the biological plausibility of talcum powder and ovarian cancer. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ovarian cancer research grants. So that is And then my membership in the Vanderbilt Cancer Center as well as the University of Alabama Birmingham Comprehensive Cancer Center certainly have been involved in a number of projects across a diversity of cancer types, including ovarian and breast cancer. Q Prior to being hired by the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation, you had not investigated the potential mechanisms by which talcum powder could cause ovarian cancer. Is that fair? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Specific as in terms of a specific fundamental research project? MS. BROWN: Q At all. MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BROWN: Q Okay. Since you've been hired by plaintiffs' lawyers, you have done some research into the potential role of inflammation and ovarian cancer. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Since since my what was requested of me from the plaintiffs' attorneys was to provide a review of the biological plausibility and a connection between talcum powder and inflammation and then discuss the relationship between inflammation and cancer. MS. BROWN: Q Okay. As I understand you, Dr. Levy, you were asked by the plaintiffs' lawyers to provide a review of the literature as it relates to the biological plausibility of talcum powder and ovarian cancer. Is that right? MS. O'DELL:

	Page 94		Page 96
1	on the biological plausibility of the mechanism	1	some neurological diseases.
2	that of the ability of exposure of talc and	2	So this was a similar review as of
3	its constituent components to cause inflammation	3	those topics when asked to examine the biological
4	and/or cancer.	4	plausibility of a cause and effect; in this case,
5	MS. BROWN:	5	cause being exposure to talcum powder and effect
6	Q Do you see those as two different	6	being progression to cancer.
7	things?	7	Q Prior to being hired by the plaintiffs'
8	A Yes.	8	lawyers, you had not considered the biological
9	Q Okay. So you were asked to provide a	9	plausibility of talcum powder causing ovarian
10	mechanism by which talcum powder could cause	10	cancer. Correct?
11	cancer?	11	A No. I would say that's not true in
12	A No, that's not correct.	12	isolation. And the reason I say that's not true
13	MS. O'DELL:	13	is I had been aware of some of the literature and
14	Objection to form.	14	certainly some of the press that surrounded the
15	MS. BROWN:	15	suspected associations between talcum powder
16	Q Okay. Explain it to me.	16	exposure and cancer. So I was familiar with the
17	A I I was asked to provide a an	17	concept, but I had not at the time, until hired
18	opinion on the biological plausibility	18	by the plaintiffs' attorney, spent a significant
19	Q Of talcum powder causing cancer?	19	amount of time reviewing the literature and
20	A of talcum powder leading to the	20	developing a written opinion as to that
21	biological changes necessary to cause cancer.	21	biological plausibility.
22	Q Okay. As I understand what you just	22	Q You have not published your opinion
23	said, you were asked to re to provide an	23	contained in your opinions contained in the
24	opinion on the biological plausibility of talcum	24	report that we marked as Exhibit 2. Is that
			Page 97
1	powder leading to biologic changes that are	1	correct?
2	needed to cause cancer. Is that fair?	2	A That is correct.
3	MS. O'DELL:	3	Q You have not presented the opinions
4	Object to the form.	4	contained in Exhibit 2 at any medical or
5	A So I was asked from by the attorneys	5	scientific conference; correct?
6	to review the available literature across the	6	A That's correct.
7	spectrum of cancer and talcum powder and	7	Q You have not disclosed the opinions
8	constituent literature to develop an opinion	8	contained in Exhibit 2 to any of your colleagues;
9	around the biological plausibility that exposure	9	correct?
10	of exposure to talcum powder is	10	MS. O'DELL:
11	biologically that there is a biologically	11	Object to the form.
12	plausible mechanism that that can cause cancer.	12	A Not at this time, no. Considering I
13	MS. BROWN:	13	had I had just finalized the report a short
14	Q Okay. And that is not something that	14	time ago, I haven't had the opportunity to
15	you had done prior to being hired by the	15	consider publication, presentation, or or
16	plaintiffs' lawyers. Fair?	16	discussion with colleagues.
17	A Developing such an opinion?	17	MS. BROWN:
18	Q Correct.	18	Q Do you plan to seek publication of the
19	A Or or so writing such a report,	19	information contained in your report in Exhibit
20	no, that is not something I I had done prior	20	2?
21	to to this. My research has been primarily in	21	A I I haven't made a determination at
22	data integration and the examination of	22	this time. It's been a fascinating area to
23	mechanistic effects in cancer, rare disease,	23	research. Certainly there's that would
24	and and in diabetes specifically, as well as	24	certainly be a future possibility.
		1	

Page 98 Page 100 1 O Does HudsonAlpha --1 or -- or -- or dispute whether or not those 2 First of all, what's your position at 2 ovarian cancer or other cancer types may have had 3 3 a relationship to talcum powder. So the short HudsonAlpha, Doctor? 4 4 answer being I -- I don't have the information to So I'm a faculty investigator, which 5 would be analogous to a faculty member at a 5 answer that. 6 research institution, similar to -- or I should 6 MS. BROWN: 7 7 HudsonAlpha has a Code of Ethics. Are take a step back and just --8 To be accurate, HudsonAlpha is a 8 you familiar with it? 9 9 private nonprofit research institution, similar 10 to Broad Institute, Stowers, et cetera. So we 10 Q Are you familiar with the financial 11 are academic in nature, meaning that most of our 11 disclosure requirements of HudsonAlpha? 12 funding or the vast majority of our funding comes 12 I am. 13 from grants and contracts. So that's why I say 13 Have you complied with those in 0 14 it's analogous to faculty at a research 14 connection with your work as an expert witness 15 institution. 15 for plaintiffs in this case? 16 16 I have. My other responsibilities are the 17 17 management and oversight of the production and O And tell us what you've done to comply 18 research laboratories, so that provides us an 18 with HudsonAlpha's Code of Ethics and financial 19 opportunity to work with approximately 1200 19 disclosure requirements. 20 20 different laboratories from around the world in Their Code of Ethics and financial 21 21 support of roughly 5,000 projects over the last requirement is requirement to disclose any 22 nine and a half years. And that's -- it's 22 relationships that have a financial component 23 23 provided a broad spectrum of activities and over -- I don't recall the minimum amount, but it 24 abilities to work in these types of projects. 24 is -- it is fairly modest, hundreds of dollars. Page 99 Page 101 1 And then I also oversee the clinical 1 And that reporting requirement is the -- is -- is 2 laboratories as well. And adult oncology is a 2 for the previous year, and it is due in July, I 3 3 major focus of that research. I currently lead believe is the time frame, although I'd have to 4 the largest profiling effort in adult cancer in 4 make sure. It's -- I know it's not the end of 5 5 the nation, which involves 15 national cancer the calendar year. So on my next disclosure, 6 6 this, of course, activity would be disclosed. institutes. And ovarian cancer is a component of 7 that research, although not the only cancer that 7 In addition to that, via 8 8 we research in that -- in that's -- in that conversation -- regular review with the president 9 program. 9 of the institution, I provide a general report on 10 10 None of the 5,000 projects you just consulting activities; for example, these 11 mentioned have dealt with talc. Is that fair? 11 activities. 12 That is fair. 12 HudsonAlpha's policy is faculty members Α 13 Q And none of the work at the clinical 13 are allowed up to 20 percent of your time towards 14 labs that you just mentioned have dealt with 14 consulting activities that have a relationship to 15 15 talc; correct? your research area, such as the evaluation of the 16 MS. O'DELL: 16 biologically plausible mechanism of talc in 17 17 Object. ovarian cancer. So based on both the timing of 18 I am -- I would say there's a 18 the Code of Ethics with regards to the financial Α 19 statistical probability that some of the ovarian 19 disclosure as well as the ad hoc reporting of 20 cancer samples that have been observed in the 20 consulting engagements with the president of the 21 clinical laboratory may very well have 21 institution, I'm in compliance with the current 22 been -- have come from patients exposed to talcum 22 policies of HudsonAlpha. 23 powder. But I have no direct knowledge of that, 23 The president of HudsonAlpha is aware 24 nor have we performed any testing to confirm 24 of your opinions in this case?

	Page 102		Page 104
1	_	1	members on both institutions.
1 2	A I have not discussed my opinions	1 2	MS. BROWN:
3	specifically to this case with him; just the	3	Q Fair to say, then, Doctor, you have not
4	general knowledge that I was asked to participate as an expert witness. He didn't ask, and I	4	participated in any work with the University of
5	didn't provide the content.	5	Alabama's Comprehensive Cancer Center?
6	Q No one at HudsonAlpha is aware of your	6	MS. O'DELL:
7	opinion that talcum powder causes chronic	7	Object to the form.
8	inflammation which can cause ovarian cancer? Is	8	A No, that's not true.
9	that right?	9	MS. BROWN:
10	A I have I have not specifically	10	Q Have you worked with the University of
11	shared the contents of the report or or my	11	Alabama's Comprehensive Cancer Center on projects
12	opinions widely at HudsonAlpha.	12	involving ovarian cancer?
13	Q Did you disclose last July that you had	13	MS. O'DELL:
14	already been hired and submitted invoices to the	14	Objection. Asked and answered.
15	plaintiffs' lawyers?	15	A I would I would have to review the
16	A I'm sure I did.	16	specific projects that we've we've done to
17	Q Do you have that documentation?	17	answer that.
18	A No. It's it's an electronic	18	As the codirector of a core facility
19	disclosure. It's not actually done on paper.	19	for the University of Alabama Comprehensive
20	Q One of the things that HudsonAlpha does	20	Cancer Center, it is likely that we've worked on
21	is it partners with the University of Alabama in	21	some projects related to ovarian cancer, but I
22	a comprehensive cancer center; correct?	22	can't specifically name them. They are I
23	A No, that wouldn't be correct.	23	would I would characterize them as infrequent.
24	HudsonAlpha is very specific	24	MS. BROWN:
	Page 103		Page 105
1	And you may be more familiar with this	1	Q Have any of those projects attempted to
2			r
	than I.	2	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?
3	They're very specific with their use of		
3 4	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very	2	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly
	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything	2	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding
4	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not	2 3 4	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to
4 5	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University	2 3 4 5	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I
4 5 6 7 8	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly
4 5 6 7 8 9	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.
4 5 6 7 8 9	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty members at University of Alabama Birmingham who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.  Q Would you agree the cause of ovarian
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty members at University of Alabama Birmingham who are have adjunct appointments at HudsonAlpha,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.  Q Would you agree the cause of ovarian cancer remains unknown today?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty members at University of Alabama Birmingham who are have adjunct appointments at HudsonAlpha, just as I have appointments at University of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.  Q Would you agree the cause of ovarian cancer remains unknown today?  MS. O'DELL:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty members at University of Alabama Birmingham who are have adjunct appointments at HudsonAlpha, just as I have appointments at University of Alabama Birmingham and I am a member of their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.  Q Would you agree the cause of ovarian cancer remains unknown today?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty members at University of Alabama Birmingham who are have adjunct appointments at HudsonAlpha, just as I have appointments at University of Alabama Birmingham and I am a member of their cancer center.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.  Q Would you agree the cause of ovarian cancer remains unknown today?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No, I would I would I would not
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty members at University of Alabama Birmingham who are have adjunct appointments at HudsonAlpha, just as I have appointments at University of Alabama Birmingham and I am a member of their cancer center.  Q Are you aware of the work that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.  Q Would you agree the cause of ovarian cancer remains unknown today?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No, I would I would I would not agree that it I would not agree to that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty members at University of Alabama Birmingham who are have adjunct appointments at HudsonAlpha, just as I have appointments at University of Alabama Birmingham and I am a member of their cancer center.  Q Are you aware of the work that HudsonAlpha does with the University of Alabama's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.  Q Would you agree the cause of ovarian cancer remains unknown today?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No, I would I would I would not agree that it I would not agree to that general statement.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty members at University of Alabama Birmingham who are have adjunct appointments at HudsonAlpha, just as I have appointments at University of Alabama Birmingham and I am a member of their cancer center.  Q Are you aware of the work that HudsonAlpha does with the University of Alabama's Comprehensive Cancer Center?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.  Q Would you agree the cause of ovarian cancer remains unknown today?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No, I would I would I would not agree that it I would not agree to that general statement.  MS. BROWN:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty members at University of Alabama Birmingham who are have adjunct appointments at HudsonAlpha, just as I have appointments at University of Alabama Birmingham and I am a member of their cancer center.  Q Are you aware of the work that HudsonAlpha does with the University of Alabama's Comprehensive Cancer Center?  MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.  Q Would you agree the cause of ovarian cancer remains unknown today?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No, I would I would I would not agree that it I would not agree to that general statement.  MS. BROWN:  Q What are the causes of ovarian cancer
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty members at University of Alabama Birmingham who are have adjunct appointments at HudsonAlpha, just as I have appointments at University of Alabama Birmingham and I am a member of their cancer center.  Q Are you aware of the work that HudsonAlpha does with the University of Alabama's Comprehensive Cancer Center?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Asked and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.  Q Would you agree the cause of ovarian cancer remains unknown today?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No, I would I would I would not agree that it I would not agree to that general statement.  MS. BROWN:  Q What are the causes of ovarian cancer in your mind, Doctor?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty members at University of Alabama Birmingham who are have adjunct appointments at HudsonAlpha, just as I have appointments at University of Alabama Birmingham and I am a member of their cancer center.  Q Are you aware of the work that HudsonAlpha does with the University of Alabama's Comprehensive Cancer Center?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.  Q Would you agree the cause of ovarian cancer remains unknown today?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No, I would I would I would not agree that it I would not agree to that general statement.  MS. BROWN:  Q What are the causes of ovarian cancer in your mind, Doctor?  A Well, the the causes of of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty members at University of Alabama Birmingham who are have adjunct appointments at HudsonAlpha, just as I have appointments at University of Alabama Birmingham and I am a member of their cancer center.  Q Are you aware of the work that HudsonAlpha does with the University of Alabama's Comprehensive Cancer Center?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Asked and answered.  A I'm aware of some of the work, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.  Q Would you agree the cause of ovarian cancer remains unknown today?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No, I would I would I would not agree that it I would not agree to that general statement.  MS. BROWN:  Q What are the causes of ovarian cancer in your mind, Doctor?  A Well, the the causes of of a of any number of cancers, including ovarian
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty members at University of Alabama Birmingham who are have adjunct appointments at HudsonAlpha, just as I have appointments at University of Alabama Birmingham and I am a member of their cancer center.  Q Are you aware of the work that HudsonAlpha does with the University of Alabama's Comprehensive Cancer Center?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Asked and answered.  A I'm aware of some of the work, but I certainly I I don't necessarily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.  Q Would you agree the cause of ovarian cancer remains unknown today?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No, I would I would I would not agree that it I would not agree to that general statement.  MS. BROWN:  Q What are the causes of ovarian cancer in your mind, Doctor?  A Well, the the causes of of a of any number of cancers, including ovarian cancer, are probably more well understood now
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty members at University of Alabama Birmingham who are have adjunct appointments at HudsonAlpha, just as I have appointments at University of Alabama Birmingham and I am a member of their cancer center.  Q Are you aware of the work that HudsonAlpha does with the University of Alabama's Comprehensive Cancer Center?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Asked and answered.  A I'm aware of some of the work, but I certainly I I don't necessarily have knowledge of the full spectrum of those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.  Q Would you agree the cause of ovarian cancer remains unknown today?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No, I would I would I would not agree that it I would not agree to that general statement.  MS. BROWN:  Q What are the causes of ovarian cancer in your mind, Doctor?  A Well, the the causes of of a of any number of cancers, including ovarian cancer, are probably more well understood now than ever, and their complexities I think now are
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	They're very specific with their use of the word "partnership" and they're, in fact, very specific that they do not engage in a anything titled "a partnership." So they I would not characterize them as a partner of the University of Alabama Cancer Center.  We certainly have there are faculty members at University of Alabama Birmingham who are have adjunct appointments at HudsonAlpha, just as I have appointments at University of Alabama Birmingham and I am a member of their cancer center.  Q Are you aware of the work that HudsonAlpha does with the University of Alabama's Comprehensive Cancer Center?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Asked and answered.  A I'm aware of some of the work, but I certainly I I don't necessarily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	research the potential causes of ovarian cancer?  A Again, I'd have I'd have to review the projects. They're certainly fundamentally, most of the questions regarding the analysis of cancer samples are routinely to investigate their cause or their treatment. So I would I would answer that question as highly likely.  Q Would you agree the cause of ovarian cancer remains unknown today?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No, I would I would I would not agree that it I would not agree to that general statement.  MS. BROWN:  Q What are the causes of ovarian cancer in your mind, Doctor?  A Well, the the causes of of a of any number of cancers, including ovarian cancer, are probably more well understood now

1

2

3

4

7

14

16

17

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 106 1 that cancer is a disease of unregulated cell 2 3 Back to our earlier con- -- earlier

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

conversation, some of the fundamental facts that we had discussed and, in fact, I think well replicated in a number of sources, as you pointed out to me, you know, illustrate that there's a milieu of genetic change leading to cellular transformation, and that cellular damage, if we consolidate that as cellular damage, then has to work in concert with a number of other events providing the right environment for a tumor to grow, such as inflammation, chronic or acute. And, so, the -- you know, the -- the -- you know, giving a singular cause would be inappropriate.

But I would say the mechanistic causes of cancer are reasonably well understood, but how those apply to the wide diversity of cancer types remains an area of active investigation.

I think what's interesting on cancer in general is that there's no -- really no longer a bucket diagnosis. It is -- it -- lung cancer is more complex than lung cancer and ovarian cancer, certainly with the --

Page 108

Page 109

Now, the -- whether that represents the complete milieu of possibilities is -- is what is currently under research.

MS. BROWN:

5 Were you aware that the University of 6 Alabama Comprehensive Cancer Center is an NCI

center, National Cancer Institute?

8 Yes. It's -- it's not only an

9 NCI-designated center; it's an NCI-designated

10 comprehensive cancer center, which is a slightly

11 different classification. It's a -- there's more 12 criteria for a cancer center to meet to become

13 comprehensive.

What does it mean to be an NCI center,

15 to you, if you know?

> Stated very simply, it means you have a -- your cancer center is funded by a support

18 grant directly from the National Cancer Institute 19 to provide -- that supports not only patient care

20 but also supports basic research, epidemiology 21

and -- and health outcomes research in cancer.

So, in a nutshell, it is a fairly comprehensive grant that supports a wide variety of work within a cancer center that extends

Page 107

As I'm sure you're well aware, with the molecular subtypes and other things, it's a complicated disease as well.

So to summarize that is -- to summarize all of that complexity by saying that the cause is known or unknown I think would vastly underestimate the -- our current state of the art or knowledge of how complex cancer is as a condition.

Scientists, researchers, public health authorities continue to investigate the mechanism by which ovarian cancer is caused. Correct?

Α That's correct.

We do not, sitting here today in 2019, have a complete understanding of the etiology of

17 ovarian cancer. Correct?

MS. O'DELL:

Object to the form.

I would say we have substantial knowledge of factors and exposures that either predispose or directly cause cancer in a large

23 number of -- large number of cancer areas, 24

including ovarian cancer.

1 beyond basic -- basic care.

The National Cancer Institute has

funded a number of projects that the scientists

at HudsonAlpha are working on. Is that fair?

5 I'd have to certainly review the grant

6 portfolio. But I'm certain that, since I myself

have funding from that cancer center, yes, the

8 NCI does fund some -- some number of

9 investigators at HudsonAlpha.

10 And you consider the NCI to be a 11 reputable public health authority; correct?

12

No, not necessarily. The NCI is really 13 not a public health authority. The N -- the NCI

14 is a -- is a scientific administration center

15 within the National Institutes of Health. 16

Now, I'm speaking of their extramural programs. The NCI also have intramural programs, where they have their own researchers and their own projects. I'm less familiar with those activities.

But together, I would state that the NCI is a -- I don't have -- I guess I have not had any experience with the NCI that would lead me to say that they are an authoritative public

	Page 110		Page 112
1	health authority.	1	you are prepared to offer the opinion that talcum
2	Q Before forming your opinions in this	2	powder causes ovarian cancer.
3	case, Dr. Levy, did you look to see what the NCI	3	A I don't I don't think we have the
4	states about whether talcum powder causes ovarian	4	complete information for a sing you know, to
5	cancer?	5	have the opinion of a singular cause. But, at
6	A I believe I did see, from a number of	6	the same time, my opinions are that, as stated in
7	statements, certainly potentially from the NCI,	7	the report, there's a clear and well-evidenced
8	regarding the complete opinion and and	8	biologically plausible role for talcum powder
9	knowledge base for the role of talcum powder in	9	leading to ovarian cancer.
10	ovarian cancer.	10	Q On page 2 of your report, the second
11	Q Do you recall that the NCI has	11	full paragraph that begins "My report
12	concluded that there's inadequate evidence that	12	consists"
13	talcum powder increases the risk of ovarian	13	You with me?
14	cancer?	14	A Yes.
15	MS. O'DELL:	15	Q you state you reference your
16	Object to the form.	16	conclusions regarding this cause-and-effect
17	A Which what specifically are you	17	relationship.
18	referring to? I I wouldn't be able to answer	18	Do you see that?
19	that accurately without knowing which specific	19	A I do.
20	report or statement that you're referring to.	20	Q Do you mean by that that you have an
21	MS. BROWN:	21	opinion that talcum powder causes the effect of
22	Q I'm wondering if, sitting here today,	22	ovarian cancer?
23	you recall looking at information about the	23	A No. That that wasn't the meaning of
24	classification of risk factors for ovarian cancer	24	that statement of cause and effect. It was it
	Page 111		Page 113
1	as done by the NCI.	1	was a more of a general statement of a cause
2	A I don't recall that specifically. I	2	being exposure to talc and effect being that
3	don't also recall seeing any statements from the	3	biologically plausible mechanism.
4	NCI regarding safety of any product.	4	Q You mentioned a moment ago that you
5	Q In forming your opinions in this case,	5	don't think we have the complete info on a
6	Dr. Levy, did you consider the conclusions of	7	singular cause of ovarian cancer. Is that right? MS. O'DELL:
7	public health authorities like the FDA, the NCI,	_	Objection to form.
8	NIH as it relates to talcum powder in ovarian cancer?	8 9	•
		1 2	
9			A Sorry. Let me read your question
9 10	A So I certainly considered information	10	again.
9 10 11	A So I certainly considered information from each of those entities. But I would make a	10 11	again. I have I have not seen any evidence
9 10 11 12	A So I certainly considered information from each of those entities. But I would make a statement I don't I don't recall from any of	10 11 12	again.  I have I have not seen any evidence that suggests that there is a singular cause of
9 10 11 12 13	A So I certainly considered information from each of those entities. But I would make a statement I don't I don't recall from any of those entities seeing a single conclusion.	10 11 12 13	again.  I have I have not seen any evidence that suggests that there is a singular cause of ovarian cancer.
9 10 11 12 13 14	A So I certainly considered information from each of those entities. But I would make a statement I don't I don't recall from any of those entities seeing a single conclusion.  Q Is it your opinion, Dr. Levy, that	10 11 12 13 14	again.  I have I have not seen any evidence that suggests that there is a singular cause of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A So I certainly considered information from each of those entities. But I would make a statement I don't I don't recall from any of those entities seeing a single conclusion.  Q Is it your opinion, Dr. Levy, that talcum powder causes ovarian cancer?	10 11 12 13 14 15	again.  I have I have not seen any evidence that suggests that there is a singular cause of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q You have not seen sufficient evidence
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A So I certainly considered information from each of those entities. But I would make a statement I don't I don't recall from any of those entities seeing a single conclusion.  Q Is it your opinion, Dr. Levy, that talcum powder causes ovarian cancer?  A I wasn't asked to provide an opinion if	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	again.  I have I have not seen any evidence that suggests that there is a singular cause of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q You have not seen sufficient evidence to suggest that talcum powder could be one of the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A So I certainly considered information from each of those entities. But I would make a statement I don't I don't recall from any of those entities seeing a single conclusion.  Q Is it your opinion, Dr. Levy, that talcum powder causes ovarian cancer?  A I wasn't asked to provide an opinion if talcum powder causes cancer. I was I was	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	again.  I have I have not seen any evidence that suggests that there is a singular cause of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q You have not seen sufficient evidence to suggest that talcum powder could be one of the causes of ovarian cancer; correct?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A So I certainly considered information from each of those entities. But I would make a statement I don't I don't recall from any of those entities seeing a single conclusion.  Q Is it your opinion, Dr. Levy, that talcum powder causes ovarian cancer?  A I wasn't asked to provide an opinion if talcum powder causes cancer. I was I was asked to develop an opinion as to the biological	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	again.  I have I have not seen any evidence that suggests that there is a singular cause of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q You have not seen sufficient evidence to suggest that talcum powder could be one of the causes of ovarian cancer; correct?  MS. O'DELL:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A So I certainly considered information from each of those entities. But I would make a statement I don't I don't recall from any of those entities seeing a single conclusion.  Q Is it your opinion, Dr. Levy, that talcum powder causes ovarian cancer?  A I wasn't asked to provide an opinion if talcum powder causes cancer. I was I was asked to develop an opinion as to the biological plausibility of of talcum powder leading	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	again.  I have I have not seen any evidence that suggests that there is a singular cause of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q You have not seen sufficient evidence to suggest that talcum powder could be one of the causes of ovarian cancer; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A So I certainly considered information from each of those entities. But I would make a statement I don't I don't recall from any of those entities seeing a single conclusion.  Q Is it your opinion, Dr. Levy, that talcum powder causes ovarian cancer?  A I wasn't asked to provide an opinion if talcum powder causes cancer. I was I was asked to develop an opinion as to the biological plausibility of of talcum powder leading to leading to change.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	again.  I have I have not seen any evidence that suggests that there is a singular cause of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q You have not seen sufficient evidence to suggest that talcum powder could be one of the causes of ovarian cancer; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would disagree. As as stated,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A So I certainly considered information from each of those entities. But I would make a statement I don't I don't recall from any of those entities seeing a single conclusion.  Q Is it your opinion, Dr. Levy, that talcum powder causes ovarian cancer?  A I wasn't asked to provide an opinion if talcum powder causes cancer. I was I was asked to develop an opinion as to the biological plausibility of of talcum powder leading to leading to change.  Now, that's what I was asked from the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	again.  I have I have not seen any evidence that suggests that there is a singular cause of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q You have not seen sufficient evidence to suggest that talcum powder could be one of the causes of ovarian cancer; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would disagree. As as stated, the I have not seen evidence that there's a
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A So I certainly considered information from each of those entities. But I would make a statement I don't I don't recall from any of those entities seeing a single conclusion.  Q Is it your opinion, Dr. Levy, that talcum powder causes ovarian cancer?  A I wasn't asked to provide an opinion if talcum powder causes cancer. I was I was asked to develop an opinion as to the biological plausibility of of talcum powder leading to leading to change.  Now, that's what I was asked from the attorneys. If you're asking are you asking me	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	again.  I have I have not seen any evidence that suggests that there is a singular cause of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q You have not seen sufficient evidence to suggest that talcum powder could be one of the causes of ovarian cancer; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would disagree. As as stated, the I have not seen evidence that there's a singular cause of ovarian cancer. I think there
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A So I certainly considered information from each of those entities. But I would make a statement I don't I don't recall from any of those entities seeing a single conclusion.  Q Is it your opinion, Dr. Levy, that talcum powder causes ovarian cancer?  A I wasn't asked to provide an opinion if talcum powder causes cancer. I was I was asked to develop an opinion as to the biological plausibility of of talcum powder leading to leading to change.  Now, that's what I was asked from the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	again.  I have I have not seen any evidence that suggests that there is a singular cause of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q You have not seen sufficient evidence to suggest that talcum powder could be one of the causes of ovarian cancer; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would disagree. As as stated, the I have not seen evidence that there's a

Page 114 Page 116 1 cellular change within the ovary which then leads 1 of observations and studies that 2 to malignant transformation, and that, as stated 2 have -- certainly exist. And, again, their 3 3 in the report, there's a biologically plausible review and -- and content is what went to the 4 mechanism that exposure to talcum powder and its 4 opinions in my report. 5 constituents can create those necessary changes. 5 And most of the studies that you cite, 6 MS. BROWN: 6 Dr. Levy, talking about chronic inflammation 7 7 Do you believe, Doctor, there's refer to chronic inflammation as a hypothesis of 8 sufficient evidence that talcum powder, through 8 one of the ways cancer might form in the ovary. 9 chronic inflammation, causes ovarian cancer in 9 Correct? 10 some individuals? 10 MS. O'DELL: 11 No. That -- that was not my -- not my 11 Object to the form. 12 opinion or statement. And I would say 12 Let me -- sorry. Let me read your 13 13 specifically chronic inflammation is, again, question. 14 narrowing the focus in an inappropriate way, and 14 No. I would disagree. At least, 15 the evidence doesn't illustrate that chronic 15 certainly not most of the studies that I cite. MS. BROWN: 16 inflammation is a singular sufficient detail or, 16 17 17 I should say, effect to result in ovarian cancer. Do you believe chronic inflammation is 18 It's certainly a factor, as -- as well described 18 an established mechanism of ovarian cancer? 19 in the -- in the literature. 19 Yes, in the sense that chronic 20 And -- and, again, I would defer to 20 inflammation is a well-established mechanism of 21 other expert reports that have similar opinions 21 cancer in general, including ovarian cancer. 22 regarding inflammation, chronic inflammation 22 This is first observed in the 1800s and has since 23 being one of them. 23 been -- become well-established in the -- in the 24 24 And it may be important to provide an cancer field that inflammation plays a Page 115 Page 117 important distinction that cellular damage or significant role in both the initiation as well 1 1 2 what we can refer to as acute inflammation can 2 as progression of cancer. 3 3 cause -- certainly has been shown and is What methodology did you employ for 4 well-evidenced that it causes -- can lead to 4 coming to the opinion that chronic inflammation 5 5 molecular changes that can lead to cancer. is a well-established cause of ovarian cancer? 6 Chronic inflammation is a slightly --6 Just general mechanism in terms of 7 is in a slightly different biological perspective 7 evaluating biological plausibility. 8 8 in that it provides the correct environment for I understand, Dr. Levy, you have a 9 those cancerous changes to take hold and allow 9 general opinion that chronic inflammation can 10 malignant transformation, as I mentioned. 10 lead to some cancer. Is that right? 11 So I -- I do view them as working in 11 MS. O'DELL: 12 concert but not necessarily independent. So when 12 Objection to form. Misstates his 13 you ask a question that specifically narrows it 13 14 14 to chronic inflammation or even acute I -- I have an opinion regarding the 15 inflammation in a singular fashion, you know, my 15 role and importance of inflammation in the 16 answers will largely be the same, that that's, in 16 initiation and progression of cancer. 17 17 and of itself, is too limited to describe as a MS. BROWN: 18 specific cause, singular or otherwise, of ovarian 18 And, as it relates to ovarian cancer, 19 cancer or of cancer in general. 19 what methodology did you employ to arrive at your 20 You'd agree that the research regarding 20 conclusion that chronic inflammation is an 21 whether chronic inflammation can cause ovarian 21 established cause of ovarian cancer? 22 cancer is ongoing? 22 I -- I did not arrive at that specific 23 23 Yes, I would agree it is -- it is conclusion, nor was I asked to. 24 ongoing research. But there are a large number 24 You do not believe that chronic

PageID: 204077 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

2 3 4 5 6 7	inflammation has been established as a cause of ovarian cancer; correct?  MS. O'DELL:	1 2	from animal models to in vitro studies, in vivo studies, cohort studies, case-control studies.
2 3 4 5 6 7	ovarian cancer; correct? MS. O'DELL:		
4 5 6 7			stadies, contoit stadies, case-control stadies.
5 6 7	01:	3	There was quite a broad spectrum of information
6 7	Object to the form.	4	across a large number of years.
7	A No, that that's not what I said.	5	Q Do you believe you reviewed the
	MS. BROWN:	6	totality of the epidemiology on talcum powder use
8	Q Explain it to me.	7	and ovarian cancer?
	A I've stated that chronic inflammation	8	MS. O'DELL:
9	or inflammation in general, including chronic and	9	Object to the form.
10	acute infor inflammation, is a component and a	10	A I I reviewed the available studies
11	necessary component for the initiation and	11	that appeared to be relevant for the for the
12	progression of of cancer as we understand it	12	opinions that are expressed in my report.
	today. And, in that, cancer, certainly ovarian	13	MS. BROWN:
	cancer as well as a variety of other cancer	14	Q And when you say "available," what do
	types, is included.	15	you mean?
	Q What methodology did you employ to	16	A Meaning that I could I could
	arrive at the conclusion that ovarian cancer is	17	discover in the scientific literature.
	one of the cancers that can be caused by chronic	18	Q Did you conduct your own literature
	inflammation?	19	searches in connection with your work in this
20	MS. O'DELL:	20	case?
21	Object to the form. Misstates his	21	A I did.
22	testimony.	22	Q How did you go about finding the
	A Yeah. Again, we're not I'm not	23	totality of the evidence relating to whether
24	making a specific causal opinion with respect to	24	talcum powder causes ovarian cancer?
	Page 119		Page 121
1	any whether whether inflammation, talcum	1	A So the my methodology for the
	powder use or other exposures. I my my	2	literature review in establishing my opinion
	opinion in the report is is was not asked	3	regarding the biological plausibility of talcum
	to be a causal opinion.	4	powder exposure inflammation and its potential
	MS. BROWN:	5	role in ovarian cancer was based on, you know, my
6	Q You reference on page 2 of your report	6	activities and many other literature searches, so
	that your opinions are based on assessing and	7	using a variety of computational tools and and
	weighing the totality of the evidence, including	8	web-based resources, from journals to, I would
	relevant literature and available documentation	9	say, primarily PubMed being a resource, but also
10	and your experience as a geneticist and	10	ISI, Web of Science, Google Scholar and a variety
11	scientific researcher. Do you see that?	11	of bioRxiv and I'm sure a number of other
12	A Yes.	12	sources. But those were probably the more
	Q What do you mean by "the totality of	13	primary resources for establishing what
14	the evidence"?	14	literature was available.
	A All of the evidence available at the	15	Q Did you ask the plaintiffs' lawyers for
16	time that I was researching this report.	16	any scientific literature that you used in
	Q All of the evidence concerning what?	17	forming your opinions in this case?
	A Concerning a variety of subjects	18	A What do you mean by "ask"? There
	surrounding ovarian cancer, talcum powder use,	19	is as far as did I ask for their similar
	and then inflammation and related subjects as my	20	process, no.
	literature review and review of available	21	There were some papers that I had
	information progressed.	22	identified but was not able to access the full
23	So there was a, I guess, a large number	23	content via the libraries that I have access to.
24	of tangential directions that that I examined,	24	So in some of those cases, specific references

1	Page 122		Page 124
1	that I provided, those full that full content	1	relying on information in that article to form
2	was provided by the plaintiffs' lawyer to allow	2	your opinions in this case?
3	me to review it.	3	A No. I'm not relying on any singular
4	Q Did the plaintiffs' lawyers give you a	4	article or source to form my opinion on the case.
5	set of epidemiology on which you're relying on to	5	Q Are you relying in part on the
6	form your opinion?	6	information contained in the Blount article?
7	A No, they did not.	7	A Since I include it in the cited
8	Q If I look at your report, I see a	8	literature, certainly in some in some part.
9	reference list and then a separate Exhibit B. Is	9	Q What information are you relying on in
10	that right?	10	the Blount article?
11	A Yes.	11	A I would have to review the article to
12	Q So, for example, on page 18 of your	12	remind myself where the
13	report, you have a list of literature cited.	13	Q Take a look at it. We'll pull it right
14	Correct?	14	now.
15	A Yes.	15	What about Paoletti on page 22? Was
16	Let me make sure I have the page	16	that something you found on your own or did the
17	correct.	17	lawyers give you that?
18	Yes, beginning on page 18.	18	A So Paoletti
19	Q Is everything that appears in the	19	Q Uh-huh.
20	literature-cited list something that you found on	20	A Page 22?
21	your own, Dr. Levy?	21	Q Uh-huh.
22	A I would have to review the the list.	22	A Actually, the Paoletti one is familiar.
23	But there are certainly	23	That's an interesting one because it's in
24	Let me	24	Italian.
1	I believe the Saed abstracts, as an	1	Q Are you relying on the information in
2	example	2	the Paoletti article to form your opinions in the
3	Let me see if there are	3	case?
4	No. I I believe, in the literature	4	A Again, the I wasn't relying on any
5	cited, there are certainly some number of	5	singular article but instead tried to present and
	examples of information that was provided during		singular article but instead tried to present and
6	examples of information that was provided during	1 6	provide reference to as comprehensive a
6 7	the course of the development of my report from	6	provide reference to as comprehensive a
7	the course of the development of my report from	7	collection of relevant literature in this in
7 8	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature	7 8	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti,
7 8 9	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature for my consideration, but that in no case in	7 8 9	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti, although being in Italian, there were some
7 8 9 10	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature for my consideration, but that in no case in every case it was provided as a as	7 8 9 10	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti, although being in Italian, there were some enough translated aspects of that that it was
7 8 9 10 11	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature for my consideration, but that in no case in every case it was provided as a as information.	7 8 9 10 11	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti, although being in Italian, there were some enough translated aspects of that that it was worthy to include in the in that cited
7 8 9 10 11 12	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature for my consideration, but that in no case in every case it was provided as a as information.  The vast majority or nearly the	7 8 9 10 11 12	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti, although being in Italian, there were some enough translated aspects of that that it was worthy to include in the in that cited literature as being relevant to the to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature for my consideration, but that in no case in every case it was provided as a as information.  The vast majority or nearly the totality of this was information that I had	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti, although being in Italian, there were some enough translated aspects of that that it was worthy to include in the in that cited literature as being relevant to the to those to those opinions.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature for my consideration, but that in no case in every case it was provided as a as information.  The vast majority or nearly the totality of this was information that I had that I indeed discovered myself and shared with	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti, although being in Italian, there were some enough translated aspects of that that it was worthy to include in the in that cited literature as being relevant to the to those to those opinions.  Q Just to make sure we get on the same
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature for my consideration, but that in no case in every case it was provided as a as information.  The vast majority or nearly the totality of this was information that I had that I indeed discovered myself and shared with the the attorneys, but certainly not complete.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti, although being in Italian, there were some enough translated aspects of that that it was worthy to include in the in that cited literature as being relevant to the to those to those opinions.  Q Just to make sure we get on the same page here, Dr. Levy, when I ask are you relying
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature for my consideration, but that in no case in every case it was provided as a as information.  The vast majority or nearly the totality of this was information that I had that I indeed discovered myself and shared with the the attorneys, but certainly not complete.  Q On page 18 you cite an article by	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti, although being in Italian, there were some enough translated aspects of that that it was worthy to include in the in that cited literature as being relevant to the to those to those opinions.  Q Just to make sure we get on the same page here, Dr. Levy, when I ask are you relying on something, I don't mean by that question to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature for my consideration, but that in no case in every case it was provided as a as information.  The vast majority or nearly the totality of this was information that I had that I indeed discovered myself and shared with the the attorneys, but certainly not complete.  Q On page 18 you cite an article by Blount.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti, although being in Italian, there were some enough translated aspects of that that it was worthy to include in the in that cited literature as being relevant to the to those to those opinions.  Q Just to make sure we get on the same page here, Dr. Levy, when I ask are you relying on something, I don't mean by that question to suggest it's the only thing you're relying on.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature for my consideration, but that in no case in every case it was provided as a as information.  The vast majority or nearly the totality of this was information that I had that I indeed discovered myself and shared with the the attorneys, but certainly not complete.  Q On page 18 you cite an article by Blount.  Do you see that?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti, although being in Italian, there were some enough translated aspects of that that it was worthy to include in the in that cited literature as being relevant to the to those to those opinions.  Q Just to make sure we get on the same page here, Dr. Levy, when I ask are you relying on something, I don't mean by that question to suggest it's the only thing you're relying on.  And I'll try to say "in part" to make it easy for
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature for my consideration, but that in no case in every case it was provided as a as information.  The vast majority or nearly the totality of this was information that I had that I indeed discovered myself and shared with the the attorneys, but certainly not complete.  Q On page 18 you cite an article by Blount.  Do you see that?  A Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti, although being in Italian, there were some enough translated aspects of that that it was worthy to include in the in that cited literature as being relevant to the to those to those opinions.  Q Just to make sure we get on the same page here, Dr. Levy, when I ask are you relying on something, I don't mean by that question to suggest it's the only thing you're relying on. And I'll try to say "in part" to make it easy for us. Okay?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature for my consideration, but that in no case in every case it was provided as a as information.  The vast majority or nearly the totality of this was information that I had that I indeed discovered myself and shared with the the attorneys, but certainly not complete.  Q On page 18 you cite an article by Blount.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Was that given to you by the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti, although being in Italian, there were some enough translated aspects of that that it was worthy to include in the in that cited literature as being relevant to the to those to those opinions.  Q Just to make sure we get on the same page here, Dr. Levy, when I ask are you relying on something, I don't mean by that question to suggest it's the only thing you're relying on. And I'll try to say "in part" to make it easy for us. Okay?  A Right. Just want to be make sure
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature for my consideration, but that in no case in every case it was provided as a as information.  The vast majority or nearly the totality of this was information that I had that I indeed discovered myself and shared with the the attorneys, but certainly not complete.  Q On page 18 you cite an article by Blount.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Was that given to you by the plaintiffs' lawyers?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti, although being in Italian, there were some enough translated aspects of that that it was worthy to include in the in that cited literature as being relevant to the to those to those opinions.  Q Just to make sure we get on the same page here, Dr. Levy, when I ask are you relying on something, I don't mean by that question to suggest it's the only thing you're relying on. And I'll try to say "in part" to make it easy for us. Okay?  A Right. Just want to be make sure we're clear.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature for my consideration, but that in no case in every case it was provided as a as information.  The vast majority or nearly the totality of this was information that I had that I indeed discovered myself and shared with the the attorneys, but certainly not complete.  Q On page 18 you cite an article by Blount.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Was that given to you by the plaintiffs' lawyers?  A I'd have to look at my records. I	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti, although being in Italian, there were some enough translated aspects of that that it was worthy to include in the in that cited literature as being relevant to the to those to those opinions.  Q Just to make sure we get on the same page here, Dr. Levy, when I ask are you relying on something, I don't mean by that question to suggest it's the only thing you're relying on. And I'll try to say "in part" to make it easy for us. Okay?  A Right. Just want to be make sure we're clear.  Q Absolutely. So do I.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the plaintiffs' attorneys in terms of literature for my consideration, but that in no case in every case it was provided as a as information.  The vast majority or nearly the totality of this was information that I had that I indeed discovered myself and shared with the the attorneys, but certainly not complete.  Q On page 18 you cite an article by Blount.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Was that given to you by the plaintiffs' lawyers?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	collection of relevant literature in this in this space as possible, of which Paoletti, although being in Italian, there were some enough translated aspects of that that it was worthy to include in the in that cited literature as being relevant to the to those to those opinions.  Q Just to make sure we get on the same page here, Dr. Levy, when I ask are you relying on something, I don't mean by that question to suggest it's the only thing you're relying on. And I'll try to say "in part" to make it easy for us. Okay?  A Right. Just want to be make sure we're clear.

	Page 126		Page 128
1	your opinions in this case?	1	presented.
2	A I would say in in part. As far as	2	MS. BROWN:
3	my opinions regarding the biologically plausible	3	Q Do you believe that baby talc alone can
4	mechanism that was presented, no, it does not	4	cause inflammation that may lead to ovarian
5	rely on that specific conclusions of that paper	5	cancer?
6	but, rather, that paper was included because of	6	A Based on my review of the literature,
7	its results regarding asbestos contamination in	7	there are a number of studies, both of those
8	industrial tale, which only support add	8	involving human studies in terms of case
9	support to the mechanism that I presented in the	9	controls, as well as a number of animal studies
10	report.	10	and then, more specifically, in vitro studies
11	Q Is your opinion in this case, Doctor,	11	that look at talcum powder and its ability to
12	based on an assumption that baby powder contains	12	produce clear markers of inflammation.
13	asbestos?	13	I am the I am not aware of any
14	A No, it is not.	14	specific testing that looked at platy talc
15	MS. O'DELL:	15	individually as a singular component without
16	Object to the form.	16	the or out of the context of the products we
17	MS. BROWN:	17	were just describing in a similar analysis. So I
18	Q Is your opinion in this case based on	18	don't I don't know that answer.
19	an assumption that baby powder contains	19	Q Is it your opinion that
20	fragrances?	20	Johnson & Johnson baby powder products are
21	MS. O'DELL:	21	contaminated with asbestos?
22	Objection to form.	22	MS. O'DELL:
23	A My my opinion considers the totality	23	Object to the form. Asked and
24	of the constituent components of baby powder,	24	answered.
	Page 127		Page 129
1		1	
1 2	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as	1 2	A I I I have I have been
	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or		A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are
2	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as	2	A I I I have I have been
2	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as	2 3	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were
2 3 4	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the	2 3 4	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number
2 3 4 5	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being	2 3 4 5	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples,
2 3 4 5 6	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably	2 3 4 5 6	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know, limited to any any singular component, whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those reports showed contamination by asbestos or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know, limited to any any singular component, whether it be majority or minority, in the in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those reports showed contamination by asbestos or or or asbestos-like fiber. So, therefore,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know, limited to any any singular component, whether it be majority or minority, in the in the talcum powder products, as I just stated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those reports showed contamination by asbestos or or or asbestos-like fiber. So, therefore, I've been presented with that evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know, limited to any any singular component, whether it be majority or minority, in the in the talcum powder products, as I just stated.  MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those reports showed contamination by asbestos or or or asbestos-like fiber. So, therefore, I've been presented with that evidence.  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know, limited to any any singular component, whether it be majority or minority, in the in the talcum powder products, as I just stated.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is your opinion in this case based on an assumption that Johnson & Johnson baby powder products contain heavy metals?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those reports showed contamination by asbestos or or or asbestos-like fiber. So, therefore, I've been presented with that evidence.  MS. BROWN:  Q Have you relied on that evidence in forming your opinions in this case?  A Again, no, not not as a singular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know, limited to any any singular component, whether it be majority or minority, in the in the talcum powder products, as I just stated.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is your opinion in this case based on an assumption that Johnson & Johnson baby powder products contain heavy metals?  MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those reports showed contamination by asbestos or or or asbestos-like fiber. So, therefore, I've been presented with that evidence.  MS. BROWN:  Q Have you relied on that evidence in forming your opinions in this case?  A Again, no, not not as a singular evidence. So, as we just discussed a moment ago,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know, limited to any any singular component, whether it be majority or minority, in the in the talcum powder products, as I just stated.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is your opinion in this case based on an assumption that Johnson & Johnson baby powder products contain heavy metals?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those reports showed contamination by asbestos or or or asbestos-like fiber. So, therefore, I've been presented with that evidence.  MS. BROWN:  Q Have you relied on that evidence in forming your opinions in this case?  A Again, no, not not as a singular evidence. So, as we just discussed a moment ago, that is a component piece of evidence that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know, limited to any any singular component, whether it be majority or minority, in the in the talcum powder products, as I just stated.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is your opinion in this case based on an assumption that Johnson & Johnson baby powder products contain heavy metals?  MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Again, similar to the earlier	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those reports showed contamination by asbestos or or or asbestos-like fiber. So, therefore, I've been presented with that evidence.  MS. BROWN:  Q Have you relied on that evidence in forming your opinions in this case?  A Again, no, not not as a singular evidence. So, as we just discussed a moment ago, that is a component piece of evidence that leads and is supportive of the biologically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know, limited to any any singular component, whether it be majority or minority, in the in the talcum powder products, as I just stated.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is your opinion in this case based on an assumption that Johnson & Johnson baby powder products contain heavy metals?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A Again, similar to the earlier statement, the opinion is not subject to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those reports showed contamination by asbestos or or or asbestos-like fiber. So, therefore, I've been presented with that evidence.  MS. BROWN:  Q Have you relied on that evidence in forming your opinions in this case?  A Again, no, not not as a singular evidence. So, as we just discussed a moment ago, that is a component piece of evidence that leads and is supportive of the biologically plausible mechanism described in the report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know, limited to any any singular component, whether it be majority or minority, in the in the talcum powder products, as I just stated.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is your opinion in this case based on an assumption that Johnson & Johnson baby powder products contain heavy metals?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A Again, similar to the earlier statement, the opinion is not subject to any any singular component. I think the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those reports showed contamination by asbestos or or or asbestos-like fiber. So, therefore, I've been presented with that evidence.  MS. BROWN:  Q Have you relied on that evidence in forming your opinions in this case?  A Again, no, not not as a singular evidence. So, as we just discussed a moment ago, that is a component piece of evidence that leads and is supportive of the biologically plausible mechanism described in the report.  You know, certainly, it is inarguable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know, limited to any any singular component, whether it be majority or minority, in the in the talcum powder products, as I just stated.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is your opinion in this case based on an assumption that Johnson & Johnson baby powder products contain heavy metals?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A Again, similar to the earlier statement, the opinion is not subject to any any singular component. I think the information regarding the in deferring to some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those reports showed contamination by asbestos or or or asbestos-like fiber. So, therefore, I've been presented with that evidence.  MS. BROWN:  Q Have you relied on that evidence in forming your opinions in this case?  A Again, no, not not as a singular evidence. So, as we just discussed a moment ago, that is a component piece of evidence that leads and is supportive of the biologically plausible mechanism described in the report.  You know, certainly, it is inarguable that asbestos and asbestos-like fibers cause
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know, limited to any any singular component, whether it be majority or minority, in the in the talcum powder products, as I just stated.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is your opinion in this case based on an assumption that Johnson & Johnson baby powder products contain heavy metals?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A Again, similar to the earlier statement, the opinion is not subject to any any singular component. I think the information regarding the in deferring to some of the other experts regarding the knowledge of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those reports showed contamination by asbestos or or or asbestos-like fiber. So, therefore, I've been presented with that evidence.  MS. BROWN:  Q Have you relied on that evidence in forming your opinions in this case?  A Again, no, not not as a singular evidence. So, as we just discussed a moment ago, that is a component piece of evidence that leads and is supportive of the biologically plausible mechanism described in the report.  You know, certainly, it is inarguable that asbestos and asbestos-like fibers cause inflammation. There's also ample evidence of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know, limited to any any singular component, whether it be majority or minority, in the in the talcum powder products, as I just stated.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is your opinion in this case based on an assumption that Johnson & Johnson baby powder products contain heavy metals?  MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Again, similar to the earlier statement, the opinion is not subject to any any singular component. I think the information regarding the in deferring to some of the other experts regarding the knowledge of constituent components, whether they be heavy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those reports showed contamination by asbestos or or or asbestos-like fiber. So, therefore, I've been presented with that evidence.  MS. BROWN:  Q Have you relied on that evidence in forming your opinions in this case?  A Again, no, not not as a singular evidence. So, as we just discussed a moment ago, that is a component piece of evidence that leads and is supportive of the biologically plausible mechanism described in the report.  You know, certainly, it is inarguable that asbestos and asbestos-like fibers cause inflammation. There's also ample evidence of the inflammatory effects of talc. And and talc
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know, limited to any any singular component, whether it be majority or minority, in the in the talcum powder products, as I just stated.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is your opinion in this case based on an assumption that Johnson & Johnson baby powder products contain heavy metals?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A Again, similar to the earlier statement, the opinion is not subject to any any singular component. I think the information regarding the in deferring to some of the other experts regarding the knowledge of constituent components, whether they be heavy metals or asbestos, only helps to support the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those reports showed contamination by asbestos or or or asbestos-like fiber. So, therefore, I've been presented with that evidence.  MS. BROWN:  Q Have you relied on that evidence in forming your opinions in this case?  A Again, no, not not as a singular evidence. So, as we just discussed a moment ago, that is a component piece of evidence that leads and is supportive of the biologically plausible mechanism described in the report.  You know, certainly, it is inarguable that asbestos and asbestos-like fibers cause inflammation. There's also ample evidence of the inflammatory effects of talc. And and talc pleurodesis, for example, is is designed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Shower to Shower, you know, under either, as we've been referring to it simply as talc or talcum powder or by trade names such as Johnson & Johnson or Shower to Shower, so the my opinions, as stated in the report, being reasonably or trying to be reasonably comprehensive. Therefore, it's not, you know, limited to any any singular component, whether it be majority or minority, in the in the talcum powder products, as I just stated.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is your opinion in this case based on an assumption that Johnson & Johnson baby powder products contain heavy metals?  MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Again, similar to the earlier statement, the opinion is not subject to any any singular component. I think the information regarding the in deferring to some of the other experts regarding the knowledge of constituent components, whether they be heavy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I I I have I have been provided expert report, and some of those are referenced in the in the report, as we were describing, that describe testing of a number of number of samples, included Johnson & Johnson included in that, that showed how they that the results of those reports showed contamination by asbestos or or or asbestos-like fiber. So, therefore, I've been presented with that evidence.  MS. BROWN:  Q Have you relied on that evidence in forming your opinions in this case?  A Again, no, not not as a singular evidence. So, as we just discussed a moment ago, that is a component piece of evidence that leads and is supportive of the biologically plausible mechanism described in the report.  You know, certainly, it is inarguable that asbestos and asbestos-like fibers cause inflammation. There's also ample evidence of the inflammatory effects of talc. And and talc

	D 120		Davis 120
	Page 130		Page 132
1	So I think, again, similar to the	1	in any of the above-referenced studies.
2	relationship of asbestos and inflammation, it's a	2	MS. O'DELL:
3	well-established scientific fact that talc has an	3	Objection. Misstates his testimony.
4	inflammatory role now. Or I should say as of	4	A So reading reading back my
5	today.	5	testimony
6	Q Have you attempted to quantify, based	6	MS. BROWN:
7	on the reports of Dr. Longo that you reviewed,	7	Q So, Doctor, I see that you're looking
8	how much asbestos contamination is in	8	at the realtime?
9	Johnson & Johnson baby powder products?	9	A Yes.
10	MS. O'DELL:	10	Q To get clarification on the question?
11	Objection. Vague as to form.	11	A No. To to remem to you asked
12	A I	12	me a question about my statement.
13	MS. O'DELL:	13	Q Correct.
14	As to the volume and time contained,	14	A And I was reviewing specifically what I
15	et cetera.	15	had stated so I could answer your question
16	A My my answer is simply that I wasn't	16	accurately.
17	asked to quantify that as part of my report.	17	Q Terrific. So I want to know what you
18	MS. BROWN:	18	were talking about when you said you were unable
19	Q Whether there is asbestos in Johnson &	19	to discover the contamination rate.
20	Johnson baby powder products or not does not	20	A To clarify, I was not asked to estimate
21	impact your opinions in this case; is that right?	21	or determine the contamination rate, and my
22	MS. O'DELL:	22	statement regarding that was in reference to the
23	Object to the form.	23	material I reviewed and the literature that is
24	A The opinions regarding the biological	24	referenced in my report. I don't recall in any
	Page 131		Page 133
1	plausibility described in my report and its	1	of those studies observing a specific statement
2	relationship to asbestos are somewhat separate,	2	of amount of asbestos in the talcum powder
3	meaning that I have I was not able to discover	3	products that were under study. So, therefore, I
4	what the contamination rate or content of	4	am not able to form an opinion surrounding that
5	asbestos was in any of the referenced studies	5	contamination rate.
6	through the course of my report, so, therefore, I	6	Q Would the same be true, Doctor, for
7	can't comment on the likelihood or of of	7	heavy metals?
8	how many or any or any or all of those samples	8	A Yes, that's correct.
9	contain asbestos.	9	Q And when I say the same would be true,
10	MS. BROWN:	10	that means you were not able to calculate a rate
11	Q And sounds like you did some work	11	of heavy metal contamination of any of the talcum
12	attempting to see if you could calculate a	12	powder products in the studies you reviewed?
13	contamination rate. Is that what you were	13	MS. O'DELL:
14	describing?	14	Objection. Vague.
15	MS. O'DELL:	15	A I was not asked to.
16	Object object to the form.	16	MS. BROWN:
17	Misstates his testimony.	17	Q Did you attempt to quantify the amount
18	A No. No, not at all. I stated that I	18	of heavy metals?
19	didn't have information available to assess	19	MS. O'DELL:
20	either either way.	20	Objection.
21	MS. BROWN:	21	A I certainly reviewed the literature to
22	Q Tell me what you meant when you	22	understand what information was available
23	testified that you were not able to discover what	23	regarding the products that may have been used
24	the contamination rate or content of asbestos was	24	and what testing may have been done on
1		1	

	Page 134		Page 136
1	those on those products.	1	fragrances as well as asbestos, I would say my
2	MS. BROWN:	2	opinion now is that that information continues to
3	Q And, as it relates to fragrances, have	3	support the biologically plausible mechanism
4	you calculated the amount of fragrances that are	4	presented in my report.
5	present in Johnson & Johnson's baby powder	5	MS. BROWN:
6	products?	6	Q Your opinion that chronic inflammation
7	MS. O'DELL:	7	is a biologically plausible mechanism by which
8	Objection to form.	8	talcum powder could cause ovarian cancer is not
9	A I I wasn't asked to to make those	9	dependent on heavy metals being present in talcum
10	calculations. And I would defer to other expert	10	powder; correct?
11	reports that I had an opportunity to review	11	MS. O'DELL:
12	recently that did perform those calculations.	12	Object to the form. Asked and
13	MS. BROWN:	13	answered.
14	Q Your opinions in this case are not	14	A My my opinions are not based on
15	dependent on whether or not	15	on any singular component or constituent because
16	A I think that was	16	the the available information did not
17	Q there are fragrances in	17	scientifically test any singular components
18	Johnson & Johnson's baby powder; correct?	18	or or allow
19	MS. O'DELL:	19	I'm not aware of any studies that
20	Objection.	20	examine the inflammatory or other effects of
21	A Sorry. Let me read that.	21	talcum powder that contained heavy metals versus
22	Sorry. Could you rephrase your	22	did not.
23	question? The question that appears on the	23	MS. BROWN:
24	monitor is that there are fragrances in	24	Q So, for purposes of your opinions in
	Page 135		Page 137
1	Page 135	1	Page 137
1	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark.	1	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to
2	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark. MS. BROWN:	2	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not
2 3	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark.  MS. BROWN:  Q That's why it's tricky when you read	2 3	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?
2 3 4	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark. MS. BROWN: Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll	2 3 4	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct? MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark. MS. BROWN: Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful.	2 3 4 5	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.
2 3 4 5 6	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark. MS. BROWN: Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful. Your opinion in this case is not	2 3 4 5 6	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say
2 3 4 5 6 7	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark.  MS. BROWN:  Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful.  Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances	2 3 4 5 6 7	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark. MS. BROWN: Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful. Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark. MS. BROWN: Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful. Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct? MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the perspective of that biologically plausible
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark. MS. BROWN: Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful. Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Excuse me. Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the perspective of that biologically plausible mechanism, and that includes the type of talc,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark. MS. BROWN: Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful. Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Excuse me. Objection to form. You may refer to realtime any time you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the perspective of that biologically plausible mechanism, and that includes the type of talc, the structure of the talc, you know, its any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark.  MS. BROWN:  Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful.  Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. Objection to form.  You may refer to realtime any time you want to, Doctor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the perspective of that biologically plausible mechanism, and that includes the type of talc, the structure of the talc, you know, its any potential contaminants that are there, as well as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark. MS. BROWN: Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful. Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Excuse me. Objection to form. You may refer to realtime any time you want to, Doctor. But I object to the form of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the perspective of that biologically plausible mechanism, and that includes the type of talc, the structure of the talc, you know, its any potential contaminants that are there, as well as the complete spectrum of other constituent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark.  MS. BROWN:  Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful.  Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. Objection to form.  You may refer to realtime any time you want to, Doctor.  But I object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the perspective of that biologically plausible mechanism, and that includes the type of talc, the structure of the talc, you know, its any potential contaminants that are there, as well as the complete spectrum of other constituent components, fragrances, heavy metals.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark.  MS. BROWN:  Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful.  Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. Objection to form.  You may refer to realtime any time you want to, Doctor.  But I object to the form of the question.  A So my my I was what was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the perspective of that biologically plausible mechanism, and that includes the type of talc, the structure of the talc, you know, its any potential contaminants that are there, as well as the complete spectrum of other constituent components, fragrances, heavy metals.  And, of course, fragrances have their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark.  MS. BROWN:  Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful.  Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. Objection to form.  You may refer to realtime any time you want to, Doctor.  But I object to the form of the question.  A So my my I was what was requested of me, again, stating for clarity, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the perspective of that biologically plausible mechanism, and that includes the type of talc, the structure of the talc, you know, its any potential contaminants that are there, as well as the complete spectrum of other constituent components, fragrances, heavy metals.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark.  MS. BROWN:  Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful.  Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. Objection to form.  You may refer to realtime any time you want to, Doctor.  But I object to the form of the question.  A So my my I was what was requested of me, again, stating for clarity, was to describe a biologically plausible mechanism	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the perspective of that biologically plausible mechanism, and that includes the type of talc, the structure of the talc, you know, its any potential contaminants that are there, as well as the complete spectrum of other constituent components, fragrances, heavy metals.  And, of course, fragrances have their own milieu of constituent components that, again, I was not asked to comment on or describe in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark.  MS. BROWN:  Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful.  Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. Objection to form.  You may refer to realtime any time you want to, Doctor.  But I object to the form of the question.  A So my my I was what was requested of me, again, stating for clarity, was to describe a biologically plausible mechanism for talc and all of its constituent components	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the perspective of that biologically plausible mechanism, and that includes the type of talc, the structure of the talc, you know, its any potential contaminants that are there, as well as the complete spectrum of other constituent components, fragrances, heavy metals.  And, of course, fragrances have their own milieu of constituent components that, again,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark.  MS. BROWN:  Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful.  Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. Objection to form.  You may refer to realtime any time you want to, Doctor.  But I object to the form of the question.  A So my my I was what was requested of me, again, stating for clarity, was to describe a biologically plausible mechanism	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the perspective of that biologically plausible mechanism, and that includes the type of talc, the structure of the talc, you know, its any potential contaminants that are there, as well as the complete spectrum of other constituent components, fragrances, heavy metals.  And, of course, fragrances have their own milieu of constituent components that, again, I was not asked to comment on or describe in detail but certainly are part of the overall
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark.  MS. BROWN:  Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful.  Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. Objection to form.  You may refer to realtime any time you want to, Doctor.  But I object to the form of the question.  A So my my I was what was requested of me, again, stating for clarity, was to describe a biologically plausible mechanism for talc and all of its constituent components having a role in inflammation and progression to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the perspective of that biologically plausible mechanism, and that includes the type of talc, the structure of the talc, you know, its any potential contaminants that are there, as well as the complete spectrum of other constituent components, fragrances, heavy metals.  And, of course, fragrances have their own milieu of constituent components that, again, I was not asked to comment on or describe in detail but certainly are part of the overall studies.  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark. MS. BROWN:  Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful.  Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct? MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. Objection to form.  You may refer to realtime any time you want to, Doctor.  But I object to the form of the question.  A So my my I was what was requested of me, again, stating for clarity, was to describe a biologically plausible mechanism for talc and all of its constituent components having a role in inflammation and progression to ovarian cancer based on on the information at hand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the perspective of that biologically plausible mechanism, and that includes the type of talc, the structure of the talc, you know, its any potential contaminants that are there, as well as the complete spectrum of other constituent components, fragrances, heavy metals.  And, of course, fragrances have their own milieu of constituent components that, again, I was not asked to comment on or describe in detail but certainly are part of the overall studies.  MS. BROWN:  Q You have a conclusion in your report on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark. MS. BROWN:  Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful.  Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct? MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. Objection to form.  You may refer to realtime any time you want to, Doctor.  But I object to the form of the question.  A So my my I was what was requested of me, again, stating for clarity, was to describe a biologically plausible mechanism for talc and all of its constituent components having a role in inflammation and progression to ovarian cancer based on on the information at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the perspective of that biologically plausible mechanism, and that includes the type of talc, the structure of the talc, you know, its any potential contaminants that are there, as well as the complete spectrum of other constituent components, fragrances, heavy metals.  And, of course, fragrances have their own milieu of constituent components that, again, I was not asked to comment on or describe in detail but certainly are part of the overall studies.  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Johnson & Johnson baby powder, question mark.  MS. BROWN:  Q That's why it's tricky when you read the realtime. Just listen to my question. It'll be more helpful.  Your opinion in this case is not dependent on whether or not there are fragrances in Johnson & Johnson baby powder. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me. Objection to form.  You may refer to realtime any time you want to, Doctor.  But I object to the form of the question.  A So my my I was what was requested of me, again, stating for clarity, was to describe a biologically plausible mechanism for talc and all of its constituent components having a role in inflammation and progression to ovarian cancer based on on the information at hand.  Certainly the fact, as we've been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this case, for your piece of the puzzle, so to speak, it is not important to you whether or not there are heavy metals in baby powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A No, that's not correct. I would say the presence of all of the constituent components is very important for from the from the perspective of that biologically plausible mechanism, and that includes the type of talc, the structure of the talc, you know, its any potential contaminants that are there, as well as the complete spectrum of other constituent components, fragrances, heavy metals.  And, of course, fragrances have their own milieu of constituent components that, again, I was not asked to comment on or describe in detail but certainly are part of the overall studies.  MS. BROWN:  Q You have a conclusion in your report on page 17, Doctor, conclusion number 2, that talcum

PageID: 204082 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

Are they included in your conclusions page 17?  DELL:  bject to the form.  So my my conclusions are based on in the literature review. And, similar to uson.  Mod I would and then my conclusions pet.  Primarily to question yet.  Dokay.  And what I want to know, Doctor, is how define the talcum powder products that isted here on page 17 of your report?  Primarily the products that are when er the totality of everything that I've amining, the talcum powder products, and Johnson & Johnson and Shower to Shower know, I refer to those consumer products are term "talcum powder."  What about other consumer talcum powder is? Are they included in your conclusions page 17?  DELL:  bject to the form.  So my my conclusions are based on in the literature review. And, similar to sussions regarding contaminants and the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	don't know if any of the studies used used that. I'd have to, again, would have to review some of that information to determine if there was a if that was a variable in any of the given studies that are the basis of the report.  Q What methodology did you employ here in coming to your conclusion that chronic inflammation is caused by talcum powder products?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Asked and answered.  A Yeah. Again, to restate, similar to the earlier questions, the my methodology was based on standard methodology for establishing biological plausibility, which is a, in a summary, a review of the totality of the evidence and then a summary of that to establish if, based on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
Hold on. No question yet.  Dkay.  And what I want to know, Doctor, is how define the talcum powder products that isted here on page 17 of your report?  Primarily the products that are when er the totality of everything that I've amining, the talcum powder products, gg Johnson & Johnson and Shower to Shower know, I refer to those consumer products the term "talcum powder."  What about other consumer talcum powder so? Are they included in your conclusions page 17?  DELL:  bject to the form.  So my my conclusions are based on a the literature review. And, similar to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some of that information to determine if there was a if that was a variable in any of the given studies that are the basis of the report.  Q What methodology did you employ here in coming to your conclusion that chronic inflammation is caused by talcum powder products?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Asked and answered.  A Yeah. Again, to restate, similar to the earlier questions, the my methodology was based on standard methodology for establishing biological plausibility, which is a, in a summary, a review of the totality of the evidence and then a summary of that to establish if, based on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
Okay.  And what I want to know, Doctor, is how define the talcum powder products that isted here on page 17 of your report?  Primarily the products that are when er the totality of everything that I've amining, the talcum powder products, ag Johnson & Johnson and Shower to Shower know, I refer to those consumer products the term "talcum powder."  What about other consumer talcum powder so? Are they included in your conclusions page 17?  DELL:  bject to the form.  So my my conclusions are based on a the literature review. And, similar to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was a if that was a variable in any of the given studies that are the basis of the report.  Q What methodology did you employ here in coming to your conclusion that chronic inflammation is caused by talcum powder products?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Asked and answered.  A Yeah. Again, to restate, similar to the earlier questions, the my methodology was based on standard methodology for establishing biological plausibility, which is a, in a summary, a review of the totality of the evidence and then a summary of that to establish if, based on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
And what I want to know, Doctor, is how define the talcum powder products that isted here on page 17 of your report? Primarily the products that are when er the totality of everything that I've amining, the talcum powder products, ag Johnson & Johnson and Shower to Shower know, I refer to those consumer products are term "talcum powder." What about other consumer talcum powder so? Are they included in your conclusions page 17? DELL: bject to the form.  So my my conclusions are based on a the literature review. And, similar to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	given studies that are the basis of the report.  Q What methodology did you employ here in coming to your conclusion that chronic inflammation is caused by talcum powder products?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Asked and answered.  A Yeah. Again, to restate, similar to the earlier questions, the my methodology was based on standard methodology for establishing biological plausibility, which is a, in a summary, a review of the totality of the evidence and then a summary of that to establish if, based on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
define the talcum powder products that isted here on page 17 of your report?  Primarily the products that are when er the totality of everything that I've amining, the talcum powder products, ag Johnson & Johnson and Shower to Shower know, I refer to those consumer products are term "talcum powder."  What about other consumer talcum powder is? Are they included in your conclusions page 17?  DELL:  bject to the form.  So my my conclusions are based on a the literature review. And, similar to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q What methodology did you employ here in coming to your conclusion that chronic inflammation is caused by talcum powder products?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Asked and answered.  A Yeah. Again, to restate, similar to the earlier questions, the my methodology was based on standard methodology for establishing biological plausibility, which is a, in a summary, a review of the totality of the evidence and then a summary of that to establish if, based on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
Primarily the products that are when er the totality of everything that I've amining, the talcum powder products, g Johnson & Johnson and Shower to Shower know, I refer to those consumer products the term "talcum powder."  What about other consumer talcum powder so? Are they included in your conclusions page 17?  DELL:  bject to the form.  So my my conclusions are based on a the literature review. And, similar to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	coming to your conclusion that chronic inflammation is caused by talcum powder products?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Asked and answered.  A Yeah. Again, to restate, similar to the earlier questions, the my methodology was based on standard methodology for establishing biological plausibility, which is a, in a summary, a review of the totality of the evidence and then a summary of that to establish if, based on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
Primarily the products that are when er the totality of everything that I've amining, the talcum powder products, gg Johnson & Johnson and Shower to Shower know, I refer to those consumer products the term "talcum powder."  What about other consumer talcum powder so? Are they included in your conclusions page 17?  DELL:  bject to the form.  So my my conclusions are based on a the literature review. And, similar to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	inflammation is caused by talcum powder products?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Asked and answered.  A Yeah. Again, to restate, similar to the earlier questions, the my methodology was based on standard methodology for establishing biological plausibility, which is a, in a summary, a review of the totality of the evidence and then a summary of that to establish if, based on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
er the totality of everything that I've amining, the talcum powder products, and Johnson & Johnson and Shower to Shower know, I refer to those consumer products are term "talcum powder."  What about other consumer talcum powder as? Are they included in your conclusions page 17?  DELL:  bject to the form.  So my my conclusions are based on a the literature review. And, similar to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered. A Yeah. Again, to restate, similar to the earlier questions, the my methodology was based on standard methodology for establishing biological plausibility, which is a, in a summary, a review of the totality of the evidence and then a summary of that to establish if, based on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
amining, the talcum powder products, g Johnson & Johnson and Shower to Shower know, I refer to those consumer products the term "talcum powder."  What about other consumer talcum powder as? Are they included in your conclusions page 17?  DELL:  bject to the form.  So my my conclusions are based on a the literature review. And, similar to	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Objection. Asked and answered.  A Yeah. Again, to restate, similar to the earlier questions, the my methodology was based on standard methodology for establishing biological plausibility, which is a, in a summary, a review of the totality of the evidence and then a summary of that to establish if, based on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
g Johnson & Johnson and Shower to Shower know, I refer to those consumer products the term "talcum powder."  What about other consumer talcum powder is? Are they included in your conclusions page 17?  DELL:  bject to the form.  So my my conclusions are based on in the literature review. And, similar to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yeah. Again, to restate, similar to the earlier questions, the my methodology was based on standard methodology for establishing biological plausibility, which is a, in a summary, a review of the totality of the evidence and then a summary of that to establish if, based on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
know, I refer to those consumer products the term "talcum powder."  What about other consumer talcum powder s? Are they included in your conclusions page 17?  DELL: bject to the form.  So my my conclusions are based on the literature review. And, similar to	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the earlier questions, the my methodology was based on standard methodology for establishing biological plausibility, which is a, in a summary, a review of the totality of the evidence and then a summary of that to establish if, based on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
what about other consumer talcum powder so? Are they included in your conclusions page 17?  DELL: bject to the form.  So my my conclusions are based on a the literature review. And, similar to	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	based on standard methodology for establishing biological plausibility, which is a, in a summary, a review of the totality of the evidence and then a summary of that to establish if, based on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
What about other consumer talcum powder s? Are they included in your conclusions page 17? DELL: bject to the form. So my my conclusions are based on a the literature review. And, similar to	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	biological plausibility, which is a, in a summary, a review of the totality of the evidence and then a summary of that to establish if, based on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
s? Are they included in your conclusions page 17? DELL: bject to the form. So my my conclusions are based on a the literature review. And, similar to	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	summary, a review of the totality of the evidence and then a summary of that to establish if, based on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
page 17? DELL: bject to the form. So my my conclusions are based on a the literature review. And, similar to	16 17 18 19 20 21	and then a summary of that to establish if, based on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
DELL: bject to the form. So my my conclusions are based on the literature review. And, similar to	17 18 19 20 21	on established or or known or factual principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
bject to the form.  So my my conclusions are based on a the literature review. And, similar to	18 19 20 21	principles, is there a can can a mechanism described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
So my my conclusions are based on a the literature review. And, similar to	19 20 21	described go from cause to effect in a again, in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
the literature review. And, similar to	20 21	in an evidence-supported biologically plausible
	21	
ussions regarding contaminants and the		
		manner.
o quantitate those, many of the studies	22	There's a few references I can provide
specifically delineate which product or	23	you that describe that method in a published
ng of that product.	24	manner, if that's helpful.
Page 139		Page 141
n contrast, some of the more recent	1	MS. BROWN:
ation available specific to the	2	Q That would be helpful.
ents did meet that definition, so I would	3	A They are these are our
se conclusions apply to both the specific	4	MS. O'DELL:
s that I mentioned, Johnson & Johnson and	5	These are mine.
to Shower, as well as potentially other	6	THE WITNESS:
ts. But quant quantifying which study,	7	Yeah.
have to go through study by study to	8	There's a I can get them
any questions about which specific may be	9	MS. BROWN:
d.	10	Q Are the published methods referenced in
ROWN:	11	your report, Doctor?
Do you include talc-containing	12	A No, actually, those are not.
		Q Okay. How would you go about finding
_		the published methods that contain a description
		of the methodology you employed in this case?
		A No. It's that I was just saying that
ant sprays in terms of a as a study		there's a published peer-reviewed published
		article that is the same as the method I used, if you if you wanted to review that. I didn't
e that I can that I can think of.		reference this specific paper in the report.
e that I can that I can think of. I'm not sure what you mean by that.		Q Okay. And you have a do you have a
e that I can that I can think of. I'm not sure what you mean by that. So the the basis of this report was		copy of that in front of you right now, Doctor?
e that I can that I can think of. I'm not sure what you mean by that. So the the basis of this report was alcum powder products, and I don't recall	1 44	
e that I can that I can think of. I'm not sure what you mean by that. So the the basis of this report was	23	A I do.
) N	m not sure what you mean by that. to the the basis of this report was lcum powder products, and I don't recall	product?  None of the literature that that I d or can recall was limited to those at sprays in terms of a as a study that I can that I can think of. In not sure what you mean by that. So the the basis of this report was lcum powder products, and I don't recall as studies that delineated talcum powder  14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22

PageID: 204083 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

Page 142		Page 144
14.	1	MS. O'DELL:
(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 14	2	Object to the form.
WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	3	A No, that's not true.
MS. BROWN:	4	MS. BROWN:
Q The title of the document is	5	Q The lawyers for plaintiffs found
"Evaluating Biological Plausibility in Supporting	6	Exhibit 14 in the scientific literature; correct?
Evidence For Action Through Systematic Reviews in	7	A That's correct.
Public Health."	8	Q In reviewing the scientific literature,
When is the first time you reviewed	9	did you pay attention to the articles that
this document, Doctor?	10	classify different types of talcum powder
A In the last the last day or so.	11	products?
	12	MS. O'DELL:
lawyers for plaintiffs?		Object to the form.
A Yes.		A Could you give a specific example, and
Q The document is not referenced in your	15	then I
report. True?		I wouldn't be able to answer without
		knowing.
		MS. O'DELL:
		Q Sure.
		Do you understand that some of the talc
		epidemiology separates use by type of talcum
		powder product?
		MS. O'DELL:
A That's correct. I provided that as an	24	Objection to form.
Page 143		Page 145
example of the of a published example of the	1	A Again, do you have a specific example
methodology that I employed.	2	of one of the studies so I could so I'd be
Q You didn't endeavor to research the	3	able to accurately answer your question?
scientific literature to find a published	4	MS. BROWN:
published example of your methodology, did you?	5	Q Here's what I want to know. Did you
MS. O'DELL:	6	look at the studies that separated deodorizing
5	7	sprays from powder products from cornstarch, for
A I it wasn't that wasn't what I	8	example?
	9	A Certainly in my review I made as
	10	comprehensive a review of available literature
		as as possible. And, again, if you can name a
		specific study or one of the references, I can
		confirm if that was if that was part of
		the my review of the epidemiology.
<del></del>		Q Do you hold the opinion that talcum
		powder-containing deodorant sprays causes
		inflammation?
fashion of the criteria for biological plausibility and the methods used therein.	18	MS. O'DELL:
properties and the methods used therein	19	Objection to form. Vague.
-	20	
MS. BROWN:	20	A So if the
MS. BROWN: Q Exhibit 14 is the product of research	21	Again, I was asked to provide an
MS. BROWN: Q Exhibit 14 is the product of research the lawyers for plaintiffs conducted on a	21 22	Again, I was asked to provide an opinion on the biologically plausible mechanism
MS. BROWN: Q Exhibit 14 is the product of research	21	Again, I was asked to provide an
	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. BROWN:  Q The title of the document is "Evaluating Biological Plausibility in Supporting Evidence For Action Through Systematic Reviews in Public Health." When is the first time you reviewed this document, Doctor? A In the last the last day or so. Q Was the document provided to you by the lawyers for plaintiffs? A Yes. Q The document is not referenced in your report. True? A It is not referenced. That's correct. Q You did not review the document prior to writing your report; correct? A That's right. Q The document was something the lawyers for plaintiffs gave you after you had already written and authored your report; correct? A That's correct. I provided that as an  Page 143  example of the of a published example of the methodology that I employed. Q You didn't endeavor to research the scientific literature to find a published published example of your methodology, did you? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I it wasn't that wasn't what I was I wasn't asked to reference the methodology in my report. I was, again, asked to provide an opinion on a biologically plausible mechanism and then, since our discussion has transferred to methodology, to be complete, I wanted to provide an example of a published version of the methodology that that is similar to or at least describes in a summary or really in that particular paper an exemplary	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  MS. BROWN: Q The title of the document is "Evaluating Biological Plausibility in Supporting Evidence For Action Through Systematic Reviews in Public Health." When is the first time you reviewed this document, Doctor? A In the last the last day or so. Q Was the document provided to you by the lawyers for plaintiffs? A Yes. Q The document is not referenced in your report. True? A It is not referenced. That's correct. Q You did not review the document prior to writing your report; correct? A That's right. Q The document was something the lawyers for plaintiffs gave you after you had already written and authored your report; correct? A That's correct. I provided that as an  Page 143  example of the of a published example of the methodology that I employed. Q You didn't endeavor to research the scientific literature to find a published published example of your methodology, did you? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I it wasn't that wasn't what I was I wasn't asked to reference the methodology in my report. I was, again, asked to provide an opinion on a biologically plausible mechanism and then, since our discussion has transferred to methodology, to be complete, I wanted to provide an example of a published version of the methodology that that is similar to or at least describes in a summary or really in that particular paper an exemplary  17

	Page 146		Page 148
1	powder could possibly follow that same	1	Objection to form. Vague.
2	biologically plausible mechanism.	2	A My my opinions are based on the
3	MS. BROWN:	3	available scientific literature regarding the
4	Q Is there a certain amount of talcum	4	testing performed on talcum powder and talcum
5	powder that a product must contain to cause	5	powder products.
6	inflammation?	6	I in my review of those results, I
7	MS. O'DELL:	7	did not see a specific enumeration of any one
8	Objection to form.	8	particular chemical composition that was had a
9	A That wasn't something I was asked	9	greater or lesser cause or effect relationship.
10	to to quantify, similar to the discussions we	10	MS. BROWN:
11	had about metals, fragrances, and asbestos.	11	Q Do you know how much talcum powder is
12	MS. BROWN:	12	in the Shower to Shower product?
13	Q In forming your opinion that talcum	13	A No. I wasn't I wasn't asked to
14	powder products cause inflammation, you have not	14	quantify that, and I would defer to some of the
15	attempted to quantify how much talcum powder is	15	other expert reports regarding the composition of
16	in those products; is that right?	16	those products.
17	MS. O'DELL:	17	Q Do you include cornstarch as a talcum
18	Objection to form. Asked and answered.	18	powder product?
19	A So my my review included a number of	19	MS. O'DELL:
20	studies that looked at exposure rates, and my	20	Object to the form.
21	review also included the review of some studies	21	A Cornstarch was included in some of the
22	that did not include use frequency as well as use	22	epidemiology studies, as you as you mentioned
23	duration. And, so, both of those considerations	23	a moment ago.
24	in terms of my review of the epidemiology were	24	MS. BROWN:
	Page 147		Page 149
1	undertaken, but I did not attempt to quantify	1	Q Do you consider cornstarch to be a
2	those relationships specifically.	2	talcum powder product that also causes
3	MS. BROWN:	3	inflammation?
4	Q Okay. So there's two different issues	4	MS. O'DELL:
5	there that I want to ask you about. One, I want	5	Object to the form.
6	to talk to you about whether the talcum powder	6	A My my review of the literature
7	products you've described on page 17 of your	7	doesn't I'm thinking through the available
8	report have a specific composition, in your mind.	8	studies, and I don't recall which studies that
9	Okay?	9	may may have been a dependent variable in
10	Two, I want to talk to you about what	10	terms of the determination. So I I can't
		1 11	answer that. I I don't have the information
11	you were just answering, which is is there a	11	answer that. 1 I don't have the information
11 12	you were just answering, which is is there a specific amount of the product that you believe	12	to answer that accurately.
12	specific amount of the product that you believe	12	to answer that accurately.
12 13	specific amount of the product that you believe causes inflammation.	12 13	to answer that accurately. MS. BROWN:
12 13 14	specific amount of the product that you believe causes inflammation.  Do you understand the difference?	12 13 14	to answer that accurately.  MS. BROWN:  Q So, sitting here today, you're not sure
12 13 14 15	specific amount of the product that you believe causes inflammation.  Do you understand the difference?  A I do.	12 13 14 15	to answer that accurately.  MS. BROWN:  Q So, sitting here today, you're not sure if cornstarch would be a talcum powder product
12 13 14 15 16	specific amount of the product that you believe causes inflammation.  Do you understand the difference?  A I do.  MS. O'DELL:	12 13 14 15 16	to answer that accurately.  MS. BROWN:  Q So, sitting here today, you're not sure if cornstarch would be a talcum powder product that causes inflammation as you described on page
12 13 14 15 16 17	specific amount of the product that you believe causes inflammation.  Do you understand the difference?  A I do.  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.	12 13 14 15 16 17	to answer that accurately.  MS. BROWN:  Q So, sitting here today, you're not sure if cornstarch would be a talcum powder product that causes inflammation as you described on page 17?
12 13 14 15 16 17	specific amount of the product that you believe causes inflammation.  Do you understand the difference?  A I do.  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  MS. BROWN:	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to answer that accurately.  MS. BROWN:  Q So, sitting here today, you're not sure if cornstarch would be a talcum powder product that causes inflammation as you described on page 17?  MS. O'DELL:
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	specific amount of the product that you believe causes inflammation.  Do you understand the difference?  A I do.  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. So let's start, one, with the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to answer that accurately.  MS. BROWN:  Q So, sitting here today, you're not sure if cornstarch would be a talcum powder product that causes inflammation as you described on page 17?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	specific amount of the product that you believe causes inflammation.  Do you understand the difference?  A I do.  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. So let's start, one, with the product. In forming the opinion that talcum	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to answer that accurately.  MS. BROWN:  Q So, sitting here today, you're not sure if cornstarch would be a talcum powder product that causes inflammation as you described on page 17?  MS. O'DELL: Objection.  A No. So
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	specific amount of the product that you believe causes inflammation.  Do you understand the difference?  A I do.  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  MS. BROWN:  Q Okay. So let's start, one, with the product. In forming the opinion that talcum powder products cause inflammation, is there a	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to answer that accurately.  MS. BROWN:  Q So, sitting here today, you're not sure if cornstarch would be a talcum powder product that causes inflammation as you described on page 17?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection.  A No. So  MS. O'DELL:

Page 150 Page 152 1 Α So corn -- cornstarch and -- and talcum 1 on knowledge of how much talcum powder is 2 powder are -- are -- when I'm referring to talcum 2 actually in the product; correct? 3 3 powder and talcum powder products, cornstarch, as MS. O'DELL: 4 a singular component -- or singular product, is 4 Objection. Misstates his testimony. 5 not included in that definition. 5 Again, not a -- it wasn't part of -- it 6 6 Now, whether products that contain talc wasn't an opinion I was asked to provide. 7 7 also contain cornstarch, I -- I'm not able to The -- the only -- or, I should say, 8 say. 8 a -- a study that looked at the -- summarizing 9 MS. BROWN: 9 the epidemiology literature that I reviewed, some 10 Right. And so that's my question. 10 of those studies had a duration and component as 11 What about a product like Shower to Shower that 11 far as general talcum powder and talcum powder 12 contains talc and cornstarch? How have 12 product use. 13 you -- what methodology have you employed to 13 MS. BROWN: 14 arrive at the conclusion that the Shower to 14 O And I want to --15 Shower product causes inflammation? 15 Α I don't --16 MS. O'DELL: 16 MS. O'DELL: 17 17 Object to the form. Excuse me. Let him finish. 18 Α So my -- what I was requested was to 18 I was -- I was going to say I don't 19 write an opinion as to the, again, the 19 recall those quantitating the percentage of 20 biologically plausible mechanism that exposure to 20 talcum powder in a -- in a given product in the 21 talc and its constituents can lead to 21 study. 2.2 inflammation. 22 MS. BROWN: 23 I wasn't asked to provide as to what 23 Right. And, so, you're getting a 24 the minimum or maximum thresholds are of any 24 little into the second question, which I do want Page 151 Page 153 1 product or of any component of that product or to talk about, which is how much people are 1 2 constituent. 2 exposed to. 3 3 The information I was provided was the But sticking with just what's in the 4 analysis of products like Shower to Shower and 4 product, have you made a determination that there 5 5 Johnson & Johnson's product, to evaluate the is a threshold amount of talcum powder that is 6 spectrum of talc and asbestos contamination in 6 required to be in a product before you can 7 some of the constituent components, and then --7 conclude that that product will cause chronic 8 8 and, therefore, develop an opinion as to inflammation? 9 the -- whether or not that those products are 9 MS. O'DELL: 10 supported by the same mechanism that I developed 10 Objection to form. Asked and answered. 11 the opinion on, meaning they have the constituent 11 I -- again, I wasn't asked to provide 12 components to cause inflammation. 12 that -- that threshold opinion. 13 MS. BROWN: 13 MS. BROWN: 14 You have not made a determination of a 14 And understanding whether or not there Q 15 particular amount of talcum powder that is 15 is a threshold of how much talcum powder has to 16 required to be in a product for it to cause 16 be in a product to cause inflammation is not 17 17 chronic inflammation; correct? necessary for you to opine that talcum powder 18 18 MS. O'DELL: products cause chronic inflammation? 19 Object to the form. 19 MS. O'DELL: 20 I wasn't asked to provide such an 20 Objection. Misstates his testimony. Α 21 opinion. 21 So my -- my use of the terminology 22 MS. BROWN: 22 "talcum powder products" includes the product and 23 all of its constituent components, which would 23 Your opinion that talcum powder 24 products cause chronic inflammation is not based 24 be, as we earlier discussed, talcum powder,

fragrances, and any contaminating substances, such as asbestos or - or heavy metals.  And, so, therefore, to - to more - to answer - to be able to answer your question accurately, we would - I think we would have to have some discussions as to the type of alcum powder and the level of exposure to be able to answer that regarding my opinion in terms of level.  You know, the to clarify, the during this research and the and having the opportunity to review much of the literature in the direct opinion of the interaction in terms of the the diversity of products and the diversity of of late sources are like having a thorn bush with different size thoms, and, depending on the constituent components, you know, those thorns are bigger or smaller or otherwise. And but my opinion is based on the fact that the presence of of popinion is based on the fact that the presence of of my opinion is deepen and the focus of my opinion as a been the focus of my opinion.  MS. BROWN:  A No, I have not. A No, I have not of the biclogical mechanism.  MS. DOELL:  A No. Again, my - my opinions are primarily limited to the to the biological mechanism.  MS. DOELL:  A No. Again, my - my opinion as exposed to?  MS. ODELL:  A No. Again, my - my opinion as perimarily limited to the to the biological mechanism.  A No. Again, my - my opinion as perimarily limited to the to the biological mechanism.  MS. DOELL:  A No. Again, my - my opinion as exposure to a can exposure to the mechanism is Can an exposure result in a mechanism is exported from how much of an exposure is required to cause than the		Page 154		Page 156
2 such as ashestors or — or heavy metals. 3 And, so, therefore, to — to more — to 4 answer — to be able to answer your question 5 accurately, we would — I think we would have to 6 have some discussions as to the type of talcum 7 powder and the level of exposure to be able to 8 answer that regarding my opinion in terms of 9 level. 10 You know, the — to clarify, the — 11 during this research and the — and having the 12 opportunity to review much of the literature in 13 talcum powder, it's a — it's a fisseinating field 14 because it is similar to absetoss. It appears 15 that the diversity of products and the diversity 16 of talcs sources are like having a thorn bush with 17 different size thoms, and, depending on the 18 constituent components, you know, those thorns 19 are bigger or smaller or otherwise. And — but 10 my opinion is based on the fact that the presence 11 of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause 22 some inflammator presponse. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10  Page 155  1 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view? 3 MS. O'DELL: 4 Object to the form. Incomplete 5 hypothetical. 5 MS. BROWN: 6 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 So percent talc cause chronic inflammation to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of falc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 O'Djection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My — my — so my opinion was 23 saked to answer the question of en — is there a 24 of of epidemiologysts who are — who are 25 of -of epidemiologists who are — who are 26 of -of epidemiologists who are — who are 27 of -of epidemiologists who are — who are 28 of representation of en — is there a 29 of -of epidemiologists who are — who are 20 of -of epidemiologists who are — who are	1		1	
And, so, therefore, to - to more - to answer - to be able to answer your question answer and the level of exposure to be able to answer that regarding my opinion in terms of level.  You know, the to clarify, the during this research and the and having the poportunity to review much of the literature in tale unpowder, it's a it's a flassinating field because it is similar to asbestos. It appears the different size thorms, and, depending on the constituent components, you know, those thorms of tale sources are like having a thorn bush with different size thorms, and, depending on the constituent components, you know, those thorms are bigger or smaller or otherwise. And but my opinion is bused on the fact that the presence of of yo of those thorms is sufficient to cause some inflammatory response.  MS. BROWN:  Page 155  percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  MS. BROWN:  Page 155  percent tale cause chronic inflammation to answer that.  MS. BROWN:  A No. Again, separating the so the question of the mechanism.  Can an exposure result in a m				
4 mswer - to be able to answer your question 5 accurately, we would - I think we would have to 6 have some discussions as to the type of fulcum 7 powder and the level of exposure to be able to 8 answer that regarding my opinion in terms of 9 level. 10 You know, the to clarify, the 11 during this research and the and having the 12 opportunity to review much of the literature in 13 talcum powder, it's a it's a fascinating field 14 because it is similar to asbestos. It appears 15 that the diversity of products and the diversity 16 of tale sources are like having a thorn bush with 17 different size thoms, and, depending on the 18 constituent components, you know, those thorns 19 are bigger or smaller or otherwise. And but 19 my opinion is based on the fact that the presence 10 of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause 22 some inflammatory response. 23 MS, BROWN: 24 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 25 percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in your view? 26  MS, BROWN: 27  MS, BROWN: 28  MS, BROWN: 29  Does a talcum powder product with 20  So you've identified two questions for universely and the cause chronic inflammation, in your view? 3  MS, O'DELL: 4  MS, O'DELL: 5  MS, BROWN: 6  A 1-1 don't have the information to answer that. 7  MS, BROWN: 8  MS, BROWN: 9  Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in your view? 11  MS, BROWN: 12  A Again, mp - my - my opinions are primarily limited to the to the biological mechanism. 12  You was on the fact internation to answer that. 8  MS, BROWN: 9  Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in your view? 11  Your view? 12  MS, BROWN: 13  MS, BROWN: 14  MS, BROWN: 15  MS, BROWN: 16  MS, BROWN: 17  MS, BROWN: 18  MS, BROWN: 19  MS, BROWN: 20  MS, BROWN: 21  MS, BROWN: 22  MS, BROWN: 23  MS, BROWN: 24  MS, BROWN: 25  MS, BROWN: 26  MS, BROWN: 27  MS, BROWN: 28  MS, BROWN: 29  MS, BROWN: 20  MS, BROWN: 21  MS, BROWN: 22  MS, BROWN: 23  MS, BROWN: 24  MS, BROWN: 25  MS,		•		
5 accurately, we would —I think we would have to have some discussions as to the type of falcum 7 powder and the level of exposure to be able to answer that regarding my opinion in terms of level.  8 answer that regarding my opinion in terms of level.  10 You know, the — to clarify, the — during this research and the — and having the optoruliny to review much of the literature in that durentity of products and the diversity of a talcum powder, it's a — it's a fascinating field because it is similar to asbestos. It appears that the diversity of products and the diversity of a talcum powder, it's a — it's a fascinating field because it is similar to asbestos. It appears that the diversity of products and the diversity of a talcum powder, it's a — it's a fascinating field because it is similar to asbestos. It appears that the diversity of products and the diversity of that diversity of products and the diversity of a talcum powder product with 10 to a product of the mechanism is — Can an exposure result in a mechanism.  10 A No. Again, separating the — so the question of the mechanism is — Can an exposure result in a mechanism.  11 That the diversity of products and the diversity of any of those thoms is sufficient to cause some inflammatory response.  12 That the diversity of products and the presence of any of those thoms is sufficient to cause some inflammatory response.  12 That the diversity of the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  13 That the diversity of the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  14 That the diversity of the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  15 That the diversity of the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  16 A I — I don't have the information to answer that.  17 That the diversity of the form.  18 That the diversity of the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  19 Does a talcum powder product with 10 to 10 produce a mechanism. Correct?  10 MS. O'DELL:  11 Object to the form.  12 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 to 10 produce a mechanism. Correct?  12 A San Diputable mechanism.  13 That the diversity of the				
6 have some discussions as to the type of talcum 7 powder and the level of exposure to be able to 8 answer that regarding my opinion in terms of 9 level. 10 You know, the — to clarify, the — 11 during this research and the — and having the 12 opportunity to review much of the literature in 13 talcum powder, it's a — it's a fascinating field 14 because it is similar to asbestos. It appears 15 that the diversity of products and the diversity 16 of rale sources are list chaving a thorn bush with 17 different size thorns, and, depending on the 18 constituent components, you know, those thorns 19 are bigger or smaller or otherwise. And — but 19 my opinion is based on the fact that the presence 20 of any of those thorns is sufficient to eause 21 some inflammatory response. 22 some inflammatory response. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 25 percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in 26 you need to produce a mechanism. Correct? 27 WS. O'DELL: 28 MS. BROWN: 29 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 So percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 20 A A Again, I don't have the information to 21 answer that. 22 A A Roain, I don't have the information to 23 answer that. 24 A No. My — my — so my opinion was 25 asked to answert the question of cam — is there a 26 do for the mechanism is — 27 Can an exposure result in a mechanism is required to cause that mechanism. 28 Page 155 29 Can an exposure result in a mechanism. 29 Opos a talcum powder product with 10 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Object to the form. Incomplete 30 MS. BROWN: 31 A (Nods affirmatively.) 32 A (Nods affirmatively.) 32 A (Nods affirmatively.) 33 MS. O'DELL: 44 Object to the form. Incomplete 45 hypothetical. 46 A 1 – I don't have the information to 47 an exposure result in a mechanism. 48 MS. BROWN: 49 Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 Object to the form. Incomplete 51 hypothetical. 52 Q And, in this case, you have answered 53 question number one, can exposure to tale cause chronic inflammation, in your view? 40 A Son m, you have				
Page 155   Page 155   Page 157		•		
answer that regarding my opinion in terms of level.  You know, the to clarify, the  10 You know, the to clarify, the  11 during this research and the and having the opportunity to review much of the literature in take the diversity of products and the diversity of fale sources are like having a thorn bush with different size thoms, and, depending on the constituent components, you know, those thorns are bigger or smaller or otherwise. And but my opinion is based on the fact that the presence of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause some inflammatory response.  21 of poce to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  A No. BROWN:  Page 155  Page 157  Page 158  Again, my my opinions are primarily limited to the to the biological mechanism. Objection.  A No. Again, sepasod to?  Wis. O'DELL:  Wis. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  Q So you've identified two questions for us. One, can exposure result in a mechanism.  Correct?  MS. BROWN:  Q And, two, how much of an exposure do use that mechanism. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  A (Nods affirmatively.)  Q And, two, how much of an exposure do use to produce a mechanism. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL				
9   level.   9   limited to the to the biological mechanism.   10   Well, isn't that dependent, though, no how much take a person is exposed to?   11   how much take a person is exposed to?   MS. O'DELL:   12   MS. O'DELL:   13   MS. O'DELL:   14   MS. O'DELL:   15   MS. BROWN:   16   MS. BROWN:   16   MS. BROWN:   17   MS. O'DELL:   18   MS. D'DELL:   18   MS. BROWN:   19   MS. O'DELL:   19   MS. O'DELL:   10   MS. BROWN:   11   MS. O'DELL:   15   MS. BROWN:   16   MS. BROWN:   17   MS. BROWN:   18   MS. BROWN:   19   Q Does a talcum powder product with   10   MS. BROWN:   10   MS. BROWN:   10   MS. BROWN:   11   MS. BROWN:   12   MS. BROWN:   13   MS. BROWN:   14   MS. BROWN:   15   MS. BROWN:   16   MS. BROWN:   17   MS. BROWN:   18   MS. BROWN:   19   Q Does a talcum powder product with   10   10   MS. BROWN:   10   MS. BROWN:   11   MS. BROWN:   12   MS. D'DELL:   13   MS. D'DELL:   14   MS. O'DELL:   15   Object to the form.   16   MS. BROWN:   17   MS. BROWN:   18   MS. BROWN:   18   MS. BROWN:   19   Q Does a talcum powder product with   10   MS. BROWN:   10   MS. BROWN:   11   MS. O'DELL:   12   MS. O'DELL:   13   MS. O'DELL:   14   MS. O'DELL:   15   Object to the form.   16   MS. BROWN:   17   MS. O'DELL:   18   MS. O'DELL:   19   MS. O'DELL:   19   MS. O'DELL:   10   MS. BROWN:   10   MS. BROWN:   11   MS. O'DELL:   12   MS. O'DELL:   13   MS. O'DELL:   14   MS. O'DELL:   15   MS. BROWN:   16   MS. BROWN:   17   MS. O'DELL:   18   MS. O'DELL:   19   MS. O'DELL:   19   MS. O'DELL:   10   MS. BROWN:   11   MS. O'DELL:   10   MS. BROWN:   12   MS. O'DELL:   12   MS. O'DELL:   13   MS. O'DELL:   14   MS. O'DELL:   15   MS. D'DELL:   16   MS. BROWN:   17   MS. O'DELL:   18   MS. O'DELL:   19   MS. O'DELL:   19   MS. O'DELL:   19   MS. O'DELL:   19   MS. O'DELL:   10   MS. BROWN:   10   M		*		,
10   You know, the to clarify, the   10   Q   Well, isn't that dependent, though, on during this research and the and having the opportunity to review much of the literature in talcum powder, it's a it's a fascinating field because it is similar to asbestos. It appears the the diversity of products and the diversity of ralcs sources are like having a thorn bush with different size thorns, and, depending on the endituent components, you know, those thorns are bigger or smaller or otherwise. And but my opinion is based on the fact that the presence of or any of those thorns is sufficient to cause some inflammatory response.   22   of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause some inflammatory response.   23   MS. BROWN:   24   Q   Does a talcum powder product with 10   24   Q   And, two, how much of an exposure do   25   MS. O'DELL:   3   Objection to form.   2   MS. O'DELL:   3   Objection to form.   2   MS. O'DELL:   3   Objection to form.   3   Objection to form.   4   Object to the form.   5   Objection to form.   5   Objection to form.   6   Q   And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation, in   10   Object to the form.   11   Your view?   12   A   Again, I don't have the information to answer that.   14   MS. O'DELL:   15   Object to the form.   16   MS. BROWN:   17   Object to the form.   18   Object to the form.   19   Haustole mechanism.   19   Object to the form.   10   Object to the form.   10   Object to the form.   10   Object to the form.   11   Your view?   12   A   Again, I don't have the information to answer that.   12   Object to the form.   13   Object to the form.   15   Object to the form.   16   MS. BROWN:   17   Object to the form.   18   Object to the form.   19   Object to the form.   19   Object to the form.   19   Object to the form.   10   Object to the form.   10   Object to the form.   10   Object t				
11 during this research and the — and having the 12 opportunity to review much of the literature in 13 taleum powder, it's a — it sa fascinating field 14 because it is similar to asbestos. It appears 15 that the diversity of products and the diversity 16 of tale sources are like having a thorn bush with 17 different size thorns, and, depending on the 18 constituent components, you know, those thorns 19 are bigger or smaller or otherwise. And — but 19 my opinion is based on the fact that the presence 20 of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause 21 some inflammatory response. 22 some inflammatory response. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10  Page 155  1 percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in your 2 view? 2 MS. O'DELL: 3 MS. BO'DELL: 4 O'bject to the form. Incomplete 4 A Correct. 5 hypothetical. 6 A I — I don't have the information to 7 answer that. 8 MS. BROWN: 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 So percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 O'bject to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 O'bjection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My — my — so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can — is ther a 24 do and, it his case, you have answered to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 25 Page 157  16 MS. BROWN: 26 Does a talcum powder product with 27 So my — yeah. My — my report details to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 28 Page 159  Page 159  Page 157  Page 157  Page 157  Page 157  Page 158  Page 159  Page 1				
12 opportunity to review much of the literature in talcum powder, it's a – it's a fascinating field 1				
talcum powder, it's a – it's a fascinating field the because it is similar to asbestos. It appears that the diversity of products and the diversity of tale sources are like having a thorn bush with of tale sources are like having a thorn bush with different size thorns, and, depending on the constituent components, you know, those thorns are bigger or smaller or otherwise. And – but my opinion is based on the fact that the presence of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause some inflammatory response.  The page 155  Page 155  Page 155  Page 157  Page 157  Page 157  A No. Again, separating the – so the question for the mechanism is – Can an exposure result in a mechanism is separate from how much of an exposure is required to cause that mechanism. Cornect of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause 20 So you've identified two questions for us. One, can exposure result in a mechanism. Correct: A (Nods affirmatively.) Q And, two, how much of an exposure do  Page 155  Page 157  Page 157  Page 157  A (Nods affirmatively.) Q And, two, how much of an exposure do  Page 155  Page 157  A (Nods affirmatively.) A Correct: MS. DELL:  MS. ODELL:  Object to the form. Incomplete A Correct: MS. BROWN:  A Correct.  MS. BROWN:  C Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to tale cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  A Again, I don't have the information to a sawer that.  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Correct.  MS. BROWN:  B C Q And, in this case, on exposure to tale cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  A Correct.  MS. BROWN:  B C Q And, in this case, on exposure to tale cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  A Correct.  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  C Q You have not, in this case, answered				
that the diversity of products and the diversity of talk the diversity of products and the diversity of of tale sources are like having a thorn bush with different size thorns, and, depending on the constituent components, you know, those thorns are bigger or smaller or otherwise. And but my opinion is based on the fact that the presence of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause my opinion is based on the fact that the presence of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause my opinion is based on the fact that the presence of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause my opinion is based on the fact that the presence of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause my opinion is based on the fact that the presence of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  Degre 155  Page 157  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  MS. BROWN:  Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  A Correct.  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  A Correct.  MS. BROWN:  B Q Does a talcum powder product with  O Up And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct?  Q Vou have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic		·		
15 that the diversity of products and the diversity 16 of tale sources are like having a thorn bush with 17 different size thoms, and, depending on the 18 constituent components, you know, those thorns 19 are bigger or smaller or otherwise. And but 20 my opinion is based on the fact that the presence 21 of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause 22 some inflammatory response. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10  Page 155  1 percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in your 2 view? 3 MS. O'DELL: 4 Object to the form. Incomplete 5 hypothetical. 6 A I I don't have the information to 7 answer that. 8 MS. BROWN: 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 S0 percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 S0 percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of tale in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 24 Q For of epidemiologists who are who are 25 A Correct. 26 A No. My my so my opinion was 27 Q So you've identified two questions for required to cause that mechanism. 28 Page 155 29 Q So you've identified two questions for us. One, can exposure result in a mechanism. 29 Correct? 20 A (Nods affirmatively.) 20 A (Nods affirmatively.) 21 A (Nods affirmatively.) 22 MS. O'DELL: 23 A (Nods affirmatively.) 24 Q And, two, how much of an exposure to MS. O'DELL: 3 Objection to form. 4 A Correct. 4 A Correct. 5 MS. BROWN: 5 MS. BROWN: 6 Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to tale cause chronic inflammation. Correct? 4 A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. 4 No O'DELL: 6 MS. O'DELL: 7 Object to		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		5
16 of tale sources are like having a thorn bush with 17 different size thorns, and, depending on the 18 constituent components, you know, those thorns 19 are bigger or smaller or otherwise. And but 20 my opinion is based on the fact that the presence 21 of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause 22 some inflammatory response. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 25 Page 155 26 MS. O'DELL: 27 MS. O'DELL: 28 MS. BROWN: 29 Does a talcum powder product with 10 20 MS. O'DELL: 31 MS. BROWN: 42 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 43 MS. O'DELL: 44 Object to the form. Incomplete 45 hypothetical. 46 A I I don't have the information to 47 answer that. 48 MS. BROWN: 49 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 S0 percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 40 Does a talcum powder product with 10 S0 percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 24 A No. My my so my opinion was 25 asked to answer the question of can is there a 26 Correct? 27 WS. O'DELL: 28 MS. BROWN: 29 Q And, two, how much of an exposure to correct? 29 MS. O'DELL: 20 Q And, in this case, you have answered 20 question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation, in 20 WS. O'DELL: 21 Object to the form. 22 A No. My my report details 23 MS. O'DELL: 24 MS. O'DELL: 25 MS. BROWN: 26 Q And, in this case, you have answered 27 question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. 28 MS. BROWN: 39 Q And, in this case, you have answered 40 question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. 40 Q You have not, in this case, answered 41 Q WS. O'DELL: 41 Objection to form.		= =	15	
17 different size thoms, and, depending on the 18 constituent components, you know, those thorns 19 are bigger or smaller or otherwise. And but 20 my opinion is based on the fact that the presence 21 of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause 22 some inflammatory response. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 25 Page 155 26 MS. O'DELL: 27 MS. O'DELL: 28 MS. O'DELL: 39 MS. O'DELL: 40 Object to the form. Incomplete 41 hypothetical. 42 A I I don't have the information to 43 answer that. 44 MS. BROWN: 45 Q Does a talcum powder product with 40 So percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in 41 your view? 42 Q Does a talcum powder product with 41 O Does a talcum powder product with 42 O Does a talcum powder product with 43 Correct. 44 A Correct. 45 MS. BROWN: 46 Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view? 47 question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. 48 MS. BROWN: 49 Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view? 51 Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 51 Is that right? 52 MS. O'DELL: 53 MS. O'DELL: 54 Object to the form. 55 MS. BROWN: 56 Q And, it wo, how much of an exposure is required to eause chronic inflammation. 56 Q And, two, how much of an exposure of talc cause chronic inflammation. 57 A Correct? 58 MS. O'DELL: 59 Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view? 50 Q Does a talcum powder product with 51 the				*
18 constituent components, you know, those thoms 19 are bigger or smaller or otherwise. And but 20 my opinion is based on the fact that the presence 21 of any of those thoms is sufficient to cause 22 some inflammatory response. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10  Page 155  Page 157  1 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your 2 view? 3 MS. O'DELL: 4 Object to the form. Incomplete 5 hypothetical. 6 A 1 I don't have the information to 7 answer that. 8 MS. BROWN: 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in 10 your view? 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 In equive to cause that mechanism. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q So you've identified two questions for 25 us. One, can exposure result in a mechanism. 26 Q And, two, how much of an exposure do 27 won, how much of an exposure do 28 MS. O'DELL: 29 A So my o'' you have answered 30 Q And, in this case, you have answered 40 Q And, in this case, you have answered 41 question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? 42 A No. My my report details 43 the that opinion regarding a biologically 44 plausible mechanism. 45 Page 157  Page 157  Page 157  MS. O'DELL: 46 A Correct. 57 MS. BROWN: 48 Correct. 58 Dobjection to form. 59 A So my yeah. My my report details 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation to 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation to 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation to 51 Is that right? 50 MS. O'DELL: 51 Object to the form. 51 Is that right? 51 MS. O'DELL: 52 Objection to form. 51 Is that right? 52 Objection to form. 53 Objection to form. 54 Objection to form. 55 Is that right? 56 Objection to form. 57 Objection to form. 58 O'DELL: 59 Objection to form. 59 Objection				
19 are bigger or smaller or otherwise. And but 20 my opinion is based on the fact that the presence 21 of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause 22 some inflammatory response. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10  Page 155  Page 157  1 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your 2 view? 3 MS. O'DELL: 4 Object to the form. Incomplete 5 hypothetical. 6 A 1 I don't have the information to 7 answer that. 8 MS. BROWN: 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in 10 your view? 11 you need to produce a mechanism. Correct? 12 MS. O'DELL: 13 Objection to form. 14 A Correct. 15 MS. BROWN: 16 A 1 I don't have the information to 17 answer that. 18 MS. BROWN: 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'D'ELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'D'ELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 21 go you veil in a mechanism. 22 Page 157 23 A (Nods affirmatively.) 24 Q And, two, how much exposure to do produce a mechanism. Correct? 24 MS. O'D'ELL: 3 Objection to form. 4 A Correct. 4 A So my yeah. My my report details 4 Chronic inflammation. Correct? 4 A No. My my report details 5 Chronic inflammation. Correct? 4 A No. My my report details 5 Chronic inflammation. Correct? 4 A No. My my report details 6 Chronic inflammation. Correct? 7 A So my yeah. My my report details 8 Chronic inflammation. Correct? 9 A So my yeah. My my report details 9 A So my yeah. My my report details 10 the that opinion regarding a biologically 11 plausible mechanism. 12 Q You have not, in this case, answered 13 question number two, which is how much exposure 14 to talc is needed to cause				
20 my opinion is based on the fact that the presence of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause some inflammatory response.  21				
21 of any of those thorns is sufficient to cause 22 some inflammatory response. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10  Page 155  Page 155  Page 157  percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in your 2 view? 3 MS. O'DELL: 4 Object to the form. Incomplete 5 hypothetical. 6 A I I don't have the information to 7 answer that. 8 MS. BROWN: 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of tale in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection to form. 22 MS. O'DELL: 3 Objection to form. 4 A Correct. 5 MS. BROWN: 6 Q And, in this case, you have answered 9 question number one, can exposure to tale cause 10 chronic inflammation. Correct? 9 A So my yeah. My my report details 10 the that opinion regarding a biologically 11 plausible mechanism. 12 Q You have not, in this case, answered 13 question number two, which is how much exposure 14 to tale is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 15 Is that right? 16 MS. O'DELL: 17 Objection to form. 18 A I wasn't asked to provide such a 19 mechanism or such a such an opinion. 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 24 Is certainly would defer to the the number 25 but I certainly would defer to the the number 26 of of epidemiologists who are who are				
22 some inflammatory response. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10  Page 155  1 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view? 2 MS. O'DELL: 3 Object to the form. Incomplete 4 Object to the form. Incomplete 5 hypothetical. 6 A I I don't have the information to 7 answer that. 8 MS. BROWN: 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a  22 Correct? 23 A (Nods affirmatively.) 24 Q And, two, how much of an exposure do 24 Q And, two, how much of an exposure do 24 Q And, two, how much of an exposure do 24 Q And, two, how much of an exposure do 25 A No. My my opinion was 26 A No. My my so my opinion was 27 A (Nods affirmatively.) 28 A (Nods affirmatively.) 29 A No my chok much of an exposure do 4 No. My my so my opinion was 28 A (Nods affirmatively.) 29 A No my nuch of an exposure do 4 No. My my so my opinion was 29 A No. My my so my opinion was 20 asked to answer the question of can is there a				
MS. BROWN:  24 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10  Page 155  Page 157  1 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  3 MS. O'DELL:  4 Object to the form. Incomplete  5 hypothetical.  6 A I I don't have the information to  7 answer that.  8 MS. BROWN:  9 Q Does a talcum powder product with  10 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  12 A Again, I don't have the information to  13 answer that.  14 MS. O'DELL:  15 MS. BROWN:  16 Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct?  9 A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism.  12 Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation.  15 Object to the form.  16 MS. BROWN:  17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine the level of talc in a product before determining that it can cause chronic inflammation?  18 A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion.  19 Page 157  Page 157  Page 157  Page 157  MS. O'DELL:  5 MS. O'DELL:  6 Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation, correct?  9 A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism.  10 the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism.  12 Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation.  15 Is that right?  16 MS. O'DELL:  17 Objection to form.  18 A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion.  20 Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question, but I certainly would defer to the the number of epidemiologists who are who are			22	
Page 155  Page 157  percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  A Again, I don't have the information to your view?  A Again, I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. O'DELL:  A Again, I don't have the information to the both of the tendency of the tendenc			23	
Page 155  Page 157  percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  A I I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does a talcum powder product with  S opercent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  A Again, I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism.  Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation.  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  B A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism.  Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation.  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  Object to talc in a product before determining that it can cause chronic inflammation?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Asked and answered.  A No. My my so my opinion was asked to answer the question of can is there a 23 of of epidemiologists who are who are				
1 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view? 2 view? 3 MS. O'DELL: 3 Object to the form. Incomplete 4 A Correct. 5 hypothetical. 6 A I I don't have the information to 7 answer that. 8 MS. BROWN: 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 MS. BROWN: 16 Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? 9 A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. 10 the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. 11 plausible mechanism. 12 Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 15 Object to the form. 15 Is that right? 16 MS. O'DELL: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine the level of talc in a product before determining that it can cause chronic inflammation? 18 the level of talc in a product before determining that it can cause chronic inflammation? 19 MS. O'DELL: 20 Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question, but I certainly would defer to the the number of of epidemiologists who are who are		Q Does a micam powder product with 10		1
2 view? 3 MS. O'DELL: 4 Object to the form. Incomplete 5 hypothetical. 6 A I I don't have the information to 7 answer that. 7 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 24 MS. O'DELL: 3 Objection to form. 4 A Correct. 4 A Correct. 5 MS. BROWN: 6 Q And, in this case, you have answered 6 question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? 9 A So my yeah. My my report details 10 the that opinion regarding a biologically 11 plausible mechanism. 12 Q You have not, in this case, answered 13 question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 15 Is that right? 16 MS. O'DELL: 17 Objection to form. 18 A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion. 19 mechanism or such a such an opinion. 20 Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question, but I certainly would defer to the the number of of epidemiologists who are who are		Page 155		Page 157
3 MS. O'DELL: 4 Object to the form. Incomplete 5 hypothetical. 6 A I I don't have the information to 7 answer that. 8 MS. BROWN: 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of tale in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a  3 Objection to form. 4 A Correct. 5 MS. BROWN: 6 Q And, in this case, you have answered 7 question number one, can exposure to tale cause chronic inflammation. Correct? 9 A So my yeah. My my report details 10 the that opinion regarding a biologically 11 plausible mechanism. 12 Q You have not, in this case, answered 13 question number two, which is how much exposure 14 to tale is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 15 Is that right? 16 MS. O'DELL: 17 Object to the form. 18 The level of tale in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a				
4 Object to the form. Incomplete 5 hypothetical. 6 A I I don't have the information to 7 answer that. 8 MS. BROWN: 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent tale cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of tale in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 24 A Correct. 5 MS. BROWN: 5 MS. BROWN: 6 Q And, in this case, you have answered 7 question number one, can exposure to tale cause chronic inflammation. Correct? 8 A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. 10 the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. 11 plausible mechanism. 12 Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to tale is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 15 Is that right? 16 MS. O'DELL: 17 Objection to form. 18 A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion. 20 Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question, but I certainly would defer to the the number of of epidemiologists who are who are	1	percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your	1	you need to produce a mechanism. Correct?
5 hypothetical. 6 A I I don't have the information to 7 answer that. 7 question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 10 the that opinion regarding a biologically 11 plausible mechanism. 12 Q You have not, in this case, answered 13 question number two, which is how much exposure 14 to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 15 Is that right? 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 24 Of of epidemiologists who are who are		*		
6 A I I don't have the information to 7 answer that. 8 MS. BROWN: 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 24 GRAnd, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct?  9 Q And, in this case, an exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct?  9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 9 A So my yeah. My my report details 10 the that opinion regarding a biologically 11 plausible mechanism. 12 Q You have not, in this case, answered 13 question number two, which is how much exposure 14 to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 15 Is that right? 16 MS. O'DELL: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion. 20 Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question, 21 but I certainly would defer to the the number of of epidemiologists who are who are	2	view?	2	MS. O'DELL:
7 question number one, can exposure to talc cause 8 MS. BROWN: 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 24 Cyou have not, in this case, answered 25 question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 21 Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 26 MS. O'DELL: 27 Objection to form. 28 A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion. 29 Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question, but I certainly would defer to the the number of chronic inflammation? asked to answer the question of can is there a	2	view? MS. O'DELL:	2	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
8 MS. BROWN: 9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a  8 chronic inflammation. Correct?  9 A So my yeah. My my report details  10 the that opinion regarding a biologically 11 plausible mechanism. 12 Q You have not, in this case, answered 13 question number two, which is how much exposure 14 to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 15 Is that right? 16 MS. O'DELL: 17 Objection to form. 18 A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion. 20 Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question, 21 but I certainly would defer to the the number 23 of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4	view? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Incomplete	2 3 4	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A Correct.
9 Q Does a talcum powder product with 10 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 24 So my yeah. My my report details 10 the that opinion regarding a biologically 11 plausible mechanism. 12 Q You have not, in this case, answered 13 question number two, which is how much exposure 14 to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 15 Is that right? 16 MS. O'DELL: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 A I wasn't asked to provide such a 19 mechanism or such a such an opinion. 20 Part of my review included some of the 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 Depart of my review included some of the 23 of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4 5	view? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.	2 3 4 5	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered
10 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in 11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 24 You have not, in this case, answered 25 question number two, which is how much exposure 26 to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 27 Us that right? 28 MS. O'DELL: 29 Objection to form. 20 MS. O'DELL: 20 Part of my review included some of the 21 epidemiology studies that examine that question, 22 but I certainly would defer to the the number 23 of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4 5 6	view? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. A I I don't have the information to answer that.	2 3 4 5 6	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause
11 your view? 12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 21 Dlausible mechanism. 22 You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 15 Is that right? 16 MS. O'DELL: 17 Objection to form. 18 A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion. 29 Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question, but I certainly would defer to the the number of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4 5 6 7	view? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. A I I don't have the information to answer that.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct?
12 A Again, I don't have the information to 13 answer that. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 21 Q You have not, in this case, answered 12 question number two, which is how much exposure 13 to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 15 Is that right? 16 MS. O'DELL: 17 Objection to form. 18 A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion. 20 Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question, 21 but I certainly would defer to the the number 23 of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	view? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. A I I don't have the information to answer that. MS. BROWN: Q Does a talcum powder product with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? A So my yeah. My my report details
answer that.  13 question number two, which is how much exposure 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 19 MS. O'DELL: 20 Part of my review included some of the 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 24 question number two, which is how much exposure 14 to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 15 Is that right? 16 MS. O'DELL: 17 Objection to form. 18 A I wasn't asked to provide such a 19 mechanism or such a such an opinion. 20 Part of my review included some of the 21 epidemiology studies that examine that question, 22 but I certainly would defer to the the number 23 of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	view?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  A I I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically
14 MS. O'DELL: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. BROWN: 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 20 Part of my review included some of the 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 24 to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. 15 Is that right?  MS. O'DELL: 17 Objection to form. 18 A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion. 29 Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question, 21 but I certainly would defer to the the number of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	view?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  A I I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism.
15 Object to the form.  16 MS. BROWN:  17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine  18 the level of talc in a product before determining  19 that it can cause chronic inflammation?  20 MS. O'DELL:  20 Part of my review included some of the  21 Objection. Asked and answered.  22 A No. My my so my opinion was  23 asked to answer the question of can is there a  25 Is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  26 Part of my review included some of the  27 epidemiology studies that examine that question,  28 but I certainly would defer to the the number  29 of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	view?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  A I I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  A Again, I don't have the information to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. Q You have not, in this case, answered
16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q Is it necessary for you to determine 18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 24 MS. O'DELL: 25 Objection to form. 26 A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion. 27 Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question, but I certainly would defer to the the number of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	view?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  A I I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  A Again, I don't have the information to answer that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure
17 Objection to form.  18 the level of talc in a product before determining 19 that it can cause chronic inflammation? 19 MS. O'DELL: 20 Part of my review included some of the 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 24 Objection to form. 25 A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion. 26 Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question, but I certainly would defer to the the number of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	view?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  A I I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  A Again, I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation.
the level of talc in a product before determining that it can cause chronic inflammation?  MS. O'DELL: Dijection. Asked and answered.  A No. My my so my opinion was asked to answer the question of can is there a  I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion.  Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question, but I certainly would defer to the the number of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	view?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  A I I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  A Again, I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. Is that right?
that it can cause chronic inflammation?  19 mechanism or such a such an opinion.  MS. O'DELL:  20 Part of my review included some of the  21 Objection. Asked and answered.  21 epidemiology studies that examine that question,  22 A No. My my so my opinion was  23 asked to answer the question of can is there a  23 of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	view?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  A I I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  A Again, I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. Is that right? MS. O'DELL:
20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 20 Part of my review included some of the 21 epidemiology studies that examine that question, 22 but I certainly would defer to the the number 23 of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	view?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  A I I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  A Again, I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is it necessary for you to determine	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
21 Objection. Asked and answered. 22 A No. My my so my opinion was 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 21 epidemiology studies that examine that question, 22 but I certainly would defer to the the number 23 of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	view?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  A I I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. BROWN: Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  A Again, I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  MS. BROWN: Q Is it necessary for you to determine the level of talc in a product before determining	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I wasn't asked to provide such a
22 A No. My my so my opinion was 22 but I certainly would defer to the the number 23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 23 of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	view?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  A I I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  A Again, I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is it necessary for you to determine the level of talc in a product before determining that it can cause chronic inflammation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion.
23 asked to answer the question of can is there a 23 of of epidemiologists who are who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	view?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  A I I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  A Again, I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is it necessary for you to determine the level of talc in a product before determining that it can cause chronic inflammation?  MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion. Part of my review included some of the
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	view?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  A I I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. BROWN: Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  A Again, I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  MS. BROWN: Q Is it necessary for you to determine the level of talc in a product before determining that it can cause chronic inflammation?  MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion. Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question,
biologically plausible mechanism from talc 24 providing testimony in this case, rather than try	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	view?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  A I I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. BROWN: Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view?  A Again, I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  MS. BROWN: Q Is it necessary for you to determine the level of talc in a product before determining that it can cause chronic inflammation?  MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.  A No. My my so my opinion was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion. Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question, but I certainly would defer to the the number
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	view?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Incomplete hypothetical.  A I I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. BROWN: Q Does a talcum powder product with 50 percent talc cause chronic inflammation, in your view? A Again, I don't have the information to answer that.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  MS. BROWN: Q Is it necessary for you to determine the level of talc in a product before determining that it can cause chronic inflammation?  MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered. A No. My my so my opinion was asked to answer the question of can is there a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A Correct. MS. BROWN: Q And, in this case, you have answered question number one, can exposure to talc cause chronic inflammation. Correct? A So my yeah. My my report details the that opinion regarding a biologically plausible mechanism. Q You have not, in this case, answered question number two, which is how much exposure to talc is needed to cause chronic inflammation. Is that right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I wasn't asked to provide such a mechanism or such a such an opinion. Part of my review included some of the epidemiology studies that examine that question, but I certainly would defer to the the number of of epidemiologists who are who are

PageID: 204087 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

			Page 160
1	and paraphrase or opine on their work.	1	epidemiology studies found that conclusion and,
2	MS. BROWN:	2	as as reviewed in the report, you know, found
3	Q Do you believe	3	an increased risk with increasing increasing
4	MS. O'DELL:	4	exposure appears, with the current knowledge in
5	Excuse me. We've been going about an	5	the literature, to increase risk. But my opinion
6	hour and 15 minutes. I'd love to take a break in	6	was not to further quantify or further describe
7	the next two or three minutes and	7	that.
8	MS. BROWN:	8	MS. BROWN:
9	It will probably take me a little	9	Q Many of the studies you looked at did
10	longer than that, but I'm mindful of the time,	10	not show a dose response; correct?
11	and I'll just finish this subject and take a	11	MS. O'DELL:
12	break	12	Objection to form.
13	MS. O'DELL:	13	A The limitation of several of the
14	Well, Dr. Levy, would you like a break	14	studies I reviewed was that they did not examine
15	now?	15	
16	THE WITNESS:	16	a dose response, so that, therefore, the study was unable unable to make that conclusion
17	I think we can finish this subject.	17	because they didn't look.
18	MS. BROWN:	18	MS. BROWN:
19	Thank you.	19	
20	THE WITNESS:		•
21	I I'd rather conclude it than break	20	attempt to look at duration and/or frequency did
22	it up.	21	not show a linear dose response. Correct?
23	MS. BROWN:	22	A I would have to look at the specific
24	Q So, Doctor, as it relates to how much	23	studies. But in in summary, studies that did
24	Q 50, Doctor, as it relates to now much	24	look at dose response, particularly more recent
	Page 159		Page 161
1	talc is needed to cause inflammation that can	1	studies with larger numbers of participants, the
2	cause cancer, that wasn't what you were asked to	2	meta-analysis studies, found a significant
3	figure out in this case. Is that right?	3	relationship between duration of use as well as
4	MS. O'DELL:	4	frequency of use in terms of their their risk
5	Objection to form.	5	ratios.
6	A No. Well, I I was I was asked to	6	Q And you are not going to offer the
7	provide a review of the literature in terms of	7	opinion in this case that a woman using Johnson's
8	talc exposure and inflammation and, in that	8	Baby Powder products perineally is exposed to
9	review, identified a number of studies that	9	enough talcum powder to cause chronic
10	examined some relationships to dose.	10	inflammation that can cause cancer. True?
11	But I as you as you see in my	11	MS. O'DELL:
12	conclusions, none of them speak to dose or	12	Object to the form.
13	duration in terms of that of that mechanism.	13	A I I wasn't asked to to provide
14	MS. BROWN:	14	that opinion.
15	Q You are not offering an opinion in this	15	MS. BROWN:
16	case, Doctor, that perineal use of talcum powder	16	Q And so, as such, you haven't attempted
17	exposes an individual to enough talc to cause	17	to quantify how much talcum powder, as used
18	chronic inflammation than can cause cancer;	18	perineally, might get to the ovary. Is that
19	correct?	19	fair?
20	MS. O'DELL:	20	A Again, wasn't wasn't asked. I was
21	Objection to form.	21	able to review some of the literature that
22	A My review of studies that attempted to	22	is appears to be long longstanding, well
23	answer that specific question found a or a	23	established over the last greater than 40 years
24	number of studies, both or a number of	24	that show a clear and I believe the FDA

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MS. O'DELL:

Object to the form.

Document 33005-47 PageID: 204088

Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

Page 162 Page 164 1 statement is -- is describing it as inarguable --1 talc has to reach the ovary for the chronic 2 that talc can migrate either from perineal 2 inflammation to occur. Is that right? 3 exposure or even from inhalation exposure and be

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

17

18

21

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

found in the ovary. A quantitation of how much exposure is required for that migration to occur and -- or how many times of exposure that migration needs to occur, I think it's been a fairly wide

diversity of -- of studies on that subject.

And, so, based on that, I'm not able to offer an opinion as to a minimal or maximum dose required to get there, other than -- but, instead, state that there is enough evidence to say factually that migration through the -- or through at least two mechanisms of exposure, talc can be found in the ovary. And I would suggest that -- or I'm not aware of any study that quantitates that further. Is it essential to your opinion that talc causes chronic inflammation that can lead to ovarian cancer that some amount of talc be present in the actual ovary?

3 MS. O'DELL:

Objection.

Not -- specific to your question, chronic inflammation, no, not necessarily.

MS. BROWN:

Is it your opinion in this case,

9 Doctor, that a woman can develop ovarian cancer 10 from chronic inflammation from talc without any 11 particle of talc ever reaching the ovary?

MS. O'DELL:

Objection to form.

No, I didn't -- I -- I certainly did

15 not make that statement. And the --16 Again, restating the -- this summary of

> my -- my opinion, that the biologically plausible mechanism for talc exposure to inflammation to

19 cellular damage and then potentially creating the 20 correct environment is based on evidence showing

talc exposure in the ovary.

2.2 MS. BROWN:

23 Okay. So critical to your opinion,

then, some talc has to get to the ovary at some

## Page 163

So my -- my -- my opinion regarding the biologically plausible mechanism, again, does not rely on duration of exposure or amount of exposure.

So, therefore, I would -- I would answer your question directly that it would be no, it does not -- it would not necessarily require talc to be present at the ovary at any given time point for there to be the potential that she had some inflammatory injury due to talc exposure at a previous time.

That would, of course, be two different questions, one being effect of exposure and second question being is there clearance of that exposure over time if use is discontinued.

So that's, again, two different -- two very different scientific studies would be -would be necessary.

19 MS. BROWN:

20 And you have not undertaken either of

21 those studies. Is that fair?

22 That's fair. Α

23 And -- but essential to your theory,

24 though, Doctor, at some point, some amount of time; right?

Well, the -- again, the -- my opinion is not based on how talc migrates or -- or when it can migrate. It's simply based on the, again, that biological premise, that exposure to talc.

Page 165

So I wasn't asked to opine whether or not talc exposure in a neighboring tissue could cause enough of an inflammatory response to affect the ovary.

So there is the, certainly, the uninvestigated secondary effects that perhaps talc did not -- is not necessary or -- and required to get to the ovary to cause that effect. I'm -- I'm just not aware of any studies that have made that delineation of talc exposure to neighboring or surrounding organs.

There is limited or some suggestion regarding the inflammatory response related to talc exposure in the lung that suggests that any talc exposure causes an inflammatory response. Again, but I can't point you to evidence that would take that inflammatory response and tie it specifically to ovarian cancer.

So, again, my answer is there is not

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

Page 166 Page 168 1 enough evidence to -- to support nor refute that 1 by well-established biological facts? 2 any talc exposure can lead to an increased risk 2 I would say the -- that chronic 3 3 of ovarian cancer. What I do know from my review inflammation as a component of causing ovarian 4 of the literature is the studies that looked at 4 cancer is well established by biologically 5 that specific exposure --5 plausible facts. 6 And, to be clear, none of the 6 And what are those facts? 7 epidemiology studies in humans quantitated the 7 I think a number of studies that 8 amount of talc reaching the ovary. It was simply 8 include the, first, the -- that talc or talcum 9 9 the exposure and the -- and the perineal use of powder causes inflammation. These exist in a 10 talc. So I think any discussion about how much 10 number of forms, including very recent -- recent 11 did it reach the ovary and how long was it in the 11 research by Dr. Saed, as we were -- touched on a 12 ovary is all hypothetical. 12 little bit earlier in the -- in his paper, as 13 Why don't we go off the record and take 13 Q well as classical studies with talc pleurodesis where there's -- you know, the fundamentals of 14 a break. 14 15 Thank you, Doctor. 15 that treatment is the inflammatory response 16 VIDEOGRAPHER: 16 caused by talc. 17 Going off the record. The time is 17 O Uh-huh. 18 11:51 a.m. 18 And, so, that would be the -- some of 19 (LUNCH RECESS.) 19 the -- two examples of where factual information 20 VIDEOGRAPHER: 20 or at least observations that are supportive 21 We're back on the record. The time is 21 of -- of that information, you know, being 22 12:52 p.m. 22 considered as a bio- -- part of a biologically 23 MS. BROWN: 23 plausible mechanism. 24 Welcome back, Doctor. 24 You would agree, Doctor, that not all Page 167 Page 169 1 1 You were asked in this case to assess inflammation causes cancer; correct? 2 whether perineal use of talcum powder products 2 I would say inflammation is not 3 3 induces a biologically plausible mechanism or singularly responsible for cancer. However, I 4 mechanisms that result in ovarian cancer. 4 would clarify that the progression from cellular 5 5 Correct? transformation to malignant cancer, at least with 6 6 our current understanding of cancer biology, Α Correct. 7 And define for us, if you will, 7 appears to have an inflammatory requirement, 8 8 "biologically plausible mechanism" as you used it meaning that all cases of chronic inflammation 9 in that sentence. 9 don't necessarily cause cancer. However, our 10 Excuse me. A mechanism that is 10 understanding of malignant transformation appears 11 11 to have, universally, an inflammatory component. biologically plausible, I mean that it is 12 supported by either well-established biological 12 Okay. You would agree, though, that 13 facts or supported by at least a single line of 13 not all types of inflammation that the body 14 experiences is inflammation that will lead to 14 evidence in published literature -- you know, 15 15 generally speaking, peer-reviewed literature but cancer. Correct? 16 certainly not limited to that -- where when you 16 MS. O'DELL: 17 17 take -- when you consider the totality of the Object to the form. 18 mechanism, that, essentially, each of the steps 18 So I would -- taking a step back 19 makes sense and is -- is supported by -- through 19 and -- and -- or to orient us to some of the 20 either direct or indirect observations. 20 basis of my opinions and some statements on 21 21 general cancer biology --Okay. And, in this case, as it relates 22 to talcum powder, do you believe that the 22 MS. BROWN: 23 biologically plausible mechanism of chronic 23 Well, let's start with just the 24 inflammation causing ovarian cancer is supported 24 question, though, Doctor.

Page 170 Page 172 1 Α Okay. 1 cause cancer. The -- you need a contribution of 2 Okay. Let's just keep it to an answer 2 other factors. And what those factors are is --O 3 to the question. And then if you need an 3 some are understood. Some are areas of active 4 opportunity to make another statement on the 4 research. 5 record, that's fine. 5 In the -- in the specific case of 6 MS. O'DELL: 6 ovarian cancer, it does appear, given the 7 Excuse me. Just object to the 7 late- -- given the observations about latency 8 direction of the witness. 8 period, that some level of chronic inflammation 9 9 Dr. Levy, you can answer a question appears to be critical, but there is no 10 however you'd like. 10 definition of it being required to then having MS. BROWN: 11 11 acute inflammation, again, in summary, causing 12 And, just to orient you, Doctor, what 12 cellular damage and then chronic inflammation I'm after, the question was: Not all 13 13 providing a -- a supportive environment for that inflammation that takes place in the body is 14 14 transformation. 15 inflammation that leads to cancer; correct? 15 And, again, I'm -- I'm generalizing, 16 MS. O'DELL: 16 which, as we discussed earlier in the day, cancer 17 Object to the form. 17 is very complex, and so we have to be cautious 18 So that, yeah, it's really too general 18 with generalizations. 19 a question. So you're -- you're -- what you're 19 Talc pleurodesis is a medical procedure asking is does all inflammation have the 20 by which talc is injected into the pleura; 20 21 potential to have -- have a relationship to 21 correct? 22 cancer, and the answer to that is -- is yes, it 22 A Correct. 23 23 And it is done that purposefully to 24 Now, does every inflammatory response 24 elicit an inflammatory response. Correct? Page 171 Page 173 1 directly cause cancer? And that's a question 1 Α That's correct. 2 that I would say would be reasonable to -- in 2 O And have you looked in consid- --3 layperson's terms, in terms of general 3 forming your opinions in this case at the body of 4 inflammation, is unlikely. 4 epidemiology that has followed folks who received 5 5 talc pleurodesis to see if they developed cancer? But there -- their distinction 6 6 MS. O'DELL: between -- is -- you know, stated simply, is 7 inflammation is a -- by our current knowledge of 7 Object. 8 cancer, is a necessary component of cancer 8 Somewhat, yes. A 9 progression. That does not equate to all 9 MS. BROWN: 10 inflammation causing cancer. 10 And are you familiar with the findings 11 MS. BROWN: 11 of those studies that talc, when injected 12 Does acute inflammation cause cancer, 12 directly into the pleura for the purpose of Q 13 in your mind, Doctor? 13 causing inflammation, had not caused cancer? MS. O'DELL: 14 14 It is a component of the cancer 15 15 progression process. And, so, in my -- to Object to the form. 16 provide a simplistic distinction between them is 16 I would disagree with your conclusions. 17 17 And, in fact, the literature I reviewed has, I a --18 Acute inflammation which results in 18 think, two fundamental concerns. One is the time 19 either an inflammatory response or direct 19 period that these patients were followed post 20 cellular insult or injury can be viewed as having 20 pleurodesis, and the other that there -- there 21 a -- causing cellular damage that results 21 have been at least one report, perhaps two -- I 22 in -- in cellular transformation. 22 would have to review to make sure I'm speaking 23 23 Now, that is not sufficient for that -accurately -- where there was indeed a 24 for those transformed cells to then go on to 24 asbestos-like response in the formation of a

Page 174 Page 176 1 mesothelioma-like event in the -- in the -- in 1 mid-'80s to early '90s. I'd have to, again, have 2 the pleural space following talc pleurodesis. 2 to review that --3 3 However, you know, taking a step back, I gave that specific example of a 4 given the relative rarity of that as a procedure, 4 patient or cohort of patients that were found to 5 particularly today, I think drawing conclusions 5 have, again, asbestos-like effects in the lung 6 from that as its -- as its relationship to cancer 6 leading to, at least in a case or more than 7 7 would be difficult, but I -- I do think perhaps more than one case, a mesothelioma-like 8 fundamentally the -- my use of that as an example 8 effect like we -- like I just mentioned. 9 9 was not necessarily to tie talc specifically to But, again, to point you to the exact 10 cancer. It was more to state that it's well 10 reference, I'd have to review. 11 established that platy talc individually as it --11 MS. BROWN: 12 used in those procedures causes an inflammatory 12 Are you relying on that reference in 13 13 response. And so, you know -- and that is the forming your opinions in this case? 14 primary reason I used or reviewed that literature 14 No. Specifically -- again, to restate 15 for that purpose. 15 the -- my description of the pleurodesis process MS. BROWN: 16 16 was to support the early part of the biological 17 17 Is it your opinion, Doctor, that talc mechanism that talc causes inflammation. So 18 pleurodesis leads to cancer? 18 that -- and, so, in the lung as a tissue, that 19 MS. O'DELL: 19 progression to cancer is -- is -- I think is a --20 Object to the form. 20 is a -- is a supportive observation to the -- to 21 Α It is my opinion that talc pleurodesis 21 my overall principle. But, again, it's a 2.2 creates an environment supportive of cancer. And 22 separate -- separate exposure type, certainly a 23 whether or not some number of individuals may 23 very different dosing, potentially, and, again, a 24 progress, could progress or have progressed to 24 very different patient, or the patient is a very Page 175 Page 177 1 1 different individual in the sense that they cancer is -- you know, is -- is of limited 2 knowledge right now. 2 obviously have reasons for going through the talc 3 MS. BROWN: 3 pleurodesis which are -- which are -- which are 4 What scientific support do you have for 4 potentially compounding to the overall phenotype. 5 5 your opinion that talc pleurodesis creates an Have you endeavored to quantify the 6 environment supportive of cancer? 6 difference between exposure to talc from 7 Oh, just that it causes an inflammatory 7 pleurodesis versus perineal use of cosmetic 8 8 response. And, as we've been discussing, there talcum powder products? 9 is ample evidence surrounding the role of 9 MS. O'DELL: 10 inflammation in cancer. There's a -- you know, 10 Object to the form. 11 in a number of both reference studies and I think 11 I have -- I have not attempted to 12 generally, I would -- I would state that it's a 12 delineate those two simply from the perspective 13 generally accepted fact in cancer biology. 13 that, again, to the biological mechanism, the 14 14 What scientific support do you have for initial premise is talc causes inflammation. And 15 your opinion that talc pleurodesis patients later 15 when I examined literature to look for evidence 16 can and do develop cancer? 16 of that historically, talc pleurodesis is one 17 17 example of inflammation. There's now others, and MS. O'DELL: 18 18 Object to the form. Misstate his there's, subsequent to that, there's been 19 testimony. 19 a -- now a number of -- or, you know, probably 20 I'd have to review my -- review some of 20 21 the literature. And I can take a look if we want 21 Dr. Saed is one example of a reasonably 22 to pause for a moment. 22 comprehensive molecular study examining specific 23 23 But there was -- I recall one study inflammatory markers tied specifically to 24 involving talc pleurodesis that was maybe 24 cellular exposure to, in the case of that paper,

	Page 178		Page 180
1	specific products, you know, such as the Shower	1	powder products cause chronic inflammation in
2	to Shower and the and baby powder.	2	your November 2018 report before having seen the
3	MS. BROWN:	3	Saed paper from 2018; correct?
4	Q Do you believe the inflammation caused	4	MS. O'DELL:
5	by talc pleurodesis is chronic inflammation that	5	Object object to the form.
6	leads to cancer?	6	Misstates his testimony.
7	MS. O'DELL:	7	A The so, as we discussed we
8	Objection to form. Asked and answered.	8	discussed earlier, I had seen abstract
9	A Again, I believe the inflammatory	9	information as well as earlier publication from
10	response to talc exposure, which would include	10	Dr. Saed's group and that the current 2018 paper,
11	talc pleurodesis, induces an inflammatory	11	while not necessary for the opinions described in
12	response that would be supportive of cancer	12	the report, certainly support those opinions,
13	development and/or progression.	13	given that it was a direct assessment of specific
14	MS. BROWN:	14	products, specific in specific doses applied
15	Q And what scientific literature other	15	to cellular material and then measurements for
16	than the one study you just referenced for us do	16	inflammation made directly on that material.
17	you rely on for your opinion that talc	17	So while that particular study was
18	pleurodesis induces an inflammatory response that	18	not
19	would be supportive of cancer development and/or	19	And, again, the the earlier studies
20	progression?	20	that were used to inform the 2018 paper were
21	MS. O'DELL:	21	certainly used in this report and referenced
22	Object to the form.	22	the
23	A All my my opinion is based on	23	And I'm just recalling when. Or if
24	connecting two basic concepts. Talc exposure	24	we've refer had the opportunity to reference
	Page 179		Page 181
1		1	
1 2	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a	1 2	the
1 2 3	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.	1 2 3	the Yeah. So we reference primarily the
2	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is	2	the Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the
2	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies,	2 3	the Yeah. So we reference primarily the
2 3 4	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now	2 3 4	the Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the
2 3 4 5	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies,	2 3 4 5	the Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the
2 3 4 5 6	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in	2 3 4 5 6	Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12. MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12.  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.  MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.  MS. BROWN:  Q What is the scientific basis for your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid expert for the plaintiffs' lawyers in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.  MS. BROWN:  Q What is the scientific basis for your support that talc exposure causes the type of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid expert for the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.  MS. BROWN:  Q What is the scientific basis for your support that talc exposure causes the type of inflammation that has been linked to cancer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid expert for the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation?  A I am aware. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.  MS. BROWN:  Q What is the scientific basis for your support that talc exposure causes the type of inflammation that has been linked to cancer?  A The most recent is the Saed publication	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid expert for the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation?  A I am aware. Yes.  Q Have you considered that fact in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.  MS. BROWN:  Q What is the scientific basis for your support that talc exposure causes the type of inflammation that has been linked to cancer?  A The most recent is the Saed publication that we discussed and or at least has been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid expert for the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation?  A I am aware. Yes.  Q Have you considered that fact in evaluating Dr. Saed's work?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.  MS. BROWN:  Q What is the scientific basis for your support that talc exposure causes the type of inflammation that has been linked to cancer?  A The most recent is the Saed publication that we discussed and or at least has been mentioned. In that study, looking at there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12. MS. BROWN: Q Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid expert for the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation? A I am aware. Yes. Q Have you considered that fact in evaluating Dr. Saed's work? A I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.  MS. BROWN:  Q What is the scientific basis for your support that talc exposure causes the type of inflammation that has been linked to cancer?  A The most recent is the Saed publication that we discussed and or at least has been mentioned. In that study, looking at there was a assessment and, in some cases, a quantitation of the specific molecular markers for inflammation that were induced, and many	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid expert for the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation?  A I am aware. Yes.  Q Have you considered that fact in evaluating Dr. Saed's work?  A I did.  Q Other than Dr. Saed's work from 2017 and 2018, what evidence are you relying on to support your opinion that talcum powder produces
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.  MS. BROWN:  Q What is the scientific basis for your support that talc exposure causes the type of inflammation that has been linked to cancer?  A The most recent is the Saed publication that we discussed and or at least has been mentioned. In that study, looking at there was a assessment and, in some cases, a quantitation of the specific molecular markers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid expert for the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation?  A I am aware. Yes.  Q Have you considered that fact in evaluating Dr. Saed's work?  A I did.  Q Other than Dr. Saed's work from 2017 and 2018, what evidence are you relying on to support your opinion that talcum powder produces the type of inflammation that can lead to cancer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.  MS. BROWN:  Q What is the scientific basis for your support that talc exposure causes the type of inflammation that has been linked to cancer?  A The most recent is the Saed publication that we discussed and or at least has been mentioned. In that study, looking at there was a assessment and, in some cases, a quantitation of the specific molecular markers for inflammation that were induced, and many	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid expert for the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation?  A I am aware. Yes.  Q Have you considered that fact in evaluating Dr. Saed's work?  A I did.  Q Other than Dr. Saed's work from 2017 and 2018, what evidence are you relying on to support your opinion that talcum powder produces
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.  MS. BROWN:  Q What is the scientific basis for your support that talc exposure causes the type of inflammation that has been linked to cancer?  A The most recent is the Saed publication that we discussed and or at least has been mentioned. In that study, looking at there was a assessment and, in some cases, a quantitation of the specific molecular markers for inflammation that were induced, and many of some of those markers are shared with known markers for for cancer progression, such as CA 125, as well as others.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid expert for the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation?  A I am aware. Yes.  Q Have you considered that fact in evaluating Dr. Saed's work?  A I did.  Q Other than Dr. Saed's work from 2017 and 2018, what evidence are you relying on to support your opinion that talcum powder produces the type of inflammation that can lead to cancer?  A There has been looking through the there's the Buz'Zard and Lau, 2007. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.  MS. BROWN:  Q What is the scientific basis for your support that talc exposure causes the type of inflammation that has been linked to cancer?  A The most recent is the Saed publication that we discussed and or at least has been mentioned. In that study, looking at there was a assessment and, in some cases, a quantitation of the specific molecular markers for inflammation that were induced, and many of some of those markers are shared with known markers for for cancer progression, such as CA 125, as well as others.  Q Are you referring to Saed's 2018 paper,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid expert for the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation?  A I am aware. Yes.  Q Have you considered that fact in evaluating Dr. Saed's work?  A I did.  Q Other than Dr. Saed's work from 2017 and 2018, what evidence are you relying on to support your opinion that talcum powder produces the type of inflammation that can lead to cancer?  A There has been looking through the there's the Buz'Zard and Lau, 2007. We were discussing the Hamilton Hamilton paper in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.  MS. BROWN:  Q What is the scientific basis for your support that talc exposure causes the type of inflammation that has been linked to cancer?  A The most recent is the Saed publication that we discussed and or at least has been mentioned. In that study, looking at there was a assessment and, in some cases, a quantitation of the specific molecular markers for inflammation that were induced, and many of some of those markers are shared with known markers for for cancer progression, such as CA 125, as well as others.  Q Are you referring to Saed's 2018 paper, Dr. Levy?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid expert for the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation?  A I am aware. Yes.  Q Have you considered that fact in evaluating Dr. Saed's work?  A I did.  Q Other than Dr. Saed's work from 2017 and 2018, what evidence are you relying on to support your opinion that talcum powder produces the type of inflammation that can lead to cancer?  A There has been looking through the there's the Buz'Zard and Lau, 2007. We were discussing the Hamilton Hamilton paper in terms of immune response but then, more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.  MS. BROWN:  Q What is the scientific basis for your support that talc exposure causes the type of inflammation that has been linked to cancer?  A The most recent is the Saed publication that we discussed and or at least has been mentioned. In that study, looking at there was a assessment and, in some cases, a quantitation of the specific molecular markers for inflammation that were induced, and many of some of those markers are shared with known markers for for cancer progression, such as CA 125, as well as others.  Q Are you referring to Saed's 2018 paper, Dr. Levy?  A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid expert for the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation?  A I am aware. Yes.  Q Have you considered that fact in evaluating Dr. Saed's work?  A I did.  Q Other than Dr. Saed's work from 2017 and 2018, what evidence are you relying on to support your opinion that talcum powder produces the type of inflammation that can lead to cancer?  A There has been looking through the there's the Buz'Zard and Lau, 2007. We were discussing the Hamilton Hamilton paper in terms of immune response but then, more specifically, the NTP reference in 1993. And in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	causes inflammation. Inflammation has a significant role in cancer development.  And, so, as far as each of those is supported by individual individual studies, and and now as I mentioned, there are now studies that directly tie those together in observation.  MS. BROWN:  Q What is the scientific basis for your support that talc exposure causes the type of inflammation that has been linked to cancer?  A The most recent is the Saed publication that we discussed and or at least has been mentioned. In that study, looking at there was a assessment and, in some cases, a quantitation of the specific molecular markers for inflammation that were induced, and many of some of those markers are shared with known markers for for cancer progression, such as CA 125, as well as others.  Q Are you referring to Saed's 2018 paper, Dr. Levy?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Yeah. So we reference primarily the abstracts and then, again, as well as some of the other Saed work, which is the foundation of the directed studies that are described in the Reproductive Sciences paper that is Exhibit 12.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid expert for the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation?  A I am aware. Yes.  Q Have you considered that fact in evaluating Dr. Saed's work?  A I did.  Q Other than Dr. Saed's work from 2017 and 2018, what evidence are you relying on to support your opinion that talcum powder produces the type of inflammation that can lead to cancer?  A There has been looking through the there's the Buz'Zard and Lau, 2007. We were discussing the Hamilton Hamilton paper in terms of immune response but then, more

	Page 182		Page 184
1	in reactive oxygen species generation	1	the details, and I there I am aware
2	THE COURT REPORTER:	2	of mentioned earlier the Woodruff or Woodford,
3	Wait a minute. You have to slow down	3	the earlier 1971 paper where I couldn't remember
4	when you read, please.	4	the author, is one of the earliest studies that I
5	MS. O'DELL:	5	came across that had it has an animal model
6	You may continue.	6	study.
7	A Just to before I left off, I think,	7	MS. BROWN:
8	in those mentioned references, the reactive	8	Q Doctor, is it your testimony that
9	oxygen species generation, increased cell	9	First of all, do you think it's that
10	proliferation, and the use of in the specific	10	in opining that there is a biologically plausible
11	case of Buz'Zard and Lau, was looking at the	11	mechanism by which talcum powder causes chronic
12	transformation in human ovarian cancer cells that	12	inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer, is it
13	were treated with talcum powder sorry human	13	necessary, in your mind, to be able to show in
14	ovarian cells treated with talcum powder.	14	animals that talcum powder does just that?
15	MS. BROWN:	15	A That talcum powder causes inflammation?
16	Q Other than Buz'Zard, Hamilton, and NTP,	16	Q That causes ovarian cancer.
17	is there anything else that you are relying on to	17	A No, I don't I don't think that
18	support your opinion that the inflammation caused	18	that's that's certainly not a requirement.
19	by talcum powder is the type of inflammation that	19	And the reason I the reason I give that answer
20	causes cancer?	20	is is quite simple; that there is a wide
21	A So there's additional references	21	diversity of animal model studies that have not
22	mentioned in the report; Gates, Belot, Harper and	22	been able to mimic specifically or correctly
23	Saed. And then, in addition to that, there was	23	human cancer for both both from a detection
24	a	24	and most often from a treatment perspective,
	Page 183		Page 185
1	Make sure I'm referring to the right	1	meaning that, fundamentally, humans and most
2	one.	2	or at least the animal systems used as in
3	So those were the those were the	3	scientific modeling are different. Some of their
4	primary references. And then, of course, there	4	differences are due to different pathways, and
5	were supporting materials and other earlier-cited		1 3 7
	were supporting materials and other earlier-cited	5	others of the differences are due to actually,
6	work.	5 6	
			others of the differences are due to actually,
6	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to	6 7 8	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the
6 7 8 9	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to talc and as far as its specific relationship to	6 7 8 9	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the deposition as Exhibit 7. Do you recall that?
6 7 8	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to talc and as far as its specific relationship to cancer, there's there's I would point to	6 7 8	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the
6 7 8 9 10	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to talc and as far as its specific relationship to cancer, there's there's I would point to the, at least in the Saed work, the specific	6 7 8 9 10 11	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the deposition as Exhibit 7. Do you recall that?  MS. O'DELL:  Counsel, would you mind just placing
6 7 8 9 10 11	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to talc and as far as its specific relationship to cancer, there's there's I would point to the, at least in the Saed work, the specific quantitation of a very well-known tumor marker,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the deposition as Exhibit 7. Do you recall that?  MS. O'DELL:  Counsel, would you mind just placing the exhibits by the witness so he can refer to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to talc and as far as its specific relationship to cancer, there's there's I would point to the, at least in the Saed work, the specific quantitation of a very well-known tumor marker, CA 125, also known as mucin-16 elevation in that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the deposition as Exhibit 7. Do you recall that?  MS. O'DELL:  Counsel, would you mind just placing the exhibits by the witness so he can refer to them as he'd like, please.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to talc and as far as its specific relationship to cancer, there's there's I would point to the, at least in the Saed work, the specific quantitation of a very well-known tumor marker, CA 125, also known as mucin-16 elevation in that work, and then, in the case of Gates, some of the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the deposition as Exhibit 7. Do you recall that?  MS. O'DELL:  Counsel, would you mind just placing the exhibits by the witness so he can refer to them as he'd like, please.  A Yes, I recall this.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to talc and as far as its specific relationship to cancer, there's there's I would point to the, at least in the Saed work, the specific quantitation of a very well-known tumor marker, CA 125, also known as mucin-16 elevation in that work, and then, in the case of Gates, some of the fundamental glutathione S-transferase has been	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the deposition as Exhibit 7. Do you recall that?  MS. O'DELL:  Counsel, would you mind just placing the exhibits by the witness so he can refer to them as he'd like, please.  A Yes, I recall this.  MS. BROWN:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to talc and as far as its specific relationship to cancer, there's there's I would point to the, at least in the Saed work, the specific quantitation of a very well-known tumor marker, CA 125, also known as mucin-16 elevation in that work, and then, in the case of Gates, some of the fundamental glutathione S-transferase has been associated or has been observed as a higher risk.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the deposition as Exhibit 7. Do you recall that?  MS. O'DELL:  Counsel, would you mind just placing the exhibits by the witness so he can refer to them as he'd like, please.  A Yes, I recall this.  MS. BROWN:  Q And you would agree with me, Doctor,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to talc and as far as its specific relationship to cancer, there's there's I would point to the, at least in the Saed work, the specific quantitation of a very well-known tumor marker, CA 125, also known as mucin-16 elevation in that work, and then, in the case of Gates, some of the fundamental glutathione S-transferase has been associated or has been observed as a higher risk.  And, so, that would those would be	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the deposition as Exhibit 7. Do you recall that?  MS. O'DELL:  Counsel, would you mind just placing the exhibits by the witness so he can refer to them as he'd like, please.  A Yes, I recall this.  MS. BROWN:  Q And you would agree with me, Doctor, that the Hamilton study that we discussed this
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to talc and as far as its specific relationship to cancer, there's there's I would point to the, at least in the Saed work, the specific quantitation of a very well-known tumor marker, CA 125, also known as mucin-16 elevation in that work, and then, in the case of Gates, some of the fundamental glutathione S-transferase has been associated or has been observed as a higher risk.  And, so, that would those would be some examples.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the deposition as Exhibit 7. Do you recall that?  MS. O'DELL:  Counsel, would you mind just placing the exhibits by the witness so he can refer to them as he'd like, please.  A Yes, I recall this.  MS. BROWN:  Q And you would agree with me, Doctor, that the Hamilton study that we discussed this morning concluded that there were no neoplastic
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to talc and as far as its specific relationship to cancer, there's there's I would point to the, at least in the Saed work, the specific quantitation of a very well-known tumor marker, CA 125, also known as mucin-16 elevation in that work, and then, in the case of Gates, some of the fundamental glutathione S-transferase has been associated or has been observed as a higher risk.  And, so, that would those would be some examples.  Q Are you aware of any animal study,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the deposition as Exhibit 7. Do you recall that?  MS. O'DELL:  Counsel, would you mind just placing the exhibits by the witness so he can refer to them as he'd like, please.  A Yes, I recall this.  MS. BROWN:  Q And you would agree with me, Doctor, that the Hamilton study that we discussed this morning concluded that there were no neoplastic changes in the animals that were injected with
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to talc and as far as its specific relationship to cancer, there's there's I would point to the, at least in the Saed work, the specific quantitation of a very well-known tumor marker, CA 125, also known as mucin-16 elevation in that work, and then, in the case of Gates, some of the fundamental glutathione S-transferase has been associated or has been observed as a higher risk.  And, so, that would those would be some examples.  Q Are you aware of any animal study, Dr. Levy, that shows the inflammation caused by	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the deposition as Exhibit 7. Do you recall that?  MS. O'DELL:  Counsel, would you mind just placing the exhibits by the witness so he can refer to them as he'd like, please.  A Yes, I recall this.  MS. BROWN:  Q And you would agree with me, Doctor, that the Hamilton study that we discussed this morning concluded that there were no neoplastic changes in the animals that were injected with talcum powder; correct?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to talc and as far as its specific relationship to cancer, there's there's I would point to the, at least in the Saed work, the specific quantitation of a very well-known tumor marker, CA 125, also known as mucin-16 elevation in that work, and then, in the case of Gates, some of the fundamental glutathione S-transferase has been associated or has been observed as a higher risk.  And, so, that would those would be some examples.  Q Are you aware of any animal study, Dr. Levy, that shows the inflammation caused by talcum powder causing precancerous changes?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the deposition as Exhibit 7. Do you recall that?  MS. O'DELL:  Counsel, would you mind just placing the exhibits by the witness so he can refer to them as he'd like, please.  A Yes, I recall this.  MS. BROWN:  Q And you would agree with me, Doctor, that the Hamilton study that we discussed this morning concluded that there were no neoplastic changes in the animals that were injected with talcum powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to talc and as far as its specific relationship to cancer, there's there's I would point to the, at least in the Saed work, the specific quantitation of a very well-known tumor marker, CA 125, also known as mucin-16 elevation in that work, and then, in the case of Gates, some of the fundamental glutathione S-transferase has been associated or has been observed as a higher risk.  And, so, that would those would be some examples.  Q Are you aware of any animal study, Dr. Levy, that shows the inflammation caused by talcum powder causing precancerous changes?  MS. O'DELL:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the deposition as Exhibit 7. Do you recall that?  MS. O'DELL:  Counsel, would you mind just placing the exhibits by the witness so he can refer to them as he'd like, please.  A Yes, I recall this.  MS. BROWN:  Q And you would agree with me, Doctor, that the Hamilton study that we discussed this morning concluded that there were no neoplastic changes in the animals that were injected with talcum powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Asked and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	work.  But for the opinion regarding the type of inflammation that is caused by exposure to talc and as far as its specific relationship to cancer, there's there's I would point to the, at least in the Saed work, the specific quantitation of a very well-known tumor marker, CA 125, also known as mucin-16 elevation in that work, and then, in the case of Gates, some of the fundamental glutathione S-transferase has been associated or has been observed as a higher risk.  And, so, that would those would be some examples.  Q Are you aware of any animal study, Dr. Levy, that shows the inflammation caused by talcum powder causing precancerous changes?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	others of the differences are due to actually, you know, fundamental immune system differences.  Q The Hamilton article that you identified for me, we marked earlier in the deposition as Exhibit 7. Do you recall that?  MS. O'DELL:  Counsel, would you mind just placing the exhibits by the witness so he can refer to them as he'd like, please.  A Yes, I recall this.  MS. BROWN:  Q And you would agree with me, Doctor, that the Hamilton study that we discussed this morning concluded that there were no neoplastic changes in the animals that were injected with talcum powder; correct?  MS. O'DELL:

	Page 186		Page 188
1	MS. BROWN:	1	Q So this article looked at talc that was
2	Q What evidence in Hamilton, Doctor, are	2	injected into animals and found no evidence of
3	you relying on to support your position that	3	changes that lead to cancer. Correct?
4	Hamilton showed neoplastic changes in animals	4	MS. O'DELL:
5	injected with talc?	5	Objection to form.
6	A Well, I'm not I'm not stating that	6	A Over the time period that they that
7	Hamilton specifically showed that.	7	the study was performed, they did they did
8	What I'm stating is that that there	8	not they did not report, and, in fact, as you
9	is a Hamilton study as an animal model system to	9	said, their statements are "no evidence of
10	make the conclusion that, in this animal model	10	cellular atypia or mitotic activity."
11	system, that talc or talcum powder does not or	11	MS. BROWN:
12	that causes or does not cause ovarian cancer is	12	Q So in opining, as you do in this case,
13	not it's it is it has limitations.	13	that talcum powder can biologically induce
14	And, as we discussed a bit earlier, the	14	chronic inflammation that causes ovarian cancer,
15	two limitations are the very limited time points	15	what methodology did you employ to consider the
16	of the animals. And if we look at the relative	16	findings of the Hamilton article?
17	and observed time points that we know now, as far	17	A Well, I considered the findings of the
18	as latency period, these are well short of	18	Hamilton article, as as referenced in the
19	those of those periods, even by rat standards,	19	report, primarily showing that talc has an
20	and then the number of treated animals is	20	inflammatory or an immune response. And that was
21	relatively small at ten. So the	21	the primary inclusion of the of the Hamilton
22	Q Doctor, do you rely on the Hamilton	22	paper.
23	article to support your opinion that talcum	23	Q Not all inflammatory or immune
24	powder produces chronic inflammation that causes	24	responses lead to cancer; right?
	Page 187		Page 189
1	Page 187 ovarian cancer?	1	Page 189 MS. O'DELL:
1 2		1 2	
	ovarian cancer?		MS. O'DELL:
2	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely	2	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.
2	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular	2 3	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered. A As as we discussed, not not all
2 3 4	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.	2 3 4	MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to
2 3 4 5	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.	2 3 4 5	MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so
2 3 4 5 6	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so  MS. BROWN:  Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response
2 3 4 5 6 7	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so  MS. BROWN:  Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?  A Uh-huh. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so  MS. BROWN:  Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer. Fair enough?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?  A Uh-huh. Yes.  Q And I and this Hamilton article, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. O'DELL:  Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so  MS. BROWN:  Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer. Fair enough?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?  A Uh-huh. Yes.  Q And I and this Hamilton article, as we discussed, at page 103, found no evidence of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so  MS. BROWN: Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer.  Fair enough?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?  A Uh-huh. Yes.  Q And I and this Hamilton article, as we discussed, at page 103, found no evidence of neoplasm in the rats injected with talc. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so  MS. BROWN: Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer.  Fair enough?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A No. I would say that's unfair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?  A Uh-huh. Yes.  Q And I and this Hamilton article, as we discussed, at page 103, found no evidence of neoplasm in the rats injected with talc. Right?  A They I I don't they did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so  MS. BROWN: Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer. Fair enough?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A No. I would say that's unfair.  Because, again, the limitation of the Hamilton
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?  A Uh-huh. Yes.  Q And I and this Hamilton article, as we discussed, at page 103, found no evidence of neoplasm in the rats injected with talc. Right?  A They I I don't they did not I don't recall seeing a description of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so  MS. BROWN: Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer. Fair enough?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A No. I would say that's unfair.  Because, again, the limitation of the Hamilton study at the time it was performed was is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?  A Uh-huh. Yes.  Q And I and this Hamilton article, as we discussed, at page 103, found no evidence of neoplasm in the rats injected with talc. Right?  A They I I don't they did not I don't recall seeing a description of neoplasm in the Hamilton article.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so MS. BROWN: Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer. Fair enough? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A No. I would say that's unfair. Because, again, the limitation of the Hamilton study at the time it was performed was is a very short timeline. So there is it is an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?  A Uh-huh. Yes.  Q And I and this Hamilton article, as we discussed, at page 103, found no evidence of neoplasm in the rats injected with talc. Right?  A They I I don't they did not I don't recall seeing a description of neoplasm in the Hamilton article.  Q Page 103, second column, begins with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so MS. BROWN: Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer. Fair enough? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A No. I would say that's unfair. Because, again, the limitation of the Hamilton study at the time it was performed was is a very short timeline. So there is it is an incomplete study in the sense that there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?  A Uh-huh. Yes.  Q And I and this Hamilton article, as we discussed, at page 103, found no evidence of neoplasm in the rats injected with talc. Right?  A They I I don't they did not I don't recall seeing a description of neoplasm in the Hamilton article.  Q Page 103, second column, begins with "No evidence."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so  MS. BROWN: Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer. Fair enough?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A No. I would say that's unfair.  Because, again, the limitation of the Hamilton study at the time it was performed was is a very short timeline. So there is it is an incomplete study in the sense that there is certainly the possibility that the first aspect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?  A Uh-huh. Yes.  Q And I and this Hamilton article, as we discussed, at page 103, found no evidence of neoplasm in the rats injected with talc. Right?  A They I I don't they did not I don't recall seeing a description of neoplasm in the Hamilton article.  Q Page 103, second column, begins with "No evidence."  A "No evidence of cellular atypia."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so  MS. BROWN: Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer. Fair enough?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A No. I would say that's unfair.  Because, again, the limitation of the Hamilton study at the time it was performed was is a very short timeline. So there is it is an incomplete study in the sense that there is certainly the possibility that the first aspect or the first event that we're that we've been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?  A Uh-huh. Yes.  Q And I and this Hamilton article, as we discussed, at page 103, found no evidence of neoplasm in the rats injected with talc. Right?  A They I I don't they did not I don't recall seeing a description of neoplasm in the Hamilton article.  Q Page 103, second column, begins with "No evidence."  A "No evidence of cellular atypia."  Q Uh-huh. "And concludes that in no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so MS. BROWN: Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer. Fair enough? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A No. I would say that's unfair. Because, again, the limitation of the Hamilton study at the time it was performed was is a very short timeline. So there is it is an incomplete study in the sense that there is certainly the possibility that the first aspect or the first event that we're that we've been discussing in cancer biology, the cellular damage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?  A Uh-huh. Yes.  Q And I and this Hamilton article, as we discussed, at page 103, found no evidence of neoplasm in the rats injected with talc. Right?  A They I I don't they did not I don't recall seeing a description of neoplasm in the Hamilton article.  Q Page 103, second column, begins with "No evidence."  A "No evidence of cellular atypia."  Q Uh-huh. "And concludes that in no ovary was there any evidence of frank neoplasia";	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so MS. BROWN: Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer. Fair enough? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A No. I would say that's unfair. Because, again, the limitation of the Hamilton study at the time it was performed was is a very short timeline. So there is it is an incomplete study in the sense that there is certainly the possibility that the first aspect or the first event that we're that we've been discussing in cancer biology, the cellular damage to lead to transformation, could have occurred in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?  A Uh-huh. Yes.  Q And I and this Hamilton article, as we discussed, at page 103, found no evidence of neoplasm in the rats injected with talc. Right?  A They I I don't they did not I don't recall seeing a description of neoplasm in the Hamilton article.  Q Page 103, second column, begins with "No evidence."  A "No evidence of cellular atypia."  Q Uh-huh. "And concludes that in no ovary was there any evidence of frank neoplasia"; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so MS. BROWN: Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer. Fair enough? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A No. I would say that's unfair. Because, again, the limitation of the Hamilton study at the time it was performed was is a very short timeline. So there is it is an incomplete study in the sense that there is certainly the possibility that the first aspect or the first event that we're that we've been discussing in cancer biology, the cellular damage to lead to transformation, could have occurred in some of the rat tissues but had not progressed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?  A Uh-huh. Yes.  Q And I and this Hamilton article, as we discussed, at page 103, found no evidence of neoplasm in the rats injected with talc. Right?  A They I I don't they did not I don't recall seeing a description of neoplasm in the Hamilton article.  Q Page 103, second column, begins with "No evidence."  A "No evidence of cellular atypia."  Q Uh-huh. "And concludes that in no ovary was there any evidence of frank neoplasia"; right?  A Yes. That's what's written in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so MS. BROWN: Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer. Fair enough? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A No. I would say that's unfair. Because, again, the limitation of the Hamilton study at the time it was performed was is a very short timeline. So there is it is an incomplete study in the sense that there is certainly the possibility that the first aspect or the first event that we're that we've been discussing in cancer biology, the cellular damage to lead to transformation, could have occurred in some of the rat tissues but had not progressed enough or had or had taken hold enough to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ovarian cancer?  A No, I don't rely again, I don't rely on any there's not a reliance on any singular article.  Q Did not mean to suggest that, Doctor.  I asked you for the scientific support that you have for the opinions you're giving in this litigation, and one of the articles you identified was the Hamilton article. Correct?  A Uh-huh. Yes.  Q And I and this Hamilton article, as we discussed, at page 103, found no evidence of neoplasm in the rats injected with talc. Right?  A They I I don't they did not I don't recall seeing a description of neoplasm in the Hamilton article.  Q Page 103, second column, begins with "No evidence."  A "No evidence of cellular atypia."  Q Uh-huh. "And concludes that in no ovary was there any evidence of frank neoplasia"; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and answered.  A As as we discussed, not not all inflammatory responses have been shown to conclusively lead to cancer. And, so MS. BROWN: Q And Hamilton does not support the opinion that the type of inflammatory response that talc causes is the type that causes cancer. Fair enough? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A No. I would say that's unfair. Because, again, the limitation of the Hamilton study at the time it was performed was is a very short timeline. So there is it is an incomplete study in the sense that there is certainly the possibility that the first aspect or the first event that we're that we've been discussing in cancer biology, the cellular damage to lead to transformation, could have occurred in some of the rat tissues but had not progressed

	Page 190		Page 192
1	particular study performed in the early '80s.	1	Q Did you review, Doctor, the
2	And, furthermore, rat the rat model	2	And and what about the findings of
3	for human cancer, since this study has been in	3	NTP support your opinion?
4	other cases, has some limitations as it relates	4	A Well, first, the inflammatory response,
5	to how applicable it is to the human condition.	5	given the evidence by the accumulation of
6	MS. BROWN:	6	macrophages, and then, secondly, that in the
7	Q The NTP study that you identified as	7	female rats, the incidences of alveolar and
8	supporting your opinion, Doctor, that also does	8	bronchial or adenoma, carcinoma, and adenoma in
9	not show evidence of neoplastic changes; is that	9	the 18-milligram-per-meter group were
10	right?	10	significantly greater than those of controls.
11	MS. O'DELL:	11	Q So did you consider the FDA's findings
12	Object to the form.	12	as it relates to the evaluation of the NTP study?
13	Doctor, please feel free to refer to	13	MS. O'DELL:
14	the study if you need to.	14	Object to the form. Vague.
15	A Yeah. I'll do that now.	15	A Which which FDA?
16	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 15	16	MS. BROWN:
17	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	17	Q Have you considered, in connection with
18	MS. BROWN:	18	this case, the FDA's response to the 2014
19	Q Doctor, we'll mark as Exhibit 15 to	19	citizens petition?
20	your deposition the NTP study to which you were	20	A Yes. That's familiar. And if I recall
21	referring.	21	correctly
22	A Uh-huh.	22	Or do you have is that handy?
23	Q And this study, as well, does not show	23	Q We'll mark that as Exhibit 16, Doctor.
24	evidence of neoplastic changes.	24	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 16
	1 8		
	Page 191		Page 193
1	MS. O'DELL:	1	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
2	Object to the form.	2	MS. BROWN:
3	Do you have a copy for me?	3	Q The reason I want to talk to you about
4	It's what number?	4	this is it contains a review of the NTP study we
5	MS. BROWN:	5	were just discussing.
6	Fifteen.	6	First of all, did you consider this
7	A I think the the important	7	document in connection with your opinions in this
8	distinction in this particular study is this was	8	case?
9	an aerosol-based based study. It certainly	9	A Yes, this document's familiar.
10	was longer than the Hamilton but was was not a	10	Q Okay. And do you recall that a cancer
11	study that mimics the perineal use of talc.	11	prevention coalition wrote the FDA requesting
12	MS. BROWN:	12	that a warning label be placed on talcum powder
13	Q And, so, as it relates to your opinion	13	products?
14	in this case, Doctor, that talc induces a chronic	14	A Yes.
15	inflammation that can lead to ovarian cancer, the	15	Q And do you recall, as evidenced on
16	NTP study does not support that, does it?	16	page 1, the FDA reviewed the data as it related
17	MS. O'DELL:	17	to that question?
18	Object to the form.	18	A I I recall that the FDA reviewed the
19	A I would say the study does support my	19	data and determined that it was insufficient, and
20	opinion regarding tale and its role in	20	they did not identify any new compelling
21	inflammation. And if we refer to page 6 within	21	literature at the time. But this was in 2014.
22	the first the first paragraph, beginning with	22	Q And the NTP
23	"Accumulations of macrophages."	23	MS. O'DELL:
		1	
24	MS. BROWN:	24	Excuse me, counsel.

PageID: 204096 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 194		Page 196
1	Were you finished? If you're finished,	1	the FDA claimed serious flaws.
2	that's fine. I just didn't know if you completed	2	MS. BROWN:
3	your	3	Q At the bottom of page 3
4	A I'm just reading. There was one	4	A I see.
5	other I recall	5	Q the sentence that begins, "However,
6	MS. BROWN:	6	this study lacks convincing scientific support
7	Q Doctor, the NTP study that you pointed	7	because of serious flaws in its design and
8	us to was from 1993. Is that right?	8	conduct and conduct."
9	A I believe that's correct.	9	Do you see that?
10	Q All right. And one of the things that	10	A I do.
11	the FDA did in this letter of 2014 is reviewed	11	Q And one of the things the FDA points to
12	that study; correct?	12	is that the investigators used micronized talc
13	A Yes.	13	instead of consumer grade talc, resulting in the
14	Q And I'll direct you to page 3 of 7.	14	experimental protocol not being reflective of
15	And what the FDA concluded was that the study	15	human exposure conditions in terms of particle
16	lacked convincing scientific support because of	16	size.
17	serious flaws in its design and conduct.	17	Do you see that?
18	Do you see that?	18	A I do.
19	MS. O'DELL:	19	Q Have you made a determination in this
20	Where are you reading? Sorry.	20	case, sir, about the size of the particles in
21	MS. BROWN:	21	talcum powder products?
22	Page 3. Page 3.	22	A I I've not made that distinction.
23	MS. O'DELL:	23	And
24	Oh. Page 3. Sorry. I thought you	24	Q There's
	Page 195		Page 197
1	said page 2. I'm sorry.	1	A And, furthermore, I think the
2	MS. BROWN:	2	importantly, the the flaws that the FDA points
3	Q Do you see that, Doctor?	3	out are, you know, not in disagreement with
4	A Starting with	4	our with our discussions surrounding both the
5	Q Bottom of page 3	5	inflammatory response and then some of the
6	A under toxicology findings?	6	results there. I don't I don't see as a
7	Q So, to orient us here, Doctor, you	7	concern
8	pointed, as evidence of support of your opinions	8	In fact, the it appears the FDA does
9	in this case, to the NTP study. Right?	9	not disagree with the observation of the evidence
10	A Correct.	10	of carcinogenic activity in the non-asbestiform
11	Q And the folks who wrote to the FDA	11	talc. I think they
12	requesting a warning on talc, they, too, pointed	12	I share
13	to that study; right?	13	Q Let's focus back on the question,
14	A Yes.	14	Doctor.
15	Q All right. And, so, the FDA reviewed	15	MS. O'DELL:
16	that study and, in the letter denying the	16	Excuse me. Let him finish his answer.
17	citizens petition, included its critique of that	17	He's not finished.
	study; correct?	18	A So, the, you know, the serious flaws
18	•	1 7 ()	were the, I think, in this case, the specific
18 19	A Correct.	19	
18 19 20	A Correct. Q And one of the things the FDA concluded	20	inclusion of nonasbestos talc and use of
18 19 20 21	A Correct.  Q And one of the things the FDA concluded was that the study had serious flaws. True?	20 21	inclusion of nonasbestos talc and use of micronized talc instead of consumer grade. So I
18 19 20 21 22	A Correct.  Q And one of the things the FDA concluded was that the study had serious flaws. True?  MS. O'DELL:	20 21 22	inclusion of nonasbestos talc and use of micronized talc instead of consumer grade. So I think in that in that sense, it's not
18 19 20 21	A Correct.  Q And one of the things the FDA concluded was that the study had serious flaws. True?	20 21	inclusion of nonasbestos talc and use of micronized talc instead of consumer grade. So I

	Page 198		Page 200
1	consumer products or talc that have may have	1	when when used in the perineum compared to
2	contaminants, whether it be asbestos or other.	2	inhalation.
3	MS. BROWN:	3	But I have not seen a study that tried
4	Q Do you remember the question I asked,	4	to distinguish that in terms of having an exposed
5	Doctor?	5	group who inhaled talc only and then looked for
6	A Perhaps it would be helpful to restate.	6	evidence of the presence in the ovary.
7	Q I think, probably.	7	Q Back to the FDA document we were
8	I asked if you had made a determination	8	discussing, Doctor, the FDA's critique of the NTP
9	in this case about the size of the particles in	9	study continues on page 4, where the FDA
10	talcum powder products.	10	identifies that the investigators conceded they
11	A I so as far a determination, no.	11	have problems with the aerosol generation system
12	I would I would say I have had an opportunity	12	and that the study did not include positive and
13	to, you know, review or become more educated in	13	negative dust controls.
14	the diversity of talc products and the	14	Did you consider those critiques in
15	interesting geographic relationship to different	15	evaluating the NTP study in this case?
16	size particles and in the presence or absence	16	MS. O'DELL:
17	of asbestiform particles in tale, which was a,	17	Object to the form.
18	you know, fascinating area to become educated in.	18	A Well, I I certainly considered
19	As far as examining that in each of the	19	you know, considered them in as as I would
20	individual studies, I certainly was able to pay	20	consider any any other evidence or opinion
21	attention to earlier or later studies as it	21	on on these relevant subjects.
22	applied to when there was a specific description	22	MS. BROWN:
23	of the talc, such as in the NTP study where	23	Q The FDA went on to conclude, Doctor,
24	there that was one of the few that had a	24	that, in light of the shortcoming, a panel of
	Page 199		Page 201
1	specific determination.	1	experts at the 1994 ISRTP/FDA workshop declared
2	But I was basing my opinions on the	2	that the 1993 NTP study has no relevance to human
3	general behavior, summarized behavior of talc	3	risk.
4	based on the available evidence.	4	Do you share that conclusion?
5	Q In forming your opinions in this case,	5	MS. O'DELL:
6	Doctor, have you concluded that a particular	6	Object to the form.
7	route of exposure is more likely when women are	7	A I do not. And I think, importantly,
_			
8	using talcum powder products perineally?	8	you know, even there at the bottom of page 4,
8 9	using talcum powder products perineally? MS. O'DELL:	8 9	you know, even there at the bottom of page 4, their point number 4 saying a cogent biological
	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	9 10	their point number 4 saying a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian
9 10 11	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Certainly it would seem logical that	9 10 11	their point number 4 saying a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking.
9 10	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Certainly it would seem logical that the route of talc exposure would be related to	9 10 11 12	their point number 4 saying a cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking.  MS. BROWN:
9 10 11	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Certainly it would seem logical that the route of talc exposure would be related to the area that the talc is used.	9 10 11	their point number 4 saying a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh.
9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Certainly it would seem logical that the route of talc exposure would be related to the area that the talc is used.  MS. BROWN:	9 10 11 12 13 14	their point number 4 saying a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking.  MS. BROWN: Q Uh-huh. A I believe, as we're discussing today,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Certainly it would seem logical that the route of talc exposure would be related to the area that the talc is used.  MS. BROWN: Q As such, do you believe and have you	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	their point number 4 saying a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking.  MS. BROWN: Q Uh-huh. A I believe, as we're discussing today, subsequent research and subsequent studies
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Certainly it would seem logical that the route of talc exposure would be related to the area that the talc is used.  MS. BROWN: Q As such, do you believe and have you assumed for purposes in your of your opinions	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	their point number 4 saying a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh.  A I believe, as we're discussing today, subsequent research and subsequent studies have and including my report, have helped
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Certainly it would seem logical that the route of talc exposure would be related to the area that the talc is used.  MS. BROWN: Q As such, do you believe and have you assumed for purposes in your of your opinions in this case that talc more likely migrates from	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	their point number 4 saying a cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking.  MS. BROWN: Q Uh-huh. A I believe, as we're discussing today, subsequent research and subsequent studies have and including my report, have helped define that plausible biological mechanism
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Certainly it would seem logical that the route of talc exposure would be related to the area that the talc is used.  MS. BROWN: Q As such, do you believe and have you assumed for purposes in your of your opinions in this case that talc more likely migrates from the perineum to the ovaries, as opposed to talc	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	their point number 4 saying a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh.  A I believe, as we're discussing today, subsequent research and subsequent studies have and including my report, have helped define that plausible biological mechanism which by which tale may lead to ovarian
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Certainly it would seem logical that the route of talc exposure would be related to the area that the talc is used.  MS. BROWN: Q As such, do you believe and have you assumed for purposes in your of your opinions in this case that talc more likely migrates from the perineum to the ovaries, as opposed to talc being inhaled and then traveling down to the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	their point number 4 saying a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh.  A I believe, as we're discussing today, subsequent research and subsequent studies have and including my report, have helped define that plausible biological mechanism which by which tale may lead to ovarian cancer.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Certainly it would seem logical that the route of talc exposure would be related to the area that the talc is used.  MS. BROWN: Q As such, do you believe and have you assumed for purposes in your of your opinions in this case that talc more likely migrates from the perineum to the ovaries, as opposed to talc being inhaled and then traveling down to the ovaries?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	their point number 4 saying a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh.  A I believe, as we're discussing today, subsequent research and subsequent studies have and including my report, have helped define that plausible biological mechanism which by which tale may lead to ovarian cancer.  Q In answering my question, Doctor, you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Certainly it would seem logical that the route of talc exposure would be related to the area that the talc is used.  MS. BROWN: Q As such, do you believe and have you assumed for purposes in your of your opinions in this case that talc more likely migrates from the perineum to the ovaries, as opposed to talc being inhaled and then traveling down to the ovaries?  A The evidence I've seen would suggest	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	their point number 4 saying a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh.  A I believe, as we're discussing today, subsequent research and subsequent studies have and including my report, have helped define that plausible biological mechanism which by which tale may lead to ovarian cancer.  Q In answering my question, Doctor, you pointed to a different portion of the same page
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Certainly it would seem logical that the route of talc exposure would be related to the area that the talc is used.  MS. BROWN: Q As such, do you believe and have you assumed for purposes in your of your opinions in this case that talc more likely migrates from the perineum to the ovaries, as opposed to talc being inhaled and then traveling down to the ovaries?  A The evidence I've seen would suggest that that migration that you described from the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their point number 4 saying a cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh.  A I believe, as we're discussing today, subsequent research and subsequent studies have and including my report, have helped define that plausible biological mechanism which by which talc may lead to ovarian cancer.  Q In answering my question, Doctor, you pointed to a different portion of the same page we were discussing; correct?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Certainly it would seem logical that the route of talc exposure would be related to the area that the talc is used.  MS. BROWN: Q As such, do you believe and have you assumed for purposes in your of your opinions in this case that talc more likely migrates from the perineum to the ovaries, as opposed to talc being inhaled and then traveling down to the ovaries?  A The evidence I've seen would suggest	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	their point number 4 saying a cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh.  A I believe, as we're discussing today, subsequent research and subsequent studies have and including my report, have helped define that plausible biological mechanism which by which talc may lead to ovarian cancer.  Q In answering my question, Doctor, you pointed to a different portion of the same page

	Page 202		Page 204
1	conclusion here in 2014 that a cogent biological	1	A I I disagree with the or I I
2	mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian	2	have found, based on a review of the literature,
3	cancer is lacking. Correct?	3	that there are now additional supporting studies
4	MS. O'DELL:	4	that would that would refute some of these
5	Object to the form.	5	conclusions of by the FDA review.
6	A I I would disagree in the general	6	Q And explain to us, then, Doctor, what
7	nature of your statement and clarify it by saying	7	methodology you employed or what research you
8	the FDA found a lack of that mechanism based on	8	conducted to reach a conclusion different from
9	the submitted literature of the citizen petition.	9	the FDA's conclusion in 2014.
10	MS. BROWN:	10	A I think, similar to what the FDA
11	Q So do you understand, Doctor, in	11	described, my review is of the literature now,
12	evaluating the FDA's response, that they, in	12	you know, through 2018, examining the available
13	fact, did their own investigation in addition to	13	information regarding inflammatory response to
14	the literature that was provided to them at the	14	talc and then talc exposure as it relates
15	time?	15	to to the initiation of progression of cancer.
16	MS. O'DELL:	16	Q Dr. Leavy Dr. Levy, do you think
17	Objection. Misstates the record.	17	that the FDA, in concluding, as they did in 2014,
18	A Well, my reading of it, it says	18	that a cogent biological mechanism by which talc
19	they that their that the scientific	19	might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking, do you
20	literature considered was submitted in support of	20	think they were wrong at that time?
21	both citizen petitions. And	21	A I would I I would say that they
22	MS. BROWN:	22	were incomplete at that time. And, in fact, you
23	Q Are you finished, Doctor?	23	know, one of the
24	A Yes. I was just looking to see if	24	If we if we look at page 5 in the
	Page 203		Page 205
1	there was a notation about further	1	one, two third full paragraph beginning with
2	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the	2	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree
2 3	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition,		one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal
2 3 4	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."	2 3 4	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial
2 3 4 5	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant	2 3 4 5	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may
2 3 4 5 6	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles	2 3 4 5 6	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to	2 3 4 5 6 7	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."  Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive evidence to support causality."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."  Do you see that?  A I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive evidence to support causality."  So I would suggest that this paragraph
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And, based on the FDA's review of all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive evidence to support causality."  So I would suggest that this paragraph is in support of the biologically plausible
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And, based on the FDA's review of all the literature that they investigated at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive evidence to support causality."  So I would suggest that this paragraph is in support of the biologically plausible mechanism that I included in the report and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And, based on the FDA's review of all the literature that they investigated at the time, they concluded that a cogent biological	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive evidence to support causality."  So I would suggest that this paragraph is in support of the biologically plausible mechanism that I included in the report and that and, as we've been discussing, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And, based on the FDA's review of all the literature that they investigated at the time, they concluded that a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive evidence to support causality."  So I would suggest that this paragraph is in support of the biologically plausible mechanism that I included in the report and that and, as we've been discussing, I haven't we we've not been discussing a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And, based on the FDA's review of all the literature that they investigated at the time, they concluded that a cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer was lacking. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive evidence to support causality."  So I would suggest that this paragraph is in support of the biologically plausible mechanism that I included in the report and that and, as we've been discussing, I haven't we we've not been discussing a causal or a formal causal evaluation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And, based on the FDA's review of all the literature that they investigated at the time, they concluded that a cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer was lacking. Right?  MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive evidence to support causality."  So I would suggest that this paragraph is in support of the biologically plausible mechanism that I included in the report and that and, as we've been discussing, I haven't we we've not been discussing a causal or a formal causal evaluation.  Q What information did you rely on,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And, based on the FDA's review of all the literature that they investigated at the time, they concluded that a cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer was lacking. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive evidence to support causality."  So I would suggest that this paragraph is in support of the biologically plausible mechanism that I included in the report and that and, as we've been discussing, I haven't we we've not been discussing a causal or a formal causal evaluation.  Q What information did you rely on, Doctor, in reaching the conclusion that there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And, based on the FDA's review of all the literature that they investigated at the time, they concluded that a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian cancer was lacking. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive evidence to support causality."  So I would suggest that this paragraph is in support of the biologically plausible mechanism that I included in the report and that and, as we've been discussing, I haven't we we've not been discussing a causal or a formal causal evaluation.  Q What information did you rely on, Doctor, in reaching the conclusion that there is a biological mechanism that the FDA did not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And, based on the FDA's review of all the literature that they investigated at the time, they concluded that a cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer was lacking. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  MS. BROWN:  Q That was their conclusion; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive evidence to support causality."  So I would suggest that this paragraph is in support of the biologically plausible mechanism that I included in the report and that and, as we've been discussing, I haven't we we've not been discussing a causal or a formal causal evaluation.  Q What information did you rely on, Doctor, in reaching the conclusion that there is a biological mechanism that the FDA did not?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And, based on the FDA's review of all the literature that they investigated at the time, they concluded that a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian cancer was lacking. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  MS. BROWN:  Q That was their conclusion; correct?  A Yes, as written, that was their that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive evidence to support causality."  So I would suggest that this paragraph is in support of the biologically plausible mechanism that I included in the report and that and, as we've been discussing, I haven't we we've not been discussing a causal or a formal causal evaluation.  Q What information did you rely on, Doctor, in reaching the conclusion that there is a biological mechanism that the FDA did not?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Misstates his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And, based on the FDA's review of all the literature that they investigated at the time, they concluded that a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian cancer was lacking. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  MS. BROWN:  Q That was their conclusion; correct?  A Yes, as written, that was their that was the FDA's conclusion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive evidence to support causality."  So I would suggest that this paragraph is in support of the biologically plausible mechanism that I included in the report and that and, as we've been discussing, I haven't we we've not been discussing a causal or a formal causal evaluation.  Q What information did you rely on, Doctor, in reaching the conclusion that there is a biological mechanism that the FDA did not?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Misstates his testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And, based on the FDA's review of all the literature that they investigated at the time, they concluded that a cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer was lacking. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  MS. BROWN:  Q That was their conclusion; correct?  A Yes, as written, that was their that was the FDA's conclusion.  Q And you, Dr. Levy, disagree with that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive evidence to support causality."  So I would suggest that this paragraph is in support of the biologically plausible mechanism that I included in the report and that and, as we've been discussing, I haven't we we've not been discussing a causal or a formal causal evaluation.  Q What information did you rely on, Doctor, in reaching the conclusion that there is a biological mechanism that the FDA did not?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Misstates his testimony.  A I'm stating that the as we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q I'll direct you, Doctor, to page 4, the second full paragraph that begins "In addition, the FDA stated."  "In addition, we reviewed relevant toxicity literature (consisting of 15 articles from 1980 to 2008) not cited in your petition to determine if there was additional support at this point in time for your suggested warning label."  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And, based on the FDA's review of all the literature that they investigated at the time, they concluded that a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian cancer was lacking. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  MS. BROWN:  Q That was their conclusion; correct?  A Yes, as written, that was their that was the FDA's conclusion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	one, two third full paragraph beginning with "while there exists," where the FDA does agree about the that it's plausible that perineal talc and other particulates reach the endometrial cavity and and associated organs and may elicit a foreign-body-type reaction and inflammatory response that in some exposed women may progress to epithelial cancers. What they do state, "However, there has been no conclusive evidence to support causality."  So I would suggest that this paragraph is in support of the biologically plausible mechanism that I included in the report and that and, as we've been discussing, I haven't we we've not been discussing a causal or a formal causal evaluation.  Q What information did you rely on, Doctor, in reaching the conclusion that there is a biological mechanism that the FDA did not?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Misstates his testimony.

	Page 206		Page 208
1	the response to talc the response to talc	1	studies on which you're relying?
2	exposure as an inflammatory response is supported	2	A Not not for the contents of the
3	by a number of studies, including the NTP study,	3	report. Not that I'm aware of. I think we've
4	which, although the FDA had some concerns with,	4	we've already discussed some of the other
5	the FDA also made statements regarding the	5	references contained in the report
6	exposure to talc and other particulates having an	6	below and or at least by mention and Gates.
7	inflammatory response and that some exposed	7	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 17
8	women's may have progressed to epithelial	8	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
9	cancers.	9	MS. BROWN:
10	So, again, they're I think	10	Q I'm gonna mark as Exhibit 17 to your
11	they they're in agreement there. So even the	11	deposition the Buz'Zard study that you mentioned
12	concerns with the study withstanding, there's	12	a moment ago. Do you recall that?
13	there's there's I still I still think	13	A Yes.
14	the FDA report is in support of the mechanism	14	Q Do you rely on the Buz'Zard study in
15	that we've been discussing.	15	supporting your view that chronic inflammation
16	MS. BROWN:	16	from talcum powder use can cause ovarian cancer?
17	Q The FDA concludes that a cogent	17	MS. O'DELL:
18	biological mechanism by which tale might lead to	18	17?
19	ovarian cancer is lacking, do they not?	19	MS. BROWN:
20	MS. O'DELL:	20	Yes.
21	Objection to form. Asked and answered.	21	A Sorry. Can you restate your question?
22	A But I would al I would say the FDA	22	It wasn't
23	contr perhaps contradicts itself later in the	23	MS. BROWN:
24	same document, stating that there is both an	24	Q Do you rely on what we've marked as
	Page 207		Page 209
1	inflammatory response and that in some exposed	1	Exhibit 17, the Buz'Zard study, to support your
2	women they may progress to epithelial cancer.	2	view that talcum powder causes chronic
3	MS. BROWN:	3	inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer?
4	Q Other than the Woodruff article,	4	MS. O'DELL:
5	Doctor, are you aware of any other study in	5	Object to the form.
6	animals that shows inflammation leading to	6	A As we've discussed, not singularly, but
7	cancer?	7	the as part as part of a complete picture
8	MS. O'DELL:	8	of talc causing reactive oxygen species
9	Objection to form. Other than those	9	generation and other inflammatory responses,
10	he's mentioned?	10	certainly this is a study that supports that
11	A Yeah. I I would have to that	11	opinion.
12	would that would require review of the	12	MS. BROWN:
13	literature to to speak generally to animal	13	Q Did you consider the type of cells that
14	studies and inflammation leading to cancer.	14	were evaluated in the Buz'Zard study?
15	MS. BROWN:	15	MS. O'DELL:
1.0	Q Let me rephrase.	16	Objection to form. Vague.
16		17	A Certainly in terms of the overall
17	In terms of your opinion here that talc	+/	
	In terms of your opinion here that talc causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian	18	experimental design.
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
17 18	causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian	18	experimental design.  MS. BROWN:  Q Did those were those normal human
17 18 19	causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian cancer, you identified the Hamilton study, the NTP study, and the Woodruff study as animal studies that support that view. True?	18 19	experimental design. MS. BROWN:
17 18 19 20	causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian cancer, you identified the Hamilton study, the NTP study, and the Woodruff study as animal studies that support that view. True?  A I identified those studies as	18 19 20	experimental design.  MS. BROWN:  Q Did those were those normal human ovarian cells?  A The the author has labeled them as
17 18 19 20 21	causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian cancer, you identified the Hamilton study, the NTP study, and the Woodruff study as animal studies that support that view. True?  A I identified those studies as supportive of my of my opinion, yes.	18 19 20 21	experimental design.  MS. BROWN:  Q Did those were those normal human ovarian cells?  A The the author has labeled them as normal human ovarian cells. But the you know,
17 18 19 20 21 22	causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian cancer, you identified the Hamilton study, the NTP study, and the Woodruff study as animal studies that support that view. True?  A I identified those studies as	18 19 20 21 22	experimental design.  MS. BROWN:  Q Did those were those normal human ovarian cells?  A The the author has labeled them as

	Page 210		Page 212
1	comments on on animal systems is all all	1	MS. O'DELL:
2	in vitro or in vivo studies that are using cell	2	Figure 3.
3	lines or animals have limitations. And in this	3	A Figure 3?
4	case, you know, cell lines are particularly	4	The one interesting observation in
5	notorious in research in general for	5	these two figures, both Figure 3A and Figure 3B,
6	their for having to use care in extending	6	being the percentage of reactive oxygen specie
7	findings to, you know, broad mechanisms in a	7	generation in two different cell types, one in
8	in a complex organism or in the human body.	8	one in Panel A and one in Panel B, is what I
9	Q Sure.	9	did not see included, if I
10	What you're what you're saying is	10	And I'm reading to see if I recall
11	you've got to be careful taking the findings from	11	correctly.
12	one cell study and extrapolating that to humans.	12	was a the the cell viability
13	Fair?	13	assay that they use for normalization has
14	MS. O'DELL:	14	a somewhat of a limitation in that it it
15	Object to the form.	15	doesn't measure cell senescence. It only
16	A The I think you have to be careful	16	measures cell death. And, so, they not to
17	in evaluating each study in using the relevant	17	dis not that I disagree with your observation
18	components of that study and observations in that	18	that it did not show the sig significant
19	study as part of an overall mechanism and whether	19	increase, but there is the possibility that the
20	it's supportive or refutes such a mechanism.	20	reason that you see an actual decrease in the RS
21	So	21	generation at the higher doses of talc is that
22	MS. BROWN:	22	cells have gone senescent and are essentially no
23	Q Did did you exercise that care here	23	longer responding to that increased dose.
24	as it relates to the Buz'Zard study?	24	So I think there's at least two
	Page 211		Page 213
1	A So the Buz'Zard study, you know,		
	11 Sound Bull Bull Bull Bull Bull Bull Bull Bul	1	different ways to interpret some of these
2	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate	1 2	different ways to interpret some of these results. But I don't disagree with your
2			
	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate	2	results. But I don't disagree with your
3	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive	2 3	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.
3 4	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the	2 3 4	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3. MS. BROWN:
3 4 5	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary	2 3 4 5	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a
3 4 5 6	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.	2 3 4 5 6	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?
3 4 5 6 7	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.  Now, certainly, the study contained	2 3 4 5 6 7	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?  A I'm I'm not aware of the type of
3 4 5 6 7 8	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.  Now, certainly, the study contained more observations than that and certainly had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?  A I'm I'm not aware of the type of laboratory or even the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.  Now, certainly, the study contained more observations than that and certainly had some you know, a number of other components.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?  A I'm I'm not aware of the type of laboratory or even the  Q And the study was the purpose of the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.  Now, certainly, the study contained more observations than that and certainly had some you know, a number of other components.  Q How does the Buz'Zard study support	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?  A I'm I'm not aware of the type of laboratory or even the  Q And the study was the purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.  Now, certainly, the study contained more observations than that and certainly had some you know, a number of other components.  Q How does the Buz'Zard study support your view that talcum powder causes chronic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?  A I'm I'm not aware of the type of laboratory or even the  Q And the study was the purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect of pine bark supplement? Is that right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.  Now, certainly, the study contained more observations than that and certainly had some you know, a number of other components.  Q How does the Buz'Zard study support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian cancer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?  A I'm I'm not aware of the type of laboratory or even the  Q And the study was the purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect of pine bark supplement? Is that right?  MS. O'DELL:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.  Now, certainly, the study contained more observations than that and certainly had some you know, a number of other components.  Q How does the Buz'Zard study support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian cancer?  A So the Buz'Zard study supports the view	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?  A I'm I'm not aware of the type of laboratory or even the  Q And the study was the purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect of pine bark supplement? Is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.  Now, certainly, the study contained more observations than that and certainly had some you know, a number of other components.  Q How does the Buz'Zard study support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian cancer?  A So the Buz'Zard study supports the view that exposure to talcum powder causes an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?  A I'm I'm not aware of the type of laboratory or even the  Q And the study was the purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect of pine bark supplement? Is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They were looking at the the effect
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.  Now, certainly, the study contained more observations than that and certainly had some you know, a number of other components.  Q How does the Buz'Zard study support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian cancer?  A So the Buz'Zard study supports the view that exposure to talcum powder causes an inflammatory response.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?  A I'm I'm not aware of the type of laboratory or even the  Q And the study was the purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect of pine bark supplement? Is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They were looking at the the effect of a proprietary as stated by the authors, a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.  Now, certainly, the study contained more observations than that and certainly had some you know, a number of other components.  Q How does the Buz'Zard study support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian cancer?  A So the Buz'Zard study supports the view that exposure to talcum powder causes an inflammatory response.  Q And that inflammatory response you saw	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?  A I'm I'm not aware of the type of laboratory or even the  Q And the study was the purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect of pine bark supplement? Is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They were looking at the the effect of a proprietary as stated by the authors, a proprietary mixture of water soluble
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.  Now, certainly, the study contained more observations than that and certainly had some you know, a number of other components.  Q How does the Buz'Zard study support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian cancer?  A So the Buz'Zard study supports the view that exposure to talcum powder causes an inflammatory response.  Q And that inflammatory response you saw in the Buz'Zard study does not increase with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?  A I'm I'm not aware of the type of laboratory or even the  Q And the study was the purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect of pine bark supplement? Is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They were looking at the the effect of a proprietary as stated by the authors, a proprietary mixture of water soluble bioflavonoids extracted from French maritime pine
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.  Now, certainly, the study contained more observations than that and certainly had some you know, a number of other components.  Q How does the Buz'Zard study support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian cancer?  A So the Buz'Zard study supports the view that exposure to talcum powder causes an inflammatory response.  Q And that inflammatory response you saw in the Buz'Zard study does not increase with increasing doses of talcum powder. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?  A I'm I'm not aware of the type of laboratory or even the  Q And the study was the purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect of pine bark supplement? Is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They were looking at the the effect of a proprietary as stated by the authors, a proprietary mixture of water soluble bioflavonoids extracted from French maritime pine bark.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.  Now, certainly, the study contained more observations than that and certainly had some you know, a number of other components.  Q How does the Buz'Zard study support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian cancer?  A So the Buz'Zard study supports the view that exposure to talcum powder causes an inflammatory response.  Q And that inflammatory response you saw in the Buz'Zard study does not increase with increasing doses of talcum powder. Correct?  A I have to review. I believe that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?  A I'm I'm not aware of the type of laboratory or even the  Q And the study was the purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect of pine bark supplement? Is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They were looking at the the effect of a proprietary as stated by the authors, a proprietary mixture of water soluble bioflavonoids extracted from French maritime pine bark.  MS. BROWN:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.  Now, certainly, the study contained more observations than that and certainly had some you know, a number of other components.  Q How does the Buz'Zard study support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian cancer?  A So the Buz'Zard study supports the view that exposure to talcum powder causes an inflammatory response.  Q And that inflammatory response you saw in the Buz'Zard study does not increase with increasing doses of talcum powder. Correct?  A I have to review. I believe that I believe their figures suggest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?  A I'm I'm not aware of the type of laboratory or even the  Q And the study was the purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect of pine bark supplement? Is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They were looking at the the effect of a proprietary as stated by the authors, a proprietary mixture of water soluble bioflavonoids extracted from French maritime pine bark.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	primarily, as as referenced, was to illustrate a study that showed an increase in reactive oxygen species generation, and that's the the primary purpose, or I should say primary observation on the from this.  Now, certainly, the study contained more observations than that and certainly had some you know, a number of other components. Q How does the Buz'Zard study support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that causes ovarian cancer? A So the Buz'Zard study supports the view that exposure to talcum powder causes an inflammatory response. Q And that inflammatory response you saw in the Buz'Zard study does not increase with increasing doses of talcum powder. Correct? A I have to review. I believe that I believe their figures suggest You know, are you referring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	results. But I don't disagree with your observations regarding Figure 3.  MS. BROWN:  Q This study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab. True?  A I'm I'm not aware of the type of laboratory or even the  Q And the study was the purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect of pine bark supplement? Is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They were looking at the the effect of a proprietary as stated by the authors, a proprietary mixture of water soluble bioflavonoids extracted from French maritime pine bark.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh.  And did you investigate whether the

A No. I would say it's fair — it's fair to say that, you know, that the — whether they're genetically altered or not, the — the — you know, the same potential limitations as far as extrapolation to the human system would apply for any signs.  But, again, the purpose of the Buz'Zard study, as — as referenced in the report, was to in indicate that there are studies that have shown an increase in reactive oxygen specie generation under exposure to — to tale. And I think the study is reasonably clear on that increase the study is reasonably clear on that increase for relative to control.  C Except what this study showed, Doctor, is the more tale you give, the decrease from baseline in the reactive oxygen species.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered. Misstates the testimony.  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is — is unknown.  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and pimportant clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is — is unknown.  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and conclusively show that the more tale you give, the more ROS is generated. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Well, that's — that's an impossible question. Like you can't have —  Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian call and — absent p53 protein.  Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian called — absent p53 protein.  MS. BROWN:  Q Because p53 is something that you h in your genes that prevents against ovarian cancer. True?  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  A Well, that's — that's an impossible question. Like you can't have —  Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian called — absent p53 protein.  Well, wor an absent p53 protein.  MS. BROWN:  A Well, that's — that's an impossible question. Like you can't have —  Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian cancer or cancer in general.  MS. BROWN:  A So p5-— p53 is a well-known, often mutat		Page 214		Page 216
2 I'm sorry. Were you done? 3 A No. I would say it's fair — it's fair 4 to say that, you know, that the — whether 5 they're genetically altered or not, the — the — 6 you know, the same potential limitations as far 7 as extrapolation to the human system would apply 8 for any signs. 9 But, again, the purpose of the Buz'Zard 10 study, as — as referenced in the report, was to 11 indicate that there are studies that have shown 12 an increase in reactive oxygen specie generation 13 under exposure to — to tale. And I think the 14 study is reasonably clear on that increase 15 relative to control. 16 Q Except what this study showed, Doctor, 17 is the more tale you give, the decrease from 18 baseline in the reactive oxygen species. 19 Correct? 10 MS. O'DELL: 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Object to the form. Asked and 22 answered. Misstates the testimony. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Take a look at Figure 3; right, Doctor? 25 MS. O'DELL: 26 Page 215 27 A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is — is unknown. 26 Q Right. 27 A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is a significant relative to the biology is — is unknown. 28 MS. O'DELL: 30 Object to the form. 40 Object to the form. 41 A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is a significant relative to the biology is — is unknown. 42 Use of the form. 44 Well, that's — that's an impossible question. Like you call a cell type a normal ovarian cell and — absent p53 protein. Wor that affect your analysis of Buz'Zard? 45 MS. O'DELL: 46 Object to the form. 57 Object to the form. 58 Object to the form. 59 Object to the form. 69 Object to the form. 60 Object to the form. 60 Object to the form. 70 Object to the form. 71 A In these particular cell lines under the conditions the — the study ecrtainly did anot draw that conclusion. 71 A In these particular cell lines under the conditions is the more tale you give, the less of — of	1	Q Did you	1	Q My question was, Doctor, what this
A No. I would say it's fair—it's fair to say that, you know, that the — whether they're genetically altered or not, the — the — you know, the same potential limitations as far as extrapolation to the human system would apply for any signs.  But, again, the purpose of the Buz'Zard study, as — as referenced in the report, was to in indicate that there are studies that have shown an increase in reactive oxygen specie generation under exposure to — to tale. And I think the study is reasonably clear on that increase testing is reasonably clear on that increase for relative to control.  Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Asked and answered. Misstates the testimony.  MS. BROWN:  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is — is unknown.  Page 215  A No. Tagree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is — is unknown.  Page 215  A No. Tagree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is — is unknown.  Page 215  A No. DELL:  Object to the form.  Page 215  A No. DELL:  Object to the form.  Page 215  A No. Dagree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is — is unknown.  Page 215  A No. DELL:  Object to the form.  Page 216  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  Page 217  A Well, that's — that's an impossible question. Like you can't have — Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian call and — absent p53 protein. Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian caller or a basent p53 protein. Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian cancer or cancer in general.  MS. BROWN:  Q Because p53 is something that you h in your genes that prevents against ovarian cancer. True?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. Diget ion the reactive oxygen species.  But a feet your analysis of Buz'Zard' Well, but a feet y	2		2	study shows is the more talc you give, the less
they're genetically altered or not, the the you know, the same potential limitations as far a sextrapolation to the human system would apply for any signs.  But, again, the purpose of the Buz/Zard study is scientifically reliable?  But, again, the purpose of the Buz/Zard study is scientifically reliable?  But, again, the purpose of the Buz/Zard study is scientifically reliable?  But, again, the purpose of the Buz/Zard study is scientifically reliable?  But, again, the purpose of the Buz/Zard study is scientifically reliable?  But, again, the purpose of the Buz/Zard study is scientifically reliable?  But, again, the purpose of the Buz/Zard study is scientifically reliable?  But, again, the purpose of the Buz/Zard study is scientifically reliable?  A I have no basis to to suggest that it's that it's not reliable.  A But I think ther it does if there is a as we discussed earlier, an importance to not overgeneralize conclusion lack of conclusions as, you know, outside of system under study.  But I think ther it does if there is a as we discussed earlier, an importance to not overgeneralize conclusion lack of conclusions as, you know, outside of system under study.  But I think ther it does if there is a as we discussed earlier, an importance to not overgeneralize conclusion lack of conclusions as, you know, outside of system under study.  But I want you to assume that the Buz/Zard study used genetically altered ovar cells that did not have the p53 protein. Wot that affect your analysis of Buz/Zard?  MS. BROWN:  Bage 215  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is unknown.  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is unknown.  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is unknown.	3	A No. I would say it's fair it's fair	3	
6 you know, the same potential limitations as far 7 as extrapolation to the human system would apply 8 for any signs. 9 But, again, the purpose of the Buz/Zard 10 study, as -a sreferenced in the report, was to 11 indicate that there are studies that have shown 12 an increase in reactive oxygen specie generation 13 under exposure to - to tale. And I think the 14 study is reasonably clear on that increase 15 relative to control. 16 Q Except what this study showed, Doctor, 17 is the more tale you give, the decrease from 18 baseline in the reactive oxygen species. 19 Correct? 10 MS. O'DELL: 11 Object to the form. Asked and 12 answered. Misstates the testimony. 12 answered. Misstates the testimony. 13 MS. BROWN: 14 A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an 15 important clarification is whether that decrease 16 is significant relative to the biology is is 17 unknown. 18 Page 215 10 A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an 12 important clarification is whether that decrease 18 is significant relative to the biology is is 19 unknown. 20 Right. 21 A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an 22 important clarification is whether that decrease 23 is significant relative to the biology is is 24 unknown. 25 Q Right. 26 This study certainly does not 27 conclusively show that the more tale you give, 28 the more ROS is generated. Correct? 29 MS. O'DELL: 30 Object to the form. 41 A In these particular cell lines under 42 these conditions, the the study certainly did 43 not draw that conclusion. 44 MS. BROWN: 45 Q In fact, what this study shows is the 46 more tale you give, the less of of ROS 47 generation you have. Doesn't it? 48 MS. O'DELL: 49 Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that we 40 S. O'DELL: 40 Go Po you think the Buz'Zard study is scientifically reliable? 41 A But I think there it dos scientifically reliable? 41 A But I think there it dos seventified that it is to that it's that it'	4	to say that, you know, that the whether	4	=
for any signs.  But, again, the purpose of the Buz/Zard  But, again, the purpose of the Buz/Zard  but, as an as referenced in the report, was to  indicate that there are studies that have shown  an increase in reactive oxygen specie generation  under exposure to to tale. And I think the  study is reasonably clear on that increase  relative to control.  Control.  Responsible to the form. Asked and  answered. Misstates the testimony.  MS. BROWN:  Day ov think the Buz/Zard study is  scientifically reliable?  A I have no basis to to suggest that  it's that it's not reliable.  A But I think there it does if  there is a as we discussed earlier, an  importance to not overgeneralize conclusion  as well, there is a as we discussed earlier, an  importance to not overgeneralize conclusion  lack of conclusions as, you know, outside of  system under study.  Q If I I want you to assume that the  Buz/Zard study used genetically altered ova  cells that did not have the p53 protain. Wo  that affect your analysis of Buz/Zard?  MS. O'DELL:  Dipoct to the form.  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an  important clarification is whether that decrease  is significant relative to the biology is is  unknown.  Page 215  A Well, that's that's an impossible  question. Like you can't have  Well, you can't have  Well, you can't have  Well, you can't land alsent p53 protic  You're it'd be you're fundamentally  changing the biology of the cell as it relates  ovarian cancer or cancer in general.  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O	5	they're genetically altered or not, the the	5	Objection to form.
8 for any signs. 9 But, again, the purpose of the Buz/Zard 10 study, as — as referenced in the report, was to 11 indicate that there are studies that have shown 12 an increase in reactive oxygen specie generation 13 under exposure to — to tale. And I think the 14 study is reasonably clear on that increase 15 relative to control. 16 Q Except what this study showed, Doctor, 17 is the more tale you give, the decrease from 18 baseline in the reactive oxygen species. 19 Correct? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Object to the form. Asked and 22 answered. Misstates the testimony. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Take a look at Figure 3; right, Doctor? 25 Q Right. 26 To Right. 27 To Significant relative to the biology is — is 28 unknown. 29 MS. O'DELL: 30 Object to the form. 41 A Well, that's — that's an impossible question. Like you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian cell and — absent p53 protein in your genes that power in your fundamentally changing the biology of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general. 31 A Inthese particular cell lines under the study certainly did not draw that conclusion. 32 MS. BROWN: 33 MS. BROWN: 44 Unknown. 55 Q Right. 56 To significant relative to the biology is — is 45 Unknown. 46 To Significant relative to the biology is — is 46 Unknown. 47 Conclusively show that the more tale you give, the less of — of ROS ageneration you have. Doesn't it? 48 MS. O'DELL: 49 MS. BROWN: 40 Page 215 41 A Well, that's — that's an impossible question. Like you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian cell and — absent p53 protein you're— it'd be — you're fundamentally changing the biology of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general. 40 MS. BROWN: 41 A So p5— p53 is a well-known, often mutated gene in a number of human cancers of ROS generation you have. Doesn't it? 41 A So p5— p53 is till will when the more tale you give, the less of — of ROS generation you have. Doesn't it? 41 A So p5— p53 is till will when the more studied in Buz/Zard did not have p53, it will study show studied in Buz/Zard did	6	you know, the same potential limitations as far	6	A Again, under under the conditions of
But, again, the purpose of the Buz'Zard study, as — as referenced in the report, was to indicate that there are studies that have shown an increase in reactive oxygen specie generation relative to control.  A I have no basis to — to suggest that it's — that it's not reliable.  A But I think there — it does — if there is a — as we discussed earlier, an importance to not overgeneralize conclusion importance to not overgeneralize conclusion for the post of the form. Asked and collected answerd. Misstates the testimony.  MS. OTDELL:  Day Take a look at Figure 3; right, Doctor?  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is — is unknown.  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is — is unknown.  Page 215  A Well, that's — that's an impossible changing the biology of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general.  A Well, you can't have —  Well, you're — in'd be — you're fundamentally changing the biology of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general.  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:	7	as extrapolation to the human system would apply	7	this particular study.
study, as as referenced in the report, was to indicate that there are studies that have shown an increase in reactive oxygen specie generation under exposure to to tale. And I think the study is reasonably clear on that increase relative to control.  A But I think there it does if there is a as we discussed earlier, an importance to not overgeneralize conclusion: as, you know, outside of system under study.  Correct?  MS. O'D'ELL:  Object to the form. Asked and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is a unknown.  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is Q Right.  This study certainly does not conclusively show that the more tale you give, the decrease from 12 lack of conclusions as, you know, outside of system under study.  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is Q Right.  This study certainly does not conclusively show that the more tale you give, the decrease from 15 lack of conclusions as, you know, outside of system under study.  Page 215  Page 215  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an 2 important clarification is whether that decrease 3 is significant relative to the biology is is 3 Well, you can't have 3 Well, you can't have 3 Well, you can't have 4 normal ovarian cell and absent p53 protei You're ir'd be you're fundamentally changing the biology of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general.  A In these particular cell lines under 11 cancer. True?  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'D	8	for any signs.	8	MS. BROWN:
11 indicate that there are studies that have shown 12 an increase in reactive oxygen specie generation 13 under exposure to — to tale. And I think the 14 study is reasonably clear on that increase 15 relative to control. 16 Q Except what this study showed, Doctor, 17 is the more tale you give, the decrease from 18 baseline in the reactive oxygen species. 19 Correct? 10 MS. O'DELL: 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Object to the form. Asked and 22 answered. Misstates the testimony. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Take a look at Figure 3; right, Doctor? 25 Page 215 26 Right. 27 Corlocively and whom. 28 In this study estainly does not conclusively show that the more tale you give, the decrease from the reactive oxygen species. 29 MS. O'DELL: 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Object to the form. Asked and 22 important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is — is 24 unknown. 25 Q Right. 26 This study certainly does not conclusively show that the more tale you give, the more ROS is generated. Correct? 30 MS. BROWN: 31 A In these particular cell lines under these conditions, the — the study certainly did not draw that conclusion. 31 MS. BROWN: 32 MS. BROWN: 33 MS. BROWN: 44 Unknown. 55 Q Right. 56 This study certainly does not conclusively show that the more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more	9	But, again, the purpose of the Buz'Zard	9	Q Do you think the Buz'Zard study is
an increase in reactive oxygen specie generation under exposure to to tale. And I think the study is reasonably clear on that increase relative to control.  General Event What this study showed, Doctor, is the more tale you give, the decrease from Beaseline in the reactive oxygen species.  Mrs. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and Correct?  Mrs. BROWN:  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is unknown.  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is occlusively show that the more tale you give, the more ROS is generated. Correct?  Mrs. O'DELL:  Orect?  Mrs. BROWN:  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is occlusively show that the more tale you give, the more ROS is generated. Correct?  Mrs. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  Page 215  A No. Diget to the form.  Page 215  A Well, that's that's an impossible question. Like you can't have  Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian cell and absent p53 protein. You're it'd be you're fundamentally changing the biology of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general.  Mrs. BROWN:  Mrs. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A In these particular cell lines under the study certainly did not draw that conclusion.  Mrs. BROWN:  Mrs. O'DELL:  Mrs. O'	10	study, as as referenced in the report, was to	10	scientifically reliable?
under exposure to to tale. And I think the study is reasonably clear on that increase relative to control.  Q Except what this study showed, Doctor, is the more tale you give, the decrease from labaseline in the reactive oxygen species.  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Asked and climportant clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is is significant relative to the biology is is thence RoS is generated. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is occlusively show that the more tale you give, the more ROS is generated. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  Page 215  A No. D'Ether  Page 215  A Well, that's that's an impossible question. Like you can't have Well, you can't call a cell type a unknown.  Value you're fundamentally changing the biology of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general.  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  Object to the form.  A In these particular cell lines under these conditions, the the study certainly did not draw that conclusion.  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  Object on the form.  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  Object ion.  MS. O'DELL:  Object ion.	11	indicate that there are studies that have shown	11	A I have no basis to to suggest that
study is reasonably clear on that increase relative to control.  Except what this study showed, Doctor, is the more tale you give, the decrease from baseline in the reactive oxygen species.  MS. O'DELL:  OR Except What this study showed, Doctor, is the more tale you give, the decrease from baseline in the reactive oxygen species.  MS. O'DELL:  OR Object to the form. Asked and answered. Misstates the testimony.  MS. BROWN:  OR Take a look at Figure 3; right, Doctor?  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is which with the more ROS is generated. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  OR Object to the form.  Page 215  A No O'DELL:  OR O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  OR O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  OR O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  OR O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  OR O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  OR O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  OR O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  OR O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:	12	an increase in reactive oxygen specie generation	12	it's that it's not reliable.
15 relative to control. 16 Q Except what this study showed, Doctor, 17 is the more tale you give, the decrease from 18 baseline in the reactive oxygen species. 19 Correct? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Object to the form. Asked and 22 answered. Misstates the testimony. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Take a look at Figure 3; right, Doctor? 25 Degret of the biology is is is significant relative to the biology is is is significant relative to the biology is is Q Right. 26 This study certainly does not conclusively show that the more tale you give, the more ROS is generated. Correct? 29 MS. O'DELL: 20 Degret to the form. 21 A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian cell and absent p53 protein. 29 A Right. 20 Toylor it'd be you're fundamentally changing the biology of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general. 20 MS. BROWN: 21 A In these particular cell lines under these conditions, the the study certainly did not draw that conclusion. 21 A In these particular cell lines under these conditions, the the study certainly did not draw that conclusion. 22 MS. BROWN: 23 MS. O'DELL: 34 Object to the form. 35 Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian cell and absent p53 protein. 36 Vou're - it'd be you're fundamentally changing the biology of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general. 38 MS. BROWN: 39 Q Because p53 is something that you he in your genes that prevents against ovarian cancer. True? 40 MS. O'DELL: 41 A So p5 p53 is a well-known, often mutated gene in a number of human cancers of generation you have. Doesn't it? 41 A So p5 p53 is a well-known, often mutated gene in a number of human cancers of generation you have. Doesn't it? 41 A So p5 p53 is did not have p53, it will will did not have the p53, it will did not have the p53, it will did not have p53, it will did not have the p53 in that the protection of the oratic	13	under exposure to to talc. And I think the	13	Q Do you think that
16 Q Except what this study showed, Doctor, 17 is the more talc you give, the decrease from 18 baseline in the reactive oxygen species. 19 Correct? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Object to the form. Asked and 22 answered. Misstates the testimony. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Take a look at Figure 3; right, Doctor?  Page 215  Degree 1 A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is unknown.  Q Right. This study certainly does not conclusively show that the more talc you give, the more ROS is generated. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Q Because p53 is something that you have. Doesn't it?  MS. BROWN:  Page 215  Page	14	study is reasonably clear on that increase	14	A But I think there it does if
is the more tale you give, the decrease from baseline in the reactive oxygen species.  18 baseline in the reactive oxygen species.  19 Correct?  19 Q If I want you to assume that the you delike the possible of the form. Asked and answered. Misstates the testimony.  21 Object to the form. Asked and answered. Misstates the testimony.  22 answered. Misstates the testimony.  23 MS. BROWN:  24 Q Take a look at Figure 3; right, Doctor?  25 Page 215  1 A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is unknown.  26 Q Right.  27 This study certainly does not conclusively show that the more tale you give, the more ROS is generated. Correct?  28 MS. O'DELL:  29 Decause p53 is something that you have. Doesn't it?  20 Decause p53 is a well-known, often mutated gene in a number of human cancers more tale you give, the ferso in Wot and the well-known, often mutated gene in a number of human cancers more tale you give, the less of of ROS generated in Duz'Zard did not have p53, it will	15	relative to control.	15	there is a as we discussed earlier, an
18 baseline in the reactive oxygen species. 19 Correct? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Object to the form. Asked and 22 answered. Misstates the testimony. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Take a look at Figure 3; right, Doctor? 25 Degree 215 26 A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is — is 26 unknown. 27 A Well, that's — that's an impossible question. Like you can't have — 28 Well, you can't thave — 30 Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian cell and — absent p53 protein. Wou that the more tale you give, the more ROS is generated. Correct? 30 MS. O'DELL: 31 A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is — is 41 Unknown. 42 Unknown. 43 Well, that's — that's an impossible question. Like you can't have — 44 Well, you can't have — 45 Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian cell and — absent p53 protein you're — it'd be — you're fundamentally changing the biology of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general. 45 WS. O'DELL: 46 Well, that's — that's an impossible question. Like you can't have — 47 Well, you can't have — 48 Well, you can't have — 49 Well, you can't have — 40 Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian cell and — absent p53 protein you're — it'd be — you're fundamentally changing the biology of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general. 48 MS. BROWN: 49 WS. O'DELL: 40 Decause p53 is something that you h in your genes that prevents against ovarian cancer. True? 40 MS. O'DELL: 41 A So p5— p53 is a well-known, often mutated gene in a number of human cancers more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less of — of ROS more tale you give, the less	16	Q Except what this study showed, Doctor,	16	importance to not overgeneralize conclusions or
19 Correct? 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Object to the form. Asked and 22 answered. Misstates the testimony. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Take a look at Figure 3; right, Doctor? 25 Page 215 26 A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is — is 26 unknown. 27 Q Right. 28 G Right. 29 Right. 20 Right. 20 Right. 21 G Right. 22 This study certainly does not conclusively show that the more talc you give, the more ROS is generated. Correct? 29 MS. BROWN: 30 G DELL: 40 G Because p53 is something that you his your genes that prevents against ovarian cancer. True? 41 C Because p53 is a well-known, often mutated gene in a number of human cancers more talc you give, the less of — of ROS generation you have. Doesn't it? 41 MS. BROWN: 42 D I fact, what this study shows is the more talc you give, the less of — of ROS generated in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	17	is the more talc you give, the decrease from	17	lack of conclusions as, you know, outside of the
20 MS. O'DELL: 21 Object to the form. Asked and 22 answered. Misstates the testimony. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Take a look at Figure 3; right, Doctor?  25 Page 215  1 A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an 2 important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is 4 unknown.  26 Q Right.  27 This study certainly does not 28 conclusively show that the more talc you give, 38 the more ROS is generated. Correct? 49 MS. O'DELL: 40 Deject to the form.  20 Buz'Zard study used genetically altered ovar cells that did not have the p53 protein. Wot that affect your analysis of Buz'Zard?  28 MS. O'DELL: 29 Object to the form.  20 Page 215  21 A Well, that's that's an impossible question. Like you can't have 20 question. Like you can't have 21 Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian cell and absent p53 protein you have 22 question. Like you can't have 23 Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian cell and absent p53 protein you have 24 worian cancer or cancer in general.  25 You're it'd be you're fundamentally changing the biology of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general.  26 MS. BROWN: 27 Q Because p53 is something that you have generated. Correct? 28 MS. BROWN: 29 Q Because p53 is something that you have generation you have. Doesn't it? 30 Objection. 31 Objection. 32 MS. O'DELL: 33 MS. O'DELL: 44 Object to the form. 45 MS. BROWN: 46 Carrect you give, the less of of ROS 47 Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that were studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	18	baseline in the reactive oxygen species.	18	system under study.
21 Object to the form. Asked and 22 answered. Misstates the testimony. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Take a look at Figure 3; right, Doctor?  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is unknown.  Q Right.  This study certainly does not conclusively show that the more talc you give, the more ROS is generated. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  A Well, that's that's an impossible question. Like you can't have 3 Well, you can't call a cell type a 4 unknown.  A Well, you can't call a cell type a 4 unknown.  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is 3 Well, you can't call a cell type a 4 unknown.  A Use of the form.  A Well, that's that's an impossible question. Like you can't have 3 Well, you can't call a cell type a 4 changing the biology of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general.  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  DObject to the form.  A In these particular cell lines under 10 in your genes that prevents against ovarian cancer. True?  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  A So p5p53 is a well-known, often mutated gene in a number of human cancers more talc you give, the less of of ROS  MS. BROWN:	19	Correct?	19	Q If I want you to assume that the
22 answered. Misstates the testimony. 23 MS. BROWN: 24 Q Take a look at Figure 3; right, Doctor?  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is is significant relative to the biology is is is unknown.  Q Right.  This study certainly does not conclusively show that the more talc you give, the more ROS is generated. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Page 215  Page 215  Page 24  Object to the form.  Page 215  Page 24  A Well, that's that's an impossible question. Like you can't have is well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian cell and absent p53 protein you're it'd be you're fundamentally changing the biology of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general.  MS. BROWN:  Q Because p53 is something that you he in your genes that prevents against ovarian cancer. True?  MS. O'DELL:  Q In fact, what this study shows is the more talc you give, the less of of ROS generation you have. Doesn't it?  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  A Well, that's that's an impossible question. Like you can't have  2 question. Like you can't have  3 Well, you can't call a cell type a unormal ovarian cell and absent p53 protein.  MS. BROWN:  9 Q Because p53 is something that you he in your genes that prevents against ovarian cancer. True?  12 MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  14 A So p5 p53 is a well-known, often mutated gene in a number of human cancers mutated gene in a number of human cancers more talc you give, the less of of ROS  MS. BROWN:  16 MS. BROWN:  17 Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that wen studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	20	MS. O'DELL:	20	Buz'Zard study used genetically altered ovarian
MS. BROWN:  24 Q Take a look at Figure 3; right, Doctor?  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is is well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian cell and absent p53 protein in you're it'd be you're fundamentally changing the biology of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general.  This study certainly does not conclusively show that the more tale you give, the heavy of the cell as it relates ovarian cancer or cancer in general.  MS. BROWN:  9 Q Because p53 is something that you heavy of the seconditions, the the study certainly did not draw that conclusion.  10 A In these particular cell lines under these conditions, the the study certainly did not draw that conclusion.  11 A So p5 p53 is a well-known, often mutated gene in a number of human cancers more tale you give, the less of of ROS generation you have. Doesn't it?  10 Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that were studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	21	Object to the form. Asked and	21	cells that did not have the p53 protein. Would
Page 215  Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is is significant relative to the biology is is Well, you can't call a cell type a normal ovarian cell and absent p53 protein You're it'd be you're fundamentally changing the biology of the cell as it relates conclusively show that the more tale you give, the here conditions, the the study certainly did not draw that conclusion.  MS. BROWN:  A In these particular cell lines under these conditions, the the study certainly did not draw that conclusion.  MS. BROWN:  Q In fact, what this study shows is the more tale you give, the less of of ROS generation you have. Doesn't it?  MS. O'DELL:  Dobject to the form.  A So p5 p53 is a well-known, often mutated gene in a number of human cancers mutated gene in a number of human cancers studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	22	answered. Misstates the testimony.	22	that affect your analysis of Buz'Zard?
Page 215  A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is  Well, you can't call a cell type a  unknown.  Right.	23	MS. BROWN:	23	MS. O'DELL:
1 A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an 2 important clarification is whether that decrease 3 is significant relative to the biology is is 4 unknown. 5 Q Right. 6 This study certainly does not 7 conclusively show that the more talc you give, 8 the more ROS is generated. Correct? 9 MS. O'DELL: 10 Object to the form. 11 A In these particular cell lines under 12 these conditions, the the study certainly did 13 not draw that conclusion. 14 MS. BROWN: 15 Q In fact, what this study shows is the 16 more talc you give, the less of of ROS 17 generation you have. Doesn't it? 18 MS. O'DELL: 19 A Well, that's that's an impossible 2 question. Like you can't have 2 question. Like you can't have 3 Well, you can't call a cell type a 4 normal ovarian cell and absent p53 protei 5 You're it'd be you're fundamentally 6 changing the biology of the cell as it relates 7 ovarian cancer or cancer in general. 8 MS. BROWN: 9 Q Because p53 is something that you h 10 in your genes that prevents against ovarian 11 A In these particular cell lines under 12 these conditions, the the study certainly did 13 not draw that conclusion. 14 MS. O'DELL: 15 MS. O'DELL: 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that were 18 MS. O'DELL: 18 studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	24	Q Take a look at Figure 3; right, Doctor?	24	Object to the form.
important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is  well, you can't call a cell type a  mormal ovarian cell and absent p53 proteir  You're it'd be you're fundamentally  have conclusively show that the more talc you give,  the more ROS is generated. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  In these particular cell lines under  these conditions, the the study certainly did  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  In these particular cell lines under  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  In these particular cell lines under  MS. BROWN:  In these conditions, the the study certainly did  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:		Page 215		Page 217
important clarification is whether that decrease is significant relative to the biology is is  well, you can't call a cell type a  mormal ovarian cell and absent p53 protein  You're it'd be you're fundamentally  have conclusively show that the more talc you give,  the more ROS is generated. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  In these particular cell lines under  these conditions, the the study certainly did  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  In these conditions, the the study certainly did  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  MS	1	A No. I agree. But, as stated, and an	1	A Well, that's that's an impossible
4 unknown. 5 Q Right. 6 This study certainly does not 7 conclusively show that the more talc you give, 8 the more ROS is generated. Correct? 9 MS. O'DELL: 10 Object to the form. 11 A In these particular cell lines under 12 these conditions, the the study certainly did 13 not draw that conclusion. 14 MS. BROWN: 15 Q In fact, what this study shows is the 16 more talc you give, the less of of ROS 17 generation you have. Doesn't it? 18 MS normal ovarian cell and absent p53 protein. 5 You're it'd be you're fundamentally 6 changing the biology of the cell as it relates 7 ovarian cancer or cancer in general. 8 MS. BROWN: 9 Q Because p53 is something that you h 10 in your genes that prevents against ovarian 11 cancer. True? 12 MS. O'DELL: 13 not draw that conclusion. 14 A So p5 p53 is a well-known, often 15 mutated gene in a number of human cancers 16 MS. BROWN: 17 generation you have. Doesn't it? 18 studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	2	important clarification is whether that decrease	2	question. Like you can't have
5	3	is significant relative to the biology is is	3	Well, you can't call a cell type a
6 This study certainly does not 7 conclusively show that the more talc you give, 8 the more ROS is generated. Correct? 9 MS. O'DELL: 9 Q Because p53 is something that you h 10 Object to the form. 11 A In these particular cell lines under 12 these conditions, the the study certainly did 13 not draw that conclusion. 14 MS. BROWN: 15 Q In fact, what this study shows is the 16 more talc you give, the less of of ROS 17 generation you have. Doesn't it? 18 MS. O'DELL: 19 Q C Because p53 is something that you h 10 in your genes that prevents against ovarian 11 cancer. True? 12 MS. O'DELL: 13 Objection. 14 A So p5 p53 is a well-known, often 15 mutated gene in a number of human cancers 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that were 18 MS. O'DELL: 18 studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	4	unknown.	4	normal ovarian cell and absent p53 protein.
7 conclusively show that the more talc you give, 8 the more ROS is generated. Correct? 9 MS. O'DELL: 9 Q Because p53 is something that you h 10 Object to the form. 11 A In these particular cell lines under 12 these conditions, the the study certainly did 13 not draw that conclusion. 14 MS. BROWN: 15 Q In fact, what this study shows is the 16 more talc you give, the less of of ROS 17 generation you have. Doesn't it? 18 MS. O'DELL: 19 Q O Because p53 is something that you h 10 in your genes that prevents against ovarian 11 cancer. True? 12 MS. O'DELL: 13 Objection. 14 A So p5 p53 is a well-known, often 15 mutated gene in a number of human cancers 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that were 18 MS. O'DELL: 18 studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	5	Q Right.	5	You're it'd be you're fundamentally
the more ROS is generated. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  In these particular cell lines under these conditions, the the study certainly did  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN:  MS. O'DELL:  MS. BROWN:  MS. BROWN	6	This study certainly does not	6	changing the biology of the cell as it relates to
9 Q Because p53 is something that you h 10 Object to the form. 11 A In these particular cell lines under 12 these conditions, the the study certainly did 13 not draw that conclusion. 14 MS. BROWN: 15 Q In fact, what this study shows is the 16 more talc you give, the less of of ROS 17 generation you have. Doesn't it? 18 MS. O'DELL: 19 Q Because p53 is something that you h 10 in your genes that prevents against ovarian 11 cancer. True? 12 MS. O'DELL: 13 Objection. 14 A So p5 p53 is a well-known, often 15 mutated gene in a number of human cancers 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that were 18 MS. O'DELL: 18 studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	7	conclusively show that the more talc you give,	7	ovarian cancer or cancer in general.
10 Object to the form.  11 A In these particular cell lines under 12 these conditions, the the study certainly did 13 not draw that conclusion. 14 MS. BROWN: 15 Q In fact, what this study shows is the 16 more talc you give, the less of of ROS 17 generation you have. Doesn't it? 18 MS. O'DELL: 19 in your genes that prevents against ovarian 11 cancer. True? 12 MS. O'DELL: 13 Objection. 14 A So p5 p53 is a well-known, often 15 mutated gene in a number of human cancers 16 MS. BROWN: 17 Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that were 18 MS. O'DELL: 18 studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	8	the more ROS is generated. Correct?	8	MS. BROWN:
11 A In these particular cell lines under 12 these conditions, the the study certainly did 13 not draw that conclusion. 14 MS. BROWN: 15 Q In fact, what this study shows is the 16 more talc you give, the less of of ROS 17 generation you have. Doesn't it? 18 MS. O'DELL: 19 MS. O'DELL: 11 cancer. True? 12 MS. O'DELL: 13 Objection. 14 A So p5 p53 is a well-known, often mutated gene in a number of human cancers 16 MS. BROWN: 15 Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that were 18 MS. O'DELL: 18 studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	9	MS. O'DELL:	9	Q Because p53 is something that you have
these conditions, the the study certainly did not draw that conclusion.  MS. BROWN:  Q In fact, what this study shows is the more talc you give, the less of of ROS more talc you have. Doesn't it?  MS. O'DELL:  MS. O'DELL:  NS. O'DELL:	10	•	10	in your genes that prevents against ovarian
13 Not draw that conclusion.  14 MS. BROWN:  15 Q In fact, what this study shows is the  16 more talc you give, the less of of ROS  17 generation you have. Doesn't it?  18 MS. O'DELL:  18 Objection.  14 A So p5 p53 is a well-known, often mutated gene in a number of human cancers MS. BROWN:  15 MS. BROWN:  17 Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that were studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	11			
MS. BROWN:  14 A So p5 p53 is a well-known, often  15 Q In fact, what this study shows is the  16 more talc you give, the less of of ROS  17 generation you have. Doesn't it?  18 MS. O'DELL:  19 A So p5 p53 is a well-known, often  10 mutated gene in a number of human cancers  11 MS. BROWN:  12 Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that were  13 studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	12	these conditions, the the study certainly did	12	MS. O'DELL:
15 Q In fact, what this study shows is the 16 more talc you give, the less of of ROS 17 generation you have. Doesn't it? 18 MS. O'DELL: 18 mutated gene in a number of human cancers 19 MS. BROWN: 11 Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that were 11 studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	13	not draw that conclusion.	13	Objection.
more talc you give, the less of of ROS  more talc you give, the less of of ROS  more talc you give, the less of of ROS  more talc you give, the less of of ROS  16 MS. BROWN:  17 Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that were  18 MS. O'DELL:  18 studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	14	MS. BROWN:	14	1 1
generation you have. Doesn't it?  17 Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that were 18 MS. O'DELL:  18 studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	15		15	mutated gene in a number of human cancers.
18 MS. O'DELL: 18 studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will	16	more talc you give, the less of of ROS	16	MS. BROWN:
	17	-	17	Q And, so, if the ovarian cells that were
19 Object to the form. 19 call into question the study. Fair?	18		18	studied in Buz'Zard did not have p53, it will
	19	Object to the form.	19	call into question the study. Fair?
20 A I think importantly in this study, the 20 MS. O'DELL:	20		20	
time dependency for each of the doses is more 21 Object to the form.			21	*
important at the doses rather than comparing dose 22 A It would be difficult to answer. From	22	important at the doses rather than comparing dose	22	A It would be difficult to answer. From
to dose. 23 the perspective of the presence or absence	23		23	
24 MS. BROWN: 24 of of p53 having an effect on the ability o	24	MS. BROWN:	24	of of p53 having an effect on the ability of a

PageID: 204102 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 218		Page 220
1	cell to generate reactive oxygen species under	1	available literature and, in this case, review a
2	under exposure to a substance like talcum powder	2	meta-analysis of some reasonably large-scale
3	would need to be tested directly.	3	studies to try to bring the proposed biologically
4	MS. BROWN:	4	plausible mechanism and include the the
5	Q Fair to say, in your mind, a cell	5	available epidemiological information for those,
6	missing p53 is not a normal human ovarian cell.	6	such as the Penninkilampi and Eslick paper we're
7	True?	7	discussing.
8	A That is true.	8	Q What methodology did you employ in
9	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 18	9	terms of reviewing the Penninkilampi findings as
10	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	10	it relates to the question you addressed in your
11	MS. BROWN:	11	report?
12	Q Handing you what we've marked as	12	MS. O'DELL:
13	Exhibit 18 to your deposition, it's a review	13	Object to the form.
14	article titled "Perineal Talc Use and Ovarian	14	A I I used the same methodology for
15	Cancer," by Ross Penninkilampi.	15	the other studies as a review of the paper and
16	Do you see that?	16	its and its methods and conclusions.
17	A I do.	17	MS. BROWN:
18	Q This is an article that you cited in	18	Q Do you believe this review, systematic
19	your report; correct?	19	review and meta-analysis, provides evidence that
20	A Correct.	20	there's a biologically plausible mechanism by
21	Q Does this article support your view	21	which tale can cause ovarian cancer?
22	that there is a biolo in part	22	A Yes. It provided it shows an
23	Strike that.	23	association between talc use and ovarian cancer.
24	Does this article, in part, support	24	I don't I don't believe this particular study
	Page 219		Page 221
	Page 219		Page 221
1	your opinion in this case that there is a	1	goes on to specifically elucidate causation, but
2	biologically plausible mechanism by which talcum	2	it certainly shows the association.
3	powder can cause ovarian cancer which can	3	Q Well, the study specifically says that
		l .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4	cause	4	causation cannot be found, based on the results.
5	Strike that. Gonna do it again.	5	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right?
5 6	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in	5 6	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL:
5 6 7	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic	5 6 7	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
5 6 7 8	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?	5 6 7 8	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN:
5 6 7 8 9	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?  A This is an article I considered in	5 6 7 8 9	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN: Q If you look at page 42, Doctor, the
5 6 7 8 9	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?  A This is an article I considered in the in the overall review and, in the	5 6 7 8 9	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN: Q If you look at page 42, Doctor, the very end of that first paragraph, "A certain
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?  A This is an article I considered in the in the overall review and, in the conclusions of this article, found a an	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN: Q If you look at page 42, Doctor, the very end of that first paragraph, "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?  A This is an article I considered in the in the overall review and, in the conclusions of this article, found a an association between perineal talc use and ovarian	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN: Q If you look at page 42, Doctor, the very end of that first paragraph, "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not been established."
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?  A This is an article I considered in the in the overall review and, in the conclusions of this article, found a an association between perineal talc use and ovarian cancer, according to the authors.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN: Q If you look at page 42, Doctor, the very end of that first paragraph, "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not been established." Do you see that?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?  A This is an article I considered in the in the overall review and, in the conclusions of this article, found a an association between perineal talc use and ovarian cancer, according to the authors.  So it was supportive of the proposed	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN: Q If you look at page 42, Doctor, the very end of that first paragraph, "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not been established." Do you see that? MS. O'DELL:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?  A This is an article I considered in the in the overall review and, in the conclusions of this article, found a an association between perineal talc use and ovarian cancer, according to the authors.  So it was supportive of the proposed mechanism but was, again, in part.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN: Q If you look at page 42, Doctor, the very end of that first paragraph, "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not been established." Do you see that? MS. O'DELL: Where are you? Page 42. Where are you
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?  A This is an article I considered in the in the overall review and, in the conclusions of this article, found a an association between perineal talc use and ovarian cancer, according to the authors.  So it was supportive of the proposed mechanism but was, again, in part.  Q And, on page 13 and 14 of your report,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN: Q If you look at page 42, Doctor, the very end of that first paragraph, "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not been established." Do you see that? MS. O'DELL: Where are you? Page 42. Where are you reading, please?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?  A This is an article I considered in the in the overall review and, in the conclusions of this article, found a an association between perineal talc use and ovarian cancer, according to the authors.  So it was supportive of the proposed mechanism but was, again, in part.  Q And, on page 13 and 14 of your report, you, in fact, reference the Penninkilampi study	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN: Q If you look at page 42, Doctor, the very end of that first paragraph, "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not been established." Do you see that? MS. O'DELL: Where are you? Page 42. Where are you reading, please? MS. BROWN:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?  A This is an article I considered in the in the overall review and, in the conclusions of this article, found a an association between perineal talc use and ovarian cancer, according to the authors.  So it was supportive of the proposed mechanism but was, again, in part.  Q And, on page 13 and 14 of your report, you, in fact, reference the Penninkilampi study and some of its conclusions; correct?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN: Q If you look at page 42, Doctor, the very end of that first paragraph, "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not been established." Do you see that? MS. O'DELL: Where are you? Page 42. Where are you reading, please? MS. BROWN: Page 42, the end of the first
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?  A This is an article I considered in the in the overall review and, in the conclusions of this article, found a an association between perineal talc use and ovarian cancer, according to the authors.  So it was supportive of the proposed mechanism but was, again, in part.  Q And, on page 13 and 14 of your report, you, in fact, reference the Penninkilampi study and some of its conclusions; correct?  A Correct. On the on the bottom of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN: Q If you look at page 42, Doctor, the very end of that first paragraph, "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not been established." Do you see that? MS. O'DELL: Where are you? Page 42. Where are you reading, please? MS. BROWN: Page 42, the end of the first paragraph.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?  A This is an article I considered in the in the overall review and, in the conclusions of this article, found a an association between perineal talc use and ovarian cancer, according to the authors.  So it was supportive of the proposed mechanism but was, again, in part.  Q And, on page 13 and 14 of your report, you, in fact, reference the Penninkilampi study and some of its conclusions; correct?  A Correct. On the on the bottom of page 13, yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN: Q If you look at page 42, Doctor, the very end of that first paragraph, "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not been established." Do you see that? MS. O'DELL: Where are you? Page 42. Where are you reading, please? MS. BROWN: Page 42, the end of the first paragraph. A Yes, I see that.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?  A This is an article I considered in the in the overall review and, in the conclusions of this article, found a an association between perineal talc use and ovarian cancer, according to the authors.  So it was supportive of the proposed mechanism but was, again, in part.  Q And, on page 13 and 14 of your report, you, in fact, reference the Penninkilampi study and some of its conclusions; correct?  A Correct. On the on the bottom of page 13, yes.  Q And what was the purpose of including	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN: Q If you look at page 42, Doctor, the very end of that first paragraph, "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not been established." Do you see that? MS. O'DELL: Where are you? Page 42. Where are you reading, please? MS. BROWN: Page 42, the end of the first paragraph. A Yes, I see that. MS. BROWN:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?  A This is an article I considered in the in the overall review and, in the conclusions of this article, found a an association between perineal talc use and ovarian cancer, according to the authors.  So it was supportive of the proposed mechanism but was, again, in part.  Q And, on page 13 and 14 of your report, you, in fact, reference the Penninkilampi study and some of its conclusions; correct?  A Correct. On the on the bottom of page 13, yes.  Q And what was the purpose of including this description of Penninkilampi in your expert	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN: Q If you look at page 42, Doctor, the very end of that first paragraph, "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not been established." Do you see that? MS. O'DELL: Where are you? Page 42. Where are you reading, please? MS. BROWN: Page 42, the end of the first paragraph. A Yes, I see that. MS. BROWN: Q Do you agree with that statement,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Strike that. Gonna do it again.  Does this article support your view, in part, that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that can cause ovarian cancer?  A This is an article I considered in the in the overall review and, in the conclusions of this article, found a an association between perineal talc use and ovarian cancer, according to the authors.  So it was supportive of the proposed mechanism but was, again, in part.  Q And, on page 13 and 14 of your report, you, in fact, reference the Penninkilampi study and some of its conclusions; correct?  A Correct. On the on the bottom of page 13, yes.  Q And what was the purpose of including	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	causation cannot be found, based on the results. Right? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. MS. BROWN: Q If you look at page 42, Doctor, the very end of that first paragraph, "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not been established." Do you see that? MS. O'DELL: Where are you? Page 42. Where are you reading, please? MS. BROWN: Page 42, the end of the first paragraph. A Yes, I see that. MS. BROWN:

	Page 222		Page 224
1	MS. O'DELL:	1	examine that comprehensively, when you consider
2	Objection.	2	the etiology of a disease and the latency periods
3	A No, I wouldn't. But, again, my review	3	that have been observed in ovarian cancer in
4	of this was to tie the biologically plausible	4	general and the meta review by both this earlier
5	mechanism to, you know, human observation, not	5	paper by Penninkilampi and then their subsequent
6	provide a evaluation of the of the causal	6	later work, you have a challenge of a in a
7	link.	7	cohort study, a disease that is somewhat rare,
8	And I think the I would suspect that	8	coupled with a exposure and latency period that's
9	the	9	been, in the in the limited number of studies
10	I'm also not aware of a study that has	10	that have looked at this, appears to be quite
11	been able to or a or a what would be	11	long, and then when you couple in the the
12	necessary	12	ethical concerns of actually performing a trial,
13	I'm not aware of a study that has been	13	where it becomes a very difficult causation bar
14	able to provide all of the recognized and	14	to reach.
15	established methodology for causation and have	15	And, so, instead, we rely on the
16	that applied in in talc.	16	case the available case-control data and then
17	MS. BROWN:	17	systematic and meta-analysis reviews such as some
18	Q You're not aware of any study in the	18	of the epidemiologists have performed to make
19	talc epidemiology that has concluded that talcum	19	assessments into the likelihood that and the
20	powder causes ovarian cancer; correct?	20	strength of the association between talc use and
21	MS. O'DELL:	21	ovarian cancer.
22	Objection to form.	22	Q Are you intending to provide an opinion
23	A I'm aware of a number of studies that	23	on the strength of the association between talc
24	have shown a strong correlation between the two.	24	use and ovarian cancer as evidenced in the
	Page 223		Page 225
1		1	
1 2	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology	1 2	epidemiology?
2	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on	2	epidemiology? MS. O'DELL:
	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.		epidemiology? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:	2 3	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to
2 3 4	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you	2 3 4	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then
2 3 4 5	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the	2 3 4 5	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible
2 3 4 5 6	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?	2 3 4 5 6	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations
2 3 4 5 6 7	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?  A That's right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations in in available human studies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q Did you conduct a review of all of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations in in available human studies.  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q Did you conduct a review of all of the available epidemiology on talcum powder use and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations in in available human studies.  MS. BROWN:  Q And when you say your opinion is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q Did you conduct a review of all of the available epidemiology on talcum powder use and ovarian cancer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations in in available human studies.  MS. BROWN:  Q And when you say your opinion is limited to a biological plausible mechanism, are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q Did you conduct a review of all of the available epidemiology on talcum powder use and ovarian cancer?  A I certainly tried to review it as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations in in available human studies.  MS. BROWN:  Q And when you say your opinion is limited to a biological plausible mechanism, are you talking of the theoretical concept or are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q Did you conduct a review of all of the available epidemiology on talcum powder use and ovarian cancer?  A I certainly tried to review it as comprehensively as as possible.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations in in available human studies.  MS. BROWN:  Q And when you say your opinion is limited to a biological plausible mechanism, are you talking of the theoretical concept or are you talking about in the context of women using
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q Did you conduct a review of all of the available epidemiology on talcum powder use and ovarian cancer?  A I certainly tried to review it as comprehensively as as possible.  Q And, in connection with that review,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations in in available human studies.  MS. BROWN:  Q And when you say your opinion is limited to a biological plausible mechanism, are you talking of the theoretical concept or are you talking about in the context of women using talcum powder perineally?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q Did you conduct a review of all of the available epidemiology on talcum powder use and ovarian cancer?  A I certainly tried to review it as comprehensively as as possible.  Q And, in connection with that review, you'll agree there is not a single study that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations in in available human studies.  MS. BROWN:  Q And when you say your opinion is limited to a biological plausible mechanism, are you talking of the theoretical concept or are you talking about in the context of women using talcum powder perineally?  A In the context
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q Did you conduct a review of all of the available epidemiology on talcum powder use and ovarian cancer?  A I certainly tried to review it as comprehensively as as possible.  Q And, in connection with that review, you'll agree there is not a single study that concludes there is a causal association between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations in in available human studies.  MS. BROWN:  Q And when you say your opinion is limited to a biological plausible mechanism, are you talking of the theoretical concept or are you talking about in the context of women using talcum powder perineally?  A In the context MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q Did you conduct a review of all of the available epidemiology on talcum powder use and ovarian cancer?  A I certainly tried to review it as comprehensively as as possible.  Q And, in connection with that review, you'll agree there is not a single study that concludes there is a causal association between talcum powder use and ovarian cancer; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations in in available human studies.  MS. BROWN:  Q And when you say your opinion is limited to a biological plausible mechanism, are you talking of the theoretical concept or are you talking about in the context of women using talcum powder perineally?  A In the context  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q Did you conduct a review of all of the available epidemiology on talcum powder use and ovarian cancer?  A I certainly tried to review it as comprehensively as as possible.  Q And, in connection with that review, you'll agree there is not a single study that concludes there is a causal association between talcum powder use and ovarian cancer; correct?  MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations in in available human studies.  MS. BROWN:  Q And when you say your opinion is limited to a biological plausible mechanism, are you talking of the theoretical concept or are you talking about in the context of women using talcum powder perineally?  A In the context  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  THE WITNESS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q Did you conduct a review of all of the available epidemiology on talcum powder use and ovarian cancer?  A I certainly tried to review it as comprehensively as as possible.  Q And, in connection with that review, you'll agree there is not a single study that concludes there is a causal association between talcum powder use and ovarian cancer; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations in in available human studies.  MS. BROWN:  Q And when you say your opinion is limited to a biological plausible mechanism, are you talking of the theoretical concept or are you talking about in the context of women using talcum powder perineally?  A In the context  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  THE WITNESS:  Sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q Did you conduct a review of all of the available epidemiology on talcum powder use and ovarian cancer?  A I certainly tried to review it as comprehensively as as possible.  Q And, in connection with that review, you'll agree there is not a single study that concludes there is a causal association between talcum powder use and ovarian cancer; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A So I would I would interestingly,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations in in available human studies.  MS. BROWN:  Q And when you say your opinion is limited to a biological plausible mechanism, are you talking of the theoretical concept or are you talking about in the context of women using talcum powder perineally?  A In the context  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  THE WITNESS:  Sorry.  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q Did you conduct a review of all of the available epidemiology on talcum powder use and ovarian cancer?  A I certainly tried to review it as comprehensively as as possible.  Q And, in connection with that review, you'll agree there is not a single study that concludes there is a causal association between talcum powder use and ovarian cancer; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A So I would I would interestingly, there it's it becomes a as more as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations in in available human studies.  MS. BROWN:  Q And when you say your opinion is limited to a biological plausible mechanism, are you talking of the theoretical concept or are you talking about in the context of women using talcum powder perineally?  A In the context  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  THE WITNESS:  Sorry.  MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But I would have to defer to the epidemiology expert witnesses as to their opinion on causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q One of the things you told us that you reviewed in connection with your opinion was the talc epidemiology. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q Did you conduct a review of all of the available epidemiology on talcum powder use and ovarian cancer?  A I certainly tried to review it as comprehensively as as possible.  Q And, in connection with that review, you'll agree there is not a single study that concludes there is a causal association between talcum powder use and ovarian cancer; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A So I would I would interestingly, there it's it becomes a as more as more and more information has become available	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	epidemiology?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My my opinions are limited to the biologically plausible mechanism and then examining whether that biologically plausible mechanism presented is supported by observations in in available human studies.  MS. BROWN:  Q And when you say your opinion is limited to a biological plausible mechanism, are you talking of the theoretical concept or are you talking about in the context of women using talcum powder perineally?  A In the context  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  THE WITNESS:  Sorry.  MS. O'DELL:  Excuse me.  A In the in the context of women using

Page 226 Page 228 1 the fundamental aspects of that mechanism may 1 trial that would examine that in a well-powered 2 apply to other exposures as well. 2 fashion to answer that question directly. And, 3 3 MS. BROWN: certainly, as of today, there would be some 4 Q Like what? 4 significant ethical concerns with that design. 5 Well, the -- the other exposure we've 5 So, instead, we rely on the cohort and 6 been discussing, in -- in that some of the 6 case-control studies that are available. And 7 studies looked at inhalation exposure, et cetera. 7 those, again, studies are supporting an 8 But the primary review and the primary 8 association between talc use and ovarian cancer. 9 9 opinion is based on the perineal use of talcum MS. BROWN: 10 powder and that exposure that, as -- as we 10 Right. But I'm talking about for your Q 11 discussed earlier, has a -- certainly a strong 11 opinion that it's biologically plausible for 12 association with perineal use and an exposure -perineal use of talc to cause ovarian cancer, 12 13 13 exposure in the ovaries. have you made a determination, in your mind, of 14 Your opinion is that if a woman uses 14 how long that perineal use has to take place for? 15 talcum powder perineally, there is a biologically 15 MS. O'DELL: 16 16 plausible mechanism by which enough talcum powder Object to the form. 17 can migrate from outside of her vagina to her 17 I wasn't asked to provide -- to provide 18 ovary to cause chronic inflammation that can lead 18 that opinion on -- and it -- on that length or 19 to ovarian cancer? 19 exposure or duration. 20 MS. O'DELL: 20 Again, it was -- the focus was on the 21 Object to the form. 21 biologically plausible mechanism that if you have 22 Α So I'd say that the first part of your 22 a single exposure and that -- that that single 23 question is well established and included in the 23 exposure through to any other may be sufficient 24 statements from FDA and others that that 24 to trigger that mechanism. Page 227 Page 229 1 migration does occur. 1 MS. BROWN: 2 And then the next step in the -- in the 2 That's helpful, Doctor. 3 3 mechanism is that that causes inflammation which, So, as I understand your opinion, your 4 again, as we've discussed, in a number of 4 piece of the puzzle here was to look at whether 5 5 studies, that the inflammation occurs and then, one single application of talcum powder to the 6 6 in these human studies, in their systematic perineum could lead to chronic inflammation that 7 review, that there is a clear association or a --7 could cause ovarian cancer. 8 a observed association between perineal use of 8 MS. O'DELL: 9 talc and the detection of ovarian cancer at some 9 Objection. point in the -- in the women's lives and, in the 10 10 MS. BROWN: 11 case of the Penninkilampi, with a relationship to 11 Correct? 12 the number of lifetime applications. 12 Α No, no. 13 So considering those things together, 13 MS. O'DELL: 14 yes, there is a biologically plausible mechanism 14 Object to the form of the question. 15 for perineal talc use through to ovarian cancer. 15 No. That's not my -- my statement. 16 MS. BROWN: 16 My statement was that, based on the 17 17 Have you -- is -- is your opinion that evidence available, that there's a biologically 18 there's a biologically plausible mechanism 18 plausible mechanism for the -- for the cellular 19 dependent on a particular number of years of 19 changes that -- that is independent of the 20 perineal use? 20 exposure. 21 MS. O'DELL: 21 MS. BROWN: 22 Objection to form. 22 You've made a determin- --0 The -- so the -- as we just discussed, 23 23 But certainly a single exposure would 24 there's no -- I can't point to a formal clinical 24 be the physically minimum number. And I

PageID: 204105 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 230		Page 232
1	believe I think we	1	effect, it doesn't matter at all how much of the
2	Q That's what I want to understand. And	2	product is used?
3	how you how you make this biological	3	MS. O'DELL:
4	plausibility determination is to evaluate a	4	Objection.
5	single exposure? Is that right?	5	MS. BROWN:
6	MS. O'DELL:	6	Q Do you see what I'm struggling with?
7	Object to the form.	7	Can you help me understand? If I'm trying to
8	A No.	8	figure out does X cause Y, it sounds like what
9	MS. O'DELL:	9	you're saying is it doesn't matter how much X you
10	Misstates his testimony.	10	have.
11	A That's that's not what I'm stating.	11	MS. O'DELL:
12	My my statement is that the the	12	Objection to form.
13	biologically plausible mechanism is a mechanism	13	A So we're we're talking about
14	that is independent of the exposure and that, as	14	mech so mechanistic action
15	part of the description of that mechanism and the	15	MS. BROWN:
16	evaluation of the studies supporting that	16	Q Okay.
17	mechanism through an inflammatory response, the	17	A which means the you set aside the
18	question of exposure, number, and duration,	18	"how much." And the question is, from on a
19	length of time, et cetera, would be a separate	19	molecular level, can the presence of a particular
20	evaluation.	20	compound in a particular location cause a
21	MS. BROWN:	21	biological effect. And, so, that is the primary
22	Q Is your opinion that talcum powder	22	focus of the opinion in the in the paper or
23	products cause chronic inflammation that cause	23	sorry in my report.
24	ovarian cancer limited to perineal use, or have	24	And then extending that to how much,
	Page 231		Page 233
1	you also evaluated body use of talcum powder	1	
1 2	you also evaluated body use of talcum powder products?	1 2	how long, and the dur and then the intensity
	you also evaluated body use of talcum powder products?  MS. O'DELL:		how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a
2	products? MS. O'DELL:	2	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or
2	products?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.	2	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.
2 3 4	products? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	2 3 4	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or
2 3 4 5	products?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use,	2 3 4 5	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental
2 3 4 5 6	products?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies	2 3 4 5 6	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had
2 3 4 5 6 7	products?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on	2 3 4 5 6 7	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, talc a talcum powder exposure to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	products?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on perineal use of talcum powder.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, talc a talcum powder exposure to an inflammatory response to the inflammatory
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	products?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on perineal use of talcum powder.  MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, talc a talcum powder exposure to an inflammatory response to the inflammatory response causing cancer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	products?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on perineal use of talcum powder.  MS. BROWN:  Q And in conducting that evaluation, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, talc a talcum powder exposure to an inflammatory response to the inflammatory response causing cancer.  Again, the I would refer to and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	products?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on perineal use of talcum powder.  MS. BROWN:  Q And in conducting that evaluation, the results of which are contained in your report,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, talc a talcum powder exposure to an inflammatory response to the inflammatory response causing cancer.  Again, the I would refer to and defer to the other experts in epidemiology
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	products?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on perineal use of talcum powder.  MS. BROWN: Q And in conducting that evaluation, the results of which are contained in your report, you did not endeavor to quantify how much talcum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, talc a talcum powder exposure to an inflammatory response to the inflammatory response causing cancer.  Again, the I would refer to and defer to the other experts in epidemiology regarding their opinions on the validity of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	products?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on perineal use of talcum powder.  MS. BROWN:  Q And in conducting that evaluation, the results of which are contained in your report, you did not endeavor to quantify how much talcum powder used perineally could possibly migrate to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, talc a talcum powder exposure to an inflammatory response to the inflammatory response causing cancer.  Again, the I would refer to and defer to the other experts in epidemiology regarding their opinions on the validity of the asso validity and strength of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	products?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on perineal use of talcum powder.  MS. BROWN: Q And in conducting that evaluation, the results of which are contained in your report, you did not endeavor to quantify how much talcum powder used perineally could possibly migrate to the ovaries; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, talc a talcum powder exposure to an inflammatory response to the inflammatory response causing cancer.  Again, the I would refer to and defer to the other experts in epidemiology regarding their opinions on the validity of the asso validity and strength of the associations, again, from a formal epidemiology
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	products?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on perineal use of talcum powder.  MS. BROWN: Q And in conducting that evaluation, the results of which are contained in your report, you did not endeavor to quantify how much talcum powder used perineally could possibly migrate to the ovaries; is that right?  MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, talc a talcum powder exposure to an inflammatory response to the inflammatory response causing cancer.  Again, the I would refer to and defer to the other experts in epidemiology regarding their opinions on the validity of the asso validity and strength of the associations, again, from a formal epidemiology perspective.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	products?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on perineal use of talcum powder.  MS. BROWN:  Q And in conducting that evaluation, the results of which are contained in your report, you did not endeavor to quantify how much talcum powder used perineally could possibly migrate to the ovaries; is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Asked and answered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, talc a talcum powder exposure to an inflammatory response to the inflammatory response causing cancer.  Again, the I would refer to and defer to the other experts in epidemiology regarding their opinions on the validity of the asso validity and strength of the associations, again, from a formal epidemiology perspective.  My review of those studies has ind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	products?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on perineal use of talcum powder.  MS. BROWN:  Q And in conducting that evaluation, the results of which are contained in your report, you did not endeavor to quantify how much talcum powder used perineally could possibly migrate to the ovaries; is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Asked and answered maybe ten times already today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, talc a talcum powder exposure to an inflammatory response to the inflammatory response causing cancer.  Again, the I would refer to and defer to the other experts in epidemiology regarding their opinions on the validity of the asso validity and strength of the associations, again, from a formal epidemiology perspective.  My review of those studies has ind has relied on their conclusions, and, then, in my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	products?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on perineal use of talcum powder.  MS. BROWN: Q And in conducting that evaluation, the results of which are contained in your report, you did not endeavor to quantify how much talcum powder used perineally could possibly migrate to the ovaries; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered maybe ten times already today. But you may answer the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, talc a talcum powder exposure to an inflammatory response to the inflammatory response causing cancer.  Again, the I would refer to and defer to the other experts in epidemiology regarding their opinions on the validity of the asso validity and strength of the associations, again, from a formal epidemiology perspective.  My review of those studies has ind has relied on their conclusions, and, then, in my own review of their of their methodology
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	products?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on perineal use of talcum powder.  MS. BROWN: Q And in conducting that evaluation, the results of which are contained in your report, you did not endeavor to quantify how much talcum powder used perineally could possibly migrate to the ovaries; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered maybe ten times already today. But you may answer the question.  A Yeah. I I wasn't asked to to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, talc a talcum powder exposure to an inflammatory response to the inflammatory response causing cancer.  Again, the I would refer to and defer to the other experts in epidemiology regarding their opinions on the validity of the asso validity and strength of the associations, again, from a formal epidemiology perspective.  My review of those studies has ind has relied on their conclusions, and, then, in my own review of their of their methodology showing a increasing association, that is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	products?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on perineal use of talcum powder.  MS. BROWN: Q And in conducting that evaluation, the results of which are contained in your report, you did not endeavor to quantify how much talcum powder used perineally could possibly migrate to the ovaries; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered maybe ten times already today. But you may answer the question.  A Yeah. I I wasn't asked to to provide that opinion or attempt that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, talc a talcum powder exposure to an inflammatory response to the inflammatory response causing cancer.  Again, the I would refer to and defer to the other experts in epidemiology regarding their opinions on the validity of the asso validity and strength of the associations, again, from a formal epidemiology perspective.  My review of those studies has ind has relied on their conclusions, and, then, in my own review of their of their methodology showing a increasing association, that is the bookends of my of the mechanism I proposed.  So what this study is looking at is perineal use of talc, getting cancer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	products?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on perineal use of talcum powder.  MS. BROWN: Q And in conducting that evaluation, the results of which are contained in your report, you did not endeavor to quantify how much talcum powder used perineally could possibly migrate to the ovaries; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered maybe ten times already today. But you may answer the question.  A Yeah. I I wasn't asked to to provide that opinion or attempt that quantitation.  MS. BROWN: Q So when you conduct your analysis of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, talc a talcum powder exposure to an inflammatory response to the inflammatory response causing cancer.  Again, the I would refer to and defer to the other experts in epidemiology regarding their opinions on the validity of the asso validity and strength of the associations, again, from a formal epidemiology perspective.  My review of those studies has ind has relied on their conclusions, and, then, in my own review of their of their methodology showing a increasing association, that is the bookends of my of the mechanism I proposed.  So what this study is looking at is perineal use of talc, getting cancer.  The what I've proposed is in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	products?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A My my focus was on the perineal use, and that's where the majority of the studies have have examined. So the focus was on perineal use of talcum powder.  MS. BROWN: Q And in conducting that evaluation, the results of which are contained in your report, you did not endeavor to quantify how much talcum powder used perineally could possibly migrate to the ovaries; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered maybe ten times already today. But you may answer the question.  A Yeah. I I wasn't asked to to provide that opinion or attempt that quantitation.  MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	how long, and the dur and then the intensity or duration of the biological effect, again, is a separate would be a separate discussion or separate study.  So, again, to clarify, the focus had been on that some of the fundamental mechanisms, tale a talcum powder exposure to an inflammatory response to the inflammatory response causing cancer.  Again, the I would refer to and defer to the other experts in epidemiology regarding their opinions on the validity of the asso validity and strength of the associations, again, from a formal epidemiology perspective.  My review of those studies has ind has relied on their conclusions, and, then, in my own review of their of their methodology showing a increasing association, that is the bookends of my of the mechanism I proposed.  So what this study is looking at is perineal use of talc, getting cancer.

Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

Page 234 Page 236 that opinion from the observations of a couple of 1 studies are asking how many times, what, and 1 2 where, but there's been no evaluation that I'm 2 different studies, including the recent Saed 3 3 aware of that looks at exactly how the talc was paper that did look at the specific consumer 4 applied, when and where. Instead, it was asked 4 product every -- you know, showing a -- if we do 5 number of lifetime applications, duration of use, 5 it by way of comparison, between the Buz'Zard 6 and examining latency period. 6 paper and the recent Saed, seemingly a larger 7 7 And when I examine that information magnitude of reactive oxygen species generation. But, again, that is a -- extrapolating against 8 from the perspective of that biological 8 9 9 mechanism, I, you know, notice some parallels in two different studies. 10 between latency period averaging roughly twenty 10 Q Do you --11 years, which -- which mimics somewhat what's 11 MS. O'DELL: 12 observed in the asbestos field as far as, you 12 Excuse me. We've been going about an 13 13 hour and 20 minutes, maybe a little more. know, lung effect latency. 14 And then that continues into the 14 MS. BROWN: 15 constituent -- or the other constituent 15 I think a little less. But I'm gonna 16 16 components of some of the products, including finish up. Then we'll take a quick break. 17 17 testing into asbestos and some of the -- and Does that work for you, Doctor? 18 heavy metal exposure, et cetera, that those are, 18 I just want to finish Penninkilampi if 19 again, supportive and offer a potential 19 we can. 20 amplifying effect in that -- in that mechanism, 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 given the nature of those other components. 21 How much more do you have to go? 22 What's the scientific support for the 22 MS. BROWN: 23 amplification effect you just described? 23 About five or ten minutes. 24 Just that the presence of 2.4 MS. O'DELL: Page 235 Page 237 more -- the --1 If you need a break, we can break now. 1 2 So if we extend beyond the opinion that 2 Or we can keep -- if you would like to wait five 3 3 or ten minutes, that's fine. Whatever's best for talc, as a com- -- as a singular compound, causes 4 inflammation and then also, based on the reviewed you, Doctor. 5 5 expert reports, find that testing of talc has THE WITNESS: 6 been shown to contain asbestos or asbestos 6 Yeah, if we could break now, that would 7 fibers, that the presence of now two potential 7 be great. 8 8 insulting --VIDEOGRAPHER: 9 I'm making a hypothesis or making a 9 Going off the record. The time is 10 10 statement that the -- you can have -- the more 2:10 p.m. 11 biologically active compounds you have in an 11 (OFF THE RECORD.) 12 exposure such as talc plus asbestos plus chromium 12 VIDEOGRAPHER: 13 and then plus a milieu of chemicals that are in 13 We're back on the record. The time is 14 fragrances may have an amplification effect on 14 2:26 p.m. 15 15 that exposure and as part of that overall MS. BROWN: 16 biological mechanism. 16 Welcome back, Doctor. 17 17 Are you relying on a particular article Before we took a break, we were 18 or any published scientific support for the 18 discussing the Penninkilampi article. Do you 19 amplification argument? 19 remember that? 20 MS. O'DELL: 20 I do. 21 Object to the form. He's answered the 21 And one of the things the authors of O 22 question. 22 this very recent meta-analysis discussed is the 23 No. I -- I don't know of a study that 23 potential mechanism of ovarian cancer. Correct? 24 is delineated. The -- it would be synthesizing 24 And I'll direct your attention to the

	Page 238		Page 240
1	discussion that begins on page 45. In the second	1	presence of the talc or a continued chronic
2	sentence, the authors conclude here that the	2	immune response or chronic inflammatory response,
3	mechanism by which perineal talc use may increase	3	again, either directly or indirectly related to
4	the risk of ovarian cancer is uncertain.	4	the exposure, would help support a environment
5	Do you see that?	5	that would allow the cancer progression to occur.
6	A I see that sentence, yes.	6	So that is simply delineating those
7	Q And they go on to discuss the theory	7	those two things as it relates to inflammation
8	that tale could produce a chronic inflammatory	8	and tale exposure.
9	response which could predispose to the	9	Q So you described two potential
10	development of ovarian cancer.	10	responses to talc right now. Correct?
11	Do you see that?	11	MS. O'DELL:
12	A Yes.	12	Objection to form.
13	Q Okay. And they go on to explain a	13	A At least two, yes.
14	little bit more about the theory. Do you see	14	MS. BROWN:
15	that?	15	Q Okay. And one is an acute inflammatory
16	MS. O'DELL:	16	response; correct?
17	Object to the form.	17	A Yes.
18	A Specifically the sentence beginning	18	Q And for that you point to the Saed data
19	with "it is argued"?	19	on reactive oxygen species; is that right?
20	MS. BROWN:	20	MS. O'DELL:
21	Q Uh-huh. "It is argued that cellular	21	Objection to form.
22	injury, oxidative stress, and local increase in	22	A That is one example, yes.
23	inflammatory mediators such as cytokines,	23	MS. BROWN:
24	prostaglandins may be mutagenic and, hence,	24	Q Okay. Are there is there other
	Page 239		Page 241
1	promote carcinogenesis."	1	scientific support for your opinion that talc can
2	Do you see that?	1	cause acute inflammation?
_		2	cause acute inflammation?
3	A I see that.	3	A So it's any of the similar studies to
4	A I see that. Q This sentence refers to chronic		
		3	A So it's any of the similar studies to
4	Q This sentence refers to chronic	3 4	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the
4 5	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.	3 4 5	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any
4 5 6	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the	3 4 5 6	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the presence of proposed that talc as a	3 4 5 6 7	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the MS. O'DELL: Feel free to MS. BROWN:
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the presence of proposed that talc as a foreign that the presence of a foreign body	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the MS. O'DELL: Feel free to MS. BROWN: Q Buz'Zard?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the presence of proposed that talc as a foreign that the presence of a foreign body would instigate a chronic inflammatory response.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the MS. O'DELL: Feel free to MS. BROWN: Q Buz'Zard? A So Buz'Zard would be one. Harper and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the presence of proposed that talc as a foreign that the presence of a foreign body would instigate a chronic inflammatory response. That's the statement in the paper.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the MS. O'DELL: Feel free to MS. BROWN: Q Buz'Zard? A So Buz'Zard would be one. Harper and Saed is is another.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the presence of proposed that talc as a foreign that the presence of a foreign body would instigate a chronic inflammatory response. That's the statement in the paper.  MS. BROWN:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the MS. O'DELL: Feel free to MS. BROWN: Q Buz'Zard? A So Buz'Zard would be one. Harper and Saed is is another. Q In your
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the presence of proposed that talc as a foreign that the presence of a foreign body would instigate a chronic inflammatory response. That's the statement in the paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is it your opinion that talcum powder	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the MS. O'DELL: Feel free to MS. BROWN: Q Buz'Zard? A So Buz'Zard would be one. Harper and Saed is is another. Q In your A And so yeah. Yes, Buz'Zard and Lau
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the presence of proposed that talc as a foreign that the presence of a foreign body would instigate a chronic inflammatory response. That's the statement in the paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is it your opinion that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that initiates	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the MS. O'DELL: Feel free to MS. BROWN: Q Buz'Zard? A So Buz'Zard would be one. Harper and Saed is is another. Q In your A And so yeah. Yes, Buz'Zard and Lau and then yeah. So that would
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the presence of proposed that talc as a foreign that the presence of a foreign body would instigate a chronic inflammatory response. That's the statement in the paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is it your opinion that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that initiates cancer?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the MS. O'DELL: Feel free to MS. BROWN: Q Buz'Zard? A So Buz'Zard would be one. Harper and Saed is is another. Q In your A And so yeah. Yes, Buz'Zard and Lau and then yeah. So that would Q Okay. So for your opinion that talc
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the presence of proposed that talc as a foreign that the presence of a foreign body would instigate a chronic inflammatory response. That's the statement in the paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is it your opinion that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that initiates cancer?  A It's so it is it is my opinion	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the MS. O'DELL: Feel free to MS. BROWN: Q Buz'Zard? A So Buz'Zard would be one. Harper and Saed is is another. Q In your A And so yeah. Yes, Buz'Zard and Lau and then yeah. So that would Q Okay. So for your opinion that talc causes an acute inflamm inflammatory
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the presence of proposed that talc as a foreign that the presence of a foreign body would instigate a chronic inflammatory response. That's the statement in the paper.  MS. BROWN: Q Is it your opinion that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that initiates cancer?  A It's so it is it is my opinion is, part of the mechanism, that talcum powder can	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the MS. O'DELL: Feel free to MS. BROWN: Q Buz'Zard? A So Buz'Zard would be one. Harper and Saed is is another. Q In your A And so yeah. Yes, Buz'Zard and Lau and then yeah. So that would Q Okay. So for your opinion that talc causes an acute inflamm inflammatory response, you rely on the cell studies done by
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the presence of proposed that talc as a foreign that the presence of a foreign body would instigate a chronic inflammatory response. That's the statement in the paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is it your opinion that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that initiates cancer?  A It's so it is it is my opinion is, part of the mechanism, that talcum powder can have two effects related to inflammation. The	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the MS. O'DELL: Feel free to MS. BROWN: Q Buz'Zard? A So Buz'Zard would be one. Harper and Saed is is another. Q In your A And so yeah. Yes, Buz'Zard and Lau and then yeah. So that would Q Okay. So for your opinion that talc causes an acute inflamm inflammatory response, you rely on the cell studies done by Saed and Buz'Zard; correct?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the presence of proposed that talc as a foreign that the presence of a foreign body would instigate a chronic inflammatory response. That's the statement in the paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is it your opinion that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that initiates cancer?  A It's so it is it is my opinion is, part of the mechanism, that talcum powder can have two effects related to inflammation. The first effect is an acute effect resulting in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the MS. O'DELL: Feel free to MS. BROWN: Q Buz'Zard? A So Buz'Zard would be one. Harper and Saed is is another. Q In your A And so yeah. Yes, Buz'Zard and Lau and then yeah. So that would Q Okay. So for your opinion that talc causes an acute inflamm inflammatory response, you rely on the cell studies done by Saed and Buz'Zard; correct? MS. O'DELL:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the presence of proposed that talc as a foreign that the presence of a foreign body would instigate a chronic inflammatory response. That's the statement in the paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is it your opinion that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that initiates cancer?  A It's so it is it is my opinion is, part of the mechanism, that talcum powder can have two effects related to inflammation. The first effect is an acute effect resulting in cellular damage, and that is supported by the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the MS. O'DELL: Feel free to MS. BROWN: Q Buz'Zard? A So Buz'Zard would be one. Harper and Saed is is another. Q In your A And so yeah. Yes, Buz'Zard and Lau and then yeah. So that would Q Okay. So for your opinion that talc causes an acute inflamm inflammatory response, you rely on the cell studies done by Saed and Buz'Zard; correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the presence of proposed that talc as a foreign that the presence of a foreign body would instigate a chronic inflammatory response. That's the statement in the paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is it your opinion that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that initiates cancer?  A It's so it is it is my opinion is, part of the mechanism, that talcum powder can have two effects related to inflammation. The first effect is an acute effect resulting in cellular damage, and that is supported by the study showing increase in reactive oxygen species	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the MS. O'DELL: Feel free to MS. BROWN: Q Buz'Zard? A So Buz'Zard would be one. Harper and Saed is is another. Q In your A And so yeah. Yes, Buz'Zard and Lau and then yeah. So that would Q Okay. So for your opinion that talc causes an acute inflamm inflammatory response, you rely on the cell studies done by Saed and Buz'Zard; correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A Yes, among others.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q This sentence refers to chronic inflammation promoting cancer. Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. This this refers to that the presence of proposed that talc as a foreign that the presence of a foreign body would instigate a chronic inflammatory response. That's the statement in the paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Is it your opinion that talcum powder can cause chronic inflammation that initiates cancer?  A It's so it is it is my opinion is, part of the mechanism, that talcum powder can have two effects related to inflammation. The first effect is an acute effect resulting in cellular damage, and that is supported by the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A So it's any of the similar studies to Saed. And I would have to double-check the references, but they would have you know, any of the MS. O'DELL: Feel free to MS. BROWN: Q Buz'Zard? A So Buz'Zard would be one. Harper and Saed is is another. Q In your A And so yeah. Yes, Buz'Zard and Lau and then yeah. So that would Q Okay. So for your opinion that talc causes an acute inflamm inflammatory response, you rely on the cell studies done by Saed and Buz'Zard; correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

Page 242 Page 244 1 acute inflammatory response resolve? 1 they're not -- I don't have evidence to -- to 2 I don't -- I don't have any evidence to 2 delineate those specifically, other than -- other 3 3 than the supported mechanism that an acute suggest it resolves or not. The --4 4 response can cause cellular damage, and then a Again, getting back to the mechanism 5 that has been -- that I've described and is 5 chronic response can cause cellular damage and be 6 supported by the literature we've been discussing 6 supportive of that continued -- that continued 7 7 transformation. is that there is a acute response as well as 8 evidence for talc causing a more chronic 8 So they are -- they -- those -- those 9 9 two delineated immune responses can either work inflammatory response. And so I've proposed a 10 mechanism by which both of those can contribute 10 in -- in concert with each other, but there is no 11 to or enhance the development of cancer. 11 evidence to suggest that one is insufficient 12 Can both of those inflammatory 12 relative to the other in terms of progression of 13 13 responses that you just described initiate the disease. And I think specific to the -- to the 14 14 15 MS. O'DELL: 15 supported mechanism is that there -- I'm not 16 16 Object to the form. Asked and making that distinction in the -- in the report. 17 17 answered. MS. BROWN: 18 They are certainly a component of that. 18 Right. In your report, you don't talk 19 19 about acute versus chronic inflammation. And so, again, to restate the 20 mechanism, the acute inflammatory response or 2.0 Correct? 21 That's correct. I don't delineate the 21 the -- the formation of reactive oxygen species 22 has been known for decades to cause cellular 2.2 two. Right. But, here today, as we discuss in more 23 damage, and then cellular damage can result in 23 24 mutation of -- of DNA. 24 detail your opinions, you're explaining that Page 243 Page 245 1 And then when you also consider the you're -- in your mind, you see two potential 1 2 full constituents of the products, the potential 2 inflammatory responses from talc. Right? 3 3 MS. O'DELL: presence --4 And this gets back to our earlier 4 Object to the form. 5 5 discussions about amplification. I would disagree. I would say that 6 Components such as chromium, which have 6 I -- I -- based on the information and studies, 7 a direct DNA-damaging effect, can also 7 the -- the review of other expert reports, that 8 ampli- -- again, add to the level of cellular 8 it presents a supported opinion that talc has an 9 damage present. 9 ability to cause an acute response as well as a 10 And then the continued inflammatory 10 chronic response. 11 response, whether it is a -- related to the 11 And, so, then, today we are discussing 12 initial acute response and a continuation of that 12 using that data in support of the -- of the 13 or is a separate chronic inflammatory response 13 mechanism as to how those -- those two responses 14 would then support the environment necessary for 14 can work together or separately in the the malignant transformation or the malignancy of 15 15 progression of ovarian cancer. 16 the cancer to become what we -- what we would 16 MS. BROWN: 17 17 generally refer to as ovarian cancer. At the time you wrote your report in 18 In your opinion, the chronic 18 November of 2018, were you of the view that talc 19 inflammation promotes the cancer but does not 19 can cause both acute and chronic inflammatory 20 20 initiate it? response? 21 MS. O'DELL: 21 Yes. I mean, it was -- I was of the 22 Object to the form. Asked and 22 view it causes an inflammatory response. And 23 answered. 23 then, as I continued to review information 24 No. So I wouldn't -- I would say 24 available, it became clear that the talc Α

PageID: 204109 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 246		Page 248
1	response, being an inflammatory response in	1	important.
2	totality, may have the ability to have	2	Q So there is a length of time or an
3	those to to have two independent responses	3	amount of exposure that would cause a chronic
4	in tissues.	4	inflammation that is different from the length of
5	Q And, in your opinion, can both the	5	time and the magnitude of exposure that will
6	acute inflammatory response and the chronic	6	cause an acute inflammation?
7	inflammatory response separately cause ovarian	7	MS. O'DELL:
8	cancer?	8	Object to the form. Misstates his
9	A Under the the mechanism I've	9	testimony.
10	proposed, yes, that would be a a possibility	10	A Yeah, no. Not that's not what
11	that they could separately cause, given that	11	I that's not what I've stated.
12	they they're both inflammatory responses, they	12	I've simply stated that if we if we
13	both cause cellular damage.	13	look at the what is known about inflammation
14	And in the case in this case,	14	and the biological response to foreign bodies,
15	delineating the acute from chronic was more to	15	you can have an initial acute response mediated
16	clarify the cellular damage aspect, the	16	by the immune system and mediated by some of the
17	transformative aspect of cancer from the the	17	cellular damage that takes place, and then that
18	necessary tumor progression aspects of cancer to	18	same response may continue in a chronic form for
19	actually progress to disease.	19	some period of time and at some level of
20	Q In your opinion, Doctor, does talc	20	magnitude.
21	always first cause an acute reaction and then a	21	Now, certainly there is likely a
22	chronic reaction?	22	dependency or, I should say, likely a
23	MS. O'DELL:	23	relationship to the amount of exposure and the
24	Object to the form.	24	magnitude of that response.
	- 0.45		- 0.40
	Page 247		Page 249
1	A I I I don't have evidence	1	But, again, the the opinions here
2	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of	2	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial
2	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for	2 3	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know,
2 3 4	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic.	2 3 4	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a
2 3 4 5	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response
2 3 4 5 6	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at	2 3 4 5 6	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types	2 3 4 5 6 7	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a inflammatory response?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I've I have described two mechanisms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a inflammatory response?  A All of the evidence would suggest yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I've I have described two mechanisms for inflammation that that both can are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a inflammatory response?  A All of the evidence would suggest yes.  Q Have you considered Heller's 1996 study
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I've I have described two mechanisms for inflammation that that both can are both supportive of the overall mechanism that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a inflammatory response?  A All of the evidence would suggest yes.  Q Have you considered Heller's 1996 study on that score?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I've I have described two mechanisms for inflammation that that both can are both supportive of the overall mechanism that we're discussing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a inflammatory response?  A All of the evidence would suggest yes.  Q Have you considered Heller's 1996 study on that score?  A I would have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I've I have described two mechanisms for inflammation that that both can are both supportive of the overall mechanism that we're discussing. MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a inflammatory response?  A All of the evidence would suggest yes.  Q Have you considered Heller's 1996 study on that score?  A I would have to On the score of inflammatory response?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I've I have described two mechanisms for inflammation that that both can are both supportive of the overall mechanism that we're discussing. MS. BROWN: Q And is it is there a length of time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a inflammatory response?  A All of the evidence would suggest yes.  Q Have you considered Heller's 1996 study on that score?  A I would have to On the score of inflammatory response?  Q Do you recall that Heller looked at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I've I have described two mechanisms for inflammation that that both can are both supportive of the overall mechanism that we're discussing. MS. BROWN: Q And is it is there a length of time that differentiates an acute inflammatory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a inflammatory response?  A All of the evidence would suggest yes.  Q Have you considered Heller's 1996 study on that score?  A I would have to On the score of inflammatory response?  Q Do you recall that Heller looked at benign ovarian tissue and identified the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I've I have described two mechanisms for inflammation that that both can are both supportive of the overall mechanism that we're discussing. MS. BROWN: Q And is it is there a length of time that differentiates an acute inflammatory response from a chronic inflammatory response?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a inflammatory response?  A All of the evidence would suggest yes.  Q Have you considered Heller's 1996 study on that score?  A I would have to On the score of inflammatory response?  Q Do you recall that Heller looked at benign ovarian tissue and identified the potential presence of talc?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I've I have described two mechanisms for inflammation that that both can are both supportive of the overall mechanism that we're discussing. MS. BROWN: Q And is it is there a length of time that differentiates an acute inflammatory response from a chronic inflammatory response? A Certainly I would say there in my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a inflammatory response?  A All of the evidence would suggest yes.  Q Have you considered Heller's 1996 study on that score?  A I would have to On the score of inflammatory response?  Q Do you recall that Heller looked at benign ovarian tissue and identified the potential presence of talc?  A Sounds familiar.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I've I have described two mechanisms for inflammation that that both can are both supportive of the overall mechanism that we're discussing. MS. BROWN: Q And is it is there a length of time that differentiates an acute inflammatory response from a chronic inflammatory response? A Certainly I would say there in my opinion, there would it would be a potential	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a inflammatory response?  A All of the evidence would suggest yes.  Q Have you considered Heller's 1996 study on that score?  A I would have to On the score of inflammatory response?  Q Do you recall that Heller looked at benign ovarian tissue and identified the potential presence of talc?  A Sounds familiar.  Q I'll hand it to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I've I have described two mechanisms for inflammation that that both can are both supportive of the overall mechanism that we're discussing. MS. BROWN: Q And is it is there a length of time that differentiates an acute inflammatory response from a chronic inflammatory response? A Certainly I would say there in my opinion, there would it would be a potential time dependency or a magnitude dependency to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a inflammatory response?  A All of the evidence would suggest yes.  Q Have you considered Heller's 1996 study on that score?  A I would have to  On the score of inflammatory response?  Q Do you recall that Heller looked at benign ovarian tissue and identified the potential presence of talc?  A Sounds familiar.  Q I'll hand it to you.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I've I have described two mechanisms for inflammation that that both can are both supportive of the overall mechanism that we're discussing. MS. BROWN: Q And is it is there a length of time that differentiates an acute inflammatory response from a chronic inflammatory response? A Certainly I would say there in my opinion, there would it would be a potential time dependency or a magnitude dependency to delineate an acute versus chronic response. But,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a inflammatory response?  A All of the evidence would suggest yes.  Q Have you considered Heller's 1996 study on that score?  A I would have to  On the score of inflammatory response?  Q Do you recall that Heller looked at benign ovarian tissue and identified the potential presence of talc?  A Sounds familiar.  Q I'll hand it to you.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 19  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I've I have described two mechanisms for inflammation that that both can are both supportive of the overall mechanism that we're discussing. MS. BROWN: Q And is it is there a length of time that differentiates an acute inflammatory response from a chronic inflammatory response? A Certainly I would say there in my opinion, there would it would be a potential time dependency or a magnitude dependency to delineate an acute versus chronic response. But, again, for the purpose of the biological	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a inflammatory response?  A All of the evidence would suggest yes.  Q Have you considered Heller's 1996 study on that score?  A I would have to On the score of inflammatory response?  Q Do you recall that Heller looked at benign ovarian tissue and identified the potential presence of talc?  A Sounds familiar.  Q I'll hand it to you. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 19 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I I I don't have evidence to to state that and would defer to some of the other expert witnesses, like Dr. Saed, for opinions on acute response versus chronic. MS. BROWN: Q In your opinion, though, you have at least delineated in your mind two different types of inflammatory responses. Correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A I've I have described two mechanisms for inflammation that that both can are both supportive of the overall mechanism that we're discussing. MS. BROWN: Q And is it is there a length of time that differentiates an acute inflammatory response from a chronic inflammatory response? A Certainly I would say there in my opinion, there would it would be a potential time dependency or a magnitude dependency to delineate an acute versus chronic response. But,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But, again, the the opinions here are specific to the mechanism and the initial elucidation of that response and, you know, not not on a quantitation of a a dose-response relation or a dose-response curve or relationship.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you believe that every time a talc particle enters the human body, it produces a inflammatory response?  A All of the evidence would suggest yes.  Q Have you considered Heller's 1996 study on that score?  A I would have to  On the score of inflammatory response?  Q Do you recall that Heller looked at benign ovarian tissue and identified the potential presence of talc?  A Sounds familiar.  Q I'll hand it to you.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 19  WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

	Page 250		Page 252
1	Heller's '96 article as Exhibit 19.	1	mechanism that talc causes inflammation and then
2	And what I want to ask you about is	2	inflammation has a role in ovarian cancer.
3	Heller's finding as it relates to no reaction to	3	Extending that to circumstances where
4	the talc particle. Did you consider that	4	an exposure would not cause inflammation is is
5	MS. O'DELL:	5	not germane to that to that mechanism and, in
6	Object to the form.	6	fact, again, not supported by literature to show
7	MS. BROWN:	7	that, you know, that a single exposure or some
8	Q in forming your opinion here?	8	number of exposures are necessary or sufficient
9	MS. O'DELL:	9	for a particular phenotype.
10	Excuse me. Object to the form.	10	MS. BROWN:
11	MS. BROWN:	11	Q So this Heller study purports to have
12	Q I'll direct you, Doctor.	12	found talc in ovarian tissue without an
13	On page 1508 of the Heller article,	13	inflammatory response; right?
14	right above the comments section, "The	14	MS. O'DELL:
15	investigators on this study concluded no evidence	15	Object to the form.
16	or response to talc, such as foreign body giant	16	A In looking at their
17	cell reactions or fibrosis in the tissue."	17	Just one moment.
18	My question is whether, in your	18	So this was a
19	opinion, every time talc is enters the body,	19	So is your is your question that
20	it necessarily produces an inflammatory response.	20	the if the if the author showed talc being
21	MS. O'DELL:	21	present in normal ovarian tissue?
22	Object to the form.	22	Q Well, first my question is did you
23	A No. My opinion is that every time talc	23	consider this article in connection with your
24	enters the body, that has the potential to cause	24	opinions in the case?
	Page 251		Page 253
1	an immune response.	1	A I don't recall this article
2	MS. BROWN:	l _	
	MS. BROWN.	2	specifically, and I don't believe I cited it.
3	Q Have you made a determination about	3	I guess there's no.
3 4			
	Q Have you made a determination about	3	I guess there's no.
4	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens?	3 4	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is
4 5	<ul><li>Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens?</li><li>A I'll have</li></ul>	3 4 5	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body
4 5 6	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens? A I'll have MS. O'DELL:	3 4 5 6	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily
4 5 6 7	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens? A I'll have MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It's vague. A I'm not aware of any There there these none of the	3 4 5 6 7	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily produces an inflammatory response that can either
4 5 6 7 8	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens? A I'll have MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It's vague. A I'm not aware of any	3 4 5 6 7 8	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily produces an inflammatory response that can either promote or initiate cancer of the ovaries?
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens? A I'll have MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It's vague. A I'm not aware of any There there these none of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily produces an inflammatory response that can either promote or initiate cancer of the ovaries?  MS. O'DELL:
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens? A I'll have MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It's vague. A I'm not aware of any There there these none of the studies that have been reviewed have been	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily produces an inflammatory response that can either promote or initiate cancer of the ovaries?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens?  A I'll have  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. It's vague.  A I'm not aware of any  There there these none of the studies that have been reviewed have been designed to answer the question of "if ever."	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily produces an inflammatory response that can either promote or initiate cancer of the ovaries?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens?  A I'll have MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It's vague.  A I'm not aware of any There there these none of the studies that have been reviewed have been designed to answer the question of "if ever."  MS. BROWN:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily produces an inflammatory response that can either promote or initiate cancer of the ovaries?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My  MS. O'DELL:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens?  A I'll have MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It's vague.  A I'm not aware of any There there these none of the studies that have been reviewed have been designed to answer the question of "if ever."  MS. BROWN: Q So, in your view, then, it's an open	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily produces an inflammatory response that can either promote or initiate cancer of the ovaries?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My  MS. O'DELL: Vague.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens?  A I'll have MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It's vague.  A I'm not aware of any There there these none of the studies that have been reviewed have been designed to answer the question of "if ever."  MS. BROWN: Q So, in your view, then, it's an open question about whether talc can be inside the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily produces an inflammatory response that can either promote or initiate cancer of the ovaries?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My  MS. O'DELL:  Vague.  A My comment was that the that any
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens?  A I'll have MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It's vague.  A I'm not aware of any There there these none of the studies that have been reviewed have been designed to answer the question of "if ever."  MS. BROWN: Q So, in your view, then, it's an open question about whether talc can be inside the body and not produce an inflammatory response.  MS. O'DELL: Object.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily produces an inflammatory response that can either promote or initiate cancer of the ovaries?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A No. My MS. O'DELL: Vague.  A My comment was that the that any exposure to talc, particularly the perineal
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens?  A I'll have MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It's vague.  A I'm not aware of any There there these none of the studies that have been reviewed have been designed to answer the question of "if ever."  MS. BROWN: Q So, in your view, then, it's an open question about whether talc can be inside the body and not produce an inflammatory response.  MS. O'DELL:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily produces an inflammatory response that can either promote or initiate cancer of the ovaries?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My  MS. O'DELL:  Vague.  A My comment was that the that any exposure to talc, particularly the perineal exposure to talc, has the potential to cause an
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens?  A I'll have MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It's vague.  A I'm not aware of any There there these none of the studies that have been reviewed have been designed to answer the question of "if ever."  MS. BROWN: Q So, in your view, then, it's an open question about whether talc can be inside the body and not produce an inflammatory response.  MS. O'DELL: Object.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily produces an inflammatory response that can either promote or initiate cancer of the ovaries?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My  MS. O'DELL:  Vague.  A My comment was that the that any exposure to talc, particularly the perineal exposure to talc, has the potential to cause an inflammatory reaction.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens?  A I'll have MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It's vague.  A I'm not aware of any There there these none of the studies that have been reviewed have been designed to answer the question of "if ever."  MS. BROWN: Q So, in your view, then, it's an open question about whether talc can be inside the body and not produce an inflammatory response.  MS. O'DELL: Object. MS. BROWN:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily produces an inflammatory response that can either promote or initiate cancer of the ovaries?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My  MS. O'DELL:  Vague.  A My comment was that the that any exposure to talc, particularly the perineal exposure to talc, has the potential to cause an inflammatory reaction.  I don't have any evidence that all of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens?  A I'll have MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It's vague.  A I'm not aware of any There there these none of the studies that have been reviewed have been designed to answer the question of "if ever."  MS. BROWN: Q So, in your view, then, it's an open question about whether talc can be inside the body and not produce an inflammatory response.  MS. O'DELL: Object. MS. BROWN: Q Is that fair?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily produces an inflammatory response that can either promote or initiate cancer of the ovaries?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A No. My MS. O'DELL: Vague.  A My comment was that the that any exposure to talc, particularly the perineal exposure to talc, has the potential to cause an inflammatory reaction.  I don't have any evidence that all of the studies that we've been reviewing are in
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens?  A I'll have MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It's vague.  A I'm not aware of any There there these none of the studies that have been reviewed have been designed to answer the question of "if ever."  MS. BROWN: Q So, in your view, then, it's an open question about whether talc can be inside the body and not produce an inflammatory response.  MS. O'DELL: Object.  MS. BROWN: Q Is that fair?  MS. O'DELL:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily produces an inflammatory response that can either promote or initiate cancer of the ovaries?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A No. My MS. O'DELL: Vague.  A My comment was that the that any exposure to talc, particularly the perineal exposure to talc, has the potential to cause an inflammatory reaction.  I don't have any evidence that all of the studies that we've been reviewing are in support are in support of that mechanism, but
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Have you made a determination about whether or not that always happens?  A I'll have MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It's vague.  A I'm not aware of any There there these none of the studies that have been reviewed have been designed to answer the question of "if ever."  MS. BROWN: Q So, in your view, then, it's an open question about whether talc can be inside the body and not produce an inflammatory response.  MS. O'DELL: Object.  MS. BROWN: Q Is that fair?  MS. O'DELL: Excuse me. Objection to form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I guess there's no.  Q And then my second question, Doctor, is is it your opinion that every time the human body is exposed to particles of talc, it necessarily produces an inflammatory response that can either promote or initiate cancer of the ovaries?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A No. My MS. O'DELL: Vague.  A My comment was that the that any exposure to talc, particularly the perineal exposure to talc, has the potential to cause an inflammatory reaction.  I don't have any evidence that all of the studies that we've been reviewing are in support are in support of that mechanism, but I don't know of a study that perhaps has been

Page 254		Page 256
MS. BROWN:	1	A In in terms of cancer, the
Q Do you think you need significant talc	2	epidemiology would suggest or I would say
	3	the the evidence in the literature is does
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	not allow that question to be answered, and the
MS. O'DELL:	5	reason being is when you look at the latency of
Objection to form.	6	the disease and the progression of the disease
A I wasn't asked to to provide	7	and the challenges in detecting it, there just
provide that opinion.	8	has not been enough time with the, perhaps, rigor
And, again, referring to the studies	9	of analysis that is undergoing now to make that
that have that were reviewed and included in	10	assessment of is it 100 percent of the time or is
the report, there is a relationship between	11	it something less than 100 percent of the time.
lifetime exposure and an increased risk in the	12	I think, statistically speaking,
epidemiology reports.	13	there the only data that that is available
But more detail on that in this	14	for review is is what is contained in some of
discussion, I would defer to the epidemiology	15	the meta-analysis and epidemiology studies
experts. But the there there does appear	16	showing a significant increased risk to ovarian
to be a more of a response based on more talc	17	cancer based on exposure to talc. And it
in the in the studies referenced.	18	would it would only be I think it would be
MS. BROWN:	19	inappropriate at this time to try to infer what
Q So on	20	percentage of time that would be indicative of
Do you have any reason to dispute the	21	for exposure.
findings of Heller here of talc in the ovaries	22	Q Have the plaintiffs' lawyers shared
without a foreign body reaction?	23	with you expert reports from their expert
MS. O'DELL:	24	pathologists who have looked at ovarian tissue of
Page 255		
	1	plaintiffs in this litigation, purported to find
_		talc with no foreign body reaction?
		MS. O'DELL:
<del></del>		Objection. There have been no
		case-specific pathology reports disclosed in the
Q Do you think it's possible, Doctor, for	6	ease specific pathology reports discressed in the
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		litigation we're here about today. And if
tale to enter the body and and be completely		litigation we're here about today. And if
tale to enter the body and and be completely inert and not cause any reaction?	7	there's something else you're talking about, you
inert and not cause any reaction?	7 8	there's something else you're talking about, you should be specific.
inert and not cause any reaction? MS. O'DELL:	7 8 9	there's something else you're talking about, you should be specific.  A The I don't recall a pathology
inert and not cause any reaction?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.	7 8	there's something else you're talking about, you should be specific.  A The I don't recall a pathology report. I've seen expert reports from
inert and not cause any reaction?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A So my the the mechanism I've	7 8 9 10	there's something else you're talking about, you should be specific.  A The I don't recall a pathology report. I've seen expert reports from epidemiologists, OB-GYN and and some and
inert and not cause any reaction?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A So my the the mechanism I've proposed is is based you know, based on the	7 8 9 10 11	there's something else you're talking about, you should be specific.  A The I don't recall a pathology report. I've seen expert reports from epidemiologists, OB-GYN and and some and other scientists. But I don't recall a specific
inert and not cause any reaction?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A So my the the mechanism I've proposed is is based you know, based on the literature, is that talc causes an inflammatory	7 8 9 10 11 12	there's something else you're talking about, you should be specific.  A The I don't recall a pathology report. I've seen expert reports from epidemiologists, OB-GYN and and some and
inert and not cause any reaction?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A So my the the mechanism I've proposed is is based you know, based on the literature, is that tale causes an inflammatory response and that inflammatory response is	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there's something else you're talking about, you should be specific.  A The I don't recall a pathology report. I've seen expert reports from epidemiologists, OB-GYN and and some and other scientists. But I don't recall a specific pathology report.  MS. BROWN:
inert and not cause any reaction?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A So my the the mechanism I've proposed is is based you know, based on the literature, is that talc causes an inflammatory	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there's something else you're talking about, you should be specific.  A The I don't recall a pathology report. I've seen expert reports from epidemiologists, OB-GYN and and some and other scientists. But I don't recall a specific pathology report.  MS. BROWN:
inert and not cause any reaction?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A So my the the mechanism I've proposed is is based you know, based on the literature, is that talc causes an inflammatory response and that inflammatory response is supportive of progression to ovarian cancer.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there's something else you're talking about, you should be specific.  A The I don't recall a pathology report. I've seen expert reports from epidemiologists, OB-GYN and and some and other scientists. But I don't recall a specific pathology report.  MS. BROWN:  Q If the biologically plausible mechanism
inert and not cause any reaction?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A So my the the mechanism I've proposed is is based you know, based on the literature, is that tale causes an inflammatory response and that inflammatory response is supportive of progression to ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there's something else you're talking about, you should be specific.  A The I don't recall a pathology report. I've seen expert reports from epidemiologists, OB-GYN and and some and other scientists. But I don't recall a specific pathology report.  MS. BROWN:  Q If the biologically plausible mechanism that you posit in your report is true, would you
inert and not cause any reaction?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A So my the the mechanism I've proposed is is based you know, based on the literature, is that tale causes an inflammatory response and that inflammatory response is supportive of progression to ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does that happen 100 percent of the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there's something else you're talking about, you should be specific.  A The I don't recall a pathology report. I've seen expert reports from epidemiologists, OB-GYN and and some and other scientists. But I don't recall a specific pathology report.  MS. BROWN:  Q If the biologically plausible mechanism that you posit in your report is true, would you expect that the pathology slides of women with
inert and not cause any reaction?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A So my the the mechanism I've proposed is is based you know, based on the literature, is that tale causes an inflammatory response and that inflammatory response is supportive of progression to ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does that happen 100 percent of the time?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there's something else you're talking about, you should be specific.  A The I don't recall a pathology report. I've seen expert reports from epidemiologists, OB-GYN and and some and other scientists. But I don't recall a specific pathology report.  MS. BROWN:  Q If the biologically plausible mechanism that you posit in your report is true, would you expect that the pathology slides of women with ovarian cancer who have used talc would evidence
inert and not cause any reaction?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A So my the the mechanism I've proposed is is based you know, based on the literature, is that tale causes an inflammatory response and that inflammatory response is supportive of progression to ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does that happen 100 percent of the time?  MS. O'DELL:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there's something else you're talking about, you should be specific.  A The I don't recall a pathology report. I've seen expert reports from epidemiologists, OB-GYN and and some and other scientists. But I don't recall a specific pathology report.  MS. BROWN:  Q If the biologically plausible mechanism that you posit in your report is true, would you expect that the pathology slides of women with ovarian cancer who have used talc would evidence talcum powder with a foreign body reaction?
inert and not cause any reaction?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A So my the the mechanism I've proposed is is based you know, based on the literature, is that talc causes an inflammatory response and that inflammatory response is supportive of progression to ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does that happen 100 percent of the time?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. In terms of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there's something else you're talking about, you should be specific.  A The I don't recall a pathology report. I've seen expert reports from epidemiologists, OB-GYN and and some and other scientists. But I don't recall a specific pathology report.  MS. BROWN:  Q If the biologically plausible mechanism that you posit in your report is true, would you expect that the pathology slides of women with ovarian cancer who have used talc would evidence talcum powder with a foreign body reaction?  MS. O'DELL:
inert and not cause any reaction?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A So my the the mechanism I've proposed is is based you know, based on the literature, is that tale causes an inflammatory response and that inflammatory response is supportive of progression to ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Does that happen 100 percent of the time?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. In terms of inflammatory response or in terms of cancer?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there's something else you're talking about, you should be specific.  A The I don't recall a pathology report. I've seen expert reports from epidemiologists, OB-GYN and and some and other scientists. But I don't recall a specific pathology report.  MS. BROWN:  Q If the biologically plausible mechanism that you posit in your report is true, would you expect that the pathology slides of women with ovarian cancer who have used talc would evidence talcum powder with a foreign body reaction?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. Incomplete
	Q Do you think you need significant tale accumulation in the human body to cause or promote ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A I wasn't asked to to provide provide that opinion. And, again, referring to the studies that have that were reviewed and included in the report, there is a relationship between lifetime exposure and an increased risk in the epidemiology reports. But more detail on that in this discussion, I would defer to the epidemiology experts. But the there there does appear to be a more of a response based on more talc in the in the studies referenced.  MS. BROWN: Q So on Do you have any reason to dispute the findings of Heller here of talc in the ovaries without a foreign body reaction?  MS. O'DELL:  Page 255 Objection.  A I guess my I have some I guess I have some concerns with some of the methodology as it relates to the detection of the  MS. BROWN:	Q Do you think you need significant talc accumulation in the human body to cause or promote ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  A I wasn't asked to to provide provide that opinion. And, again, referring to the studies that have that were reviewed and included in the report, there is a relationship between lifetime exposure and an increased risk in the epidemiology reports. But more detail on that in this discussion, I would defer to the epidemiology experts. But the there there does appear to be a more of a response based on more talc in the in the studies referenced. MS. BROWN: Q So on 20 Do you have any reason to dispute the findings of Heller here of talc in the ovaries without a foreign body reaction? MS. O'DELL:  Page 255 Objection. A I guess my I have some I guess I 2 have some concerns with some of the methodology as it relates to the detection of the

Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 258		Page 260
1	MS. BROWN:	1	mentioned some of the other subtypes and the
2	Q Well, would you expect to see some	2	common gene mutations that go along with them and
3	evidence of inflammation in the ovarian tissue of	3	as, again, supportive of the same mechanism. And
4	women who used talcum powder products?	4	I think, if anything, the the current data
5	MS. O'DELL:	5	would suggest a a higher prevalence of a
6	Object to the form. Incomplete	6	particular subtype of cancer but certainly not
7	hypothetical.	7	the the mechanism doesn't is not exclusive
8	A Overall, speaking to, as we were	8	to any one type.
9	discussing earlier, the potential for that	9	Q In your view, all types of epithelial
10	inflammatory response remains. But given the	10	ovarian cancer can be caused by inflammation?
11	heterogeneity in individuals, their overall	11	A No. That's that's not my statement.
12	health, their natural variation in the levels of	12	I would say all types of ovarian cancer are
13	activities of antioxidants, et cetera, I I	13	supported by an inflammatory response but that,
14	would state that I would expect a variety of	14	as from a causative perspective, that's not what
15	magnitude of response to a foreign body like talc	15	the mechanism is provided as an opinion as to
16	among the individuals exposed to it.	16	cause. It's more that the an inflammatory
17	MS. BROWN:	17	response plays a role in disease initiation
18	Q You'd expect to see something; right?	18	and/or progression.
19	MS. O'DELL:	19	Q In your view, Dr. Levy, it is
20	Object to the form.	20	biologically plausible for inflammation to cause
21	A No, not necessarily, because it it	21	all types of epithelial ovarian cancer; true?
22	very much depends on the timing that's that is	22	A Again, I'm not I've not been
23	observed, how what methodology is used to	23	speaking to inflammation as a causative as a
24	detect the presence of talc or detect the	24	cause of ovarian cancer. It is a factor in
24	detect the presence of tale of detect the	21	cause of ovarian cancer. It is a factor in
	Page 259		- 044
	rage 239		Page 261
1	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's,	1	in in disease progression.
1 2		1 2	
	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's,		in in disease progression.
2	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is	2	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your
2 3	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.	2 3	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic
2 3 4	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general	2 3 4	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that
2 3 4 5	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a	2 3 4 5	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always	2 3 4 5 6	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was stated earlier, any any exposure has the	2 3 4 5 6 7	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wasn't asked to provide a causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q Your opinion here is limited to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was stated earlier, any any exposure has the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wasn't asked to provide a causation.  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was stated earlier, any any exposure has the potential to cause that inflammatory response, and then the time, scale, and magnitude of that response is going to vary by person. Therefore,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wasn't asked to provide a causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q Your opinion here is limited to the potential for talcum powder products to produce inflammation; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was stated earlier, any any exposure has the potential to cause that inflammatory response, and then the time, scale, and magnitude of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wasn't asked to provide a causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q Your opinion here is limited to the potential for talcum powder products to produce inflammation; correct?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was stated earlier, any any exposure has the potential to cause that inflammatory response, and then the time, scale, and magnitude of that response is going to vary by person. Therefore,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wasn't asked to provide a causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q Your opinion here is limited to the potential for talcum powder products to produce inflammation; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was stated earlier, any any exposure has the potential to cause that inflammatory response, and then the time, scale, and magnitude of that response is going to vary by person. Therefore, I would expect there would be a variability in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wasn't asked to provide a causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q Your opinion here is limited to the potential for talcum powder products to produce inflammation; correct?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was stated earlier, any any exposure has the potential to cause that inflammatory response, and then the time, scale, and magnitude of that response is going to vary by person. Therefore, I would expect there would be a variability in individuals exposed to tale.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wasn't asked to provide a causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q Your opinion here is limited to the potential for talcum powder products to produce inflammation; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My so my opinion is a is a supported plausible biological mechanism by which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was stated earlier, any any exposure has the potential to cause that inflammatory response, and then the time, scale, and magnitude of that response is going to vary by person. Therefore, I would expect there would be a variability in individuals exposed to talc.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh. Is your opinion related to all the different histologic types of epithelial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wasn't asked to provide a causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q Your opinion here is limited to the potential for talcum powder products to produce inflammation; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My so my opinion is a is a supported plausible biological mechanism by which the exposure to talc can lead to ovarian cancer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was stated earlier, any any exposure has the potential to cause that inflammatory response, and then the time, scale, and magnitude of that response is going to vary by person. Therefore, I would expect there would be a variability in individuals exposed to talc.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh. Is your opinion related to all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wasn't asked to provide a causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q Your opinion here is limited to the potential for talcum powder products to produce inflammation; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My so my opinion is a is a supported plausible biological mechanism by which the exposure to talc can lead to ovarian cancer.  And, in my opinion, as supported in the in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was stated earlier, any any exposure has the potential to cause that inflammatory response, and then the time, scale, and magnitude of that response is going to vary by person. Therefore, I would expect there would be a variability in individuals exposed to talc.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh. Is your opinion related to all the different histologic types of epithelial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I wasn't asked to provide a causation.  MS. BROWN: Q Your opinion here is limited to the potential for talcum powder products to produce inflammation; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A No. My so my opinion is a is a supported plausible biological mechanism by which the exposure to talc can lead to ovarian cancer.  And, in my opinion, as supported in the in the report, that is through an inflammatory response.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was stated earlier, any any exposure has the potential to cause that inflammatory response, and then the time, scale, and magnitude of that response is going to vary by person. Therefore, I would expect there would be a variability in individuals exposed to talc.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh. Is your opinion related to all the different histologic types of epithelial ovarian cancer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wasn't asked to provide a causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q Your opinion here is limited to the potential for talcum powder products to produce inflammation; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My so my opinion is a is a supported plausible biological mechanism by which the exposure to talc can lead to ovarian cancer.  And, in my opinion, as supported in the in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was stated earlier, any any exposure has the potential to cause that inflammatory response, and then the time, scale, and magnitude of that response is going to vary by person. Therefore, I would expect there would be a variability in individuals exposed to talc.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh. Is your opinion related to all the different histologic types of epithelial ovarian cancer?  A My my opinion is not exclusive to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I wasn't asked to provide a causation.  MS. BROWN: Q Your opinion here is limited to the potential for talcum powder products to produce inflammation; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A No. My so my opinion is a is a supported plausible biological mechanism by which the exposure to talc can lead to ovarian cancer.  And, in my opinion, as supported in the in the report, that is through an inflammatory response.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was stated earlier, any any exposure has the potential to cause that inflammatory response, and then the time, scale, and magnitude of that response is going to vary by person. Therefore, I would expect there would be a variability in individuals exposed to tale.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh. Is your opinion related to all the different histologic types of epithelial ovarian cancer?  A My my opinion is not exclusive to any any one type. Certainly, the epithelial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wasn't asked to provide a causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q Your opinion here is limited to the potential for talcum powder products to produce inflammation; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My so my opinion is a is a supported plausible biological mechanism by which the exposure to talc can lead to ovarian cancer.  And, in my opinion, as supported in the in the report, that is through an inflammatory response.  MS. BROWN:  Q I must be missing you, Doctor. So are you of the opinion that inflammation can cause
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was stated earlier, any any exposure has the potential to cause that inflammatory response, and then the time, scale, and magnitude of that response is going to vary by person. Therefore, I would expect there would be a variability in individuals exposed to talc.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh. Is your opinion related to all the different histologic types of epithelial ovarian cancer?  A My my opinion is not exclusive to any any one type. Certainly, the epithelial serous being the more common and most virulent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wasn't asked to provide a causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q Your opinion here is limited to the potential for talcum powder products to produce inflammation; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My so my opinion is a is a supported plausible biological mechanism by which the exposure to talc can lead to ovarian cancer.  And, in my opinion, as supported in the in the report, that is through an inflammatory response.  MS. BROWN:  Q I must be missing you, Doctor. So are you of the opinion that inflammation can cause ovarian cancer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	presence of the inflammatory response, if it's, you know, done histopathologically, if it is based on a reactive oxygen species assay.  So given the speaking in general terms, I think it's just inappropriate to make a conclusion as to that, yes, you would always expect to see something.  I would again, to restate what was stated earlier, any any exposure has the potential to cause that inflammatory response, and then the time, scale, and magnitude of that response is going to vary by person. Therefore, I would expect there would be a variability in individuals exposed to talc.  MS. BROWN:  Q Uh-huh. Is your opinion related to all the different histologic types of epithelial ovarian cancer?  A My my opinion is not exclusive to any any one type. Certainly, the epithelial serous being the more common and most virulent type of cancers I think represents the most	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in in disease progression.  Q So when you conclude, as you do in your report, that talcum powder products cause chronic inflammation, you do not conclude that that chronic inflammation causes ovarian cancer?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wasn't asked to provide a causation.  MS. BROWN:  Q Your opinion here is limited to the potential for talcum powder products to produce inflammation; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A No. My so my opinion is a is a supported plausible biological mechanism by which the exposure to talc can lead to ovarian cancer.  And, in my opinion, as supported in the in the report, that is through an inflammatory response.  MS. BROWN:  Q I must be missing you, Doctor. So are you of the opinion that inflammation can cause

PageID: 204113 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 262		Page 264
1	a component of ovarian cancer.	1	Well, first, we're I want to be
2	Q Well, I'm not sure what you mean by	2	cautious with our use of the word "cause"
3	that. Can inflammation cause ovarian cancer?	3	and because that's, as we've been discussing,
4	MS. O'DELL:	4	this is a it is it is not controversial
5	Object to the form. Asked and	5	that ovarian cancer inflammation plays a role
6	answered.	6	in ovarian cancer and and, again, my opinion
7	A I'm asked I suppose again, the	7	is not towards causation.
8	opinion here is of a mechanistic opinion, not a	8	MS. BROWN:
9	causation. I would defer to some of the	9	Q Well, I mean, tumors themselves elicit
10	epidemiology experts to have opinions on	10	inflammatory responses; right?
11	causation.	11	A What so what specifically, what
12	MS. BROWN:	12	are you referring to?
13	Q You don't have an opinion on whether or	13	Q Well, you talk about tumor-activated
14	not inflammation can cause ovarian cancer?	14	macrophages in your report; right?
15	MS. O'DELL:	15	A Yes.
16	Different question.	16	Q There is an inflammatory response
17	A Correct. That's a	17	that's produced by the tumor itself; correct?
18	As we've been discussing, my opinions	18	A Yes. There are there
19	are that inflammation is a component of ovarian	19	there are absolutely cancer progression markers
20	cancer and can be attributed to aspects, not	20	that are associated with continued inflammation.
21	exclusively, but contributing to aspects of its	21	Q And that has nothing to do necessarily
22	initiation and aspects of its progression. But I	22	with the events that cause the cancer. Right?
23	did not say that ovarian cancer is caused by	23	MS. O'DELL:
24	inflammation.	24	Object to the form.
	Page 263		Page 265
1	MS. BROWN:	1	A Well, so the we we would be going
2	Q And what scientific support do you have	2	down a slightly different road. And if
3	for your opinion that inflammation is a component	3	we're so cancer as a complex disorder, you
4	of ovarian cancer and can be attributed to	4	know, begins with an initiating event. But there
5	aspects of ovarian cancer, including its	5	is there is absolutely tumor evolution from
6	initiation?	6	that initial event through the progression of the
7	A So, again, the synthesis of the of	7	disease.
8	the papers we've been discussing, including Saed	8	So to state that the in the initial
9	and others, showing the reactive oxygen species	9	inflammatory response to the tumor is is not
10	produced from talc. And, then, as far as	10	causative to the continuation of the disease I
11	inflammation and its role in cancer, there	11	think would be incorrect.
12	are and it's a fundamentally accepted aspect	12	MS. BROWN:
13	of cancer biology that's been around for for	13	Q The Penninkilampi authors to
1 1 /	quite some time. And we mentioned earlier that	14	conclude our discussion here concluded that
14	-	I -	
15	there's a variety of review articles, including	15	the paragraph you were looking at with the
15 16	there's a variety of review articles, including the ones we were comparing sentences to earlier	16	sentence "The potential mechanism by which
15 16 17	there's a variety of review articles, including the ones we were comparing sentences to earlier today, that describe that in great detail.	16 17	sentence "The potential mechanism by which genital talc is associated with an increased risk
15 16 17 18	there's a variety of review articles, including the ones we were comparing sentences to earlier today, that describe that in great detail.  Q It's not generally accepted, though,	16 17 18	sentence "The potential mechanism by which genital talc is associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer, hence, remains unclear," do
15 16 17 18 19	there's a variety of review articles, including the ones we were comparing sentences to earlier today, that describe that in great detail.  Q It's not generally accepted, though, that ovarian cancer is caused by inflammation.	16 17 18 19	sentence "The potential mechanism by which genital tale is associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer, hence, remains unclear," do you see that?
15 16 17 18 19 20	there's a variety of review articles, including the ones we were comparing sentences to earlier today, that describe that in great detail.  Q It's not generally accepted, though, that ovarian cancer is caused by inflammation.  Fair?	16 17 18 19 20	sentence "The potential mechanism by which genital talc is associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer, hence, remains unclear," do you see that?  A Yes.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there's a variety of review articles, including the ones we were comparing sentences to earlier today, that describe that in great detail.  Q It's not generally accepted, though, that ovarian cancer is caused by inflammation. Fair?  MS. O'DELL:	16 17 18 19 20 21	sentence "The potential mechanism by which genital talc is associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer, hence, remains unclear," do you see that?  A Yes.  Q And this meta-analysis was published in
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there's a variety of review articles, including the ones we were comparing sentences to earlier today, that describe that in great detail.  Q It's not generally accepted, though, that ovarian cancer is caused by inflammation. Fair?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sentence "The potential mechanism by which genital talc is associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer, hence, remains unclear," do you see that?  A Yes.  Q And this meta-analysis was published in January of 2018; correct?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there's a variety of review articles, including the ones we were comparing sentences to earlier today, that describe that in great detail.  Q It's not generally accepted, though, that ovarian cancer is caused by inflammation. Fair?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I think there's a number of studies	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sentence "The potential mechanism by which genital tale is associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer, hence, remains unclear," do you see that?  A Yes.  Q And this meta-analysis was published in January of 2018; correct?  A Correct.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there's a variety of review articles, including the ones we were comparing sentences to earlier today, that describe that in great detail.  Q It's not generally accepted, though, that ovarian cancer is caused by inflammation. Fair?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sentence "The potential mechanism by which genital talc is associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer, hence, remains unclear," do you see that?  A Yes.  Q And this meta-analysis was published in January of 2018; correct?

	Page 266		Page 268
1	majority of the plaintiff expert reports in this	1	available data that there is a biologically
2	litigation. Did you see that?	2	plausible mechanism surrounding and, indeed, in
3	MS. O'DELL:	3	the previous paragraph at the end of it where
4	Object to the form. If you know that.	4	they discuss use of or expression of
5	Don't speculate.	5	cyclooxygenase 1 and 2 as well as the action of
6	MS. BROWN:	6	NSAIDs, again, supportive of somewhat
7	Q That's why I asked "Did you see that?"	7	supportive of the inflammatory model. But
8	A So I didn't specifically look at if	8	MS. BROWN:
9	this was referenced. I I certainly referenced	9	Q Well, as it relates to the NSAIDs,
10	it. But I would also point out another important	10	Doctor, they point to the fact that the NSAID
11	part of the of this same reference, a about	11	data is inconsistent, at best, as evidence
12	halfway down the following paragraph, beginning	12	supportive of their conclusions that the
13	with "If chronic inflammation due to ascending	13	mechanism is unclear; right?
14	foreign bodies is indeed the mechanism by which	14	A No. They point to it as they
15	talc use is associated with ovarian cancer risks,	15	actually try to clarify that the the seemingly
16	then these results fit the picture."	16	contradictory data regarding the NSAID use can be
17	So I think the authors were both	17	explained by the relatively low expression of
18	describing some things that remain unclear but	18	cyclooxygenase 1 and cyclooxygenase 2, which are
19	also offering some comments that are supportive	19	the targets of most common NSAIDs.
20	of our earlier discussions today on this	20	Q What they say is that the use of
21	mechanism.	21	nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, NSAIDs, is
22	Q And your opinion here today, Doctor, is	22	not inversely associated with the incidence of
23	limited to the potential mechanism; right?	23	ovarian cancer as may be expected if the etiology
24	MS. O'DELL:	24	was related to chronic inflammation. Right?
	Page 267		Page 269
1	Object to the form.	1	MS. O'DELL:
2	A So my my opinion is is is	2	Objection to form.
3	regarding a biologically plausible mechanism.	3	A Yes, that statement is made. But,
4	But, then and, in doing so, have reviewed some	4	importantly, it is incomplete without the next
5	of these studies that we're discussing now.	5	sentence, again, explaining that that
6	MS. BROWN:	6	apparent that apparent question.
7	Q Good.	7	So if the if NSAIDs are not
8	And, as it relates to that potential	8	affective in avanian concerned the and in
			effective in ovarian cancer and the and, in
9	mechanism, these Penninkilampi authors conclude	9	turn and if the observation is also made that
10	mechanism, these Penninkilampi authors conclude that the potential mechanism remains unclear.	9 10	turn and if the observation is also made that ovarian cancer cells don't express cyclooxygenase
	mechanism, these Penninkilampi authors conclude that the potential mechanism remains unclear. Right?	9 10 11	turn and if the observation is also made that ovarian cancer cells don't express cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, then they would not they would be
10	mechanism, these Penninkilampi authors conclude that the potential mechanism remains unclear. Right?  MS. O'DELL:	9 10 11 12	turn and if the observation is also made that ovarian cancer cells don't express cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, then they would not they would be nonresponsive to NSAIDs.
10 11	mechanism, these Penninkilampi authors conclude that the potential mechanism remains unclear. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.	9 10 11	turn and if the observation is also made that ovarian cancer cells don't express cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, then they would not they would be nonresponsive to NSAIDs.  Q You state on page 12 of your report,
10 11 12	mechanism, these Penninkilampi authors conclude that the potential mechanism remains unclear. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They the article makes a statement,	9 10 11 12 13 14	turn and if the observation is also made that ovarian cancer cells don't express cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, then they would not they would be nonresponsive to NSAIDs.  Q You state on page 12 of your report, Doctor, in the last paragraph, the second-to-last
10 11 12 13 14 15	mechanism, these Penninkilampi authors conclude that the potential mechanism remains unclear. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They the article makes a statement,  "The potential mechanism by which genital talc is	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	turn and if the observation is also made that ovarian cancer cells don't express cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, then they would not they would be nonresponsive to NSAIDs.  Q You state on page 12 of your report, Doctor, in the last paragraph, the second-to-last sentence that begins "moreover," that the effect
10 11 12 13 14	mechanism, these Penninkilampi authors conclude that the potential mechanism remains unclear. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They the article makes a statement,  "The potential mechanism by which genital talc is associated with an increased risk of ovarian	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	turn and if the observation is also made that ovarian cancer cells don't express cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, then they would not they would be nonresponsive to NSAIDs.  Q You state on page 12 of your report, Doctor, in the last paragraph, the second-to-last sentence that begins "moreover," that the effect of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, NSAIDs,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	mechanism, these Penninkilampi authors conclude that the potential mechanism remains unclear. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They the article makes a statement,  "The potential mechanism by which genital talc is associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer, hence, remains unclear."	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	turn and if the observation is also made that ovarian cancer cells don't express cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, then they would not they would be nonresponsive to NSAIDs.  Q You state on page 12 of your report, Doctor, in the last paragraph, the second-to-last sentence that begins "moreover," that the effect of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, NSAIDs, to reduce the risk of ovarian cancer provides
10 11 12 13 14 15	mechanism, these Penninkilampi authors conclude that the potential mechanism remains unclear. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They the article makes a statement, "The potential mechanism by which genital talc is associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer, hence, remains unclear."  However, as we've been discussing, they	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	turn and if the observation is also made that ovarian cancer cells don't express cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, then they would not they would be nonresponsive to NSAIDs.  Q You state on page 12 of your report, Doctor, in the last paragraph, the second-to-last sentence that begins "moreover," that the effect of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, NSAIDs, to reduce the risk of ovarian cancer provides additional support for what you're discussing
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	mechanism, these Penninkilampi authors conclude that the potential mechanism remains unclear. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They the article makes a statement,  "The potential mechanism by which genital talc is associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer, hence, remains unclear."	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	turn and if the observation is also made that ovarian cancer cells don't express cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, then they would not they would be nonresponsive to NSAIDs.  Q You state on page 12 of your report, Doctor, in the last paragraph, the second-to-last sentence that begins "moreover," that the effect of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, NSAIDs, to reduce the risk of ovarian cancer provides additional support for what you're discussing here, which is that chronic inflammation plays a
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	mechanism, these Penninkilampi authors conclude that the potential mechanism remains unclear. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They the article makes a statement, "The potential mechanism by which genital talc is associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer, hence, remains unclear."  However, as we've been discussing, they	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	turn and if the observation is also made that ovarian cancer cells don't express cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, then they would not they would be nonresponsive to NSAIDs.  Q You state on page 12 of your report, Doctor, in the last paragraph, the second-to-last sentence that begins "moreover," that the effect of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, NSAIDs, to reduce the risk of ovarian cancer provides additional support for what you're discussing
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mechanism, these Penninkilampi authors conclude that the potential mechanism remains unclear. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They the article makes a statement,  "The potential mechanism by which genital talc is associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer, hence, remains unclear."  However, as we've been discussing, they go on to state, "If chronic inflammation due to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	turn and if the observation is also made that ovarian cancer cells don't express cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, then they would not they would be nonresponsive to NSAIDs.  Q You state on page 12 of your report, Doctor, in the last paragraph, the second-to-last sentence that begins "moreover," that the effect of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, NSAIDs, to reduce the risk of ovarian cancer provides additional support for what you're discussing here, which is that chronic inflammation plays a
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	mechanism, these Penninkilampi authors conclude that the potential mechanism remains unclear. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They the article makes a statement,  "The potential mechanism by which genital talc is associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer, hence, remains unclear."  However, as we've been discussing, they go on to state, "If chronic inflammation due to ascending foreign body is indeed the mechanism,"	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	turn and if the observation is also made that ovarian cancer cells don't express cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, then they would not they would be nonresponsive to NSAIDs.  Q You state on page 12 of your report, Doctor, in the last paragraph, the second-to-last sentence that begins "moreover," that the effect of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, NSAIDs, to reduce the risk of ovarian cancer provides additional support for what you're discussing here, which is that chronic inflammation plays a key role in the development of ovarian cancer.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	mechanism, these Penninkilampi authors conclude that the potential mechanism remains unclear. Right?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A They the article makes a statement,  "The potential mechanism by which genital talc is associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer, hence, remains unclear."  However, as we've been discussing, they go on to state, "If chronic inflammation due to ascending foreign body is indeed the mechanism," then there the results in this paper	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	turn and if the observation is also made that ovarian cancer cells don't express cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, then they would not they would be nonresponsive to NSAIDs.  Q You state on page 12 of your report, Doctor, in the last paragraph, the second-to-last sentence that begins "moreover," that the effect of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, NSAIDs, to reduce the risk of ovarian cancer provides additional support for what you're discussing here, which is that chronic inflammation plays a key role in the development of ovarian cancer.  Right?

	Page 270		Page 272
1	relates to NSAIDs; right?	1	statement.
2	MS. O'DELL:	2	And then there was, I think,
3	Object to the form.	3	importantly, the Lin 2011 paper is also relevant.
4	A Not not necessarily. So there's	4	Q Well, as it relates to the Merritt
5	getting back to the the specific cells under	5	paper, this cite is wrong; right?
6	question and the inflammatory response being	6	A I need a moment to
7	examined. And, so, if we are lowering overall	7	Q Let's look at what Merritt actually
8	chronic inflammation through the use of an NSAID	8	found about pelvic inflammatory disease.
9	is is one question. A separate question is is	9	If you look
10	a is a ovarian cancer cell responsive to	10	MS. O'DELL:
11	NSAIDs. So they're two separate biological	11	If you need a moment
12	phenomenon.	12	Excuse me. I'm sorry. I didn't mean
13	And, in one case, if those cells are	13	to interrupt you.
14	not expressing the cyclooxygenase 1 and 2,	14	If you need a moment to refresh
15	they'll be nonresponsive.	15	yourself, Dr. Levy, please do.
16	I would speculate that NSAID use in the	16	MS. BROWN:
17	rest of the body would still result in the	17	Q Sure. And if you when you're ready,
18	expected effect due to, you know, the due to	18	Doctor, I'll direct you to the second column on
19	the inhibition of cyclooxygenase 1 and 2.	19	page 174, and I want to talk about the last
20	So I don't think they're necessarily in	20	paragraph there that begins "if inflammation."
21	conflict with each other.	21	A Page?
22	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 20	22	Q And I'll read it into the record while
23	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	23	you orient yourself. It's page 174, right-hand
24	MS. BROWN:	24	column. Final paragraph states, "If inflammation
	Page 271		5 050
	rage 2/1		Page 273
1	Q Handing you what we've marked as	1	Page 273 plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer,
1 2		1 2	
	Q Handing you what we've marked as		plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer,
2	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a	2	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be
2	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic	2	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian
2 3 4	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the	2 3 4	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk
2 3 4 5	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do.	2 3 4 5	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several
2 3 4 5 6	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do. Q And, in fact, on page 12 of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q All right. So you cited this study for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do. Q And, in fact, on page 12 of your report, you cite this Merritt article. Correct? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q And you cite it for the proposition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q All right. So you cited this study for the proposition that studies have found a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do. Q And, in fact, on page 12 of your report, you cite this Merritt article. Correct? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q And you cite it for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q All right. So you cited this study for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do. Q And, in fact, on page 12 of your report, you cite this Merritt article. Correct? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q And you cite it for the proposition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q All right. So you cited this study for the proposition that studies have found a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do. Q And, in fact, on page 12 of your report, you cite this Merritt article. Correct? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q And you cite it for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q All right. So you cited this study for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do. Q And, in fact, on page 12 of your report, you cite this Merritt article. Correct? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q And you cite it for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between pelvic inflammatory disease and ovarian cancer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q All right. So you cited this study for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk. Right?  A No. I said I cited I said studies have found a relationship, yes, between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do. Q And, in fact, on page 12 of your report, you cite this Merritt article. Correct? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q And you cite it for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between pelvic inflammatory disease and ovarian cancer risk. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q All right. So you cited this study for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk. Right?  A No. I said I cited I said studies have found a relationship, yes, between PID and ovarian cancer risk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do. Q And, in fact, on page 12 of your report, you cite this Merritt article. Correct? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q And you cite it for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between pelvic inflammatory disease and ovarian cancer risk. Correct? A Correct. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q All right. So you cited this study for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk. Right?  A No. I said I cited I said studies have found a relationship, yes, between PID and ovarian cancer risk.  Q And, in fact, this study did not find a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do. Q And, in fact, on page 12 of your report, you cite this Merritt article. Correct? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q And you cite it for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between pelvic inflammatory disease and ovarian cancer risk. Correct? A Correct. MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q All right. So you cited this study for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk. Right?  A No. I said I cited I said studies have found a relationship, yes, between PID and ovarian cancer risk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do. Q And, in fact, on page 12 of your report, you cite this Merritt article. Correct? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q And you cite it for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between pelvic inflammatory disease and ovarian cancer risk. Correct? A Correct. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q All right. So you cited this study for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk. Right?  A No. I said I cited I said studies have found a relationship, yes, between PID and ovarian cancer risk.  Q And, in fact, this study did not find a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do. Q And, in fact, on page 12 of your report, you cite this Merritt article. Correct?  A Yes. Uh-huh. Q And you cite it for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between pelvic inflammatory disease and ovarian cancer risk. Correct?  A Correct.  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q All right. So you cited this study for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk. Right?  A No. I said I cited I said studies have found a relationship, yes, between PID and ovarian cancer risk.  Q And, in fact, this study did not find a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do. Q And, in fact, on page 12 of your report, you cite this Merritt article. Correct? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q And you cite it for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between pelvic inflammatory disease and ovarian cancer risk. Correct? A Correct. MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. MS. BROWN: Q And you point to Merritt when you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q All right. So you cited this study for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk. Right?  A No. I said I cited I said studies have found a relationship, yes, between PID and ovarian cancer risk.  Q And, in fact, this study did not find a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk. Right?  A I think this study found a I'm just looking at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do. Q And, in fact, on page 12 of your report, you cite this Merritt article. Correct?  A Yes. Uh-huh. Q And you cite it for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between pelvic inflammatory disease and ovarian cancer risk. Correct?  A Correct. MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. MS. BROWN: Q And you point to Merritt when you determine here as a finding of a relationship between pelvic inflammatory disease and ovarian cancer in support of your opinion that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q All right. So you cited this study for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk. Right?  A No. I said I cited I said studies have found a relationship, yes, between PID and ovarian cancer risk.  Q And, in fact, this study did not find a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk. Right?  A I think this study found a I'm just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do. Q And, in fact, on page 12 of your report, you cite this Merritt article. Correct? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q And you cite it for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between pelvic inflammatory disease and ovarian cancer risk. Correct? A Correct. MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. MS. BROWN: Q And you point to Merritt when you determine here as a finding of a relationship between pelvic inflammatory disease and ovarian	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q All right. So you cited this study for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk. Right?  A No. I said I cited I said studies have found a relationship, yes, between PID and ovarian cancer risk.  Q And, in fact, this study did not find a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk. Right?  A I think this study found a I'm just looking at the  So I'm sorry. Would you ask your question again? This this study did not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Handing you what we've marked as Defense Exhibit 20 to your deposition, this is a paper by Merritt entitled "Talcum Powder Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer."  Do you see that?  A I do. Q And, in fact, on page 12 of your report, you cite this Merritt article. Correct?  A Yes. Uh-huh. Q And you cite it for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between pelvic inflammatory disease and ovarian cancer risk. Correct?  A Correct. MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form. MS. BROWN: Q And you point to Merritt when you determine here as a finding of a relationship between pelvic inflammatory disease and ovarian cancer in support of your opinion that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	plays a role in the etiology of ovarian cancer, then it would be expected that PID would be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer. PID is not associated with elevated risk of ovarian tumors in our data, confirming several previous reports of no association with PID in studies of all subtypes of ovarian cancer."  Did I read that correctly?  A You did.  Q All right. So you cited this study for the proposition that studies have found a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk. Right?  A No. I said I cited I said studies have found a relationship, yes, between PID and ovarian cancer risk.  Q And, in fact, this study did not find a relationship between PID and ovarian cancer risk. Right?  A I think this study found a I'm just looking at the  So I'm sorry. Would you ask your

Page 274 Page 276 1 Yes, I ---1 quote, "We conclude that, on balance, chronic 2 Sure. I just -- you cited this study 2 inflammation does not play a major role in the 3 3 development of ovarian cancer." for the proposition that it showed there was a 4 relationship between pelvic inflammatory disease 4 Q Do you see that, Doctor? 5 and ovarian cancer risk, but, in fact, the study 5 I see that. Α 6 showed the opposite. Correct? 6 And what this study did was it 7 Well, to be clear on the wording, 7 endeavored to look into factors potentially 8 stated that the studies have found a 8 associated with ovarian inflammation to see if it 9 9 relationship. I didn't indicate whether it was could support the theory that chronic 10 positive or negative. 10 inflammation plays a role in ovarian cancer; But I think, importantly, the study 11 11 right? 12 also has an important paragraph that is probably 12 MS. O'DELL: 13 more related to its inclusion, which is on the 13 Object to the form. 14 same page we were just on, 174, second full 14 I would need to -- this one limitation 15 paragraph in the discussion. 15 of this particular paper is that it is connecting 16 16 One of the things on this page, inflammation as evidenced by pelvic inflammatory Q 17 17 disease and assuming that that source and type of Doctor -inflammation would be -- the fact that there's 18 MS. O'DELL: 18 19 Are you finished, Doctor? 19 not a direct association between -- or an 20 20 Α I think important to at least finish increased risk of ovarian cancer in the presence 21 of pelvic inflammatory disease; therefore, 21 22 That paragraph reads, "Focusing on talc 2.2 inflammation must not play a role in ovarian 23 use, we found that any use of perineal talc was 23 cancer. So that is their conclusions. 24 associated with a small but significantly 2.4 MS. BROWN: Page 275 Page 277 1 1 Well, they looked at a bunch of increased risk of ovarian cancer overall and 2 specifically amongst the invasive and LNP serous 2 different inflammatory conditions, didn't they? 3 3 That was the focus of the study. The authors tumors, although no clear dose response with 4 increase in duration of use was identified. This 4 endeavored to look at a number of different 5 5 finding is consistent with results of previous pro-inflammatory factors and see if they 6 studies." 6 influenced ovarian cancer. Do you recall 7 7 reviewing that? So in the case of the report and the 8 8 biologically plausible mechanism that's been I do. I think -- but, more 9 supported by these studies, these studies 9 importantly, when we look at the -- their 10 differentiating the process of pelvic 10 specific statements that are surrounding the 11 inflammatory disease doesn't ex- -- doesn't 11 mechanism we're discussing today, which has to do 12 exclude or refute the inflammatory role or the 12 with talc exposure and perineal talc use, I think 13 role inflammation may play in ovarian cancer. 13 their -- their statements in that sense, which 14 14 What this study concludes is that, on have already been read, quite stand on their own. 0 15 15 balance, chronic inflammation does not play a So what this may indicate is a variety 16 major role in the development of ovarian cancer. 16 of types of inflammation do -- as present in 17 17 Do you recall reviewing this in connection with other diseases, those individually do not or may 18 18 your opinions in this case? not have a specific role in the progression of 19 MS. O'DELL: 19 ovarian cancer. 20 Object to the form. Misstates the 20 But it does not -- again, it does not 21 21 mean that ovarian inflammation at the site of exhibit. 22 MS. BROWN: 22 talc exposure in the ovary can't have a role in 23 23 Counsel, I'll direct you to the last the progression of disease where -- again, as we 24 paragraph of the abstract on page 1 which reads, 24 were discussing earlier, with inflammation, we're

	Page 278		Page 280
1	now connecting independent biological processes.	1	couple times, and that's a 1.17 relative risk
2	And I think you're I want to be sure	2	that you're referring to. Is that right?
3	we're clear and not drawing the use of the word	3	A Where is that?
4	"chronic inflammation" as meaning any	4	Q I'm looking at in the abstract.
5	inflammation and, therefore, if it's not	5	A Yes.
6	associated with ovarian cancer, that inflammation	6	Q Right. And the confidence interval is
7	can't have a role.	7	1.01 to 1.36. Right?
8	What we're speaking about in terms of	8	A Correct.
9	this mechanism is inflammation caused by the	9	MS. O'DELL:
10	perineal use of talcum powder in the ovary and	10	As to what finding?
11	the and the to explain that increased risk	11	MS. BROWN:
12	of ovarian cancer, what is a plausible mechanism.	12	The one we're discussing.
13	Q The authors write, on page 74 174,	13	Q And, Doctor, you know that one a
14	Doctor, second column, paragraph that begins with	14	confidence interval that begins with one is not
15	"It has been hypothesized," "It has been	15	statistically significant?
16	hypothesized that talc is linked to ovarian	16	MS. O'DELL:
17	cancer development through inflammation," comma,	17	Object to the form.
18	"however evidence linking an inflammatory	18	MS. BROWN:
19	response with talc contamination of the ovaries	19	Q Did you know that?
20	is lacking."	20	MS. O'DELL:
21	Do you see that?	21	Object to the form.
22	A I do.	22	A Well, I would say the authors have
23	Q And you disagree with that statement?	23	stated in that abstract that it is statistically
24	A I would I would suggest that a	24	significant.
-	Page 279	1	Page 281
1	number of studies in the literature since the	1	MS. BROWN:
2	publication of this paper would would suggest	2	Q Sure, because it's 1.01. My question
3	that these conclusions may have been premature.	3	to you was do you know that a confidence interval
4	Q Do you think that, at the time this	4	that begins with one is not statistically
5	paper was published in 2008, that Merritt was	5	significant?
6	accurately representing the data as it related to	6	This finding, Doctor, is barely
7	whether chronic inflammation could play a role in	7	statistically significant, isn't it?
8	the development of ovarian cancer?	8	MS. O'DELL:
9	MS. O'DELL:	9	Object to the form.
10	Object to the form.	10	A Again again, it's a whether it's
11	A I would say that Merritt has an	11	barely or whether it's tremendously statistically
12	unresolved has a number of unresolved	12	significant, it it's still a finding that I
13	conclusions or partial conclusions in their	13	would say is in support of has been supported
	paper, again, including the paragraph we've	14	by other studies with similar relative risk
14	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
15	discussed where they comment on the talc use with	15	numbers in the in the 1.2 range and above, as
15 16	an increased risk of ovarian cancer.	16	indicated.
15 16 17	an increased risk of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:	16 17	indicated. MS. BROWN:
15 16 17 18	an increased risk of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Did you see the confidence interval on	16 17 18	indicated. MS. BROWN: Q Finally, Doctor, at the very the
15 16 17 18 19	an increased risk of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Did you see the confidence interval on that finding, Doctor?	16 17 18 19	indicated.  MS. BROWN:  Q Finally, Doctor, at the very the very last sentence of this Merritt study we're
15 16 17 18 19 20	an increased risk of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Did you see the confidence interval on that finding, Doctor?  A I'd have to in	16 17 18 19 20	indicated.  MS. BROWN:  Q Finally, Doctor, at the very the very last sentence of this Merritt study we're discussing, on page 175, concludes, "However,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	an increased risk of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Did you see the confidence interval on that finding, Doctor?  A I'd have to in  Is this in this paper or in the number	16 17 18 19 20 21	indicated. MS. BROWN: Q Finally, Doctor, at the very the very last sentence of this Merritt study we're discussing, on page 175, concludes, "However, experimental evidence that perineal talc use
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	an increased risk of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Did you see the confidence interval on that finding, Doctor?  A I'd have to in  Is this in this paper or in the number of the	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	indicated. MS. BROWN: Q Finally, Doctor, at the very the very last sentence of this Merritt study we're discussing, on page 175, concludes, "However, experimental evidence that perineal talc use elicits an inflammatory response in the ovaries
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	an increased risk of ovarian cancer.  MS. BROWN:  Q Did you see the confidence interval on that finding, Doctor?  A I'd have to in  Is this in this paper or in the number	16 17 18 19 20 21	indicated. MS. BROWN: Q Finally, Doctor, at the very the very last sentence of this Merritt study we're discussing, on page 175, concludes, "However, experimental evidence that perineal talc use

Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

Page 284 Page 282 1 development of ovarian cancer." 1 Again, the observations in this paper 2 And my question for you is what 2 are regarding chronic inflammation and its -- and 3 3 methodology did you employ to consider the its major role in the development of ovarian 4 findings of the Merritt paper in coming to your 4 cancer; and, again, in this -- in the specific 5 opinions contained in your report? 5 individuals that they've looked at, it's in 6 MS. O'DELL: 6 regards to pelvic inflammatory disease. 7 7 Object to the form. And, so, as far as weighting that 8 Again, as we've discussed earlier here 8 paper, it would be similar to other papers and 9 9 today, the -- there's been no singular paper that other observations in the sense that it was --10 had a specific role in -- in developing the 10 that the mechanism that is supported by a wide 11 biologically plausible mechanism contained in the 11 variety of work considers a history of -- history 12 report. And, so, this -- this paper, among many 12 of work in the tale, inflammation, and ovarian 13 13 others, was -- was used. cancer fields both in basic research and 14 MS. BROWN: 14 epidemiology to come up -- to come to the 15 Right. But the findings of this paper 15 conclusions and mechanisms that are proposed. 16 is that talcum powder doesn't produce an 16 I don't -- I can't give you a specific 17 17 inflammatory response that leads to cancer. weighting algorithm that was used on any -- any 18 Right? 18 given paper. 19 Α 19 MS. BROWN: The -- the findings of this paper was 20 that there's not an association of pelvic 20 Did you consider Merritt's finding that 21 inflammatory disease and risk of ovar- -- of 21 evidence linking an inflammatory response with 22 epithelial ovarian cancer. 22 talc of the ovaries is lacking? 23 They conclude that chronic inflammation 23 I certainly considered their -- I 24 doesn't play a role in the development of ovarian 24 considered their statements in the -- in the Page 285 Page 283 1 paper. And I would question the dichotomy of 1 cancer; right? 2 I think they've -- they've extended 2 the -- of some of their statements regarding talc 3 3 that observation regarding pelvic inflammatory risk to cancer. 4 disease to that conclusion. And the first question that would come 5 5 But I think the studies that have come to mind for this particular study is how they б 6 assessed talc-related inflammation in -after this and other -- certainly other areas of 7 7 review would suggest that those specific -- the specifically in the ovary. I don't recall seeing 8 8 how they made that assessment. wording of those specific statements may not be 9 the most appropriate representation of the -- of 9 It, instead, seemed to me that their the observations made in the -- in the Merritt 10 10 assessments were based on chronic inflammation as 11 11 it related to other biological conditions and 12 12 Q So did you weight the Merritt paper then extrapolating that to rate of ovarian 13 less than some other papers that came after it? 13 14 Or how did you --14 How do you think one should measure Q 15 What I'm trying to understand is your 15 talc-related inflammation in the ovary? methodology for considering this paper, which 16 16 MS. O'DELL: 17 17 seems to squarely conclude talc doesn't cause Object to the form. 18 18 Again, I wasn't asked to -- to provide inflammation. 19 MS. O'DELL: 19 that opinion. But I would reference the more 20 Object to the form. 20 recent Saed paper which -- and other molecular --21 21 I'm not -- so I would -- I would and other molecular studies and certainly defer Α 22 disagree that -- this paper does not make those 22 to Dr. Saed as an expert witness to discuss 23 conclusions that talc does not cause 23 appropriate measurements for talc-related 24 inflammation. What they --24 inflammation in the -- in the ovary or ovarian

	Page 286		Page 288
1	cells.	1	biologically plausible mechanism that was also
2	MS. BROWN:	2	peer-reviewed, and I would rely on or point you
3	Q Have you spoken with Dr. Saed?	3	to a number of other expert reports, particularly
4	A I have not.	4	in the epidemiology space from this case, where
5	Q Have you requested any information from	5	you'll find a great many parallels to to this
6	Dr. Saed?	6	case.
7	A No, I have not.	7	So I, instead, would state
8	Q Have you would you hold to the same	8	independently myself and other respected
9	opinion if you did not consider the work of	9	scientists have essentially developed the same
10	Dr. Saed?	10	opinions regarding mechanism in this in this
11	MS. O'DELL:	11	particular space.
12	Objection to form. Vague.	12	MS. BROWN:
13	A I the work of Dr. Saed is is a	13	Q Is there another plaintiffs' expert
14	consideration among the wide variety of other	14	that you're aware of who holds the same opinion
15	literature contained in here. And Dr. Saed's	15	as you do on biological plausibility?
16	work for in vitro analysis and the quantitation	16	A Yes.
17	of specific reactive oxygen species is is a	17	Q Who's that?
18	factor in and it is in support of the mechanism	18	A Patricia Moorman, who is an
19	that I've proposed, which is that that mechanism	19	epidemiologist whose report I had the opportunity
20	does not rely on that study or any singular study	20	to read yesterday.
21	for it to be valid.	21	Q Is there and and even though
22	MS. BROWN:	22	she's an epidemiologist, Dr. Moorman has a view
23	Q The mechanism you proposed, Doctor, is	23	on biological plausibility? Is that right?
24	not yet generally accepted in the scientific	24	MS. O'DELL:
	D 207		
	Page 287		Page 289
1		1	
1 2	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:	1 2	Object to the form.  A She has a view on
	community. Would you agree? MS. O'DELL:		Object to the form.  A She has a view on
2	community. Would you agree?	2	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on
2	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that	2 3	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the
2 3 4	community. Would you agree? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	2 3 4	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role
2 3 4 5	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I	2 3 4 5	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the
2 3 4 5 6	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.	2 3 4 5 6	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.
2 3 4 5 6 7	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that	2 3 4 5 6 7	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.  MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you consider your proposed mechanism
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.  MS. BROWN:  Q You haven't published the proposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you consider your proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report to be a novel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.  MS. BROWN:  Q You haven't published the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report. Is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN: Q Do you consider your proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report to be a novel concept in the scientific world?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.  MS. BROWN:  Q You haven't published the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report. Is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you consider your proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report to be a novel concept in the scientific world?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.  MS. BROWN:  Q You haven't published the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report. Is that right?  A That's right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you consider your proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report to be a novel concept in the scientific world?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.  MS. BROWN:  Q You haven't published the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q You haven't discussed the proposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN: Q Do you consider your proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report to be a novel concept in the scientific world?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Which part?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.  MS. BROWN:  Q You haven't published the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q You haven't discussed the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN: Q Do you consider your proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report to be a novel concept in the scientific world?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Which part?  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.  MS. BROWN:  Q You haven't published the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q You haven't discussed the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report with any of your colleagues at HudsonAlpha; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN: Q Do you consider your proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report to be a novel concept in the scientific world?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Which part?  MS. BROWN: Q Any part.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.  MS. BROWN:  Q You haven't published the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q You haven't discussed the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report with any of your colleagues at HudsonAlpha; correct?  A That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN: Q Do you consider your proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report to be a novel concept in the scientific world?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Which part?  MS. BROWN: Q Any part.  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.  MS. BROWN:  Q You haven't published the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q You haven't discussed the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report with any of your colleagues at HudsonAlpha; correct?  A That's correct.  Q So whether or not the proposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN: Q Do you consider your proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report to be a novel concept in the scientific world?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Which part?  MS. BROWN: Q Any part.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.  MS. BROWN:  Q You haven't published the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q You haven't discussed the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report with any of your colleagues at HudsonAlpha; correct?  A That's correct.  Q So whether or not the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN: Q Do you consider your proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report to be a novel concept in the scientific world?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Which part?  MS. BROWN: Q Any part.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Again, I my the what was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.  MS. BROWN:  Q You haven't published the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q You haven't discussed the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report with any of your colleagues at HudsonAlpha; correct?  A That's correct.  Q So whether or not the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report would be accepted by your peers in the scientific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN: Q Do you consider your proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report to be a novel concept in the scientific world?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Which part?  MS. BROWN: Q Any part.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Again, I my the what was requested of me was not to develop a novel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.  MS. BROWN:  Q You haven't published the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q You haven't discussed the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report with any of your colleagues at HudsonAlpha; correct?  A That's correct.  Q So whether or not the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report would be accepted by your peers in the scientific community, that's not something you have yet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN: Q Do you consider your proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report to be a novel concept in the scientific world?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Which part?  MS. BROWN: Q Any part.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Again, I my the what was requested of me was not to develop a novel concept or even to describe an untested
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.  MS. BROWN:  Q You haven't published the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q You haven't discussed the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report with any of your colleagues at HudsonAlpha; correct?  A That's correct.  Q So whether or not the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report would be accepted by your peers in the scientific community, that's not something you have yet evaluated; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN: Q Do you consider your proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report to be a novel concept in the scientific world?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Which part?  MS. BROWN: Q Any part.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Again, I my the what was requested of me was not to develop a novel concept or even to describe an untested hypothesis. What was requested of me was to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	community. Would you agree?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I wouldn't have a basis for that opinion. As as we talked about earlier, I haven't shared this mechanism to ask for that opinion.  MS. BROWN:  Q You haven't published the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report. Is that right?  A That's right.  Q You haven't discussed the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report with any of your colleagues at HudsonAlpha; correct?  A That's correct.  Q So whether or not the proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report would be accepted by your peers in the scientific community, that's not something you have yet evaluated; correct?  MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Object to the form.  A She has a view on In her report was a a view on mechanism on mechanism, which included the discussion of inflammatory response and its role in ovarian cancer, which parallels this report.  MS. BROWN: Q Do you consider your proposed mechanism that is the subject of your report to be a novel concept in the scientific world?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Which part?  MS. BROWN: Q Any part.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A Again, I my the what was requested of me was not to develop a novel concept or even to describe an untested hypothesis. What was requested of me was to review the available literature and provide a

Page 290 Page 292 1 what -- that's what my report provides. 1 for you here, Doctor, is, were -- was there any 2 MS. BROWN: 2 limitation placed on you that you relied on in 3 3 trying to develop your biologically plausible Q Do you think there could be other 4 biologically plausible mechanisms by which talcum 4 mechanism? 5 powder would be associated with ovarian cancer? 5 MS. O'DELL: 6 I haven't been asked to -- to make a 6 What's allowed -- you're well aware of 7 7 review related to other biological mechanisms. I this, counsel, I know -- that what's discoverable 8 was asked to develop a biologically plausible 8 is are there materials considered -- you can ask 9 9 him that -- was there assumptions that he was mechanism. And upon review of the totality of 10 10 the literature, this mechanism that -- that I've asked to make -- that's discoverable -- and the 11 presented and provided in the report is, in my 11 compensation. Those are the three things. Not 12 opinion, the correct mechanism. 12 conversations between counsel and Dr. Levy. 13 13 So --Did you have complete autonomy in your 14 task to develop a biologically plausible 14 MS. BROWN: 15 15 mechanism? Counsel, you can instruct or we'll get 16 16 the judge. We do not have time for your Α Yes. speeches. We're trying to finish up and let 17 Were there any limitations on how you 17 O 18 other people -- other people ask questions. 18 should go about developing this biologically 19 plausible limita- -- mechanism? 19 MS. O'DELL: 20 20 That's straight from the rules. You're MS. O'DELL: 21 well aware of that. 21 Object to the form of the question to 22 2.2 the degree that the question seeks --MS. BROWN: 23 MS. BROWN: 23 So here's the question. If you want to 24 instruct, we'll take a break and get the judge. 24 Form. Page 291 Page 293 Did you rely on any instruction from 1 MS. O'DELL: 1 2 No, no. If it goes to conversations 2 counsel regarding any limitations on how you were 3 with counsel, it is not form. It is 3 to attempt to develop your biologically plausible 4 attorney-client privilege and it's protected. 4 mechanism? 5 5 Work product privilege is protected. No. I was -- I was not provided --6 And, so, Dr. Levy --6 there were no --7 MS. BROWN: 7 I'm trying to make sure I answer to be 8 8 No. Counsel -correct. But my very simple and direct answer is MS. O'DELL: 9 9 the requests for the report were very succinct 10 Excuse me. Excuse me. I'm directing 10 and were given without limitation. 11 my witness based on privilege, and I can do that. 11 Did you try to develop any mechanism 12 To the degree that counsel is trying to 12 that you rejected in connection with your report? 13 seek the substance of discussions you had with 13 14 counsel, those are protected, and I direct you 14 Object to the form. Vague. 15 not to answer. 15 So I would best answer that by saying I 16 To the degree there's something in your 16 did not develop an initial mechanism and, 17 17 mind to respond that's not that, you may -- you instead, began a literature review looking at the 18 18 may respond. available literature in talcum powder 19 MS. BROWN: 19 inflammation in cancer, ovarian cancer, and then 20 And as -- as counsel well knows, 20 in related subjects, and then, through the course 21 because we've had this discussion earlier this 21 of that review, was able to synthesize the 22 week, the federal rules allow discovery of any 22 opinion that you have, that we've been 23 23 material you relied on in forming your opinions. discussing, in the report. 24 And, so, my answer here -- my question 24 MS. BROWN:

	Page 294		Page 296
1	Q Do you consider the biologically	1	mechanism, you mean other experts in this
2	plausible mechanism that is the subject of your	2	litigation?
3	report to be a hypothesis?	3	MS. O'DELL:
4	MS. O'DELL:	4	Object to the form. Misstates his
5	Object to the form. Asked and	5	testimony.
6	answered.	6	A Other other material the
7	A No, no. In fact, it is not. And	7	materials that I was that I was provided.
8	it's I think it's very fundamentally different	8	MS. BROWN:
9	than a hypothesis.	9	Q And those materials are in the form of
10	Because, again, to state, the	10	other expert reports like yours; right?
11	activities that were undertaken was a review of	11	MS. O'DELL:
12	the literature and then, based on that review, a	12	Object to the form.
13	mechanism that was biologically plausible. It is	13	A They are.
14	not hypothetical.	14	MS. BROWN:
15	MS. BROWN:	15	Q Are you aware of any nonlitigation
16	Q Have you tested your biologically	16	expert that has arrived at the same biologically
17	plausible mechanism?	17	plausible proposed mechanism as you?
18	MS. O'DELL:	18	MS. O'DELL:
19	Object to the form.	19	Object to the form.
20	A Tested in the sense of	20	A Well, I think yeah, in the sense
21	So I would I would answer that as	21	in the sense of the number of publications we've
22	in in my opinion, I would suggest that this	22	been discussing and some of the more recent both
23	has been tested based on following the completion	23	reviews and and Saed's paper, I suppose, as
24	of the report and reading other similarly derived	24	we've been discussing, Dr. Saed has been funded
	Page 295		Page 297
1	or similarly requested both literature, some of	1	for some of this work, but I would counter that
2	the publications that we've been discussing, as	1 2	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are
2	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've		for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally
2	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.	2 3 4	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and
2 3 4 5	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process,	2 3 4 5	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would
2 3 4 5 6	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a	2 3 4 5 6	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would
2 3 4 5	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this	2 3 4 5	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would
2 3 4 5 6	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other	2 3 4 5 6	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other scientists undertaking similar methodology came	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other scientists undertaking similar methodology came up with similar results.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware that the plaintiffs'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other scientists undertaking similar methodology came up with similar results.  And, so, therefore, I would say that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware that the plaintiffs' lawyers funded Dr. Saed's studies?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other scientists undertaking similar methodology came up with similar results.  And, so, therefore, I would say that this report is continues to be supported by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware that the plaintiffs' lawyers funded Dr. Saed's studies?  A I am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other scientists undertaking similar methodology came up with similar results.  And, so, therefore, I would say that this report is continues to be supported by independent reviews and content.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware that the plaintiffs' lawyers funded Dr. Saed's studies?  A I am.  Q How do you know that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other scientists undertaking similar methodology came up with similar results.  And, so, therefore, I would say that this report is continues to be supported by independent reviews and content.  MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware that the plaintiffs' lawyers funded Dr. Saed's studies?  A I am.  Q How do you know that?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other scientists undertaking similar methodology came up with similar results.  And, so, therefore, I would say that this report is continues to be supported by independent reviews and content.  MS. BROWN:  Q The other scientists that you just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware that the plaintiffs' lawyers funded Dr. Saed's studies?  A I am.  Q How do you know that?  MS. O'DELL:  Don't speculate. If you know it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other scientists undertaking similar methodology came up with similar results.  And, so, therefore, I would say that this report is continues to be supported by independent reviews and content.  MS. BROWN:  Q The other scientists that you just referenced are also paid experts for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware that the plaintiffs' lawyers funded Dr. Saed's studies?  A I am.  Q How do you know that?  MS. O'DELL:  Don't speculate. If you know it, testify to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other scientists undertaking similar methodology came up with similar results.  And, so, therefore, I would say that this report is continues to be supported by independent reviews and content.  MS. BROWN:  Q The other scientists that you just referenced are also paid experts for the plaintiffs; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware that the plaintiffs' lawyers funded Dr. Saed's studies?  A I am.  Q How do you know that?  MS. O'DELL:  Don't speculate. If you know it, testify to it.  A No. I'm thinking of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the publications that we've been discussing, as we'll as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other scientists undertaking similar methodology came up with similar results.  And, so, therefore, I would say that this report is continues to be supported by independent reviews and content.  MS. BROWN:  Q The other scientists that you just referenced are also paid experts for the plaintiffs; is that right?  MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware that the plaintiffs' lawyers funded Dr. Saed's studies?  A I am.  Q How do you know that?  MS. O'DELL:  Don't speculate. If you know it, testify to it.  A No. I'm thinking of  That was disclosed during the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the publications that we've been discussing, as we'll as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other scientists undertaking similar methodology came up with similar results.  And, so, therefore, I would say that this report is continues to be supported by independent reviews and content.  MS. BROWN:  Q The other scientists that you just referenced are also paid experts for the plaintiffs; is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware that the plaintiffs' lawyers funded Dr. Saed's studies?  A I am.  Q How do you know that?  MS. O'DELL:  Don't speculate. If you know it, testify to it.  A No. I'm thinking of  That was disclosed during the discussion of the of the paper, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other scientists undertaking similar methodology came up with similar results.  And, so, therefore, I would say that this report is continues to be supported by independent reviews and content.  MS. BROWN:  Q The other scientists that you just referenced are also paid experts for the plaintiffs; is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I don't have knowledge of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware that the plaintiffs' lawyers funded Dr. Saed's studies?  A I am.  Q How do you know that?  MS. O'DELL:  Don't speculate. If you know it, testify to it.  A No. I'm thinking of  That was disclosed during the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other scientists undertaking similar methodology came up with similar results.  And, so, therefore, I would say that this report is continues to be supported by independent reviews and content.  MS. BROWN:  Q The other scientists that you just referenced are also paid experts for the plaintiffs; is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I don't have knowledge of that specifically.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware that the plaintiffs' lawyers funded Dr. Saed's studies?  A I am.  Q How do you know that?  MS. O'DELL:  Don't speculate. If you know it, testify to it.  A No. I'm thinking of  That was disclosed during the discussion of the of the paper, and the question I asked and actually looked on the paper was to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the publications that we've been discussing, as we'l as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other scientists undertaking similar methodology came up with similar results.  And, so, therefore, I would say that this report is continues to be supported by independent reviews and content.  MS. BROWN:  Q The other scientists that you just referenced are also paid experts for the plaintiffs; is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I don't have knowledge of that specifically.  MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware that the plaintiffs' lawyers funded Dr. Saed's studies?  A I am.  Q How do you know that?  MS. O'DELL:  Don't speculate. If you know it, testify to it.  A No. I'm thinking of  That was disclosed during the discussion of the of the paper, and the question I asked and actually looked on the paper was to  And this this was getting to my own
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the publications that we've been discussing, as well as other expert reports that have, as we've just discussed, some parallel aspects.  So, from a formal scientific process, that is would not, I think, be considered a formal test. But from the perspective of this biologically plausible mechanism, other scientists undertaking similar methodology came up with similar results.  And, so, therefore, I would say that this report is continues to be supported by independent reviews and content.  MS. BROWN:  Q The other scientists that you just referenced are also paid experts for the plaintiffs; is that right?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I don't have knowledge of that specifically.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for some of this work, but I would counter that with sponsorship of of studies that are subsequently peer-reviewed, I think are generally held to a scientific standard and rigor, and would suggest that his most recent work would fall under that and and, therefore, I would not consider that in the same realm as an expert report.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware that the plaintiffs' lawyers funded Dr. Saed's studies?  A I am.  Q How do you know that?  MS. O'DELL:  Don't speculate. If you know it, testify to it.  A No. I'm thinking of  That was disclosed during the discussion of the of the paper, and the question I asked and actually looked on the paper was to

Page 298 Page 300 1 was whether or not Dr. Saed disclosed that 1 0 Why is it important, in your mind, to 2 relationship, which is, of course, ethically a 2 disclose funding for a study? 3 requirement for sponsored research. And, indeed, 3 Well, it's, you know, ethical premise 4 4 that sponsorship is made in the paper. of -- of most scientific research or really all 5 MS. BROWN: 5 extramurally funded research that the funding 6 6 Was it important to you -sources are -- are always disclosed. And that's 7 7 Did you ask Dr. Saed about the funding true for publication as well as presentation. 8 for his paper? 8 And, so, I think most -- most 9 I did not. As we -- as we discussed, I 9 scientists, during presentation, will present a Α 10 10 haven't spoken with him. slide that shows their -- their funning support 11 Were you troubled by the fact that 11 and all of its sources regard- -- whether it's 12 Dr. Saed's disclosure does not reference which 12 public or private. 13 side of the litigation he's working for? 13 And then you'll notice in vast majority 14 MS. O'DELL: 14 of publications, if they are grant supported, 15 again, whether that grant is from a public or a 15 Object to the form. 16 16 private institution, those things are referenced. Are you asking for my opinion on if it Α 17 17 And, in fact, the U.S. Government has a troubled me? 18 requirement that grants be referenced in their --18 MS. BROWN: 19 19 in any publications that were supported by that Q Yeah. 20 20 money. Α No. 21 Do you have any critiques of either of Q 21 It sounds like you did a little Saed's papers? 22 2.2 investigation and you were satisfied with the 23 No. Not at this time. 23 disclosure. Was that your testimony? Α 24 Do you have any questions or anything Q 24 MS. O'DELL: Page 299 Page 301 1 Object to the form. He didn't use the 1 that doesn't make sense to you, having reviewed 2 word "investigation." 2 the most recent one or the 2017 one? 3 I was satisfied seeing a disclosure 3 No. My focus, particularly on the most 4 made regarding funding, which, again, in the 4 recent one, I actually found his molecular 5 5 scientific climate I would -- or I would state studies to be quite comprehensive and --6 6 So there was -- there was no specific simply I viewed the support of that study which 7 subsequently goes out to peer review functionally 7 concerns that -- that I was able to identify. 8 equivalent to pharmaceutical support of a study 8 And, again, the -- in the -- in the version of 9 involving a drug or a condition or a treatment. 9 the paper that -- that I -- that I was given. 10 The reality of the scientific space 10 And did you have any opportunity to 11 is -- is -- is funding sponsorship comes from a 11 check to see if you had an earlier version of 12 variety of cases. And in each institution, 12 that paper? 13 HudsonAlpha certainly, I'm positive Wayne State 13 Oh, I -- I'll be sure and do that at Α 14 has a conflict of interest review board which 14 the next break. 15 15 Dr. Saed has to report to as far as the -- how he Okay. Why don't we go ahead and take a 16 manages that potential conflict of interest. And 16 break now. You'll take a look, if you wouldn't 17 17 given that he's at a reputable institution that mind, to see if you have something other than 18 I've actually done a fair amount of review work 18 what we've marked at the deposition. 19 with over the years, being Wayne State, I'm 19 I'm going to renew -- review my notes. 20 reasonably -- or I would say I'm quite confident 20 I'm close to finishing, and then I'll hand it 21 that his conflict of interest has been managed 21 over to my colleague, Mr. Ferguson, who I think 22 appropriately for the -- for the study that was 22 will have some questions for you as well. Okay, 23 reviewed. 23 Doctor? 24 MS. BROWN: 24 Α Uh-huh.

	Page 302		Page 304
1	Q Thank you, Doctor.	1	MS. BROWN:
2	VIDEOGRAPHER:	2	Q And if that's not the one you were
3	Going off the record. The time is 3:33	3	thinking of, Doctor, we can move on.
4	p.m.	4	A I was thinking Henderson 1971.
5	(OFF THE RECORD.)	5	Q And that's not an animal study; right?
6	VIDEOGRAPHER:	6	A Maybe this this isn't the same one,
7	We're back on the record. The time is	7	then. I can certainly find it at the end if
8	3:48 p.m.	8	The it was a 1971 study involving a
9	MS. BROWN:	9	rat model that the major point and conclusion of
10	Q Welcome back, Doctor.	10	the study was perhaps something that we've
11	Did you have an opportunity to take a	11	discussed that's been now well accepted that the
12	look if you had an earlier version of Dr. Saed's	12	tale can migrate, after exposure, into the
13	manuscript?	13	ovarian tissue.
14	A I did.	14	Q Are you aware of any study, Doctor,
15	I did not.	15	that talc on the exterior of a woman's vagina can
16	Q Okay. And, so, during this deposition,	16	migrate up the fallopian tubes to the ovary?
17	you've referred from time to time to Dr. Saed's	17	MS. O'DELL:
18	2018 paper. Is that right?	18	Object to the form.
19	A (Nods affirmatively.)	19	A I am not aware of a study that tested
20	MS. O'DELL:	20	that specifically.
21	Object to the form. Excuse me.	21	MS. BROWN:
22	MS. BROWN:	22	Q And did you consider, in connection
23	Q And you received that paper after you	23	with your opinions here, IARC's finding that the
24	authored your report in this case; right?	24	science regarding migration is, quote, "weak"?
	,, , ,		serence regarding inigration is, quote, weak.
	Page 303		Page 305
1	MS. O'DELL:	1	MS. O'DELL:
2	Object to the form.	2	Object to the form.
3	A So I was referring	3	A My my primary consideration of IARC
4	Yes. I I the manuscript we were	4	was their classification of the talc and the
5	discussing was received after the completion of	5	and the fibrous talc, and I don't recall their
6	this. But, as we discussed earlier, the	6	conclusions of the migration science being weak.
7	materials in the paper were presented in abstract	7	And, in fact, it appears, as stated by
_	6 1 1 6 1.1		
8	form or long abstract form, and those are	8	the FDA, that the the migration question is
9	form or long abstract form, and those are referenced in the report.	8 9	
	· ·		the FDA, that the the migration question is
9	referenced in the report.	9	the FDA, that the the migration question is is well resolved.
9 10	referenced in the report. MS. BROWN:	9 10	the FDA, that the the migration question is is well resolved.  MS. BROWN:
9 10 11	referenced in the report.  MS. BROWN:  Q And just to close the loop on one thing	9 10 11	the FDA, that the the migration question is is well resolved.  MS. BROWN:  Q Finally, Doctor, in connection with
9 10 11 12	referenced in the report.  MS. BROWN:  Q And just to close the loop on one thing before I hand it over to my colleague,	9 10 11 12	the FDA, that the the migration question is is well resolved.  MS. BROWN:  Q Finally, Doctor, in connection with your opinions in this case, did you consider
9 10 11 12 13	referenced in the report.  MS. BROWN:  Q And just to close the loop on one thing before I hand it over to my colleague,  Mr. Ferguson, you had referenced an animal study	9 10 11 12 13	the FDA, that the the migration question is is well resolved.  MS. BROWN:  Q Finally, Doctor, in connection with your opinions in this case, did you consider articles regarding whether stick lesions evidence
9 10 11 12 13 14	referenced in the report.  MS. BROWN:  Q And just to close the loop on one thing before I hand it over to my colleague,  Mr. Ferguson, you had referenced an animal study by Woodruff earlier in the day. Do you remember	9 10 11 12 13 14	the FDA, that the the migration question is is well resolved.  MS. BROWN:  Q Finally, Doctor, in connection with your opinions in this case, did you consider articles regarding whether stick lesions evidence inflammation?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	referenced in the report.  MS. BROWN:  Q And just to close the loop on one thing before I hand it over to my colleague,  Mr. Ferguson, you had referenced an animal study by Woodruff earlier in the day. Do you remember that?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the FDA, that the the migration question is is well resolved.  MS. BROWN:  Q Finally, Doctor, in connection with your opinions in this case, did you consider articles regarding whether stick lesions evidence inflammation?  A I'd have to review some of the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	referenced in the report.  MS. BROWN:  Q And just to close the loop on one thing before I hand it over to my colleague,  Mr. Ferguson, you had referenced an animal study by Woodruff earlier in the day. Do you remember that?  A Yes.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the FDA, that the the migration question is is well resolved.  MS. BROWN:  Q Finally, Doctor, in connection with your opinions in this case, did you consider articles regarding whether stick lesions evidence inflammation?  A I'd have to review some of the literature for stick lesions specifically. But that Can you what are you referring to by
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	referenced in the report.  MS. BROWN:  Q And just to close the loop on one thing before I hand it over to my colleague,  Mr. Ferguson, you had referenced an animal study by Woodruff earlier in the day. Do you remember that?  A Yes.  Q That paper doesn't have anything to do	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the FDA, that the the migration question is is well resolved.  MS. BROWN:  Q Finally, Doctor, in connection with your opinions in this case, did you consider articles regarding whether stick lesions evidence inflammation?  A I'd have to review some of the literature for stick lesions specifically. But that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	referenced in the report.  MS. BROWN:  Q And just to close the loop on one thing before I hand it over to my colleague,  Mr. Ferguson, you had referenced an animal study by Woodruff earlier in the day. Do you remember that?  A Yes.  Q That paper doesn't have anything to do with tale; right?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the FDA, that the the migration question is is well resolved.  MS. BROWN:  Q Finally, Doctor, in connection with your opinions in this case, did you consider articles regarding whether stick lesions evidence inflammation?  A I'd have to review some of the literature for stick lesions specifically. But that Can you what are you referring to by
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	referenced in the report.  MS. BROWN:  Q And just to close the loop on one thing before I hand it over to my colleague,  Mr. Ferguson, you had referenced an animal study by Woodruff earlier in the day. Do you remember that?  A Yes.  Q That paper doesn't have anything to do with tale; right?  MS. O'DELL:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the FDA, that the the migration question is is well resolved.  MS. BROWN:  Q Finally, Doctor, in connection with your opinions in this case, did you consider articles regarding whether stick lesions evidence inflammation?  A I'd have to review some of the literature for stick lesions specifically. But that  Can you what are you referring to by stick lesions?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	referenced in the report.  MS. BROWN:  Q And just to close the loop on one thing before I hand it over to my colleague,  Mr. Ferguson, you had referenced an animal study by Woodruff earlier in the day. Do you remember that?  A Yes.  Q That paper doesn't have anything to do with tale; right?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the FDA, that the the migration question is is well resolved.  MS. BROWN:  Q Finally, Doctor, in connection with your opinions in this case, did you consider articles regarding whether stick lesions evidence inflammation?  A I'd have to review some of the literature for stick lesions specifically. But that  Can you what are you referring to by stick lesions?  Q So do you understand that it's now
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	referenced in the report.  MS. BROWN:  Q And just to close the loop on one thing before I hand it over to my colleague,  Mr. Ferguson, you had referenced an animal study by Woodruff earlier in the day. Do you remember that?  A Yes.  Q That paper doesn't have anything to do with talc; right?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Let me	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the FDA, that the the migration question is is well resolved.  MS. BROWN:  Q Finally, Doctor, in connection with your opinions in this case, did you consider articles regarding whether stick lesions evidence inflammation?  A I'd have to review some of the literature for stick lesions specifically. But that  Can you what are you referring to by stick lesions?  Q So do you understand that it's now believed, in terms of the where ovarian cancer

	Page 306		Page 308
1	site of initiation, whether that it can begin	1	the Genomic Services Laboratory
2	in the fallopian tubes, yes, that there's been	2	Right? There's one of those at
3	studies that have shown that evidence.	3	HudsonAlpha; right?
4	Q And some of the early lesions that have	4	A There is.
5	been found in the fallopian tubes are sometimes	5	Q Do you perform services there such as
6	referred to as stick lesions. Are you familiar	6	running clinical samples to report results to
7	with that?	7	• • •
8	MS. O'DELL:	8	healthcare providers? Is that the kind of things you do?
9		9	·
10	Object to the form.  A I'm not.		,
11	MS. BROWN:	10 11	importantly, differentiate the regulated lab
12		12	versus the research laboratory, the Genomic
13	So you haven't looked at any studies that have looked at stick lesions that have been		Services Laboratory is a is a entity of
13 14	removed from women to see if there was any	13	HudsonAlpha that is responsible for research activities.
15	· ·	14	
16	evidence of inflammation? MS. O'DELL:	15	There is a separate wholly owned
		16	subsidiary of HudsonAlpha creatively named the
17	Object to the form.	17	Clinical Services Laboratory. So that laboratory
18	A That that I don't recall that as	18	is the laboratory that performs the testing. And
19	part of the review.	19	to hopefully not provide a level of confusion,
20	MS. BROWN:	20	but the two laboratories coexist in the same
21	Q Fair enough.	21	space. And what this means is I have staff and
22	No further questions. I'll hand it	22	equipment. Some is dedicated to clinical, some
23	over to Mr. Ferguson.	23	is dedicated to research, and some are shared
24	MR. FERGUSON:	24	between the two.
	Page 307		Page 309
1	TTI 1		
	I nank you.	1	So, in summary, the best way to
2	Thank you. EXAMINATION	1 2	So, in summary, the best way to consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical
	•		consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical
2	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON:	2	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.
2	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my	2	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research
2 3 4	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in	2 3 4	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic
2 3 4 5	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is?	2 3 4 5	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is
2 3 4 5 6	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company.	2 3 4 5 6	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.
2 3 4 5 6 7	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for	2 3 4 5 6 7	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for you. I apologize for my voice. I've kind of had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.  That lab has been CLIA-licensed now for going on five just past four years and has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for you. I apologize for my voice. I've kind of had my allergies and then going into a cold, so it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.  That lab has been CLIA-licensed now for going on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for you. I apologize for my voice. I've kind of had my allergies and then going into a cold, so it's kind of kind of stuffy. So I apologize.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.  That lab has been CLIA-licensed now for going on five just past four years and has been CAP-accredited for three and a half.  Q So is it the Clinical Services
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for you. I apologize for my voice. I've kind of had my allergies and then going into a cold, so it's kind of kind of stuffy. So I apologize. If you have trouble hearing me or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.  That lab has been CLIA-licensed now for going on five just past four years and has been CAP-accredited for three and a half.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for you. I apologize for my voice. I've kind of had my allergies and then going into a cold, so it's kind of kind of stuffy. So I apologize.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.  That lab has been CLIA-licensed now for going on five just past four years and has been CAP-accredited for three and a half.  Q So is it the Clinical Services Laboratory, then, that would perform services
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for you. I apologize for my voice. I've kind of had my allergies and then going into a cold, so it's kind of kind of stuffy. So I apologize. If you have trouble hearing me or understanding me, let me know. Okay? A Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.  That lab has been CLIA-licensed now for going on five just past four years and has been CAP-accredited for three and a half.  Q So is it the Clinical Services Laboratory, then, that would perform services like running clinical samples to get results to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for you. I apologize for my voice. I've kind of had my allergies and then going into a cold, so it's kind of kind of stuffy. So I apologize. If you have trouble hearing me or understanding me, let me know. Okay? A Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.  That lab has been CLIA-licensed now for going on five just past four years and has been CAP-accredited for three and a half.  Q So is it the Clinical Services Laboratory, then, that would perform services like running clinical samples to get results to healthcare providers?  A That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for you. I apologize for my voice. I've kind of had my allergies and then going into a cold, so it's kind of kind of stuffy. So I apologize. If you have trouble hearing me or understanding me, let me know. Okay? A Okay. Q And and just I know you've been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.  That lab has been CLIA-licensed now for going on five just past four years and has been CAP-accredited for three and a half.  Q So is it the Clinical Services Laboratory, then, that would perform services like running clinical samples to get results to healthcare providers?  A That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for you. I apologize for my voice. I've kind of had my allergies and then going into a cold, so it's kind of kind of stuffy. So I apologize.    If you have trouble hearing me or understanding me, let me know. Okay? A Okay. Q And and just I know you've been at this with Miss Brown for a little while, but if there's any question that you don't understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.  That lab has been CLIA-licensed now for going on five just past four years and has been CAP-accredited for three and a half.  Q So is it the Clinical Services Laboratory, then, that would perform services like running clinical samples to get results to healthcare providers?  A That's correct.  Q And and among those things that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for you. I apologize for my voice. I've kind of had my allergies and then going into a cold, so it's kind of kind of stuffy. So I apologize. If you have trouble hearing me or understanding me, let me know. Okay? A Okay. Q And and just I know you've been at this with Miss Brown for a little while, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.  That lab has been CLIA-licensed now for going on five just past four years and has been CAP-accredited for three and a half.  Q So is it the Clinical Services Laboratory, then, that would perform services like running clinical samples to get results to healthcare providers?  A That's correct.  Q And and among those things that the Clinical Services Laboratory does, is that restricted to whole genome sequencing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for you. I apologize for my voice. I've kind of had my allergies and then going into a cold, so it's kind of kind of stuffy. So I apologize. If you have trouble hearing me or understanding me, let me know. Okay? A Okay. Q And and just I know you've been at this with Miss Brown for a little while, but if there's any question that you don't understand that I'm asking you, just let me know, and I'll restate it so I can make sure that we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.  That lab has been CLIA-licensed now for going on five just past four years and has been CAP-accredited for three and a half.  Q So is it the Clinical Services Laboratory, then, that would perform services like running clinical samples to get results to healthcare providers?  A That's correct.  Q And and among those things that the Clinical Services Laboratory does, is that restricted to whole genome sequencing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for you. I apologize for my voice. I've kind of had my allergies and then going into a cold, so it's kind of kind of stuffy. So I apologize. If you have trouble hearing me or understanding me, let me know. Okay? A Okay. Q And and just I know you've been at this with Miss Brown for a little while, but if there's any question that you don't understand that I'm asking you, just let me know, and I'll restate it so I can make sure that we're communicating. Okay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.  That lab has been CLIA-licensed now for going on five just past four years and has been CAP-accredited for three and a half.  Q So is it the Clinical Services Laboratory, then, that would perform services like running clinical samples to get results to healthcare providers?  A That's correct.  Q And and among those things that the Clinical Services Laboratory does, is that restricted to whole genome sequencing?  A Our currently the only publicly disclosed and validated test for the Clinical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for you. I apologize for my voice. I've kind of had my allergies and then going into a cold, so it's kind of kind of stuffy. So I apologize. If you have trouble hearing me or understanding me, let me know. Okay? A Okay. Q And and just I know you've been at this with Miss Brown for a little while, but if there's any question that you don't understand that I'm asking you, just let me know, and I'll restate it so I can make sure that we're communicating. Okay? A Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.  That lab has been CLIA-licensed now for going on five just past four years and has been CAP-accredited for three and a half.  Q So is it the Clinical Services Laboratory, then, that would perform services like running clinical samples to get results to healthcare providers?  A That's correct.  Q And and among those things that the Clinical Services Laboratory does, is that restricted to whole genome sequencing?  A Our currently the only publicly disclosed and validated test for the Clinical Services Laboratory is whole genome sequencing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for you. I apologize for my voice. I've kind of had my allergies and then going into a cold, so it's kind of kind of stuffy. So I apologize. If you have trouble hearing me or understanding me, let me know. Okay? A Okay. Q And and just I know you've been at this with Miss Brown for a little while, but if there's any question that you don't understand that I'm asking you, just let me know, and I'll restate it so I can make sure that we're communicating. Okay? A Okay. Q I want to talk to you, first of all,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.  That lab has been CLIA-licensed now for going on five just past four years and has been CAP-accredited for three and a half.  Q So is it the Clinical Services Laboratory, then, that would perform services like running clinical samples to get results to healthcare providers?  A That's correct.  Q And and among those things that the Clinical Services Laboratory does, is that restricted to whole genome sequencing?  A Our currently the only publicly disclosed and validated test for the Clinical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON: Q Good afternoon, Dr. Levy. My my name is Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys in this matter. Do you know who Imerys is? A Only that they're a mining company. Q Okay. And I have some questions for you. I apologize for my voice. I've kind of had my allergies and then going into a cold, so it's kind of kind of stuffy. So I apologize. If you have trouble hearing me or understanding me, let me know. Okay? A Okay. Q And and just I know you've been at this with Miss Brown for a little while, but if there's any question that you don't understand that I'm asking you, just let me know, and I'll restate it so I can make sure that we're communicating. Okay? A Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	consider the laboratory is that it's a clinical regulated laboratory that also performs research.  Any projects under that research umbrella are referred to as being in the Genomic Services Laboratory. Anything clinical is referred to the Clinical Services Laboratory.  That lab has been CLIA-licensed now for going on five just past four years and has been CAP-accredited for three and a half.  Q So is it the Clinical Services Laboratory, then, that would perform services like running clinical samples to get results to healthcare providers?  A That's correct.  Q And and among those things that the Clinical Services Laboratory does, is that restricted to whole genome sequencing?  A Our currently the only publicly disclosed and validated test for the Clinical Services Laboratory is whole genome sequencing.  We have two other laboratory-developed

1	Page 310		Page 312
	trials, so they're not publicly available and to	1	of pre-reviews for tenure. There were no
2	date have not been publicly disclosed. They're	2	concerns with that progress. But, based on both
3	protected under confidentiality agreement.	3	funding as well as publication records, I wasn't
4	And the Clinical Services Laboratory	4	overly concerned with that.
5	this year will launch a number of other tests	5	But the opportunity to be able to do
6	that we have publicly disclosed. Those include	6	and the scale of operations at HudsonAlpha was
7	whole exome sequencing, an oncology panel known	7	was too good to turn down, as far as remaining at
8	as the TruSight Tumor 170, which profiles 170	8	Vanderbilt.
9	genes with that have been that have known	9	Q So you were neither granted tenure nor
10	involvement in cancer risk and progression, and	10	denied tenure. Is that fair to say?
11	as well as a 500 panel of similar form.	11	A That's fair to say.
12	Q So let me talk to you a little bit	12	I think the best evidence for the
13	about your prior position. You were at	13	relationship at Vanderbilt after my leaving was I
14	Vanderbilt University Medical Center; correct?	14	continued as an adjunct faculty in the same
15	A Correct.	15	department, again with change in title, for a
16	Q And you were an assistant professor?	16	number of years after joining HudsonAlpha. So it
17	Is that correct?	17	was a certainly, I wouldn't characterize it as
18	A The titles I held there was research	18	a negative departure from the institution. And I
19	assistant professor and then assistant professor,	19	still remain a collaborator with a number of
20	and then I was a associate professor as an	20	colleagues there.
21	adjunct faculty for a number of years after	21	Q Do you have a copy of your report in
22	joining HudsonAlpha. So I had to progress	22	front of you?
23	through a few of the academic ranks at	23	A I do.
24	Vanderbilt, but all of them in the professor	24	Q Okay. What I'm gonna do is I'm gonna
	Page 311		Page 313
1	realm.	1	try to go through, probably in in order,
2	Q As an assistant professor, were you	2	portions of your report that I want to ask about
3	appointed on a tenure track?	3	and try to make sure I don't cover things that
4	A Yes.	4	Miss Brown's already covered.
5	Q And do you know generally how many	5	•
5 6		5 6	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.
	Q And do you know generally how many years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made		Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.
6	years after appointment as an assistant professor	6	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.
6 7	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made	6 7	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2
6 7 8	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made in that department?	6 7 8	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2 on page 5, Acquired Somatic Gene Mutation.
6 7 8 9	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made in that department?  A It varies from probably five to nine.	6 7 8 9	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2 on page 5, Acquired Somatic Gene Mutation.  Do you see that?
6 7 8 9 10	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made in that department?  A It varies from probably five to nine.  Q Did you ever achieve tenure at	6 7 8 9 10	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2 on page 5, Acquired Somatic Gene Mutation.  Do you see that?  A I do.
6 7 8 9 10 11	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made in that department?  A It varies from probably five to nine.  Q Did you ever achieve tenure at Vanderbilt?	6 7 8 9 10 11	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2 on page 5, Acquired Somatic Gene Mutation.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And you say there that
6 7 8 9 10 11	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made in that department?  A It varies from probably five to nine.  Q Did you ever achieve tenure at Vanderbilt?  A Actually, I was up for tenure the year	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2 on page 5, Acquired Somatic Gene Mutation.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And you say there that I'm skipping the sentences. If you
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made in that department?  A It varies from probably five to nine.  Q Did you ever achieve tenure at Vanderbilt?  A Actually, I was up for tenure the year that I moved to HudsonAlpha.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2 on page 5, Acquired Somatic Gene Mutation.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And you say there that I'm skipping the sentences. If you need to go back, feel free.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made in that department?  A It varies from probably five to nine.  Q Did you ever achieve tenure at Vanderbilt?  A Actually, I was up for tenure the year that I moved to HudsonAlpha.  Q So	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2 on page 5, Acquired Somatic Gene Mutation.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And you say there that I'm skipping the sentences. If you need to go back, feel free.  "Biological and lifestyle exposures,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made in that department?  A It varies from probably five to nine.  Q Did you ever achieve tenure at Vanderbilt?  A Actually, I was up for tenure the year that I moved to HudsonAlpha.  Q So  A So, technically, I, which will sound	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2 on page 5, Acquired Somatic Gene Mutation.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And you say there that  I'm skipping the sentences. If you need to go back, feel free.  "Biological and lifestyle exposures, such as viruses, obesity, hormones and chronic
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made in that department?  A It varies from probably five to nine.  Q Did you ever achieve tenure at Vanderbilt?  A Actually, I was up for tenure the year that I moved to HudsonAlpha.  Q So  A So, technically, I, which will sound odd, I was promoted to associate professor upon	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2 on page 5, Acquired Somatic Gene Mutation.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And you say there that  I'm skipping the sentences. If you need to go back, feel free.  "Biological and lifestyle exposures, such as viruses, obesity, hormones and chronic inflammation, are also known to result in
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made in that department?  A It varies from probably five to nine.  Q Did you ever achieve tenure at Vanderbilt?  A Actually, I was up for tenure the year that I moved to HudsonAlpha.  Q So  A So, technically, I, which will sound odd, I was promoted to associate professor upon leaving.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2 on page 5, Acquired Somatic Gene Mutation.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And you say there that  I'm skipping the sentences. If you need to go back, feel free.  "Biological and lifestyle exposures, such as viruses, obesity, hormones and chronic inflammation, are also known to result in cancer-causing mutations."
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made in that department?  A It varies from probably five to nine.  Q Did you ever achieve tenure at Vanderbilt?  A Actually, I was up for tenure the year that I moved to HudsonAlpha.  Q So  A So, technically, I, which will sound odd, I was promoted to associate professor upon leaving.  Q Okay.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2 on page 5, Acquired Somatic Gene Mutation.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And you say there that  I'm skipping the sentences. If you need to go back, feel free.  "Biological and lifestyle exposures, such as viruses, obesity, hormones and chronic inflammation, are also known to result in cancer-causing mutations."  Right?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made in that department?  A It varies from probably five to nine.  Q Did you ever achieve tenure at Vanderbilt?  A Actually, I was up for tenure the year that I moved to HudsonAlpha.  Q So  A So, technically, I, which will sound odd, I was promoted to associate professor upon leaving.  Q Okay.  A In an adjunct role.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2 on page 5, Acquired Somatic Gene Mutation.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And you say there that  I'm skipping the sentences. If you need to go back, feel free.  "Biological and lifestyle exposures, such as viruses, obesity, hormones and chronic inflammation, are also known to result in cancer-causing mutations."  Right?  A I see that sentence.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made in that department?  A It varies from probably five to nine.  Q Did you ever achieve tenure at Vanderbilt?  A Actually, I was up for tenure the year that I moved to HudsonAlpha.  Q So  A So, technically, I, which will sound odd, I was promoted to associate professor upon leaving.  Q Okay.  A In an adjunct role.  Q So were you turned down for tenure	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2 on page 5, Acquired Somatic Gene Mutation.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And you say there that I'm skipping the sentences. If you need to go back, feel free.  "Biological and lifestyle exposures, such as viruses, obesity, hormones and chronic inflammation, are also known to result in cancer-causing mutations."  Right?  A I see that sentence.  Q Okay. Wouldn't you agree that the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made in that department?  A It varies from probably five to nine.  Q Did you ever achieve tenure at Vanderbilt?  A Actually, I was up for tenure the year that I moved to HudsonAlpha.  Q So  A So, technically, I, which will sound odd, I was promoted to associate professor upon leaving.  Q Okay.  A In an adjunct role.  Q So were you turned down for tenure or  A I was not. I never I the opportunity at HudsonAlpha predated the time that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2 on page 5, Acquired Somatic Gene Mutation.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And you say there that  I'm skipping the sentences. If you need to go back, feel free.  "Biological and lifestyle exposures, such as viruses, obesity, hormones and chronic inflammation, are also known to result in cancer-causing mutations."  Right?  A I see that sentence.  Q Okay. Wouldn't you agree that the association between obesity and cancer risk is
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	years after appointment as an assistant professor is a tenure decision at Vanderbilt typically made in that department?  A It varies from probably five to nine.  Q Did you ever achieve tenure at Vanderbilt?  A Actually, I was up for tenure the year that I moved to HudsonAlpha.  Q So  A So, technically, I, which will sound odd, I was promoted to associate professor upon leaving.  Q Okay.  A In an adjunct role.  Q So were you turned down for tenure or  A I was not. I never I the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Can you look at page 5 of your report?  A Yes.  Q So there and I'm looking at number 2 on page 5, Acquired Somatic Gene Mutation.  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q And you say there that  I'm skipping the sentences. If you need to go back, feel free.  "Biological and lifestyle exposures, such as viruses, obesity, hormones and chronic inflammation, are also known to result in cancer-causing mutations."  Right?  A I see that sentence.  Q Okay. Wouldn't you agree that the association between obesity and cancer risk is just that, an association and not a known

	Page 314		Page 316
1	Object to the form.	1	A It varies. So the the
2	A I would state that it is known that	2	"inflammatory response" is a bit general. So
3	cancer rates increase in a number of unhealthy	3	depending on specific type of cellular
4	conditions, including obesity. But I am not	4	recruitment and cellular damage through the
5	aware of a of any studies that have	5	release of cytokines, the release of oxidative
6	illustrated a causal effect directly between	6	damaging materials from cells like granulocytes,
7	obesity and cancer.	7	you know, or the even the cell's own
8	MR. FERGUSON:	8	production of reaction to reactive oxygen
9	Q And, specifically, isn't it true that	9	species, such as from the mitochondria, which is
10	there is no direct in vivo experimental evidence	10	the most common sync or most common source of
11	that obesity causes cancer-causing mutations?	11	reactive oxygen species in the cell.
12	A I would have to review the literature	12	And, so, those are some examples of
13	to before answering that question. But the	13	of that relationship between an inflammatory
14	relationship between obesity and cancer risk	14	response and that cellular reaction.
15	is is quite well established. And I think for	15	Q Reactive oxygen species are not the
16	us to discuss that in more detail, we'd have to	16	same thing as inflammation; correct?
17	start delving into some of the specifics around	17	A I would say reactive oxygen species are
18	the physiological changes related to obesity and	18	a hallmark of inflammation.
19	whether those specific physiological changes play	19	Q But they're not the same thing.
20	a role in cancer.	20	MS. O'DELL:
21	Q And, just below that, the last sentence	21	Object to the form.
22	in that paragraph, you say, "These mechanisms may	22	A The well, they are
23	be direct, such as radiation directly damaging	23	Again, reactive oxygen species are a
24	DNA, as well as indirect, such as an external	24	component of inflammation. So they're the
	Page 315		Page 317
1	agent causing a cellular cellular reaction or	1	words are two two different definitions, but
2	inflammatory response that then leads to DNA	2	they are a component.
3	damage or mutation."	3	MR. FERGUSON:
4	What cellular reactions are you	4	Q Would you agree that reactive oxygen
5	referring to that result in DNA damage or	5	species are a normal part of cell physiology?
6	mutation?	6	A Yes, absolutely.
7	A So the presence of reactive so a few	7	Q And the major source of reactive oxygen
8	different things. Primarily, along the	8	species comes from inside the cell and is
9	discussions for today, the presence of reactive	9	produced in mitochondria?
10	oxygen species which can directly which are a	10	A A source, and depending on the site of
11	cellular reaction that can then cause directly	11	the physiology. So a normal, healthy cell not
12	cause DNA damage.	12	under stress or injury would be then, yes,
13	There's protein oxidation effects that	13	that's a true statement.
14	are similar to that, in the sense that you have a	14	Under different physiological
15	chemical change and a cellular component that	15	conditions, that statement may not be true.
16	results in a in a protein activity change,	16	Q Can you distinguish reactive oxygen
17	again leading to potential DNA damage.	17	species produced inside a cell from reactive
18	And then you can have	18	oxygen species produced outside the cell?
1.0	So those are two two examples of	19	A What do you mean? So by by
19		1 20	"distinguish," you mean
20	cellular reactions to that.	20	
	cellular reactions to that.  Q And and maybe you just explained it,	21	Q Can you tell the difference?
20	Q And and maybe you just explained it, but I wanted to make sure I'm clear. What is the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20 21	Q And and maybe you just explained it,	21	Q Can you tell the difference?
20 21 22	Q And and maybe you just explained it, but I wanted to make sure I'm clear. What is the	21 22	Q Can you tell the difference? A I'm just thinking if there's a way to

PageID: 204127 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

		<u> </u>	
	Page 318		Page 320
1	exogenously introduced reactive oxygen species	1	would be very difficult.
2	and then compare that to the measurement of	2	MR. FERGUSON:
3	endogenously produced reactive oxygen species.	3	Q In your report, on this same page, you
4	But as far as determining the	4	discuss the fact that, even if someone has a
5	difference if the cellular integrity is not	5	genetic mutation that predisposes them to cancer
6	intact, I'm not aware of a method to do that.	6	doesn't mean that he or she is certain to get
7	Q Would you agree that generation of	7	cancer. Correct?
8	reactive oxygen species is an inevitable	8	A That is correct.
9	consequence of aging in aerobic organisms?	9	Q So there is a a random component to
10	MS. O'DELL:	10	the effects of known cancer-causing agents.
11	Object to the form.	11	Right?
12	A So reactive oxygen species are a	12	MS. O'DELL:
13	are present at all stages of life. And aging, as	13	Objection to form.
14	a biological phenomenon, is probably one of the	14	A There is a complicated relationship
15	most variable phenomenon that exists.	15	between genetics, environment, and expose or
16	And specific to reactive oxygen	16	environment, including exposure and lifestyle,
17	species, the diet, lifestyle, and genetics of	17	and the progression of cancer.
18	that individual will drastically change that.	18	Perhaps the a summary analogy is the
19	And a new area of research that my	19	more predisposing mutations that an individual
20	laboratory has been undertaking for a short	20	has, it's it's equivalent to their body is
21	time	21	rolling the dice more often to collect a mutation
22	And, so, I don't have specific	22	sufficient to cause cancer than somebody who does
23	publications, and it's really not I promise	23	not have the same genetic background.
24	it's not taking us too far afield.	24	And there's there's many, many lines
	- 212		201
	Page 319		Page 321
1	but is the concept of your annual	1	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is
1 2	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some	2	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in
2	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as	2	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.
2 3 4	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found	2 3 4	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:
2 3 4 5	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that	2 3 4 5	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the
2 3 4 5 6	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years	2 3 4 5 6	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain
2 3 4 5 6 7	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on,	2 3 4 5 6 7	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not important for this study.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not important for this study.  But the point I'm making is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not important for this study.  But the point I'm making is the discussion about level of reactive oxygen species	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A DNA so that, it's very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not important for this study.  But the point I'm making is the discussion about level of reactive oxygen species and its association with age is actually quite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A DNA so that, it's very gene-dependent. So BRCA1 and 2 is the example
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not important for this study.  But the point I'm making is the discussion about level of reactive oxygen species and its association with age is actually quite variable based on the long or based on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A DNA so that, it's very gene-dependent. So BRCA1 and 2 is the example given. That is correct, that if you have a BRCA1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not important for this study.  But the point I'm making is the discussion about level of reactive oxygen species and its association with age is actually quite variable based on the long or based on the current physiological activity of that person.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A DNA so that, it's very gene-dependent. So BRCA1 and 2 is the example given. That is correct, that if you have a BRCA1 and 1 or 2 mutation, you are not guaranteed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not important for this study.  But the point I'm making is the discussion about level of reactive oxygen species and its association with age is actually quite variable based on the long or based on the current physiological activity of that person.  Stated very simply, which is probably	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A DNA so that, it's very gene-dependent. So BRCA1 and 2 is the example given. That is correct, that if you have a BRCA1 and 1 or 2 mutation, you are not guaranteed to get cancer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not important for this study.  But the point I'm making is the discussion about level of reactive oxygen species and its association with age is actually quite variable based on the long or based on the current physiological activity of that person.  Stated very simply, which is probably something we all know, the better shape you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A DNA so that, it's very gene-dependent. So BRCA1 and 2 is the example given. That is correct, that if you have a BRCA1 and 1 or 2 mutation, you are not guaranteed to get cancer.  Corollary to that is if you do not have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not important for this study.  But the point I'm making is the discussion about level of reactive oxygen species and its association with age is actually quite variable based on the long or based on the current physiological activity of that person.  Stated very simply, which is probably something we all know, the better shape you're in, the younger your physiology will appear. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A DNA so that, it's very gene-dependent. So BRCA1 and 2 is the example given. That is correct, that if you have a BRCA1 and 1 or 2 mutation, you are not guaranteed to get cancer.  Corollary to that is if you do not have a BRCA1 and 2 mutation, your relative risk for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not important for this study.  But the point I'm making is the discussion about level of reactive oxygen species and its association with age is actually quite variable based on the long or based on the current physiological activity of that person.  Stated very simply, which is probably something we all know, the better shape you're in, the younger your physiology will appear. And you can actually modulate that quite quickly,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A DNA so that, it's very gene-dependent. So BRCA1 and 2 is the example given. That is correct, that if you have a BRCA1 and 1 or 2 mutation, you are not guaranteed to get cancer.  Corollary to that is if you do not have a BRCA1 and 2 mutation, your relative risk for canner does not change, meaning that you're at no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not important for this study.  But the point I'm making is the discussion about level of reactive oxygen species and its association with age is actually quite variable based on the long or based on the current physiological activity of that person.  Stated very simply, which is probably something we all know, the better shape you're in, the younger your physiology will appear. And you can actually modulate that quite quickly, meaning that a person who's 60 and has made poor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A DNA so that, it's very gene-dependent. So BRCA1 and 2 is the example given. That is correct, that if you have a BRCA1 and 1 or 2 mutation, you are not guaranteed to get cancer.  Corollary to that is if you do not have a BRCA1 and 2 mutation, your relative risk for canner does not change, meaning that you're at no less of a risk than somebody somebody else who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not important for this study.  But the point I'm making is the discussion about level of reactive oxygen species and its association with age is actually quite variable based on the long or based on the current physiological activity of that person.  Stated very simply, which is probably something we all know, the better shape you're in, the younger your physiology will appear. And you can actually modulate that quite quickly, meaning that a person who's 60 and has made poor lifestyle choices can actually gain back quite a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A DNA so that, it's very gene-dependent. So BRCA1 and 2 is the example given. That is correct, that if you have a BRCA1 and 1 or 2 mutation, you are not guaranteed to get cancer.  Corollary to that is if you do not have a BRCA1 and 2 mutation, your relative risk for canner does not change, meaning that you're at no less of a risk than somebody somebody else who doesn't have that mutation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not important for this study.  But the point I'm making is the discussion about level of reactive oxygen species and its association with age is actually quite variable based on the long or based on the current physiological activity of that person.  Stated very simply, which is probably something we all know, the better shape you're in, the younger your physiology will appear. And you can actually modulate that quite quickly, meaning that a person who's 60 and has made poor lifestyle choices can actually gain back quite a bit of that physiological age quite quickly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A DNA so that, it's very gene-dependent. So BRCA1 and 2 is the example given. That is correct, that if you have a BRCA1 and 1 or 2 mutation, you are not guaranteed to get cancer.  Corollary to that is if you do not have a BRCA1 and 2 mutation, your relative risk for canner does not change, meaning that you're at no less of a risk than somebody somebody else who doesn't have that mutation.  I should state that there are other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not important for this study.  But the point I'm making is the discussion about level of reactive oxygen species and its association with age is actually quite variable based on the long or based on the current physiological activity of that person.  Stated very simply, which is probably something we all know, the better shape you're in, the younger your physiology will appear. And you can actually modulate that quite quickly, meaning that a person who's 60 and has made poor lifestyle choices can actually gain back quite a bit of that physiological age quite quickly.  And so, again, to directly answer your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A DNA so that, it's very gene-dependent. So BRCA1 and 2 is the example given. That is correct, that if you have a BRCA1 and 1 or 2 mutation, you are not guaranteed to get cancer.  Corollary to that is if you do not have a BRCA1 and 2 mutation, your relative risk for canner does not change, meaning that you're at no less of a risk than somebody somebody else who doesn't have that mutation.  I should state that there are other genes. P53 is a good example that was mentioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but is the concept of your annual age versus biological age. And my lab has some assays that are based on epigenetics as well as some metabolomic markers. And what we found now, in very, again, preliminary data that individuals will vary by plus or minus 15 years from physiological age to annual age based on, again, a number of lifestyle factors not important for this study.  But the point I'm making is the discussion about level of reactive oxygen species and its association with age is actually quite variable based on the long or based on the current physiological activity of that person.  Stated very simply, which is probably something we all know, the better shape you're in, the younger your physiology will appear. And you can actually modulate that quite quickly, meaning that a person who's 60 and has made poor lifestyle choices can actually gain back quite a bit of that physiological age quite quickly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of evidence. Probably the most prominent is BRCA1 and 2 mutation and the role it plays in increased risk of breast and ovarian cancer.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Wouldn't you agree that even the inherited susceptibility cannot entirely explain this random component of some people getting cancer when exposed and some people not?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form.  A DNA so that, it's very gene-dependent. So BRCA1 and 2 is the example given. That is correct, that if you have a BRCA1 and 1 or 2 mutation, you are not guaranteed to get cancer.  Corollary to that is if you do not have a BRCA1 and 2 mutation, your relative risk for canner does not change, meaning that you're at no less of a risk than somebody somebody else who doesn't have that mutation.  I should state that there are other

Page 322 Page 324 1 you don't die from something else, is almost 1 And the point of my mentioning this is 2 certain, meaning that it's in the mid to high 90 2 to illustrate that an early predisposition to --3 3 percents if you -- if you live until a late age. or a significant predisposition to cancer that 4 MR. FERGUSON: 4 results in a early cancer event, those 5 Further down this paragraph, you 5 individuals show a lifetime increase in risk of 6 indicate that "An inherited gene mutation could 6 approximately -- they're -- they're approximately 7 instead make one more likely to develop cancer 7 six times, depending on the disease, to 13 times 8 when exposed to certain cancer-causing 8 more likely to get that -- to get a secondary 9 9 substances." disease. 10 Correct? That's your statement? 10 So there clearly is a relationship to 11 11 Α predisposition in -- in oncology -- or in rate of 12 Can you provide any examples in which a 12 Q cancer event. 13 woman with an inherited mutation in a particular 13 Okay. And I appreciate your response. 14 gene has been demonstrated to have more 14 But remember that my question was related to 15 sensitivity to developing ovarian cancer as a 15 ovarian cancer, and -- and we went a little 16 16 result of exposure to an environmental agent? afield from ovarian cancer. 17 Not for ovarian cancer specifically. I 17 And I want to ask you another question in that regard. Can you provide any example in 18 would need to review --18 19 19 which a woman with an inherited mutation in a There is a -- I've seen report of a 20 single gene related to ovarian cancer, which, 20 particular gene has been demonstrated to have 21 21 again, I would have to do a bit of searching to more sensitivity to developing ovarian cancer as 22 be sure I'm naming the correct gene, but I --22 a result of exposure to talcum powder? 23 where that has a much high- -- increased risk 23 MS. O'DELL: 24 specific to ovarian cancer, but I do not recall 24 Object to the form. Page 323 Page 325 1 if there was a measurement of any exogenous 1 Answer the question. 2 exposure risk that amplified that effect or not. 2 So the mechanism we proposed would be 3 3 independent of -- of that predisposition. But I But I think the -- as a general 4 premise, it is a -- well established in cancer 4 would have the opinion that an individual with 5 5 biology that any mu- -- any mutation that results any predisposition mutation, regardless of the 6 in a burden related to DNA repair, related to 6 gene but -- and -- in ovarian cancer, that they 7 cell cycle control, you are more susceptible to 7 would be a more fragile individual as -- when it 8 8 comes to this exposure under the mechanism that cancer. 9 In one of our lines of research where 9 we've been discussing today. 10 we do have some publications, in pediatric 10 MR. FERGUSON: 11 cancer, I would simply point to in approximately 11 Okay. And what I'm looking for is some 12 50 percent of adults who are survivors of 12 example or some literature in that regard. 13 childhood cancer will develop a second cancer 13 I would -- I would have to -- I would 14 14 event primarily because their -- the fact that have to look --15 they developed a childhood cancer generally means 15 Q Okay. 16 you are predisposed to that condition. 16 Α -- to see. 17 17 And -- and, as evidenced in the So what you've told me is that's your 18 observations we've done in the analysis of 18 opinion, but you don't have any references for it 19 thousands of patients in collaboration with 19 as you sit here? 20 St. Jude and the children's oncology group, we've 20 MS. O'DELL: 21 identified now a ability to do genetic counseling 21 Objection to form. 22 in those individuals and predict with very high 22 So my -- what was -- I was requested to 23 accuracy what their secondary cancer is likely to 23 provide this biologically plausible mechanism, 24 be. 24 and part of that request was not necessarily

	Page 326		Page 328
1	include the influence on that mechanism that	1	further and you have a sentence that starts
2	specific gene mutations or inherited risks may	2	"epithelial ovarian cancer." Correct?
3	have within relation to ovarian cancer.	3	MS. O'DELL:
4	So I'd certainly be delighted to pause	4	On page 6 there?
5	for a moment and take you know, and and	5	MR. FERGUSON:
6	work on that give you that see if I can	6	Yeah. I apologize. Yeah, it is.
7	give you that specific example.	7	A Yep.
8	MR. FERGUSON:	8	MR. FERGUSON:
9	Q But you can't as you sit here?	9	Q It's on page 6. It's the, I believe,
10	A I cannot.	10	the last sentence of the partial paragraph at the
11	Q Okay. So let's look at further down	11	top of 6. See it?
12	on page 5, you have a section entitled "The Role	12	A I do.
13	of Genetics in Ovarian Cancer." Correct?	13	Q Okay. And you say, "Epithelial ovarian
14	A Correct.	14	cancer (EOC) includes most malignant ovarian
15	Q And I want to look at a reference that	15	neoplasms" you cite Chan, 2006 "that can be
16	you you have cited. And let me mark this as	16	classified based on morphologic and molecular
17	an exhibit, please. I guess I can mark it.	17	genetic features into the following types:
18	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 21	18	Serous" and, in parentheses, "(OSC) low and
19	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	19	high grade); endometrioid (EC), clear cell,
20	MR. FERGUSON:	20	(OCCC), and mucinous (MC) carcinomas."
21	Q Exhibit 21 is the Nunes article. Have	21	Correct?
22	you seen that?	22	A Correct.
23	A I have, yes.	23	Q Okay. And then if we look back at page
24	Q Okay. So if we look at page 5, at top	24	2 of Nunes, in the second sentence of the first
	Page 327		Page 329
1	of the page, you indicate that ovarian cancer is	1	paragraph under "Ovarian Cancer, an Overview,"
2	the major cause of death from gynecologic disease	2	the nearly identical sentence appears there.
3	1.4 1		
	and the second most common gynecologic malignancy	3	Correct?
4	and the second most common gynecologic malignancy worldwide; correct?	3 4	* **
	<i>a, a a</i> .	1	Correct?
4	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes	4	Correct? MS. O'DELL:
4 5	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as	4 5	Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and
4 5 6 7 8	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?	4 5 6	Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.
4 5 6 7	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?  A Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9	Correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.  MR. FERGUSON:
4 5 6 7 8	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?  A Yes.  Q If we look at page 2 of the Nunes	4 5 6 7 8 9	Correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.  MR. FERGUSON: Q With almost the same wording.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?  A Yes.  Q If we look at page 2 of the Nunes article, the exact same sentence appears on at	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q With almost the same wording.  MS. O'DELL:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?  A Yes.  Q If we look at page 2 of the Nunes article, the exact same sentence appears on at the bottom of page 2 under the heading of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.  MR. FERGUSON: Q With almost the same wording.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?  A Yes.  Q If we look at page 2 of the Nunes article, the exact same sentence appears on at the bottom of page 2 under the heading of "Ovarian Cancer, an Overview"; correct?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.  MR. FERGUSON: Q With almost the same wording.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A They have similar wording.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?  A Yes.  Q If we look at page 2 of the Nunes article, the exact same sentence appears on at the bottom of page 2 under the heading of "Ovarian Cancer, an Overview"; correct?  A Correct.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.  MR. FERGUSON: Q With almost the same wording.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A They have similar wording.  MR. FERGUSON:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?  A Yes.  Q If we look at page 2 of the Nunes article, the exact same sentence appears on at the bottom of page 2 under the heading of "Ovarian Cancer, an Overview"; correct?  A Correct.  Q Right.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.  MR. FERGUSON: Q With almost the same wording.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A They have similar wording.  MR. FERGUSON: Q Remarkably similar; correct?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?  A Yes.  Q If we look at page 2 of the Nunes article, the exact same sentence appears on at the bottom of page 2 under the heading of "Ovarian Cancer, an Overview"; correct?  A Correct.  Q Right.  A That's correct.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.  MR. FERGUSON: Q With almost the same wording.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A They have similar wording.  MR. FERGUSON: Q Remarkably similar; correct?  MS. O'DELL:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?  A Yes.  Q If we look at page 2 of the Nunes article, the exact same sentence appears on at the bottom of page 2 under the heading of "Ovarian Cancer, an Overview"; correct?  A Correct.  Q Right.  A That's correct.  Q Okay. And it's	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.  MR. FERGUSON: Q With almost the same wording.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A They have similar wording.  MR. FERGUSON: Q Remarkably similar; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?  A Yes.  Q If we look at page 2 of the Nunes article, the exact same sentence appears on at the bottom of page 2 under the heading of "Ovarian Cancer, an Overview"; correct?  A Correct.  Q Right.  A That's correct.  Q Okay. And it's  A It's not quite the same sentence, given	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.  MR. FERGUSON: Q With almost the same wording.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A They have similar wording.  MR. FERGUSON: Q Remarkably similar; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I wouldn't call it so they
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?  A Yes.  Q If we look at page 2 of the Nunes article, the exact same sentence appears on at the bottom of page 2 under the heading of "Ovarian Cancer, an Overview"; correct?  A Correct.  Q Right.  A That's correct.  Q Okay. And it's  A It's not quite the same sentence, given that it's the same initial statement, not an	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.  MR. FERGUSON: Q With almost the same wording.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A They have similar wording.  MR. FERGUSON: Q Remarkably similar; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I wouldn't call it so they Again, we're stating fundamental basic
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?  A Yes.  Q If we look at page 2 of the Nunes article, the exact same sentence appears on at the bottom of page 2 under the heading of "Ovarian Cancer, an Overview"; correct?  A Correct.  Q Right.  A That's correct.  Q Okay. And it's  A It's not quite the same sentence, given that it's the same initial statement, not an identical sentence.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.  MR. FERGUSON: Q With almost the same wording.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A They have similar wording.  MR. FERGUSON: Q Remarkably similar; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I wouldn't call it so they Again, we're stating fundamental basic facts around histological type and following a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?  A Yes.  Q If we look at page 2 of the Nunes article, the exact same sentence appears on at the bottom of page 2 under the heading of "Ovarian Cancer, an Overview"; correct?  A Correct.  Q Right.  A That's correct.  Q Okay. And it's  A It's not quite the same sentence, given that it's the same initial statement, not an identical sentence.  Q Very close to identical?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.  MR. FERGUSON: Q With almost the same wording.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A They have similar wording.  MR. FERGUSON: Q Remarkably similar; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I wouldn't call it so they Again, we're stating fundamental basic facts around histological type and following a number of, again, factual observations for what
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?  A Yes.  Q If we look at page 2 of the Nunes article, the exact same sentence appears on at the bottom of page 2 under the heading of "Ovarian Cancer, an Overview"; correct?  A Correct.  Q Right.  A That's correct.  Q Okay. And it's  A It's not quite the same sentence, given that it's the same initial statement, not an identical sentence.  Q Very close to identical?  A Well, they they both they both	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.  MR. FERGUSON: Q With almost the same wording.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A They have similar wording.  MR. FERGUSON: Q Remarkably similar; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I wouldn't call it so they Again, we're stating fundamental basic facts around histological type and following a number of, again, factual observations for what the state of the art for genetic knowledge
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	worldwide; correct?  A Correct.  Q And then in your report you cite Nunes and Serpa, the article we've just marked as Exhibit 21, as well as Siegel and Torre; correct?  A Yes.  Q If we look at page 2 of the Nunes article, the exact same sentence appears on at the bottom of page 2 under the heading of "Ovarian Cancer, an Overview"; correct?  A Correct.  Q Right.  A That's correct.  Q Okay. And it's  A It's not quite the same sentence, given that it's the same initial statement, not an identical sentence.  Q Very close to identical?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A The two sentences stating the same fundamental facts regarding ovarian cancer and the histological types are yes, I agree.  MR. FERGUSON: Q With almost the same wording.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A They have similar wording.  MR. FERGUSON: Q Remarkably similar; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I wouldn't call it so they Again, we're stating fundamental basic facts around histological type and following a number of, again, factual observations for what

	Page 332
1	MS. O'DELL:
2	I'm sorry.
3	MR. FERGUSON:
4	Q on page 2.
5	A Yes.
6	MR. FERGUSON:
7	Sorry. Leigh, it's on page the
8	bottom of page 2.
9	MS. O'DELL:
10	Oh, I'm there. When you said the top,
11	I got
12	MR. FERGUSON:
13	No worries. That's my mistake.
14	Q Okay. It says "EC subtypes," and then
15	it goes to mucin-coding genes on the top of page
16	3. Correct?
17	A Correct.
18	Q Again, that paragraph is nearly
19	identical to the one in your report. Correct?
20	MS. O'DELL:
21	Object to the form.
22	MR. FERGUSON:
23	Q Same word, same order, same citations;
24	correct?
	Page 333
1	MS. O'DELL:
2	Object to the form.
3	A So my my report is similar to the
4	review article. It it's listing the subtypes
5	of ovarian cancer and based on the Nunes
6	paper, which is a 2018 publication, so a more
7	current review. I'm, again, providing that
7 8	
_	current review. I'm, again, providing that
8	current review. I'm, again, providing that referenced information about the the this
8 9	current review. I'm, again, providing that referenced information about the the this observation.
8 9 10	current review. I'm, again, providing that referenced information about the the this observation.  Q You're citing the same references as
8 9 10 11	current review. I'm, again, providing that referenced information about the the this observation.  Q You're citing the same references as Nunes; correct?
8 9 10 11 12	current review. I'm, again, providing that referenced information about the the this observation.  Q You're citing the same references as Nunes; correct?  A Yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13	current review. I'm, again, providing that referenced information about the the this observation.  Q You're citing the same references as Nunes; correct?  A Yes.  Q You cite the the various gene
8 9 10 11 12 13	current review. I'm, again, providing that referenced information about the the this observation.  Q You're citing the same references as Nunes; correct?  A Yes.  Q You cite the the various gene expression of gene in the same order they do,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	current review. I'm, again, providing that referenced information about the the this observation.  Q You're citing the same references as Nunes; correct?  A Yes.  Q You cite the the various gene expression of gene in the same order they do, so
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	current review. I'm, again, providing that referenced information about the the this observation.  Q You're citing the same references as Nunes; correct?  A Yes.  Q You cite the the various gene expression of gene in the same order they do, so Correct?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	current review. I'm, again, providing that referenced information about the the this observation.  Q You're citing the same references as Nunes; correct?  A Yes.  Q You cite the the various gene expression of gene in the same order they do, so Correct?  A Yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	current review. I'm, again, providing that referenced information about the the this observation.  Q You're citing the same references as Nunes; correct?  A Yes.  Q You cite the the various gene expression of gene in the same order they do, so Correct?  A Yes.  Q And is that just coincidental? That's
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	current review. I'm, again, providing that referenced information about the the this observation.  Q You're citing the same references as Nunes; correct?  A Yes.  Q You cite the the various gene expression of gene in the same order they do, so Correct?  A Yes.  Q And is that just coincidental? That's just happened? You happened to have put this
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	current review. I'm, again, providing that referenced information about the the this observation.  Q You're citing the same references as Nunes; correct?  A Yes.  Q You cite the the various gene expression of gene in the same order they do, so Correct?  A Yes.  Q And is that just coincidental? That's just happened? You happened to have put this paragraph in the same order with the same
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	current review. I'm, again, providing that referenced information about the the this observation.  Q You're citing the same references as Nunes; correct?  A Yes.  Q You cite the the various gene expression of gene in the same order they do, so Correct?  A Yes.  Q And is that just coincidental? That's just happened? You happened to have put this paragraph in the same order with the same notations as as Nunes?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

PageID: 204131 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 334		Page 336
1	that's contained in the Nunes paper. And seeing	1	or p53 mutations can be considered causes of
2	as that this was a review of the literature	2	cancer?
3	with you know, based on the state of the art,	3	MS. O'DELL:
4	the Nunes review is exactly that. And, again,	4	Object to the form.
5	I'm I'm repeating the information regarding	5	A No. Not not specifically causal. I
6	the specific gene information as it relates to	6	think the each of these as we've discussed,
7	this this ovarian cancer risk and and	7	each of these genes, BRCA1 and BRCA2, or starting
8	and, again, appropriately citing the basic	8	with BRCA1 and BRCA2, increase the probability of
9	studies as Nunes did.	9	a of a person generally women getting
10	MR. FERGUSON:	10	breast or ovarian cancer but do not exclusively
11	Q With virtually the same wording?	11	mean somebody with that mutation will get cancer.
12	A With similar wording, yes.	12	So, with that knowledge, I would not
13	Q Let's look at page page 7.	13	consider BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation alone
14	MS. O'DELL:	14	sufficient to cause cancer. It increased the
15	His report?	15	risk.
16	MR. FERGUSON:	16	And, as we talked about, p53 is a bit
17	Q Yeah. I apologize. Your report.	17	more of a higher-risk gene, and the question as
18	We can set Nunes aside now.	18	to whether or not it is possible for someone to
19	You have a paragraph starts that	19	have a what the rate of someone having a p53
20	starts "individuals can inherit mutations in	20	mutation and not getting cancer, I believe, is
21	BRCA1, BRCA2 or p53."	21	currently unknown. But there, again, is a much
22	See it?	22	higher probability of developing developing
23	A Uh-huh.	23	cancer.
24	Q And you say, "These defects allow	24	MR. FERGUSON:
	Page 335		Page 337
1	additional mutations to accumulate in cells and	1	O And then the last line there of page 7
			O And then the fast file there of bage 7.
2	lead to a higher probability of cells being		Q And then the last line there of page 7, you say. "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is
2	lead to a higher probability of cells being cancerous."	2	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is
	lead to a higher probability of cells being cancerous."  Correct?		you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and
3	cancerous."	2 3	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is
3 4	cancerous." Correct? A Correct.	2 3 4	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."
3 4 5	cancerous."  Correct?	2 3 4 5	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the
3 4 5 6	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your	2 3 4 5 6 7	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.
3 4 5 6 7	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.	2 3 4 5 6	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the
3 4 5 6 7 8	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.  Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.  Q Right.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.  Correct?  A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.  Q Right.  And and the the if we look at
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you say here that mutations in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.  Q Right.  And and the the if we look at the increased risk of 40 percent as compared to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you say here that mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 or p53 can result in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.  Q Right.  And and the the if we look at the increased risk of 40 percent as compared to the risk of cancer in the of ovarian cancer in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you say here that mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 or p53 can result in the accumulation of additional mutations in cells.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.  Q Right.  And and the the if we look at the increased risk of 40 percent as compared to the risk of cancer in the of ovarian cancer in the general population, that's a 25-fold increase
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you say here that mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 or p53 can result in the accumulation of additional mutations in cells.  Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.  Q Right.  And and the the if we look at the increased risk of 40 percent as compared to the risk of cancer in the of ovarian cancer in the general population, that's a 25-fold increase for BRCA1 and about a 7- or 8-fold increase for
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you say here that mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 or p53 can result in the accumulation of additional mutations in cells.  Correct?  MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.  Q Right.  And and the the if we look at the increased risk of 40 percent as compared to the risk of cancer in the of ovarian cancer in the general population, that's a 25-fold increase for BRCA1 and about a 7- or 8-fold increase for BRCA2; correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you say here that mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 or p53 can result in the accumulation of additional mutations in cells.  Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.  Q Right.  And and the the if we look at the increased risk of 40 percent as compared to the risk of cancer in the of ovarian cancer in the general population, that's a 25-fold increase for BRCA1 and about a 7- or 8-fold increase for BRCA2; correct?  MS. O'DELL:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you say here that mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 or p53 can result in the accumulation of additional mutations in cells.  Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Yeah. I made the statement that BRCA1,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.  Q Right.  And and the the if we look at the increased risk of 40 percent as compared to the risk of cancer in the of ovarian cancer in the general population, that's a 25-fold increase for BRCA1 and about a 7- or 8-fold increase for BRCA2; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you say here that mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 or p53 can result in the accumulation of additional mutations in cells.  Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Yeah. I made the statement that BRCA1, BRCA2 and p53, they can be inherited and then, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.  Q Right.  And and the if we look at the increased risk of 40 percent as compared to the risk of cancer in the of ovarian cancer in the general population, that's a 25-fold increase for BRCA1 and about a 7- or 8-fold increase for BRCA2; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I I would have to to determine
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you say here that mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 or p53 can result in the accumulation of additional mutations in cells.  Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Yeah. I made the statement that BRCA1, BRCA2 and p53, they can be inherited and then, in turn, positive for those gene mutations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.  Q Right.  And and the the if we look at the increased risk of 40 percent as compared to the risk of cancer in the of ovarian cancer in the general population, that's a 25-fold increase for BRCA1 and about a 7- or 8-fold increase for BRCA2; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I I would have to to determine that. But I would say so. I'm certainly
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you say here that mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 or p53 can result in the accumulation of additional mutations in cells.  Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Yeah. I made the statement that BRCA1, BRCA2 and p53, they can be inherited and then, in turn, positive for those gene mutations.  MR. FERGUSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.  Q Right.  And and the the if we look at the increased risk of 40 percent as compared to the risk of cancer in the of ovarian cancer in the general population, that's a 25-fold increase for BRCA1 and about a 7- or 8-fold increase for BRCA2; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I I would have to to determine that. But I would say so. I'm certainly comfortable stating that the lifetime risk for
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you say here that mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 or p53 can result in the accumulation of additional mutations in cells.  Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Yeah. I made the statement that BRCA1, BRCA2 and p53, they can be inherited and then, in turn, positive for those gene mutations.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Okay. Would you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.  Q Right.  And and the the if we look at the increased risk of 40 percent as compared to the risk of cancer in the of ovarian cancer in the general population, that's a 25-fold increase for BRCA1 and about a 7- or 8-fold increase for BRCA2; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I I would have to to determine that. But I would say so. I'm certainly comfortable stating that the lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent. I'd
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you say here that mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 or p53 can result in the accumulation of additional mutations in cells.  Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Yeah. I made the statement that BRCA1, BRCA2 and p53, they can be inherited and then, in turn, positive for those gene mutations.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Okay. Would you  A So I guess if you could ask the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.  Q Right.  And and the the if we look at the increased risk of 40 percent as compared to the risk of cancer in the of ovarian cancer in the general population, that's a 25-fold increase for BRCA1 and about a 7- or 8-fold increase for BRCA2; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I I would have to to determine that. But I would say so. I'm certainly comfortable stating that the lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent. I'd have to verify your your math about that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cancerous."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you've indicated earlier in your report that cancer is caused by mutations.  Correct?  A Correct.  Q And you say here that mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 or p53 can result in the accumulation of additional mutations in cells.  Correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Yeah. I made the statement that BRCA1, BRCA2 and p53, they can be inherited and then, in turn, positive for those gene mutations.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Okay. Would you  A So I guess if you could ask the question again to make sure I understand it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you say, "The lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent for BRCA1 carriers and 15 to 20 percent for BRCA2 carriers."  Correct?  A Correct. Based on based on the study that I referenced, yes.  Q Right.  And and the the if we look at the increased risk of 40 percent as compared to the risk of cancer in the of ovarian cancer in the general population, that's a 25-fold increase for BRCA1 and about a 7- or 8-fold increase for BRCA2; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I I would have to to determine that. But I would say so. I'm certainly comfortable stating that the lifetime risk for ovarian cancer is approximately 40 percent. I'd have to verify your your math about that indicating a 25-fold increase.

	Page 338		Page 340
1	general population of ovarian cancer is?	1	So the the Park paper does discuss
2	A It's fairly low. If I thinking of	2	the relationship of ovarian cancer risk relative
3	the cohort studies that were reviewed as part of	3	to benign gynecological conditions.
4	this, it was roughly a hundred to 200 cases per	4	Q And and your comment that you've
5	30- to 40,000 women in those in those studies,	5	cited these studies for is the presence of these
6	so relatively low.	6	mutations increases a person's risk of developing
7	Q And if we go to the top of the next	7	cancer when exposed to a carcinogen. And these
8	page, you say it's page 8 "Therefore, the	8	mutations would be what you've been talking about
9	presence of mutations in the BRCA genes do not	9	in this paragraph, the B the BRCA1, BRCA2, and
10	guarantee that carriers will get cancer. The	10	p53; correct?
11	presence of these mutations increases a person's	11	MS. O'DELL:
12	risk of developing cancer when exposed to a	12	Object to the form.
13	carcinogen."	13	A The sentence is worded, "The presence
14	Correct?	14	of these mutations increases a person's risk of
15	A Correct.	15	developing cancer when exposed to a carcinogen."
16	Q And you cite Park, Vitonis, and Wu for	16	MR. FERGUSON:
17	that. Is that correct?	17	Q Right. Right.
18	A That's correct.	18	And, for example, in Vitonis, isn't it
19	Q Looking at Park, isn't it true that	19	true that BRCA1, BRCA2 and p53 were not even
20	Park does not supply any evidence to support your	20	determined in that study and, instead, Jewish
21	claim that mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 and/or p53	21	ethnicity was used as a surrogate for a woman's
22	increase a person's risk of developing cancer	22	risk of having a mutation in one of these genes?
23	when exposed to a carcinogen?	23	Do you recall that
24	A I'd have to remind myself of what's in	24	A Again, I would have
	Page 339		Page 341
1	Park.	1	Q one way or the other?
2	Q Are you going through the entirety of	2	MS. O'DELL:
3	the article?	3	Objection.
4	A I'm just reminding myself the content	4	A I would have to review the review
5	to see if I could find something that was	5	the paper. Because part of the review is to
6	specifically related to your question about the	6	be include appropriate references with regards
7	presence of a BRCA1 or 2 mutation.	7	to ovarian cancer risk, and those may I think
8	Q Okay. Is the BRCA1, BRCA2, p53, any of	8	those publications provide some information in
9	those even mentioned in the article?	9	that space.
_	And and I'm not sure we'll have time	10	MR. FERGUSON:
10		1 10	
	for you to go through each one of them in this	11	Q All right. But when you cite studies
10			Q All right. But when you cite studies for a statement in your report, shouldn't the
10 11	for you to go through each one of them in this much You've got you cited them for these	11	Q All right. But when you cite studies for a statement in your report, shouldn't the studies relate to that statement?
10 11 12	for you to go through each one of them in this much You've got you cited them for these propositions. I'm trying to ask you why you	11 12	Q All right. But when you cite studies for a statement in your report, shouldn't the studies relate to that statement?  MS. O'DELL:
10 11 12 13 14 15	for you to go through each one of them in this much You've got you cited them for these propositions. I'm trying to ask you why you cited them for this proposition.	11 12 13 14 15	Q All right. But when you cite studies for a statement in your report, shouldn't the studies relate to that statement?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.
10 11 12 13 14	for you to go through each one of them in this much You've got you cited them for these propositions. I'm trying to ask you why you cited them for this proposition.  A I I'd have to look in more detail.	11 12 13 14 15 16	Q All right. But when you cite studies for a statement in your report, shouldn't the studies relate to that statement?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Well, the studies relate to a person's
10 11 12 13 14 15	for you to go through each one of them in this much You've got you cited them for these propositions. I'm trying to ask you why you cited them for this proposition.  A I I'd have to look in more detail. I don't have a specific answer regarding the	11 12 13 14 15	Q All right. But when you cite studies for a statement in your report, shouldn't the studies relate to that statement?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Well, the studies relate to a person's risk of developing cancer. But I I think
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for you to go through each one of them in this much You've got you cited them for these propositions. I'm trying to ask you why you cited them for this proposition.  A I I'd have to look in more detail. I don't have a specific answer regarding the regarding BRCA1	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q All right. But when you cite studies for a statement in your report, shouldn't the studies relate to that statement?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Well, the studies relate to a person's risk of developing cancer. But I I think it it doesn't change the accuracy of the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for you to go through each one of them in this much You've got you cited them for these propositions. I'm trying to ask you why you cited them for this proposition.  A I I'd have to look in more detail. I don't have a specific answer regarding the regarding BRCA1 Q Okay.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q All right. But when you cite studies for a statement in your report, shouldn't the studies relate to that statement?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Well, the studies relate to a person's risk of developing cancer. But I I think it it doesn't change the accuracy of the presence of the mutation relative to that risk.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for you to go through each one of them in this much You've got you cited them for these propositions. I'm trying to ask you why you cited them for this proposition.  A I I'd have to look in more detail. I don't have a specific answer regarding the regarding BRCA1 Q Okay.  A I'm sorry BRCA genes.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q All right. But when you cite studies for a statement in your report, shouldn't the studies relate to that statement?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Well, the studies relate to a person's risk of developing cancer. But I I think it it doesn't change the accuracy of the presence of the mutation relative to that risk.  But the I don't have a a good answer as far
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for you to go through each one of them in this much You've got you cited them for these propositions. I'm trying to ask you why you cited them for this proposition.  A I I'd have to look in more detail. I don't have a specific answer regarding the regarding BRCA1 Q Okay.  A I'm sorry BRCA genes. I would suspect the Park reference was	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q All right. But when you cite studies for a statement in your report, shouldn't the studies relate to that statement?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Well, the studies relate to a person's risk of developing cancer. But I I think it it doesn't change the accuracy of the presence of the mutation relative to that risk.  But the I don't have a a good answer as far as relationship of BRCA1 and 2 to the Park paper.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for you to go through each one of them in this much You've got you cited them for these propositions. I'm trying to ask you why you cited them for this proposition.  A I I'd have to look in more detail. I don't have a specific answer regarding the regarding BRCA1 Q Okay.  A I'm sorry BRCA genes. I would suspect the Park reference was more in the discussion of overall relative risk	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q All right. But when you cite studies for a statement in your report, shouldn't the studies relate to that statement?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Well, the studies relate to a person's risk of developing cancer. But I I think it it doesn't change the accuracy of the presence of the mutation relative to that risk.  But the I don't have a a good answer as far as relationship of BRCA1 and 2 to the Park paper.  MR. FERGUSON:
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for you to go through each one of them in this much You've got you cited them for these propositions. I'm trying to ask you why you cited them for this proposition.  A I I'd have to look in more detail. I don't have a specific answer regarding the regarding BRCA1 Q Okay.  A I'm sorry BRCA genes. I would suspect the Park reference was	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q All right. But when you cite studies for a statement in your report, shouldn't the studies relate to that statement?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Well, the studies relate to a person's risk of developing cancer. But I I think it it doesn't change the accuracy of the presence of the mutation relative to that risk.  But the I don't have a a good answer as far as relationship of BRCA1 and 2 to the Park paper.

2 MS. 3 4 spec 5 issue 6 assu 7 direc 8 MR. 9 10 mist 11 MS. 12 13 you	I then let's get to Wu. O'DELL: Object to the form. You didn't comment cifically about Vitonis, if you've got an e with Vitonis. You know, it's not fair to the that because I don't think you asked a ct question. FERGUSON: Okay. I thought I did, but I could be	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	syndrome patients have an increased risk of cancer when exposed to a carcinogen. Correct?  A Correct.  Q What carcinogens are you referring to?  A I'm not not referring to a specific
3 4 spec 5 issue 6 assu 7 direc 8 MR. 9 10 mist 11 MS. 12 13 you	Object to the form. You didn't comment cifically about Vitonis, if you've got an e with Vitonis. You know, it's not fair to the that because I don't think you asked a ct question.  FERGUSON:	3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>A Correct.</li><li>Q What carcinogens are you referring to?</li><li>A I'm not not referring to a specific</li></ul>
4 spec 5 issue 6 assu 7 direc 8 MR. 9 10 mist 11 MS. 12 13 you	eifically about Vitonis, if you've got an e with Vitonis. You know, it's not fair to ume that because I don't think you asked a ct question.  FERGUSON:	4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Q What carcinogens are you referring to?</li><li>A I'm not not referring to a specific</li></ul>
5 issue 6 assu 7 direc 8 MR. 9 10 mist 11 MS. 12 13 you	e with Vitonis. You know, it's not fair to ume that because I don't think you asked a ct question.  FERGUSON:	5 6 7	A I'm not not referring to a specific
6 assu 7 direc 8 MR. 9 10 mist 11 MS. 12 13 you	ame that because I don't think you asked a ct question. . FERGUSON:	6 7	
7 direc 8 MR. 9 10 mist 11 MS. 12 13 you	ct question. . FERGUSON:	7	
8 MR. 9 10 mist 11 MS. 12 13 you	. FERGUSON:		carcinogen. I'm using the term "carcinogen" to
9 10 mist 11 MS. 12 13 you		_	refer to an insult that would result in DNA
10 mist 11 MS. 12 13 you	Okay. I thought I did, but I could be	8	damage specifically because, similar to the BRCA
11 MS. 12 13 you	ona). Turought rura, out recura ce	9	mutations, Lynch syndrome impairs DNA mismatch
12 13 you	taken.	10	repair.
13 you	O'DELL:	11	So that defect alone is not sufficient
-	You mentioned it, but I don't think	12	to result in a cellular transformation, so
14 a gu	I think it was more you rather than asking	13	something else has to occur. And when we
1	estion.	14	consider that carcinogens are the term
15 MR.	. FERGUSON:	15	"carcinogen" generally refers to something that
16 Q	With regard to Wu, do you recall that,	16	has the potential to damage cellular components
17 in W	Vu, BRCA1, BRCA2, and p53 inherited carrier	17	or DNA, it's putting the
18 muta	ation status were not even determined in that	18	Inability to repair along with the
19 stud	y? Do you recall that	19	presence of a carcinogen is where that sentence
20 A	The	20	comes from.
21 Q	one way or the other?	21	Q So and I want to make sure I
22 MS.	O'DELL:	22	understand what you're saying. Are you saying
23	Object to the form.	23	that Lynch syndrome patients have an increased
24 A	The Wu paper specifically discussed	24	risk of developing cancer after exposure to a
	Page 343		Page 345
1 nong	genetic risk factors.	1	carcinogen, just like everyone else?
1	. FERGUSON:	2	A No. I'm stating that Lynch syndrome
3 Q	Let's go to the next paragraph, and	3	MS. O'DELL:
4 there	e you talk about single nucleotide variance,	4	Object to the form. Excuse me.
5 SNV	Vs; correct?	5	A Lynch syndrome is a hereditary
6 A	Towards the bottom of the paragraph.	6	condition that increases the overall risk of
7 As -	- in terms of modifiers, yes.	7	cancer to an individual, similar to BRCA1 and 2
8 Q	Yeah. Are are single nucleotide	8	mutation.
9 varia	ants mutations?	9	MR. FERGUSON:
10 A	Yes.	10	Q So you are you claiming that Lynch
11 Q	Do most SNVs result in functionally	11	syndrome patients have a greater increase in
12 defe	ective proteins?	12	relative risk when exposed to a particular
13 A	Statistically speaking on a genome-wide	13	carcinogen than do people without Lynch syndrome?
14 basis	s, no.	14	MS. O'DELL:
15	So a a single nucleotide variant is	15	Object to the form.
	riant at any point. And if we consider	16	A No, I'm not making that statement, to a
	stically that about 1 percent of the genome	17	specific carcinogen.
	odes proteins, again, it's statistically less	18	MR. FERGUSON:
	ly that any SNV would affect a protein.	19	Q In your next paragraph you talk of
20 Q	Okay. Let's look at the next	20	you start with "Myriad Genetics," and you say,
_	graph. There you talk about Lynch syndrome;	21	"As with all inherited traits, a positive family
22 corre		22	history is the strongest indicator of the
23 A	Correct.	23	presence of genetic risk alleles in an
24 Q	And you make a statement that Lynch	24	individual."

i	Page 346		Page 348
1	Correct?	1	number higher than that if you're looking at
2	A Correct.	2	indirect or genetic complex formation.
3	Q Isn't it true that many women who have	3	You know, depends how far down the
4	inherited mutations like BRCA1 or BRCA2 and genes	4	cellular control and signal transduction and
5	that predispose to ovarian cancer development do	5	growth and proliferation road that we go as far
6	not have a family history of breast or ovarian	6	as how many genes. But I'm sure, as everyone
7	cancer?	7	well appreciates, everything in biology is
8	A So the your your question is a	8	interrelated in some form.
9	little bit different than the statement. So	9	And, so, it but I would say this
10	the if I could clarify the statement in the	10	statement here is that our ability to look at
11	report, it is more that a positive family history	11	large-scale genetic analysis in individuals of a
12	would be a likely indicator that someone has a	12	variety of cancer types, given the number of
13	genetic risk variant such as BRCA1 and 2.	13	individuals affected by cancer and the analysis
14	Q Isn't it true that family history is	14	of their genetics, we've been able to identify
15	not a sensitive or specific indicator of	15	many of many of the fundamental or most
16	whether of whether a particular woman has	16	perhaps most of the fundamental genes involved in
17	inherited a mutation in a gene associated with	17	that initial disease initiation or progression.
18	increased risk of ovarian cancer?	18	It's important that it is not a
19	MS. O'DELL:	19	comprehensive list. Hence, it is not "all," but
20	Object to the form.	20	there are a large number of genes that are well
21	A I would say that family I would ask	21	established.
22	to define "sensitive" or "specific," because in	22	Q Okay. Let's look at the next page, 10.
23	genetics overall, family history remains a	23	And you have a paragraph that starts
24	valuable and important characteristic in terms of	24	"Macrophages."
İ	Page 347		Page 349
1	determining the genetic component of of any	1	A Uh-huh.
2	disease, cancer included. And, so, if there's	2	Q And the last sentence says, "Generally
3	something exact regarding its sensitivity or	3	speaking, macrophages can increase inflammation
4	specificity that I can comment on, I will if I	4	or decrease inflammation, depending on the
5	know the answer. But	5	cytokines released."
6	MR. FERGUSON:	6	Correct?
7	Q In in the top of the page of	7	A Correct.
8	page 9, the next page, you indicate, "Because of	8	Q So, with that statement, do you agree
9	the large number of individuals tested and the	9	that inflammation can have both protumorigenic
10	ability to trace their genetic inheritance, the	10	and antitumorigenic effects, depending on
11	genes involved in cancer development are well	11	context, just as you state here for macrophages?
12	established."	12	MS. O'DELL:
13	Is that correct?	13	Object to the form.
14	A Correct. That's what I state. I did	14	A No, I I would not agree with that.
	1 .1	15	I I don't know of any evidence of that, that
15	make that statement.		
15 16	Q And given that they're well	16	inflammation, as a physiological phenomenon, acts
15 16 17	Q And given that they're well established, can you name all of the inherited	16 17	as an antitumor effect.
15 16 17 18	Q And given that they're well established, can you name all of the inherited genes that have been identified as being	16 17 18	as an antitumor effect. MR. FERGUSON:
15 16 17 18 19	Q And given that they're well established, can you name all of the inherited genes that have been identified as being associated with an increased risk of ovarian	16 17 18 19	as an antitumor effect.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Going to the next page, the page 11
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And given that they're well established, can you name all of the inherited genes that have been identified as being associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer?	16 17 18 19 20	as an antitumor effect.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Going to the next page, the page 11 I'm trying to get through this
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And given that they're well established, can you name all of the inherited genes that have been identified as being associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer?  A No, not I can't name them all off	16 17 18 19 20 21	as an antitumor effect.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Going to the next page, the page 11 I'm trying to get through this hopefully within the next 15 minutes.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And given that they're well established, can you name all of the inherited genes that have been identified as being associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer?  A No, not I can't name them all off the top of my head, no. There's something in the	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as an antitumor effect.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Going to the next page, the page 11     I'm trying to get through this hopefully within the next 15 minutes.     under the role of inflammation in
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And given that they're well established, can you name all of the inherited genes that have been identified as being associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer?  A No, not I can't name them all off	16 17 18 19 20 21	as an antitumor effect.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Going to the next page, the page 11 I'm trying to get through this hopefully within the next 15 minutes.

PageID: 204135 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 350		Page 352
1	A I am.	1	anything on that, so that's that's fine.
2	Q And you're obviously talking about the	2	Let's move on.
3	role of inflammation there. Isn't it true that	3	A Okay.
4	no published animal model has ever shown that	4	Q I think you've stated earlier that your
5	inducing inflammation induces the development of	5	opinion in this case is based on the totality of
6	ovarian cancer?	6	what is included in the product, the talcum
7	MS. O'DELL:	7	powder products. Is that correct?
8	Object to the form.	8	A Correct.
9	A We've been earlier today we were	9	Q So you're you cannot distinguish
10	discussing some animal models as it relates to	10	the the carcinogenicity of the constituent
11	MR. FERGUSON:	11	parts of the talcum powder products, correct,
12	Q Yeah. You and Miss Brown talked about	12	including the fragrance?
13	a number of animal models.	13	MS. O'DELL:
14	A Yeah.	14	Object to the form.
15	Q And and what I'm trying to ask you,	15	A I I was I was not asked to to
16	is there any of those animal models or any others	16	provide that delineation. And, so, instead,
17	that have ever shown that inducing inflammation	17	subsequent to seeing some of the other expert
18	induces the development of ovarian cancer?	18	reports, we began with talcum powder as a product
19	A I didn't I didn't look specifically	19	and then have since learned more about the
20	for an animal study of that type in the process	20	constituent components, including asbestos,
21	of developing the report.	21	fragrance, potential for heavy metals, which I
22	Q Later down that page, you talk about	22	understand or I've observed that there's a
23	two models. "The literature reviews as well as	23	variety of testing documents that that show a
24	many direct studies feature the immune system as	24	variety of results.
	Page 351		Page 353
1	being an important mediator of ovarian	1	So, to answer your question, I did not
2	carcinogenesis via two models, chronic		specifically evaluate the individual specific
_		2	specifically evaluate the marvidual specific
3	inflammation and incessant ovulation."	3	components in any in any individual product as
4			- ·
	inflammation and incessant ovulation."	3	components in any in any individual product as
4	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?	3 4	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the
4 5	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.	3 4 5	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.
4 5 6	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant	3 4 5 6	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do
4 5 6 7	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no	3 4 5 6 7 8	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?
4 5 6 7 8	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no pathological evidence in humans that perineal	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?  A I do.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no pathological evidence in humans that perineal talc users have ovarian inflammation?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?  A I do.  Q Do you have any opinions that any of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no pathological evidence in humans that perineal talc users have ovarian inflammation?  MS. O'DELL:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?  A I do.  Q Do you have any opinions that any of these heavy metals contribute to the inflammation
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no pathological evidence in humans that perineal talc users have ovarian inflammation?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?  A I do.  Q Do you have any opinions that any of these heavy metals contribute to the inflammation process that you've been talking about?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no pathological evidence in humans that perineal talc users have ovarian inflammation?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I'm thinking.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?  A I do.  Q Do you have any opinions that any of these heavy metals contribute to the inflammation process that you've been talking about?  A The to the inflammation
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no pathological evidence in humans that perineal talc users have ovarian inflammation?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I'm thinking.  I would have to review the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?  A I do.  Q Do you have any opinions that any of these heavy metals contribute to the inflammation process that you've been talking about?  A The to the inflammation  I'm not aware of any direct evidence
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no pathological evidence in humans that perineal talc users have ovarian inflammation?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I'm thinking.  I would have to review the  I'm sorry. That's it's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?  A I do.  Q Do you have any opinions that any of these heavy metals contribute to the inflammation process that you've been talking about?  A The to the inflammation  I'm not aware of any direct evidence for heavy metal contribution to the inflammation
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no pathological evidence in humans that perineal talc users have ovarian inflammation?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I'm thinking.  I would have to review the  I'm sorry. That's it's  MR. FERGUSON:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?  A I do.  Q Do you have any opinions that any of these heavy metals contribute to the inflammation process that you've been talking about?  A The to the inflammation  I'm not aware of any direct evidence for heavy metal contribution to the inflammation process that we've been discussing. Instead, the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no pathological evidence in humans that perineal talc users have ovarian inflammation?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I'm thinking.  I would have to review the I'm sorry. That's it's  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Okay.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?  A I do.  Q Do you have any opinions that any of these heavy metals contribute to the inflammation process that you've been talking about?  A The to the inflammation  I'm not aware of any direct evidence for heavy metal contribution to the inflammation process that we've been discussing. Instead, the heavy metals, particularly chromium, caught my
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no pathological evidence in humans that perineal talc users have ovarian inflammation?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I'm thinking.  I would have to review the  I'm sorry. That's it's  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Okay.  A I would again, I would have to look	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?  A I do.  Q Do you have any opinions that any of these heavy metals contribute to the inflammation process that you've been talking about?  A The to the inflammation  I'm not aware of any direct evidence for heavy metal contribution to the inflammation process that we've been discussing. Instead, the heavy metals, particularly chromium, caught my attention because of its well-established ability
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no pathological evidence in humans that perineal talc users have ovarian inflammation?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I'm thinking.  I would have to review the  I'm sorry. That's it's  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Okay.  A I would again, I would have to look more carefully for that. I can't I can't name	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?  A I do.  Q Do you have any opinions that any of these heavy metals contribute to the inflammation process that you've been talking about?  A The to the inflammation  I'm not aware of any direct evidence for heavy metal contribution to the inflammation process that we've been discussing. Instead, the heavy metals, particularly chromium, caught my attention because of its well-established ability to directly damage DNA and, therefore, you know,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no pathological evidence in humans that perineal talc users have ovarian inflammation?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I'm thinking.  I would have to review the  I'm sorry. That's it's  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Okay.  A I would again, I would have to look more carefully for that. I can't I can't name a study of that type right now.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?  A I do.  Q Do you have any opinions that any of these heavy metals contribute to the inflammation process that you've been talking about?  A The to the inflammation  I'm not aware of any direct evidence for heavy metal contribution to the inflammation process that we've been discussing. Instead, the heavy metals, particularly chromium, caught my attention because of its well-established ability to directly damage DNA and, therefore, you know, potentially play a role in carcinogenesis.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no pathological evidence in humans that perineal talc users have ovarian inflammation?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I'm thinking.  I would have to review the  I'm sorry. That's it's  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Okay.  A I would again, I would have to look more carefully for that. I can't I can't name a study of that type right now.  Q So I think you've said previously	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?  A I do.  Q Do you have any opinions that any of these heavy metals contribute to the inflammation process that you've been talking about?  A The to the inflammation  I'm not aware of any direct evidence for heavy metal contribution to the inflammation process that we've been discussing. Instead, the heavy metals, particularly chromium, caught my attention because of its well-established ability to directly damage DNA and, therefore, you know, potentially play a role in carcinogenesis.  Q Do you have any knowledge or opinion
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no pathological evidence in humans that perineal talc users have ovarian inflammation?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I'm thinking.  I would have to review the  I'm sorry. That's it's  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Okay.  A I would again, I would have to look more carefully for that. I can't I can't name a study of that type right now.  Q So I think you've said previously  Are you done looking?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?  A I do.  Q Do you have any opinions that any of these heavy metals contribute to the inflammation process that you've been talking about?  A The to the inflammation  I'm not aware of any direct evidence for heavy metal contribution to the inflammation process that we've been discussing. Instead, the heavy metals, particularly chromium, caught my attention because of its well-established ability to directly damage DNA and, therefore, you know, potentially play a role in carcinogenesis.  Q Do you have any knowledge or opinion about how much chromium you claim is in the in
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	inflammation and incessant ovulation."  Correct?  A Correct.  Q Is it your opinion that incessant ovulation is a form of chronic inflammation?  A It is not.  Q Isn't it true that there's no pathological evidence in humans that perineal talc users have ovarian inflammation?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I'm thinking.  I would have to review the  I'm sorry. That's it's  MR. FERGUSON:  Q Okay.  A I would again, I would have to look more carefully for that. I can't I can't name a study of that type right now.  Q So I think you've said previously	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	components in any in any individual product as it relates. Instead, remained focused on the mechanism for the complete complete product.  MR. FERGUSON:  Q And you've made reference to heavy metals throughout your testimony on occasion. Do you recall that?  A I do.  Q Do you have any opinions that any of these heavy metals contribute to the inflammation process that you've been talking about?  A The to the inflammation  I'm not aware of any direct evidence for heavy metal contribution to the inflammation process that we've been discussing. Instead, the heavy metals, particularly chromium, caught my attention because of its well-established ability to directly damage DNA and, therefore, you know, potentially play a role in carcinogenesis.  Q Do you have any knowledge or opinion

PageID: 204136 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 354		Page 356
1	MS. O'DELL:	1	tale with asbestiform bodies, I think would be
2	Object to the form.	2	very reasonable to state that it has mutagenic
3	A I wasn't asked to evaluate the amount	3	properties.
4	of chromium or whether it was sufficient for	4	MR. FERGUSON:
5	damage. It was more reviewing. I would have to	5	Q And can you cite me any literature for
6	defer to other experts who have done the testing	6	that?
7	on the products.	7	A I would simply refer to the much of
8	MR. FERGUSON:	8	the body of asbestos literature for the for
9	Q So you have no opinion on that?	9	that.
10	MS. O'DELL:	10	MR. FERGUSON:
11	Object to the form.	11	I think that's all I have. I'll turn
12	A I'm sorry. An opinion on the amount of	12	it over to someone else to ask some questions.
13	chromium?	13	MS. BROWN:
14	MR. FERGUSON:	14	Anybody with some more?
15	Q Correct.	15	MS. O'DELL:
16	A Again, I wasn't asked to generate such	16	I'm going to take a break for a few
17	an opinion.	17	minutes.
18	O I think I think I'm almost done.	18	VIDEOGRAPHER:
19	Isn't it true that published data have	19	Going off the record. The time is
20	demonstrated that tale is not genotoxic and does	20	4:54 p.m.
21	not cause mutations?	21	(OFF THE RECORD.)
22	MS, O'DELL:	22	VIDEOGRAPHER:
23	Object to the form.	23	We're back on the record. The time is
24	A I'm not aware of a study that	24	5:20 p.m.
24	A I iii not aware of a study that		5.20 p.m.
	Page 355		Page 357
1	specifically looked at the genotoxicity of of	1	EXAMINATION
2	talc. And I think it would certainly warrant	2	BY MS. O'DELL:
3	defining which type of talc and components	3	Q Dr. Levy, I have just a few follow-up
4	therein. But I'm I'm not aware of a study	4	questions for you.
5	that has concluded that there are no genotoxic	5	I'm gonna ask you to turn to page 14 of
6	effects of any type of talc.	6	your report.
7	MR. FERGUSON:	7	And earlier today
8	Q Would you agree there's no evidence	8	I'm going to ask, Doctor, if you could
9	that talc causes sister chromatid exchange or	9	put the exhibits in front of you, and we'll pull
10	unscheduled DNA synthesis?	10	those out.
11	MS. O'DELL:	11	But earlier today you were asked about
12	Object to the form.	12	a letter from the FDA that was marked as Exhibit
13	A I didn't I didn't review the	13	Number 16, and if you could pull that out of your
14	literature for those two specific phenomenon. I	14	stack there. And, specifically, if you'll turn
15	would have to, again, specifically look or review	15	to page 4 of the letter.
16	for that.	16	And you'll recall that this letter was
17	MR. FERGUSON:	17	written in 2014. Do you remember that?
18	Q So, as you sit here, you have no	18	A Yes.
19	opinion as to whether tale is or is not	19	Q And if you look, however, at page 4 of
20	mutagenic?	20	the letter, it appears that the FDA's review of
21	MS. O'DELL:	21	the relevant toxicity literature stopped at the
22	Object to the form.	22	year 2008. Fair?
23	A No. We've so talc in general,	23	MS. BROWN:
	particularly in its in its form of fibrous	24	Objection to the form.
24	particularly in its in its form of horous	27	Objection to the form.

1	Page 358		Page 360
1	MS. O'DELL:	1	Objection to the form of the question.
2	Q Did the FDA's review of the toxicity	2	A Yes, we we had a discussion
3	literature stop in 2008?	3	regarding the results shown in Figure 3, the
4	A Yes.	4	level of exposure of talc as well as its
5	Q And if you look at page 14 of of	5	duration. Sorry. The talc dose as well as
6	your report, your review of the literature	6	duration.
7	included multiple references that were published	7	MS. O'DELL:
8	after 2008?	8	Q And in the if you'll look at
9	MS. BROWN:	9	Figure 1, Doctor, explain to us, please, what
10	Form.	10	Figure 1 describes in terms of the viability of
11	A That's correct.	11	the cells at the 72-hour mark.
12	MS. O'DELL:	12	A So the so Figure 1 is a graph
13	Q And, in fact, you cited Shukla that was	13	describing percent cell viability versus the
14	published in	14	different normal or variant cells at a 24-hour
15	Was Shukla published in 2009?	15	and 72-hour time point, two different ovarian
16	A Yes. The reference is in the report to	16	cancer cell lines, as well as doses of talc from
17	2009.	17	zero micrograms per milliliter up to 500
18	Q Yes.	18	micrograms per milliliter, and each of those is
19	And, in addition to that, did you cite	19	applied.
20	other references in support of your opinion that	20	And at the 72-hour time point in both
21	talc powder causes inflammation that were dated	21	cell lines, OSE2a and GCA1 GC1a shows a
22	and published after 2008?	22	decrease in cellular viability that is
23	A I did.	23	dose-dependent in each of the four cell lines.
24	Q And, so, the suggestion by counsel for	24	Q Okay. And
	Page 359		Page 361
1	Johnson & Johnson that somehow the FDA had	1	A Sorry. Each of the two cell lines.
2	reviewed the literature for toxicity up until the	2	Q And is it fair to say that the reason
3	date of this letter would have been incorrect?	3	you don't see dose response, you know, at the
4	MS. BROWN:	4	at the greatest magnitude is because the cells
5	Objection to the form of the question.	5	essentially die?
6	A As as we discussed, the the	6	MS. BROWN:
7	letter from the FDA dated April 1st, 2014, states	7	Objection to the form.
8	to include literature from 1980 to 2008.	8	A Well, I would say if we consider the
9	MS. O'DELL:	9	results displayed in Figure 1 in relation to the
10	Q Let me ask you	10	results displayed in Figure 3, an ex an
11	You can put that aside, Dr. Levy.	11	explanation for the concentrating on the 500
12	Thank you.	12	the highest dose, the 500 micrograms per
13	And I want to ask you to pull out of	13	milliliter, in the talc exposure, the decrease in
14	the stack the Exhibit 17, which is the Buz'Zard	14	cellular viability is an is an explanation
15	paper.	15	could be an explanation for the decrease in
16	A I have it.	16	reactive oxygen species.
17	Q And if you'll turn to page 581.	17	MS. O'DELL:
18	A Okay.	18	Q Okay. Thank you, Doctor.
19	Q And just to orient our discussion,	19	And if you'll put that aside and turn
20	counsel for Johnson & Johnson suggested that	20	to Exhibit 7, which was the Hamilton paper we
	that this paper showed a decrease in reaction or	21	spent quite a lot of time on earlier.
21		22	Do you recall the that discussion
22	reactive oxygen species at the longest time		
	interval. Do you recall that discussion?  MS. BROWN:	23 24	regarding the Hamilton paper?  A I do.

	Page 362		Page 364
1	Q And what was the purpose for which you	1	principle been published in the peer-reviewed
2	cited the Hamilton paper?	2	literature?
3	A That it was one of the available animal	3	A It has.
4	studies looking at the effects of talc on a rat	4	Q And, in regard to ovarian cancer, prior
5	ovary.	5	to becoming involved in the litigation, did you
6	Q And did the paper show that there was a	6	hold the opinion that inflammation was a part of
7	increase in inflammation as result of talc?	7	the development of ovarian cancer?
8	A Yes, in the form of foreign body	8	A Yes.
9	granulomas observed in five of the injected	9	Q And has that been researched and that
10	ovaries.	10	research published in the peer-reviewed
11	Q And you're looking at, I guess, that	11	literature?
12	last sentence on page 103 and carrying over to	12	A It has.
13	the to the narrative on page 105?	13	Q In the same way, has the fact that
14	A Cellular foreign body?	14	tale, talcum powder, induces inflammation been
15	Q Yes.	15	published in the peer-reviewed literature?
16	A Foreign body granulomas without any	16	MS. BROWN:
17	surrounding inflammation were seen in five of the	17	Objection to the form.
18	injected ovaries. And similar lesions were not	18	A Yes.
19	uncommonly noted in the supracapsular fat in the	19	MS. O'DELL:
20	connective tissue matrix of the capsule.	20	Q And you were asked whether there was
21	Q And if you'll look down in the	21	evidence that talc caused inflammation in humans.
22	discussion section, Dr. Levy, the first paragraph	22	Do you recall that question?
23	there in your where beginning	23	A I do.
24	"Unfortunately," does it appear that talc also	24	Q And based on your exhaustive review of
	Page 363		Page 365
1	. 1 101 .		
_	induced fibrosis	1	the literature, what evidence would you point to
2	induced fibrosis MS. BROWN:	1 2	the literature, what evidence would you point to undergirding your opinion that talc causes
2	MS. BROWN:	2	undergirding your opinion that talc causes
2 3	MS. BROWN: Objection to form.	2 3	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?
2 3 4	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular
2 3 4 5	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats?	2 3 4 5	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper
2 3 4 5 6	MS. BROWN: Objection to form.  MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement	2 3 4 5 6	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. BROWN: Objection to form.  MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred	2 3 4 5 6 7	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred as an unforeseen complication" and further states	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to examine the specific inflammatory response
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred as an unforeseen complication" and further states that this probably resulted from talc-induced	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to examine the specific inflammatory response of of human cells to to talcum powder.  Q In addition to the Saed publications, would you would you include the Shukla 2009
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred as an unforeseen complication" and further states that this probably resulted from talc-induced fibrosis and obliteration of the small channel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to examine the specific inflammatory response of of human cells to to talcum powder.  Q In addition to the Saed publications,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred as an unforeseen complication" and further states that this probably resulted from talc-induced fibrosis and obliteration of the small channel which normally allows communication between the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to examine the specific inflammatory response of of human cells to to talcum powder.  Q In addition to the Saed publications, would you would you include the Shukla 2009
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred as an unforeseen complication" and further states that this probably resulted from talc-induced fibrosis and obliteration of the small channel which normally allows communication between the cavity where the ovary lies and the perineum.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to examine the specific inflammatory response of of human cells to to talcum powder.  Q In addition to the Saed publications, would you would you include the Shukla 2009 paper in your consideration of talc causing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred as an unforeseen complication" and further states that this probably resulted from talc-induced fibrosis and obliteration of the small channel which normally allows communication between the cavity where the ovary lies and the perineum. Q And though the authors concluded that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to examine the specific inflammatory response of of human cells to to talcum powder.  Q In addition to the Saed publications, would you would you include the Shukla 2009 paper in your consideration of talc causing inflammation in humans?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred as an unforeseen complication" and further states that this probably resulted from talc-induced fibrosis and obliteration of the small channel which normally allows communication between the cavity where the ovary lies and the perineum. Q And though the authors concluded that neoplastic changes were not seen, the authors did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to examine the specific inflammatory response of of human cells to to talcum powder.  Q In addition to the Saed publications, would you would you include the Shukla 2009 paper in your consideration of talc causing inflammation in humans?  A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred as an unforeseen complication" and further states that this probably resulted from talc-induced fibrosis and obliteration of the small channel which normally allows communication between the cavity where the ovary lies and the perineum. Q And though the authors concluded that neoplastic changes were not seen, the authors did find evidence of inflammation in their study?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to examine the specific inflammatory response of of human cells to to talcum powder.  Q In addition to the Saed publications, would you would you include the Shukla 2009 paper in your consideration of talc causing inflammation in humans?  A Yes.  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred as an unforeseen complication" and further states that this probably resulted from talc-induced fibrosis and obliteration of the small channel which normally allows communication between the cavity where the ovary lies and the perineum. Q And though the authors concluded that neoplastic changes were not seen, the authors did find evidence of inflammation in their study? A That's correct. Q Prior to becoming involved in the litigation, Dr. Levy, did you hold the opinion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to examine the specific inflammatory response of of human cells to to talcum powder.  Q In addition to the Saed publications, would you would you include the Shukla 2009 paper in your consideration of talc causing inflammation in humans?  A Yes.  MS. BROWN:  Form.  MS. O'DELL:  Q You were asked about your methodology
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred as an unforeseen complication" and further states that this probably resulted from talc-induced fibrosis and obliteration of the small channel which normally allows communication between the cavity where the ovary lies and the perineum. Q And though the authors concluded that neoplastic changes were not seen, the authors did find evidence of inflammation in their study? A That's correct. Q Prior to becoming involved in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to examine the specific inflammatory response of of human cells to to talcum powder.  Q In addition to the Saed publications, would you would you include the Shukla 2009 paper in your consideration of talc causing inflammation in humans?  A Yes.  MS. BROWN:  Form.  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred as an unforeseen complication" and further states that this probably resulted from talc-induced fibrosis and obliteration of the small channel which normally allows communication between the cavity where the ovary lies and the perineum. Q And though the authors concluded that neoplastic changes were not seen, the authors did find evidence of inflammation in their study? A That's correct. Q Prior to becoming involved in the litigation, Dr. Levy, did you hold the opinion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to examine the specific inflammatory response of of human cells to to talcum powder.  Q In addition to the Saed publications, would you would you include the Shukla 2009 paper in your consideration of talc causing inflammation in humans?  A Yes.  MS. BROWN:  Form.  MS. O'DELL:  Q You were asked about your methodology
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred as an unforeseen complication" and further states that this probably resulted from talc-induced fibrosis and obliteration of the small channel which normally allows communication between the cavity where the ovary lies and the perineum. Q And though the authors concluded that neoplastic changes were not seen, the authors did find evidence of inflammation in their study? A That's correct. Q Prior to becoming involved in the litigation, Dr. Levy, did you hold the opinion that inflammation is a cause of cancer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to examine the specific inflammatory response of of human cells to to talcum powder.  Q In addition to the Saed publications, would you would you include the Shukla 2009 paper in your consideration of talc causing inflammation in humans?  A Yes.  MS. BROWN:  Form.  MS. O'DELL:  Q You were asked about your methodology numerous times today, and can would you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred as an unforeseen complication" and further states that this probably resulted from talc-induced fibrosis and obliteration of the small channel which normally allows communication between the cavity where the ovary lies and the perineum. Q And though the authors concluded that neoplastic changes were not seen, the authors did find evidence of inflammation in their study? A That's correct. Q Prior to becoming involved in the litigation, Dr. Levy, did you hold the opinion that inflammation is a cause of cancer? A As as we've discussed earlier, I certainly held the opinion that, you know, inflammation is a significant and necessary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to examine the specific inflammatory response of of human cells to to talcum powder.  Q In addition to the Saed publications, would you would you include the Shukla 2009 paper in your consideration of talc causing inflammation in humans?  A Yes.  MS. BROWN:  Form.  MS. O'DELL:  Q You were asked about your methodology numerous times today, and can would you describe in in general the methodology you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred as an unforeseen complication" and further states that this probably resulted from talc-induced fibrosis and obliteration of the small channel which normally allows communication between the cavity where the ovary lies and the perineum. Q And though the authors concluded that neoplastic changes were not seen, the authors did find evidence of inflammation in their study? A That's correct. Q Prior to becoming involved in the litigation, Dr. Levy, did you hold the opinion that inflammation is a cause of cancer? A As as we've discussed earlier, I certainly held the opinion that, you know, inflammation is a significant and necessary component of cancer progression.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to examine the specific inflammatory response of of human cells to to talcum powder.  Q In addition to the Saed publications, would you would you include the Shukla 2009 paper in your consideration of talc causing inflammation in humans?  A Yes.  MS. BROWN:  Form.  MS. O'DELL:  Q You were asked about your methodology numerous times today, and can would you describe in in general the methodology you have used in reaching your opinions in this case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BROWN: Objection to form. MS. O'DELL: Q in the rats? A The manuscript makes the statement that, "Unfortunately, bursal distention occurred as an unforeseen complication" and further states that this probably resulted from talc-induced fibrosis and obliteration of the small channel which normally allows communication between the cavity where the ovary lies and the perineum. Q And though the authors concluded that neoplastic changes were not seen, the authors did find evidence of inflammation in their study? A That's correct. Q Prior to becoming involved in the litigation, Dr. Levy, did you hold the opinion that inflammation is a cause of cancer? A As as we've discussed earlier, I certainly held the opinion that, you know, inflammation is a significant and necessary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	undergirding your opinion that talc causes inflammation in humans?  A I think considering the molecular mechanism we were discussing of the recent paper by Saed, et al., again, that we discussed earlier today is a fairly in-depth set of experiments to examine the specific inflammatory response of of human cells to to talcum powder.  Q In addition to the Saed publications, would you would you include the Shukla 2009 paper in your consideration of talc causing inflammation in humans?  A Yes.  MS. BROWN: Form.  MS. O'DELL:  Q You were asked about your methodology numerous times today, and can would you describe in in general the methodology you have used in reaching your opinions in this case?  A Yes. To clarify or perhaps expand on

PageID: 204139 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

Page 366		Page 368
he information available to the role that talcum	1	Q Is this the Park paper that you
		referenced
		MS. BROWN:
		Counsel, do you have a copy for us?
	5	MS. O'DELL:
	6	I don't. I'm assuming I don't think
	7	Ken marked it, but I'm assuming he has a copy.
•	8	Q Is that the Park paper that you
hat mechanism was supported by peer-reviewed	9	referenced in your report, Dr. Levy?
research. First, does talc cause inflammation?	10	A It is.
Second, does inflammation cause cancer? And	11	Q And if you'll turn to page 8 of the
hen, third or does inflammation cause ovarian	12	paper, about midway down the first column, maybe
cancer? And then, third, is there is that	13	a little bit less, see the paragraph starting "We
supportive of a overall mechanism of cancer	14	did find an association"? Page 8.
progression and metastasis?	15	A I'm looking for the page number.
Can that methodology be replicated?	16	Q Sorry. Let me give you a page number.
A Certainly. I think, you know, anyone	17	I'm not sure it has a page number.
with a similar similar background and	18	A No, it doesn't.
experience who who undertook the same	19	Q Do you see the paragraph beginning "We
activities would likely certainly likely come	20	did find associations between overall cancer and
up with the same same conclusions.	21	history of fibroid or ovarian cysts"? Do you see
Did you rely on the IARC monograph in	22	that paragraph?
relation to nickel, chromium, and cobalt in	23	A Well, actually yes, I see that
reaching your opinions in this case?	24	paragraph.
Page 367		Page 369
MS. BROWN:	1	Q If you'll look further, the sentence
Objection to the form.	2	beginning "This observation may suggest," do you
	3	see that?
	4	A Yes. Uh-huh.
	5	Q And the paper says, "This observation
MS. O'DELL:	6	may suggest a possible additive or synergistic
•	7	effect on tumor tumorigenesis influenced by
•	8	the proinflammatory milieu from an increased
	9	burden in the number of benign conditions.
	10	Increased risk of serous cancer, ovarian cancer,
-	11	women with other proinflammatory risk factors has
<del>-</del>	12	been reported reported, most notably in talc
* *		users."
You were asked questions earlier	14	Do you see that?
oday actually, not so much earlier a few	15	A I do.
ninutes ago regarding the Park paper. And you	16	Q Is that the section you were thinking
ninutes ago regarding the Park paper. And you ited the Park paper on page I think it was 8	16 17	Q Is that the section you were thinking of when you cited it in your report?
ninutes ago regarding the Park paper. And you ited the Park paper on page I think it was 8 of your report.	16 17 18	Q Is that the section you were thinking of when you cited it in your report?  MS. BROWN:
ninutes ago regarding the Park paper. And you ited the Park paper on page I think it was 8 of your report.  Yes.	16 17 18 19	Q Is that the section you were thinking of when you cited it in your report?  MS. BROWN:  Objection to the form.
ninutes ago regarding the Park paper. And you ited the Park paper on page I think it was 8 of your report.  A Yes.  A And let me show you what I'm marking as	16 17 18 19 20	Q Is that the section you were thinking of when you cited it in your report?  MS. BROWN:  Objection to the form.  A Yes, it is.
ninutes ago regarding the Park paper. And you itted the Park paper on page I think it was 8 of your report.  A Yes.  A And let me show you what I'm marking as exhibit 22 to your deposition.	16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Is that the section you were thinking of when you cited it in your report?  MS. BROWN: Objection to the form.  A Yes, it is.  MS. O'DELL:
ninutes ago regarding the Park paper. And you ited the Park paper on page I think it was 8 of your report.  A Yes.  A And let me show you what I'm marking as Exhibit 22 to your deposition.  (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 22	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Is that the section you were thinking of when you cited it in your report?  MS. BROWN: Objection to the form.  A Yes, it is.  MS. O'DELL: Q Let me ask you to a couple of other
ninutes ago regarding the Park paper. And you itted the Park paper on page I think it was 8 of your report.  A Yes.  A And let me show you what I'm marking as exhibit 22 to your deposition.	16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Is that the section you were thinking of when you cited it in your report?  MS. BROWN: Objection to the form.  A Yes, it is.  MS. O'DELL:
	esearch. First, does talc cause inflammation? decond, does inflammation cause cancer? And then, third or does inflammation cause ovarian ancer? And then, third, is there is that supportive of a overall mechanism of cancer progression and metastasis?  Can that methodology be replicated? Certainly. I think, you know, anyone with a similar similar background and experience who who undertook the same ctivities would likely certainly likely come up with the same same conclusions. Did you rely on the IARC monograph in elation to nickel, chromium, and cobalt in eaching your opinions in this case?  Page 367  MS. BROWN: Objection to the form. I so the the number of IARC sublications were certainly in the material that was reviewed for for my for my report. MS. O'DELL: Based on your review of the literature, as it your opinion that nickel causes inflammation? Yes. The IARC the the haracterization of those compounds, nickel as well as chromium, among others, are would have in inflammatory response.	And, so, that methodology involved, first, a review of the literature and then a levelopment of a report and then a synthesis of a piologically plausible mechanism where the basis of that plausibility was to ask if each of the lifferent component steps that are described in that mechanism was supported by peer-reviewed esearch. First, does tale cause inflammation? In third or does inflammation cause ovarian ancer? And then, third, is there is that supportive of a overall mechanism of cancer reorgession and metastasis? In think, you know, anyone with a similar similar background and experience who who undertook the same ctivities would likely certainly likely come provided by the same same conclusions. In this case?  Page 367  MS. BROWN:  Objection to the form.  I so the the number of IARC ablications were certainly in the material that was reviewed for for my for my report.  MS. O'DELL:  Based on your review of the literature, sit your opinion that nickel causes and amator.  Yes. The IARC the the haracterization of those compounds, nickel as well as chromium, among others, are would have in inflammatory response.

PageID: 204140 Shawn Levy, Ph.D.

	Page 370		Page 372
1	In regard to opinions in relation to	1	Q And did you have the opportunity to
2	the pathology of ovarian tissue, would you defer	2	consider his report prior to finalizing your
3	to a gynecologist or gynecologic oncologist or a	3	report?
4	pathologist regarding that matter?	4	A I did.
5	A Yes, of course.	5	Q I have nothing further. Thank you.
6	Q You testified earlier today that you	6	EXAMINATION
7	relied on the Longo testing in in reaching	7	BY MS. BROWN:
8	your opinions in this case.	8	Q Dr. Levy, would you take Exhibit 16
9	MS. BROWN:	9	out, please, the FDA's response to the citizens
10	Objection to the form.	10	petition?
11	MS. O'DELL:	11	A I have it.
12	Q Did you rely on Dr. Longo's testing	12	Q Counsel asked you some questions that
13	in in reaching your opinions in this case?	13	involved questions that I asked you. Remember
14	A Yes. They were they were one of	14	she asked you the lawyer for J & J didn't point
15	the among many of the manuscripts we've been	15	out the articles that were reviewed from 1980 to
16	discussing.	16	2008 on page 4? Do you recall those questions
17	Q Yeah.	17	from plaintiffs' counsel?
18	In fact, you cite Dr. Longo's report on	18	A Yes.
19	page 15 of your report. Is that right?	19	Q Would you look at the last page of the
20	MS. BROWN:	20	letter, page 6 of 7? I'd like to direct your
21	Objection to the form.	21	attention to the second sentence on this page
22	A Yes.	22	that begins "In consideration of your request."
23	MS. O'DELL:	23	Do you see that?
24	Q And and in terms of Dr. Longo's	24	A I do.
	Page 371		Page 373
1	report, his findings of 37 of 56 historical talc	1	Q And it states, "In consideration of
2	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the	2	your request, we conducted an expanded literature
2	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc,	2 3	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in
2 3 4	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to	2 3 4	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this
2 3 4 5	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your	2 3 4 5	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling
2 3 4 5 6	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report?	2 3 4 5 6	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this
2 3 4 5	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report?  MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report?  MS. BROWN:  Objection to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report?  MS. BROWN:  Objection to the form.  A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q And putting together, then, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report?  MS. BROWN:  Objection to the form.  A Yes.  MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q And putting together, then, the information from page 4 and page 6, you see that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report? MS. BROWN: Objection to the form. A Yes. MS. O'DELL: Q And in relation to Dr. Crowley's report	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q And putting together, then, the information from page 4 and page 6, you see that the FDA considered literature from 1980 to 2014.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report?  MS. BROWN: Objection to the form.  A Yes. MS. O'DELL: Q And in relation to Dr. Crowley's report regarding the fragrance chemicals, do you defer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q And putting together, then, the information from page 4 and page 6, you see that the FDA considered literature from 1980 to 2014. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report? MS. BROWN: Objection to the form. A Yes. MS. O'DELL: Q And in relation to Dr. Crowley's report regarding the fragrance chemicals, do you defer to Dr. Crowley regarding his analysis of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q And putting together, then, the information from page 4 and page 6, you see that the FDA considered literature from 1980 to 2014. Is that correct?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report?  MS. BROWN: Objection to the form.  A Yes. MS. O'DELL: Q And in relation to Dr. Crowley's report regarding the fragrance chemicals, do you defer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q And putting together, then, the information from page 4 and page 6, you see that the FDA considered literature from 1980 to 2014. Is that correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report? MS. BROWN: Objection to the form. A Yes. MS. O'DELL: Q And in relation to Dr. Crowley's report regarding the fragrance chemicals, do you defer to Dr. Crowley regarding his analysis of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q And putting together, then, the information from page 4 and page 6, you see that the FDA considered literature from 1980 to 2014. Is that correct?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report? MS. BROWN: Objection to the form. A Yes. MS. O'DELL: Q And in relation to Dr. Crowley's report regarding the fragrance chemicals, do you defer to Dr. Crowley regarding his analysis of the fragrance chemicals?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q And putting together, then, the information from page 4 and page 6, you see that the FDA considered literature from 1980 to 2014. Is that correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report? MS. BROWN: Objection to the form.  A Yes. MS. O'DELL: Q And in relation to Dr. Crowley's report regarding the fragrance chemicals, do you defer to Dr. Crowley regarding his analysis of the fragrance chemicals? A Yes. Q And did you rely on the opinions he reached in relation to the fragrance chemicals in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q And putting together, then, the information from page 4 and page 6, you see that the FDA considered literature from 1980 to 2014. Is that correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Yes, that is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report? MS. BROWN: Objection to the form. A Yes. MS. O'DELL: Q And in relation to Dr. Crowley's report regarding the fragrance chemicals, do you defer to Dr. Crowley regarding his analysis of the fragrance chemicals? A Yes. Q And did you rely on the opinions he reached in relation to the fragrance chemicals in reaching your opinions in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q And putting together, then, the information from page 4 and page 6, you see that the FDA considered literature from 1980 to 2014. Is that correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Yes, that is correct.  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report? MS. BROWN: Objection to the form.  A Yes. MS. O'DELL: Q And in relation to Dr. Crowley's report regarding the fragrance chemicals, do you defer to Dr. Crowley regarding his analysis of the fragrance chemicals? A Yes. Q And did you rely on the opinions he reached in relation to the fragrance chemicals in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q And putting together, then, the information from page 4 and page 6, you see that the FDA considered literature from 1980 to 2014. Is that correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Yes, that is correct.  MS. BROWN:  Q And what the FDA concluded, contrary to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report? MS. BROWN: Objection to the form. A Yes. MS. O'DELL: Q And in relation to Dr. Crowley's report regarding the fragrance chemicals, do you defer to Dr. Crowley regarding his analysis of the fragrance chemicals? A Yes. Q And did you rely on the opinions he reached in relation to the fragrance chemicals in reaching your opinions in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q And putting together, then, the information from page 4 and page 6, you see that the FDA considered literature from 1980 to 2014. Is that correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Yes, that is correct.  MS. BROWN:  Q And what the FDA concluded, contrary to your opinion here, Doctor, is that a cogent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report? MS. BROWN: Objection to the form. A Yes. MS. O'DELL: Q And in relation to Dr. Crowley's report regarding the fragrance chemicals, do you defer to Dr. Crowley regarding his analysis of the fragrance chemicals? A Yes. Q And did you rely on the opinions he reached in relation to the fragrance chemicals in reaching your opinions in this case? A Yes. My my review of that just, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q And putting together, then, the information from page 4 and page 6, you see that the FDA considered literature from 1980 to 2014. Is that correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Yes, that is correct.  MS. BROWN:  Q And what the FDA concluded, contrary to your opinion here, Doctor, is that a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report?  MS. BROWN: Objection to the form.  A Yes. MS. O'DELL: Q And in relation to Dr. Crowley's report regarding the fragrance chemicals, do you defer to Dr. Crowley regarding his analysis of the fragrance chemicals?  A Yes. Q And did you rely on the opinions he reached in relation to the fragrance chemicals in reaching your opinions in this case?  A Yes. My my review of that just, in addition to deferring it, was just made the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q And putting together, then, the information from page 4 and page 6, you see that the FDA considered literature from 1980 to 2014. Is that correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Yes, that is correct.  MS. BROWN:  Q And what the FDA concluded, contrary to your opinion here, Doctor, is that a cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	samples being positive for asbestos and 41 of the 42 samples tested containing fibrous talc, was was that information you had prior to reaching your opinions and finalizing your report? MS. BROWN: Objection to the form.  A Yes. MS. O'DELL: Q And in relation to Dr. Crowley's report regarding the fragrance chemicals, do you defer to Dr. Crowley regarding his analysis of the fragrance chemicals? A Yes. Q And did you rely on the opinions he reached in relation to the fragrance chemicals in reaching your opinions in this case? A Yes. My my review of that just, in addition to deferring it, was just made the general or made the statement that I was in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your request, we conducted an expanded literature search dating from the filing of the petition in 2008 through January 2014. The results of this search failed to identify any new compelling literature data or new scientific data."  Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q And putting together, then, the information from page 4 and page 6, you see that the FDA considered literature from 1980 to 2014. Is that correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Yes, that is correct.  MS. BROWN:  Q And what the FDA concluded, contrary to your opinion here, Doctor, is that a cogent biological mechanism by which tale might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking; correct?  MS. O'DELL:

	Page 374		Page 376
1	Q Directing your attention to page 4,	1	talc was causing in the body. True?
2	number 4, the conclusion regarding a cogent	2	MS. O'DELL:
3	biological mechanism lacking. Do you see that?	3	Object to the form.
4	MS. O'DELL:	4	A I'm aware of a number of studies that
5	Object to the form.	5	looked at inflammatory response in model systems
6	A Yes. I see where they they made the	6	and cell lines, and additional studies that
7	statement that cogent biological mechanism by	7	looked at inflammation in humans I believe were
8	which tale might lead to ovarian cancer is	8	referenced.
9	lacking and that exposure to talc does not	9	Certainly the Penninkilampi manuscripts
10	account for all cases of ovarian cancer.	10	described inflammatory observations and as
11	MS. BROWN:	11	well as the Buz'Zard and Lau were on human cells.
12	Q Next, Doctor, do you rely on the	12	Q Dr. Levy, is it your testimony that the
13	findings of the Hamilton article in forming your	13	Penninkilampi meta-analysis of prior
14	opinions in this case?	14	case-controlled studies demonstrated a
15	A Similar to as we've discussed, in a	15	inflammatory response of from perineal use of
16	portion, yes.	16	tale that led to ovarian cancer?
17	Q You, Dr. Levy, cannot point us to a	17	MS. O'DELL:
18	single paper showing an inflammatory response	18	Object to the form.
19	leading to ovarian cancer in humans from talc	19	A No. That's not my statement. It was
20	use. True?	20	that those those papers reported an
21	A There is I do not know of a single	21	inflammatory observation as part of those
22	paper that in a controlled fashion in humans	22	studies.
23	provided talc exposure that then was	23	MS. BROWN:
24	subsequently led to cancer in humans. That's	24	Q Not in the tissue from talc; right,
	D 27F		D- 00 277
	Page 375		Page 377
1	correct.	1	Doctor?
2	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of	2	Doctor? MS. O'DELL:
2 3	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study	2 3	Doctor? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 4	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an	2 3 4	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta
2 3 4 5	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in	2 3 4 5	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for
2 3 4 5 6	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?	2 3 4 5 6	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that
2 3 4 5 6 7	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would my review and development of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN:  Q So we must be missing. I'm what I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would my review and development of the biological plausibly plausible mechanism	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN:  Q So we must be missing. I'm what I'm asking you is for any study at all in the whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would my review and development of the biological plausibly plausible mechanism examined literature that led to the conclusions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN: Q So we must be missing. I'm what I'm asking you is for any study at all in the whole world that shows that talcum powder in somebody's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would my review and development of the biological plausibly plausible mechanism examined literature that led to the conclusions described in the report. I'm not aware of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN: Q So we must be missing. I'm what I'm asking you is for any study at all in the whole world that shows that talcum powder in somebody's body causing an inflammatory response that led to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would my review and development of the biological plausibly plausible mechanism examined literature that led to the conclusions described in the report. I'm not aware of a  The human-based studies were all case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN:  Q So we must be missing. I'm what I'm asking you is for any study at all in the whole world that shows that talcum powder in somebody's body causing an inflammatory response that led to ovarian cancer. Can you name one?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would my review and development of the biological plausibly plausible mechanism examined literature that led to the conclusions described in the report. I'm not aware of a  The human-based studies were all case cohort and or case-controlled and cohort	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN:  Q So we must be missing. I'm what I'm asking you is for any study at all in the whole world that shows that talcum powder in somebody's body causing an inflammatory response that led to ovarian cancer. Can you name one?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would my review and development of the biological plausibly plausible mechanism examined literature that led to the conclusions described in the report. I'm not aware of a  The human-based studies were all case cohort and or case-controlled and cohort studies that showed an association with talc	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN: Q So we must be missing. I'm what I'm asking you is for any study at all in the whole world that shows that talcum powder in somebody's body causing an inflammatory response that led to ovarian cancer. Can you name one?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would my review and development of the biological plausibly plausible mechanism examined literature that led to the conclusions described in the report. I'm not aware of a  The human-based studies were all case cohort and or case-controlled and cohort studies that showed an association with talc exposure and cancer, but I'm not aware of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN: Q So we must be missing. I'm what I'm asking you is for any study at all in the whole world that shows that talcum powder in somebody's body causing an inflammatory response that led to ovarian cancer. Can you name one?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I mean, we've we've discussed a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would my review and development of the biological plausibly plausible mechanism examined literature that led to the conclusions described in the report. I'm not aware of a  The human-based studies were all case cohort and or case-controlled and cohort studies that showed an association with talc exposure and cancer, but I'm not aware of a direct study.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN: Q So we must be missing. I'm what I'm asking you is for any study at all in the whole world that shows that talcum powder in somebody's body causing an inflammatory response that led to ovarian cancer. Can you name one?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I mean, we've we've discussed a number of studies that described the risk and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would my review and development of the biological plausibly plausible mechanism examined literature that led to the conclusions described in the report. I'm not aware of a  The human-based studies were all case cohort and or case-controlled and cohort studies that showed an association with talc exposure and cancer, but I'm not aware of a direct study.  MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN: Q So we must be missing. I'm what I'm asking you is for any study at all in the whole world that shows that talcum powder in somebody's body causing an inflammatory response that led to ovarian cancer. Can you name one?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I mean, we've we've discussed a number of studies that described the risk and association of talc in ovarian cancer. But the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I would my review and development of the biological plausibly plausible mechanism examined literature that led to the conclusions described in the report. I'm not aware of a The human-based studies were all case cohort and or case-controlled and cohort studies that showed an association with talc exposure and cancer, but I'm not aware of a direct study.  MS. BROWN: Q There have been some reports of alleged	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN: Q So we must be missing. I'm what I'm asking you is for any study at all in the whole world that shows that talcum powder in somebody's body causing an inflammatory response that led to ovarian cancer. Can you name one?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I mean, we've we've discussed a number of studies that described the risk and association of talc in ovarian cancer. But the limitation of the of your question or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I would my review and development of the biological plausibly plausible mechanism examined literature that led to the conclusions described in the report. I'm not aware of a The human-based studies were all case cohort and or case-controlled and cohort studies that showed an association with talc exposure and cancer, but I'm not aware of a direct study.  MS. BROWN: Q There have been some reports of alleged findings of talc in tissues or in other parts of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN: Q So we must be missing. I'm what I'm asking you is for any study at all in the whole world that shows that talcum powder in somebody's body causing an inflammatory response that led to ovarian cancer. Can you name one?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I mean, we've we've discussed a number of studies that described the risk and association of talc in ovarian cancer. But the limitation of the of your question or the limitation of the studies relative to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would my review and development of the biological plausibly plausible mechanism examined literature that led to the conclusions described in the report. I'm not aware of a  The human-based studies were all case cohort and or case-controlled and cohort studies that showed an association with talc exposure and cancer, but I'm not aware of a direct study.  MS. BROWN:  Q There have been some reports of alleged findings of talc in tissues or in other parts of the body. Are you familiar with those?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN: Q So we must be missing. I'm what I'm asking you is for any study at all in the whole world that shows that talcum powder in somebody's body causing an inflammatory response that led to ovarian cancer. Can you name one?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I mean, we've we've discussed a number of studies that described the risk and association of talc in ovarian cancer. But the limitation of the of your question or the limitation is those particular studies may not have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would my review and development of the biological plausibly plausible mechanism examined literature that led to the conclusions described in the report. I'm not aware of a  The human-based studies were all case cohort and or case-controlled and cohort studies that showed an association with talc exposure and cancer, but I'm not aware of a direct study.  MS. BROWN:  Q There have been some reports of alleged findings of talc in tissues or in other parts of the body. Are you familiar with those?  A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN: Q So we must be missing. I'm what I'm asking you is for any study at all in the whole world that shows that talcum powder in somebody's body causing an inflammatory response that led to ovarian cancer. Can you name one?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I mean, we've we've discussed a number of studies that described the risk and association of talc in ovarian cancer. But the limitation of the of your question or the limitation of the studies relative to your question is those particular studies may not have also assessed the inflammatory response or an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct.  Q Controlled aside, you're not aware of any observational case report, any kind of study that shows talcum powder use causing an inflammatory response leading to cancer in humans; correct?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A I would my review and development of the biological plausibly plausible mechanism examined literature that led to the conclusions described in the report. I'm not aware of a  The human-based studies were all case cohort and or case-controlled and cohort studies that showed an association with talc exposure and cancer, but I'm not aware of a direct study.  MS. BROWN:  Q There have been some reports of alleged findings of talc in tissues or in other parts of the body. Are you familiar with those?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A It would be those studies in the meta review were not examining the tissue content for talc. So they're unable to make that determination.  MS. BROWN: Q So we must be missing. I'm what I'm asking you is for any study at all in the whole world that shows that talcum powder in somebody's body causing an inflammatory response that led to ovarian cancer. Can you name one?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A I mean, we've we've discussed a number of studies that described the risk and association of talc in ovarian cancer. But the limitation of the of your question or the limitation is those particular studies may not have

	Page 378		Page 380
1	MS. BROWN:	1	MS. O'DELL:
2	Q Well, we got one. We got the Heller	2	Actually, that wasn't your question.
3	study that purported to find talc in ovarian	3	But you've clarified it, so
4	tissue; right?	4	A The so you're excluding are you
5	MS. O'DELL:	5	excluding cell lines?
6	Object to the form. Different	6	MS. BROWN:
7	MS. BROWN:	7	Q Yeah. Human beings. Do you know of
8	Counsel, it's form, please.	8	any study like Heller in human beings that
9	MS. O'DELL:	9	purports to find tale in human women ovarian
10	Object to the form.	10	tissue that shows an inflammatory response?
11	A Yeah. What was the the Heller	11	MS. O'DELL:
12	study, here it is.	12	Objection to form.
13	Yes, I recall our discussion of this	13	A I'm not aware of a study showing that
14	paper.	14	specifically.
15	MS. BROWN:	15	MS. BROWN:
16	Q Right.	16	Q Counsel asked you some questions about
17	And this study reported that there was	17	nickel causing inflammation that leads to ovarian
18	no inflammatory response around the talc that	18	cancer. Do you recall those?
19	they claimed to have found in the ovarian tissue.	19	MS. O'DELL:
20	True?	20	Object to the form.
21	A They make those statements in the	21	A No. I was asked if if heavy
22	paper, but the the I would have some	22	metal or components like nickel have been
23	concern with the histological methods, but I	23	shown to have a potential inflammatory response.
24	would certainly defer to a pathologist in the	24	MS. BROWN:
	Page 379		Page 381
1	sense of being able to determine the both	1	Q Uh-huh. Because you're not aware of
2	presence of tale and the inflammatory response in	2	any published scientific literature that shows
3	that.	3	heavy metals cause inflamma inflammation that
4	Q So you have some critiques of the	4	leads to ovarian cancer; right?
5	Heller study. Is that fair?	5	A I wasn't asked to to review for
6	MS. O'DELL:	6	that. I would state that there's a number of
7	Object to the form.	7	
			studies that show the role of metals
8	A I would say I would need a I would	8	
8 9	A I would say I would need a I would need a a I would need a further evaluation	8 9	particularly chromium and its and its damaging effect on DNA, which I think by would
	•		particularly chromium and its and its
9	need a a I would need a further evaluation	9	particularly chromium and its and its damaging effect on DNA, which I think by would
9 10	need a a I would need a further evaluation of the methodology for detecting both talc as	9 10	particularly chromium and its and its damaging effect on DNA, which I think by would certainly have both an inflammatory as well as
9 10 11	need a a I would need a further evaluation of the methodology for detecting both talc as well as inflammation in the same materials using	9 10 11	particularly chromium and its and its damaging effect on DNA, which I think by would certainly have both an inflammatory as well as carcinogenic effect.
9 10 11 12	need a a I would need a further evaluation of the methodology for detecting both talc as well as inflammation in the same materials using the methods of the Heller paper.	9 10 11 12	particularly chromium and its and its damaging effect on DNA, which I think by would certainly have both an inflammatory as well as carcinogenic effect.  Q And we're here on an issue of ovarian
9 10 11 12 13	need a a I would need a further evaluation of the methodology for detecting both talc as well as inflammation in the same materials using the methods of the Heller paper.  MS. BROWN:	9 10 11 12 13	particularly chromium and its and its damaging effect on DNA, which I think by would certainly have both an inflammatory as well as carcinogenic effect.  Q And we're here on an issue of ovarian cancer. And, as it relates to ovarian cancer,
9 10 11 12 13 14	need a a I would need a further evaluation of the methodology for detecting both talc as well as inflammation in the same materials using the methods of the Heller paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any other paper that	9 10 11 12 13 14	particularly chromium and its and its damaging effect on DNA, which I think by would certainly have both an inflammatory as well as carcinogenic effect.  Q And we're here on an issue of ovarian cancer. And, as it relates to ovarian cancer, you're not aware of any scientific support for
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	need a a I would need a further evaluation of the methodology for detecting both talc as well as inflammation in the same materials using the methods of the Heller paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any other paper that you think is methodologically superior that shows	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	particularly chromium and its and its damaging effect on DNA, which I think by would certainly have both an inflammatory as well as carcinogenic effect.  Q And we're here on an issue of ovarian cancer. And, as it relates to ovarian cancer, you're not aware of any scientific support for the proposition that heavy metals can lead to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	need a a I would need a further evaluation of the methodology for detecting both talc as well as inflammation in the same materials using the methods of the Heller paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any other paper that you think is methodologically superior that shows the presence of talc in ovarian tissue exhibiting	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	particularly chromium and its and its damaging effect on DNA, which I think by would certainly have both an inflammatory as well as carcinogenic effect.  Q And we're here on an issue of ovarian cancer. And, as it relates to ovarian cancer, you're not aware of any scientific support for the proposition that heavy metals can lead to inflammation that causes ovarian cancer. Fair
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	need a a I would need a further evaluation of the methodology for detecting both talc as well as inflammation in the same materials using the methods of the Heller paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any other paper that you think is methodologically superior that shows the presence of talc in ovarian tissue exhibiting an inflammatory response?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	particularly chromium and its and its damaging effect on DNA, which I think by would certainly have both an inflammatory as well as carcinogenic effect.  Q And we're here on an issue of ovarian cancer. And, as it relates to ovarian cancer, you're not aware of any scientific support for the proposition that heavy metals can lead to inflammation that causes ovarian cancer. Fair enough?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	need a a I would need a further evaluation of the methodology for detecting both talc as well as inflammation in the same materials using the methods of the Heller paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any other paper that you think is methodologically superior that shows the presence of talc in ovarian tissue exhibiting an inflammatory response?  MS. O'DELL:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	particularly chromium and its and its damaging effect on DNA, which I think by would certainly have both an inflammatory as well as carcinogenic effect.  Q And we're here on an issue of ovarian cancer. And, as it relates to ovarian cancer, you're not aware of any scientific support for the proposition that heavy metals can lead to inflammation that causes ovarian cancer. Fair enough?  A Well, I was certainly, I was asked
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	need a a I would need a further evaluation of the methodology for detecting both talc as well as inflammation in the same materials using the methods of the Heller paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any other paper that you think is methodologically superior that shows the presence of talc in ovarian tissue exhibiting an inflammatory response?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	particularly chromium and its and its damaging effect on DNA, which I think by would certainly have both an inflammatory as well as carcinogenic effect.  Q And we're here on an issue of ovarian cancer. And, as it relates to ovarian cancer, you're not aware of any scientific support for the proposition that heavy metals can lead to inflammation that causes ovarian cancer. Fair enough?  A Well, I was certainly, I was asked to review the literature to develop a and
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	need a a I would need a further evaluation of the methodology for detecting both talc as well as inflammation in the same materials using the methods of the Heller paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any other paper that you think is methodologically superior that shows the presence of talc in ovarian tissue exhibiting an inflammatory response?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Well, we've discussed the rat studies.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	particularly chromium and its and its damaging effect on DNA, which I think by would certainly have both an inflammatory as well as carcinogenic effect.  Q And we're here on an issue of ovarian cancer. And, as it relates to ovarian cancer, you're not aware of any scientific support for the proposition that heavy metals can lead to inflammation that causes ovarian cancer. Fair enough?  A Well, I was certainly, I was asked to review the literature to develop a and develop conclusions of that literature as it
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	need a a I would need a further evaluation of the methodology for detecting both talc as well as inflammation in the same materials using the methods of the Heller paper.  MS. BROWN:  Q Are you aware of any other paper that you think is methodologically superior that shows the presence of talc in ovarian tissue exhibiting an inflammatory response?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A Well, we've discussed the rat studies.  MS. BROWN:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	particularly chromium and its and its damaging effect on DNA, which I think by would certainly have both an inflammatory as well as carcinogenic effect.  Q And we're here on an issue of ovarian cancer. And, as it relates to ovarian cancer, you're not aware of any scientific support for the proposition that heavy metals can lead to inflammation that causes ovarian cancer. Fair enough?  A Well, I was certainly, I was asked to review the literature to develop a and develop conclusions of that literature as it related to a a potential or possible

	Page 382		Page 384
1	its components, as we discussed earlier, may	1	mineralogy of talc.
2	have there's the possibility of having	2	Q And whether what Dr. Longo is finding
3	additional component effects, such as heavy	3	in the samples that he tested is the asbestiform
4	metals and their effects, asbestiforms and their	4	or nonasbestiform variety of the minerals, you
5	effects and the like; therefore, really	5	would defer to others? Is that fair?
6	considering the complete components of the	6	A I'd certainly defer to Dr. Longo.
7	product overall.	7	Q And have you looked at any other
8	Q And, as it relates to the testimony you	8	testing of the samples that Dr. Longo has tested?
9	just gave, you're talking about just a	9	MS. O'DELL:
10	theoretical possibility; right?	10	Object to the form. Vague.
11	MS. O'DELL:	11	A Within the literature, there's there
12	Objection to form.	12	was a number of tables describing testing,
13	A Sure. And, then, from that review	13	described tests from previous testimony.
14	developing a a conclusion of a biologically	14	MS. BROWN:
15	plausible mechanism.	15	Q Have you looked at the testing that
16	MS. BROWN:	16	public health authorities like the FDA have done
17	Q Has that conclusion been published in	17	on Johnson & Johnson's baby powder?
18	the peer-reviewed literature, Doctor?	18	A I believe some of that was provided,
19	A No, it has not.	19	yes.
20	Q And, in fact, as you all of the	20	Q Are you relying on any finding of
21	opinions that you gave here today, those opinions	21	asbestos from Dr. Longo in forming your opinions
22	have not been published in the peer review	22	here today?
23	literature. True?	23	A The
24	MS. O'DELL:	24	MS. O'DELL:
1	Page 383 Object to the form.	1	Page 385 Object to the form.
1 2	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.	1 2	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again,
2	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about	2 3	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today,
2 3 4	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about  Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?	2 3 4	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were
2 3 4 5	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about  Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.	2 3 4 5	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or
2 3 4 5 6	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about  Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the	2 3 4 5 6	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his	2 3 4 5 6 7	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?  A No, I have not done any experiments to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as well as potential carcinogen, I think just helps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?  A No, I have not done any experiments to validate those findings.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as well as potential carcinogen, I think just helps lend additional support to the biological
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?  A No, I have not done any experiments to validate those findings.  Q Okay. Are you aware that some of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as well as potential carcinogen, I think just helps lend additional support to the biological plausibility of the mechanism. But I think that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?  A No, I have not done any experiments to validate those findings.  Q Okay. Are you aware that some of the samples that Dr. Longo tests and purports to find	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as well as potential carcinogen, I think just helps lend additional support to the biological plausibility of the mechanism. But I think that biological mechanism is not dependent on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?  A No, I have not done any experiments to validate those findings.  Q Okay. Are you aware that some of the samples that Dr. Longo tests and purports to find asbestos were purchased off of eBay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as well as potential carcinogen, I think just helps lend additional support to the biological plausibility of the mechanism. But I think that biological mechanism is not dependent on the presence of asbestos.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?  A No, I have not done any experiments to validate those findings.  Q Okay. Are you aware that some of the samples that Dr. Longo tests and purports to find asbestos were purchased off of eBay?  MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as well as potential carcinogen, I think just helps lend additional support to the biological plausibility of the mechanism. But I think that biological mechanism is not dependent on the presence of asbestos.  MS. BROWN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?  A No, I have not done any experiments to validate those findings.  Q Okay. Are you aware that some of the samples that Dr. Longo tests and purports to find asbestos were purchased off of eBay?  MS. O'DELL:  Misstates well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as well as potential carcinogen, I think just helps lend additional support to the biological plausibility of the mechanism. But I think that biological mechanism is not dependent on the presence of asbestos.  MS. BROWN:  Q Other than plaintiffs' expert,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?  A No, I have not done any experiments to validate those findings.  Q Okay. Are you aware that some of the samples that Dr. Longo tests and purports to find asbestos were purchased off of eBay?  MS. O'DELL:  Misstates well  A My review of the report, I was did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as well as potential carcinogen, I think just helps lend additional support to the biological plausibility of the mechanism. But I think that biological mechanism is not dependent on the presence of asbestos.  MS. BROWN:  Q Other than plaintiffs' expert, Dr. Longo, are you relying on anything else to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?  A No, I have not done any experiments to validate those findings.  Q Okay. Are you aware that some of the samples that Dr. Longo tests and purports to find asbestos were purchased off of eBay?  MS. O'DELL:  Misstates well  A My review of the report, I was did not include the I guess the specific history	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as well as potential carcinogen, I think just helps lend additional support to the biological plausibility of the mechanism. But I think that biological mechanism is not dependent on the presence of asbestos.  MS. BROWN:  Q Other than plaintiffs' expert, Dr. Longo, are you relying on anything else to support the potential for asbestos in baby
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?  A No, I have not done any experiments to validate those findings.  Q Okay. Are you aware that some of the samples that Dr. Longo tests and purports to find asbestos were purchased off of eBay?  MS. O'DELL:  Misstates well  A My review of the report, I was did not include the I guess the specific history of each of the samples.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as well as potential carcinogen, I think just helps lend additional support to the biological plausibility of the mechanism. But I think that biological mechanism is not dependent on the presence of asbestos.  MS. BROWN:  Q Other than plaintiffs' expert, Dr. Longo, are you relying on anything else to support the potential for asbestos in baby powder?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?  A No, I have not done any experiments to validate those findings.  Q Okay. Are you aware that some of the samples that Dr. Longo tests and purports to find asbestos were purchased off of eBay?  MS. O'DELL:  Misstates well  A My review of the report, I was did not include the I guess the specific history of each of the samples.  MS. BROWN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as well as potential carcinogen, I think just helps lend additional support to the biological plausibility of the mechanism. But I think that biological mechanism is not dependent on the presence of asbestos.  MS. BROWN:  Q Other than plaintiffs' expert, Dr. Longo, are you relying on anything else to support the potential for asbestos in baby powder?  MS. O'DELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?  A No, I have not done any experiments to validate those findings.  Q Okay. Are you aware that some of the samples that Dr. Longo tests and purports to find asbestos were purchased off of eBay?  MS. O'DELL:  Misstates well  A My review of the report, I was did not include the I guess the specific history of each of the samples.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you understand that asbestos that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as well as potential carcinogen, I think just helps lend additional support to the biological plausibility of the mechanism. But I think that biological mechanism is not dependent on the presence of asbestos.  MS. BROWN:  Q Other than plaintiffs' expert, Dr. Longo, are you relying on anything else to support the potential for asbestos in baby powder?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?  A No, I have not done any experiments to validate those findings.  Q Okay. Are you aware that some of the samples that Dr. Longo tests and purports to find asbestos were purchased off of eBay?  MS. O'DELL:  Misstates well  A My review of the report, I was did not include the I guess the specific history of each of the samples.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you understand that asbestos that minerals like tremolite or anthophyllite, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as well as potential carcinogen, I think just helps lend additional support to the biological plausibility of the mechanism. But I think that biological mechanism is not dependent on the presence of asbestos.  MS. BROWN:  Q Other than plaintiffs' expert, Dr. Longo, are you relying on anything else to support the potential for asbestos in baby powder?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A There's so I saw reference to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?  A No, I have not done any experiments to validate those findings.  Q Okay. Are you aware that some of the samples that Dr. Longo tests and purports to find asbestos were purchased off of eBay?  MS. O'DELL:  Misstates well  A My review of the report, I was did not include the I guess the specific history of each of the samples.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you understand that asbestos that minerals like tremolite or anthophyllite, they exist in both the asbestiform and nonasbestiform	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as well as potential carcinogen, I think just helps lend additional support to the biological plausibility of the mechanism. But I think that biological mechanism is not dependent on the presence of asbestos.  MS. BROWN:  Q Other than plaintiffs' expert, Dr. Longo, are you relying on anything else to support the potential for asbestos in baby powder?  MS. O'DELL:  Object to the form.  A There's so I saw reference to asbestos content in some of the other literature
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Object to the form.  A Not at this time.  Q Counsel asked you some questions about Dr. Longo. Do you recall that?  A Yes.  Q You've done nothing to validate the findings that Dr. Longo writes about in his reports. Is that fair?  A No, I have not done any experiments to validate those findings.  Q Okay. Are you aware that some of the samples that Dr. Longo tests and purports to find asbestos were purchased off of eBay?  MS. O'DELL:  Misstates well  A My review of the report, I was did not include the I guess the specific history of each of the samples.  MS. BROWN:  Q Do you understand that asbestos that minerals like tremolite or anthophyllite, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Object to the form.  A The inclusion of the asbestos, again, as as as we've discussed a few times today, the conclusion I developed from the report were not dependent or independent of any one or another component of of the talcum powder.  As we discussed a bit ago, the presence of asbestos as a known inflammatory mediator, as well as potential carcinogen, I think just helps lend additional support to the biological plausibility of the mechanism. But I think that biological mechanism is not dependent on the presence of asbestos.  MS. BROWN:  Q Other than plaintiffs' expert, Dr. Longo, are you relying on anything else to support the potential for asbestos in baby powder?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  A There's so I saw reference to

2 reviews MS. 4 Q 5 when 6 costs 7 MS. 8 9 A 10 award 11 MS. 12 Q 13 Dr. 6 14 on the 15 those 16 A 17 Q 18 white 19 A 20 the 16 22 relate 23 inches 24 cher 1 the in 2 lister 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbeed 9 opin 10 closs	Sitting here today, are you aware ether or not that was Johnson & Johnson's metic talc?  O'DELL: Object to the form. I would have to look closely. I'm not are of that specifically. BROWN: Counsel asked you some questions about Crowley and whether or not you were relying the opinions he reached. Do you remember se questions? I do. What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on the you rely? Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	opinion is independent of Dr. Crowley's findings. Is that fair?  MS. O'DELL:  Objection to form. Vague.  A Well, my my my opinion, again, similar to as we've been discussing that, it considers the totality of the information available, including Dr. Crowley's report, but does not rely on any one specific report or otherwise.  And, so, the again, restating similar to the asbestos, the presence of potential irritants as another component in the in the product just provides additional support for that inflammatory mechanism playing a significant role.  MS. BROWN:  Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold glausibility?
3 MS. 4 Q 5 whee 6 cosr 7 MS. 8 9 A 10 awa 11 MS. 12 Q 13 Dr. 14 on ti 15 thos 16 A 17 Q 18 which 19 A 20 the ti 22 relati 23 inch 24 cher  1 the ti 2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opir 10 clos	Sitting here today, are you aware ether or not that was Johnson & Johnson's metic talc?  O'DELL: Object to the form. I would have to look closely. I'm not are of that specifically. BROWN: Counsel asked you some questions about Crowley and whether or not you were relying the opinions he reached. Do you remember se questions? I do. What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on the you rely? Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. Vague.  A Well, my my my opinion, again, similar to as we've been discussing that, it considers the totality of the information available, including Dr. Crowley's report, but does not rely on any one specific report or otherwise.  And, so, the again, restating similar to the asbestos, the presence of potential irritants as another component in the in the product just provides additional support for that inflammatory mechanism playing a significant role.  MS. BROWN: Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility? A I would. Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
4 Q 5 whee 6 cosr 7 MS. 8 9 A 10 awa 11 MS. 12 Q 13 Dr. 14 on ti 15 thos 16 A 17 Q 18 which 19 A 20 the ti 22 relat 23 inch 24 cher  1 the si 2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opin 10 clos	Sitting here today, are you aware ether or not that was Johnson & Johnson's metic talc?  O'DELL: Object to the form. I would have to look closely. I'm not are of that specifically. BROWN: Counsel asked you some questions about Crowley and whether or not you were relying the opinions he reached. Do you remember se questions? I do. What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on the chyou rely? Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Objection to form. Vague.  A Well, my my my opinion, again, similar to as we've been discussing that, it considers the totality of the information available, including Dr. Crowley's report, but does not rely on any one specific report or otherwise.  And, so, the again, restating similar to the asbestos, the presence of potential irritants as another component in the in the product just provides additional support for that inflammatory mechanism playing a significant role.  MS. BROWN:  Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
5 whee 6 cosr 7 MS. 8 9 A 10 awa 11 MS. 12 Q 13 Dr. 0 14 on ti 15 thos 16 A 17 Q 18 which 19 A 20 the ti 22 relat 23 inch 24 cher  1 the ti 2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opir 10 clos	ether or not that was Johnson & Johnson's metic talc?  O'DELL: Object to the form. I would have to look closely. I'm not are of that specifically. BROWN: Counsel asked you some questions about Crowley and whether or not you were relying the opinions he reached. Do you remember se questions? I do. What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on the chyou rely? Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Well, my my my opinion, again, similar to as we've been discussing that, it considers the totality of the information available, including Dr. Crowley's report, but does not rely on any one specific report or otherwise.  And, so, the again, restating similar to the asbestos, the presence of potential irritants as another component in the in the product just provides additional support for that inflammatory mechanism playing a significant role.  MS. BROWN:  Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
6 cosr 7 MS. 8 9 A 10 awa 11 MS. 12 Q 13 Dr. 0 14 on th 15 thos 16 A 17 Q 18 which 19 A 20 the f 21 the i 22 relat 23 inch 24 cher  1 the s 2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opir 10 clos	metic talc?  O'DELL: Object to the form. I would have to look closely. I'm not are of that specifically. BROWN: Counsel asked you some questions about Crowley and whether or not you were relying the opinions he reached. Do you remember se questions? I do. What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on the chyou rely? Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	similar to as we've been discussing that, it considers the totality of the information available, including Dr. Crowley's report, but does not rely on any one specific report or otherwise.  And, so, the again, restating similar to the asbestos, the presence of potential irritants as another component in the in the product just provides additional support for that inflammatory mechanism playing a significant role.  MS. BROWN:  Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
7 MS. 8 9 A 10 awa 11 MS. 12 Q 13 Dr. 14 on the second sec	O'DELL: Object to the form. I would have to look closely. I'm not are of that specifically. BROWN: Counsel asked you some questions about Crowley and whether or not you were relying the opinions he reached. Do you remember se questions? I do. What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on the characteristic of the components and made assessments of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	considers the totality of the information available, including Dr. Crowley's report, but does not rely on any one specific report or otherwise.  And, so, the again, restating similar to the asbestos, the presence of potential irritants as another component in the in the product just provides additional support for that inflammatory mechanism playing a significant role.  MS. BROWN:  Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
8 9 A 10 awa 11 MS. 12 Q 13 Dr. 0 14 on ti 15 thos 16 A 17 Q 18 which 19 A 20 the fi 22 relat 23 inch 24 cher  1 the i 2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opir 10 clos	Object to the form.  I would have to look closely. I'm not are of that specifically.  BROWN:  Counsel asked you some questions about Crowley and whether or not you were relying the opinions he reached. Do you remember se questions?  I do.  What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on the characteristic components and made assessments of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	available, including Dr. Crowley's report, but does not rely on any one specific report or otherwise.  And, so, the again, restating similar to the asbestos, the presence of potential irritants as another component in the in the product just provides additional support for that inflammatory mechanism playing a significant role.  MS. BROWN:  Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
9 A 10 awa 11 MS. 12 Q 13 Dr. ( 14 on the second se	I would have to look closely. I'm not are of that specifically. BROWN: Counsel asked you some questions about Crowley and whether or not you were relying the opinions he reached. Do you remember se questions? I do. What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on och you rely? Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	does not rely on any one specific report or otherwise.  And, so, the again, restating similar to the asbestos, the presence of potential irritants as another component in the in the product just provides additional support for that inflammatory mechanism playing a significant role.  MS. BROWN:  Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
10 awa 11 MS. 12 Q 13 Dr. 14 on ti 15 thos 16 A 17 Q 18 which 19 A 20 the ti 22 relat 23 inch 24 cher  1 the ti 2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opin 10 clos	re of that specifically.  BROWN:  Counsel asked you some questions about Crowley and whether or not you were relying the opinions he reached. Do you remember se questions?  I do.  What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on the you rely?  Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	otherwise.  And, so, the again, restating similar to the asbestos, the presence of potential irritants as another component in the in the product just provides additional support for that inflammatory mechanism playing a significant role.  MS. BROWN:  Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
11 MS. 12 Q 13 Dr. 6 14 on the second	. BROWN:  Counsel asked you some questions about Crowley and whether or not you were relying the opinions he reached. Do you remember the questions?  I do.  What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on the you rely?  Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And, so, the again, restating similar to the asbestos, the presence of potential irritants as another component in the in the product just provides additional support for that inflammatory mechanism playing a significant role.  MS. BROWN:  Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
12 Q 13 Dr. 0 14 on ti 15 thos 16 A 17 Q 18 which 19 A 20 the ti 22 relati 23 inch 24 cher  1 the ti 2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opin 10 clos	Counsel asked you some questions about Crowley and whether or not you were relying the opinions he reached. Do you remember se questions?  I do.  What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on the you rely?  Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	similar to the asbestos, the presence of potential irritants as another component in the in the product just provides additional support for that inflammatory mechanism playing a significant role.  MS. BROWN:  Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
13 Dr. 6 14 on ti 15 thos 16 A 17 Q 18 which 19 A 20 the fi 22 relat 23 inch 24 cher  1 the fi 2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opin 10 clos	Crowley and whether or not you were relying the opinions he reached. Do you remember se questions?  I do.  What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on the you rely?  Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	potential irritants as another component in the in the product just provides additional support for that inflammatory mechanism playing a significant role.  MS. BROWN:  Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
14 on ti 15 thos 16 A 17 Q 18 which 19 A 20 the fi 21 the i 22 relat 23 inch 24 cher  1 the fi 2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opin 10 clos	the opinions he reached. Do you remember se questions?  I do.  What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on ch you rely?  Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the in the product just provides additional support for that inflammatory mechanism playing a significant role.  MS. BROWN:  Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
15 thos 16 A 17 Q 18 which 19 A 20 the filt 21 the in 22 relat 23 inch 24 cher  1 the in 2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opin 10 clos	I do. What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on ch you rely? Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	support for that inflammatory mechanism playing a significant role.  MS. BROWN:  Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
16 A 17 Q 18 which 19 A 20 the final section of the	I do. What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on ch you rely? Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	significant role.  MS. BROWN:  Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
17 Q 18 which 19 A 20 the final section of the fina	What opinions did Dr. Crowley reach on ch you rely?  Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BROWN:  Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
18 which 19 A 20 the fraction of the fraction	ch you rely?  Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	18 19 20 21 22 23	Q If none of the chemicals Dr. Crowley identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
19 A 20 the f 21 the i 22 relat 23 inclu 24 cher  1 the i 2 liste 3 num 4 and 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opir 10 clos	Dr. Crowley performed an analysis of fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	19 20 21 22 23	identified were present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
20 the final state of the final	fragrance components and made assessments of individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	20 21 22 23	hold the same opinion of biological plausibility?  A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
21 the i 22 relat 23 inch 24 cher  1 the i 2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opin 10 clos	individual chemical components and their tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	21 22 23	A I would.  Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
22 relate 23 inches 24 cher 2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opir 10 clos	tionship to or I should say their their usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	22 23	Q If no asbestos was present in baby powder, would you hold the same opinion on
1 the 1 2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opin 10 clos	usion on various lists for their their mical properties or safety. And in most in	23	powder, would you hold the same opinion on
1 the 1 2 liste 3 num 4 and 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opin 10 clos	mical properties or safety. And in most in		
1 the 1 2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opin 10 clos		24	biological plausibility?
2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opir 10 clos			
2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opin 10 clos	Page 387		Page 389
2 liste 3 num 4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opir 10 clos	majority of cases, the chemicals were not	1	A Yes.
4 and, 5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opir 10 clos	ed. In a number of cases, there were large	2	MS. BROWN:
5 in a 6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opir 10 clos	nbers of chemicals listed as either irritants	3	No further questions. Thank you.
6 7 info 8 asbe 9 opir 10 clos	, therefore, able to cause inflammation, or,	4	MS. O'DELL:
7 info 8 asbe 9 opir 10 clos	few cases, as potential carcinogens.	5	I have just one follow-up.
8 asbe 9 opir 10 clos	And, so, it was that review of that	6	Or do you have anything
9 opir 10 clos	ormation, similar to our discussions around	7	MR. FERGUSON:
10 clos	estos, that I included or agreed with his	8	Nothing further.
	nions regarding that on the last paragraph or	9	MS. O'DELL:
11 -4-4.	se to the last paragraph of the report that	10	Excuse me. I'm sorry.
11 state	ed I was just in agreement that these that	11	EXAMINATION
	se chemicals contribute to the inflammatory	12	BY MS. O'DELL:
13 prop	perties observed.	13	Q Dr. Crowley, are your opinions in this
14 Q	Do you know in what quantity the	14	case contained in your report as well as in the
	micals Dr. Crowley identifies are present, if	15	testimony that you've given here today?
16 at al	ll, in Johnson & Johnson's products?	16	A You said Dr. Crowley.
17 A	No. I wasn't asked to provide that	17	Q Oh. Excuse me. Sorry. I had
	iew. I would defer to Dr. Crowley's report	18	Dr. Crowley on my mind.
_	arding any quantitative analysis of those	19	Dr. Levy
20 chei	micals.	20	It's getting late in the day.
21 Q	And ag it relates to years aminion	21	Dr. Levy, are your opinions in this
	And, as it relates to your opinion,	22	case expressed in your report and your testimony
	Levy, it makes no difference whether	23	today?
24 Qua			A Yes.

	Page 390	Page 392
1		1 ERRATA PAGE
1 2	Q And do you hold those opinions to a	2 ERRATATAGE
3	reasonable degree of scientific certainty?  A Yes.	3 I, SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D., the witness herein,
4	MS. O'DELL:	have read the transcript of my testimony, and the
5	I have nothing further.	4 same is true and correct, to the best of my
6	MS. BROWN:	knowledge, with the exceptions of the following
7	Thanks for your time, Doctor.	5 changes noted below, if any:
8	I think we're off the record.	6 Page/Line Word(s) to be changed/reason Correct Word 7
9	VIDEOGRAPHER:	8
10	We're off the record. The time is	9
11	6 p.m.	10
12	(Deposition concluded at 6:00 p.m.)	11
13	(Deposition concluded at 0.00 p.m.)	12
14		13
15		14
16		15
17		16
18		10
19		19
20		20
21		21
22		
23		22 SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D.
24		23
		24
	Page 391	Page 393
1		Page 393  1 DECLARATION OF WITNESS
1 2	Page 391 CERTIFICATE	
		1 DECLARATION OF WITNESS
2	CERTIFICATE  I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter	1 DECLARATION OF WITNESS 2
2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATE  I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty  of perjury that I have read the foregoing  transcript, and I have made any corrections,
2 3 4 5 6	CERTIFICATE  I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of
2 3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE  I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of making; that the foregoing is a true and correct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE  I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of making; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my testimony contained herein.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of making; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my testimony contained herein.  EXECUTED this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given by said witness upon said hearing.	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of making; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my testimony contained herein.  EXECUTED this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given by said witness upon said hearing.  I further certify that I am neither of	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of making; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my testimony contained herein.  EXECUTED this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given by said witness upon said hearing.  I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor of kin to the parties to the action,	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of making; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my testimony contained herein.  EXECUTED this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given by said witness upon said hearing.  I further certify that I am neither of	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of making; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my testimony contained herein.  EXECUTED this day of,  (City) (State)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given by said witness upon said hearing.  I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor of kin to the parties to the action, nor am I in anywise interested in the result of	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of making; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my testimony contained herein.  EXECUTED this day of,  (City) (State)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given by said witness upon said hearing.  I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor of kin to the parties to the action, nor am I in anywise interested in the result of	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of making; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my testimony contained herein.  EXECUTED this day of,  (City) (State)  11 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given by said witness upon said hearing.  I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor of kin to the parties to the action, nor am I in anywise interested in the result of	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of making; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my testimony contained herein.  EXECUTED this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given by said witness upon said hearing.  I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor of kin to the parties to the action, nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said cause.	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of making; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my testimony contained herein.  EXECUTED this day of,  (City) (State)  SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given by said witness upon said hearing.  I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor of kin to the parties to the action, nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said cause.	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of making; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my testimony contained herein.  EXECUTED this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given by said witness upon said hearing.  I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor of kin to the parties to the action, nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said cause.  LOIS ANNE ROBINSON, RPR, RMR REGISTERED DIPLOMATE REPORTER	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of making; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my testimony contained herein.  EXECUTED this day of,  (City) (State)  SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given by said witness upon said hearing.  I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor of kin to the parties to the action, nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said cause.	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of making; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my testimony contained herein.  EXECUTED this day of,  (City) (State)  SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D.  SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given by said witness upon said hearing.  I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor of kin to the parties to the action, nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said cause.  LOIS ANNE ROBINSON, RPR, RMR REGISTERED DIPLOMATE REPORTER	1 DECLARATION OF WITNESS 2 3 I, the undersigned, declare under penalty 4 of perjury that I have read the foregoing 5 transcript, and I have made any corrections, 6 additions, or deletions that I was desirous of 7 making; that the foregoing is a true and correct 8 transcript of my testimony contained herein. 9 EXECUTED this day of, 10 2019, at,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given by said witness upon said hearing.  I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor of kin to the parties to the action, nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said cause.  LOIS ANNE ROBINSON, RPR, RMR REGISTERED DIPLOMATE REPORTER	1 DECLARATION OF WITNESS 2 3 I, the undersigned, declare under penalty 4 of perjury that I have read the foregoing 5 transcript, and I have made any corrections, 6 additions, or deletions that I was desirous of 7 making; that the foregoing is a true and correct 8 transcript of my testimony contained herein. 9 EXECUTED this day of, 10 2019, at, (City) (State) 11 12 13 14 15 16 SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given by said witness upon said hearing.  I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor of kin to the parties to the action, nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said cause.  LOIS ANNE ROBINSON, RPR, RMR REGISTERED DIPLOMATE REPORTER	DECLARATION OF WITNESS  I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I have made any corrections, additions, or deletions that I was desirous of making; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my testimony contained herein.  EXECUTED this day of,  (City) (State)  SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D.  SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given by said witness upon said hearing.  I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor of kin to the parties to the action, nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said cause.  LOIS ANNE ROBINSON, RPR, RMR REGISTERED DIPLOMATE REPORTER	1 DECLARATION OF WITNESS 2 3 I, the undersigned, declare under penalty 4 of perjury that I have read the foregoing 5 transcript, and I have made any corrections, 6 additions, or deletions that I was desirous of 7 making; that the foregoing is a true and correct 8 transcript of my testimony contained herein. 9 EXECUTED this day of, 10 2019, at, (City) (State) 11 12 13 14 15 16 SHAWN LEVY, Ph.D.

				Page 394
	4 1175 12	107.10.215.16	100 14	220 0 240 0 14
	accepted 175:13	197:10 315:16	109:14	329:8 349:8,14
<b>a.m</b> 1:18 7:6	263:12,18	319:14	adult 99:2,4	355:8
82:12,16	286:24 287:19	acts 349:16	adults 323:12	agreed 387:8
166:18	304:11	actual 162:22	aerobic 318:9	agreement
abilities 70.24	access 46:9	212:20	aerosol 200:11	206:11 310:3
ability 94:2	86:16 88:3	acute 92:4	aerosol-based	371:22 387:11
128:11 138:22	121:22,23	106:13 115:2	191:9	ahead 53:20
217:24 245:9	accommodate	115:14 118:10	affect 165:9	301:15
246:2 323:21	10:24	171:12,18	216:22 343:19	al 25:3 365:6
JT1.10 JT0.10	account 374:10	172:11 239:20	affirmatively	al- 206:22
353:19	accumulate	240:15 241:2	10:15 11:17	Alabama 1:17
able 21:12 38:15	335:1	241:17 242:1,7	156:23 302:19	1:21 2:4 7:8
85:11 110:18	accumulation	242:20 243:12	afield 318:24	91:6 102:21
121:22 131:3	192:5 253:24	244:3,19 245:9	324:16	103:8,10,13
131:23 133:4	254:3 335:12	245:19 246:6	Afindeis@nap	104:19 108:6
133:10 144:16	Accumulations	246:15,21	2:12	Alabama's
145:3 150:7	191:23	247:4,17,22	aforementioned	103:16 104:5
154:4,7 161:21	accuracy 323:23	248:6,15	391:5	104:11
162:10 184:13	341:18	<b>ad</b> 101:19	afternoon 307:4	ALASTAIR
184:22 198:20	accurate 20:23	add 126:8 243:8	age 319:2,2,7,7	2:11
222:11,14	47:22 74:10	addition 33:20	319:12,21	alerting 44:7
253:22 293:21	90:19 98:8	101:7 182:23	322:3	ALEXIS 2:18
	accurately	202:13 203:3,5	age-related	Alexis.kellert
348:14 379:1	21:13 22:6	358:19 365:10	319:23	2:19
387:4	30:7 39:20	371:20	agent 315:1	algorithm
above-referen	46:13,22 47:5	additional 18:17	322:16	284:17
132:1	86:18 110:19	18:22 33:14	agents 320:10	alike 58:13
absence 198:16	132:16 145:3	34:2 35:20	aging 318:9,13	alleged 375:19
217:23	149:12 154:5	39:15 43:14	<b>ago</b> 9:3 14:19,19	<b>alleles</b> 345:23
absent 217:4	173:23 279:6	47:23 55:7,14	97:14 113:4	ALLEN 2:3
absolutely 28:9	achieve 311:10	55:20 82:20	129:15 148:23	allergies 307:10
30:8 125:22	acquired 51:13	182:21 203:8	208:12 367:16	<b>Alli</b> 8:2 76:19
264:19 265:5	51:13,19 53:9	204:3 207:24	385:7	ALLISON 2:15
317:6	313:8	269:18 335:1	agree 25:18	Allison.brown
	act 77:24 78:11	335:12 376:6	32:20 52:7	2:16
86:15 87:9,10	action 5:24	382:3 385:10	65:21 66:7,12	allow 62:21 63:4
87:14 88:4	142:7 232:14	388:14	66:17,18,21	115:9 122:2
180:8 275:24	268:5 391:12	additions 393:6	67:7,17 85:18	136:18 240:5
280:4,23 303:7	active 106:19	additive 369:6	105:10,15,15	256:4 291:22
303:8	172:3 235:11	addressed	115:20,23	334:24
	activities 98:23	220:10	168:24 169:12	<b>allowed</b> 101:13
87:18 88:9	101:10,11,14	adenoma 192:8	185:16,24	292:6
123:1 181:3	109:20 121:6	192:8	205:2 215:1	allows 363:11
academic 98:11	258:13 294:11	<b>adjunct</b> 103:11	221:22 223:15	alterations
310:23	308:14 366:20	310:21 311:19	287:1 305:24	330:8
accept 83:21	activity 31:12	312:14	313:20 317:4	altered 213:23
ассере 03.21	101:6 188:10	administration	318:7 321:5	214:5 216:20

				Page 395
			<u> </u>	
alveolar 192:7	362:3	103:20 104:14	60:22 62:1	98:19 323:11
AMERICA 3:2	animals 25:20	128:24 136:13	73:19 83:17	324:6,6 337:3
amount 79:5	27:9 36:2,12	137:5 140:10	84:11 122:19	337:20
96:19 100:23	37:9,10 184:14	146:18 153:10	134:23 154:14	<b>April</b> 359:7
133:2,17 134:4	185:19 186:4	155:21 157:6	160:4 161:22	area 97:22
146:4 147:12	186:16,20	157:12 178:8	169:7,10 172:9	101:15 106:19
151:15 153:5	188:2 207:6	185:23 189:2	197:8 224:10	198:18 199:13
162:21 163:3	210:3	206:21 214:22	305:7 327:11	318:19 371:23
163:24 166:8	<b>Anne</b> 1:19 3:21	231:16 235:21	329:2 357:20	areas 31:12
248:3,23	391:18	242:17 243:23	<b>APPEL</b> 3:14	107:23 172:3
299:18 354:3	annual 319:1,7	256:4 262:6	applicable 190:5	283:6
354:12	319:23	294:6	application	<b>argued</b> 238:19
ample 113:23	answer 9:13	answering 70:7	229:5	238:21
129:21 175:9	20:22,23 21:13	71:16 81:15	applications	argument
<b>ampli-</b> 243:8	22:5 25:24	147:11 201:20	227:12 234:5	235:19
amplification	28:2 29:5,9	314:13	applied 180:14	<b>ARPS</b> 2:20
234:23 235:14	30:4,7 38:15	answers 10:13	198:22 222:16	arrive 117:19,22
235:19 243:5	39:19 41:18	10:19 11:12	234:4 360:19	118:17 150:14
amplified 323:2	42:2 46:22	115:16 391:6	apply 88:24	<b>arrived</b> 296:16
amplifying	47:5,22 50:7	anthophyllite	106:18 139:4	art 8:22 9:21
234:20	50:15 55:3	383:21	214:7 226:2	32:10 107:7
analogous 98:5	67:15 69:10	anti-inflamma	appointed 311:3	329:22 334:3
98:14	86:18 100:4,5	268:21 269:16	appointment	article 5:7,11,15
analogy 320:18	104:17 105:8	antioxidants	311:6	6:11,17,19
analysis 105:6	110:18 128:18	258:13	appointments	30:18 31:19,23
128:17 151:4	130:16 132:15	antitumor	103:11,12	32:1,6,18 34:6
216:22 231:23	139:9 144:16	349:17	appreciate 79:2	37:24 75:6,13
256:9 286:16	145:3 149:11	antitumorigenic	324:13	75:17,22 76:5
323:18 348:11	149:12,23	349:10	appreciated	76:15 80:23
348:13 371:13	154:4,4,8	anybody 74:23	105:24	90:14 123:16
386:19 387:19	155:7,13,23	356:14	appreciates	124:1,4,6,10
analyzed 23:22	159:23 163:6	anywise 391:13	348:7	124:11 125:2,5
and/or 46:6	165:24 170:2,9	apologies 41:10	approached	125:24 141:18
77:16 78:6	170:22 184:19	apologize 307:9	16:20,23	143:23 185:7
94:4 160:20	197:16 217:22	307:11 328:6	approaching	186:23 187:4,9
178:13,19	228:2 231:18	334:17	26:19	187:11,16
260:18 338:21	251:11 291:15	apparent 269:6	appropriate	188:1,16,18
animal 24:18	291:24 293:7,8	269:6	34:23 35:1	207:4 218:14
36:11,19 37:2	293:15 294:21	Apparently	283:9 285:23	218:18,21,24
37:7 120:1	319:22 325:1	56:13	330:1 331:9	219:6,9,11
128:9 183:19	339:17 341:20	appear 31:1	341:6	235:17 237:18
184:5,21 185:2	347:5 353:1	64:18 66:23	appropriately	250:1,13
186:9,10	answered 54:23	172:6 254:16	299:22 334:8	252:23 253:1
207:13,20,24	67:4,11,12	319:17 362:24	appropriateness	267:14 271:9
210:1 303:13	68:16 69:7,8	appeared 85:7	297:23	326:21 327:7
304:5 350:4,10	79:1 80:14	120:11	approximately	327:11 333:4
350:13,16,20	81:2,22,24	appears 18:23	1:18 43:19	339:3,9 374:13
, ,	<u> </u>			

autialas 25.0	120.22 120.17	262,20,21,22	247.24.269.14	202.24
articles 35:8 48:8 75:6 81:7	128:23 130:17 132:11,20	262:20,21,22 263:5 295:4	347:24 368:14 375:15 377:18	303:24 authored 142:23
87:4 144:9	132:11,20	assault 77:16	associations	302:24
187:8 203:6	136:12 137:5	assault //.10 assay 212:13	96:15 233:14	authoritative
263:15 305:13	130.12 137.3	259:3 309:24	330:23 368:20	58:24,24
372:15			assume 216:19	109:24
asbestiform	143:9,10 145:21 146:9	assays 319:3 assess 131:19	342:6	authorities
198:17 356:1	146:18 148:13	167:1 213:10	assumed 199:16	107:12 111:7
383:22 384:3	150:23 151:20	assessed 285:6		384:16
asbestiforms	150:23 151:20	377:22	assuming 276:17 321:24	
382:4				authority
	153:11 155:21	assessing 119:7 assessment 4:21	368:6,7	109:11,13
asbestos 126:7	155:23 157:18		assumption	110:1
126:13 127:23	159:2,6 161:13	19:14 179:15	126:12,19	authors 30:20
128:21 129:8	161:20 165:6	180:13 256:10	127:13	32:11,22 33:1
129:20 130:2,8	167:1 178:8	285:8	assumptions	34:13 35:14
130:19 131:2,5	185:22 187:6	assessments	292:9	38:17 48:13
131:9,24 133:2	189:2 198:4,8	224:19 285:10	attempt 133:17	213:15 219:13
136:1 146:11	206:21 214:21	386:20	147:1 160:20	237:21 238:2
151:6 154:2,14	228:17 231:16	assist 11:12,18	231:20 293:3	265:13 266:17
198:2 234:12	231:19 234:4	13:19	attempted 105:1	267:9 269:24
234:17 235:6,6	242:16 243:22	assistant 310:16	130:6 146:15	277:3 278:13
235:12 352:20	254:7 261:8	310:19,19	156:5 159:22	280:22 363:13
356:8 371:2	262:5,7 266:7	311:2,6	161:16 177:11	363:14
383:13,20	285:18 290:6,8	assists 30:17	attempting	autonomy
384:21 385:2,8	292:10 294:5	asso- 233:13	131:12	290:13
385:13,17,22	297:20 342:6	associate 310:20	attention 33:12	available 22:5
386:1 387:8	352:15 354:3	311:16	72:12,19 78:3	26:24 40:1
388:12,22	354:16 357:11	associated 27:10	144:9 198:21	44:4 46:7
asbestos-like	364:20 365:18	183:16 205:5	237:24 353:19	48:18 86:6,10
129:9,20	367:14 372:12	264:20 265:17	372:21 374:1	86:13,14 95:6
173:24 176:5	372:13,14	266:15 267:16	attorney 96:18	119:9,15,21
ascending	380:16,21	268:22 273:3,4	attorney-client	120:10,14
266:13 267:20	381:5,18 383:3	274:24 276:8	291:4	121:14 131:19
aside 232:17	386:12 387:17	278:6 290:5	attorneys 11:24	133:22 136:16
334:18 359:11	asking 28:21	346:17 347:19	14:2 93:10	139:2 145:10
361:19 375:2	34:21,22,24	association 5:4	95:5 111:22	148:3 149:7
asked 29:1 31:2	44:12 61:5	5:18 23:11	123:8,15	199:4 204:12
41:23 54:23	111:22,22	30:20 83:10	attributed	220:1,5 223:10
55:1 69:7	170:20 234:1	84:13 219:12	262:20 263:4	223:22 224:16
78:24 80:13,14	298:16 307:18	220:23 221:2	<b>atypia</b> 31:11	225:8 228:6
81:1,21 90:9	342:13 377:10	223:16 224:20	187:19 188:10	229:17 245:24
93:17,24 94:9	aspect 53:7	224:23 226:12	August 41:7	256:13 268:1
94:17,23 95:5	189:18 246:16	227:7,8 228:8	42:23	289:22 293:18
96:3 102:3	246:17 263:12	233:19 273:6	Austin 3:4	310:1 362:3
103:19 104:14	aspects 62:16	276:19 279:24	author 37:15,20	366:1 388:8
111:16,18,21	79:11 125:10	282:20 313:21	84:15,17 184:4	<b>Avenue</b> 2:17 3:4
117:23 119:3	226:1 246:18	313:22 319:12	209:22 252:20	averaging

				Page 397
224.10	1.66.21.24	267.7	46.5.57.0	111 10 115 7
234:10	166:21,24	367:7	46:5 57:9	111:18 115:7
aware 9:6 21:4	169:18 174:3	<b>baseline</b> 214:18	58:22 71:13	117:7 121:3
37:7,13 49:10	197:13 200:7	basic 52:17 56:8	85:3 86:11	127:24 130:24
96:13 101:23	237:13,16	65:6 68:16	101:3 110:6	140:14 142:6
102:6 103:15	242:4 243:4	108:20 109:1,1	114:7 116:17	143:18 156:9
103:21 107:1	270:5 302:7,10	178:24 284:13	117:24 120:5	165:5 167:12
108:5 128:13	313:13 319:20	329:19 334:8	123:1,4 128:3	168:1 176:16
136:19 162:17	328:23 330:14	basics 62:17	147:12 158:3	177:13 201:9
165:14 181:11	356:23	65:8	161:24 167:22	201:17 202:1
183:19 184:1	background	basing 199:2	178:4,9 194:9	203:14 204:18
207:5,24 208:3	320:23 366:18	basis 5:17 27:5	199:15 201:14	205:19 206:18
213:7 222:10	<b>balance</b> 275:15	59:4 83:9	211:19,20	225:11 230:3
222:13,18,23	276:1	84:13 86:1	220:18,24	232:21 233:2
234:3 251:8	ballpark 18:21	139:20 140:5	230:1 249:8	234:8 235:16
288:14 292:6	bar 223:24	169:20 179:9	253:2 328:9	247:23 248:14
292:21 296:15	224:13	216:11 287:4	336:20 376:7	261:16 270:11
297:10 304:14	barely 281:6,11	343:14 366:6	384:18	278:1 285:11
304:19 314:5	bark 213:11,18	BEASLEY 2:3	believed 305:21	288:15,23
318:6 353:15	BARRIE 2:8	becoming	Belot 182:22	290:7 313:14
354:24 355:4	BASC 73:5,14	363:17 364:5	<b>benign</b> 249:17	318:14 319:2
375:2,12,16,23	base 110:9	began 293:17	340:3 369:9	373:19 374:3,7
376:4 379:14	based 26:18	352:18	Benjamin 2:21	375:10 381:22
380:13 381:1	53:8 101:17	beginning 9:14	Benjamin.hal	385:10,12
381:14 383:11	119:7 121:5	24:13 33:16	2:22	388:20,24
386:4,10	126:12,18	105:24 122:18	best 22:15 237:3	biologically
B	127:12 128:6	191:22 205:1	268:11 293:15	95:11,11
	130:6 135:20	238:18 266:12	309:1 312:12	101:16 112:8
<b>B</b> 3:14 122:9 212:8 340:9	136:14 138:19	362:23 368:19	392:4	113:3 114:3
	140:13,16	369:2	better 12:8	126:3 129:17
<b>baby</b> 126:12,19 126:24 127:13	148:2 151:24	begins 31:5	319:16	135:17 136:3,7
	154:20 162:10	33:14,20 51:3	beyond 109:1	137:9 140:20
128:3,20 130:9 130:20 134:5	164:20 165:3,4	51:8 53:22	235:2 239:24	143:11 145:22
134:18 135:1,8	178:23 191:9	72:14,20 76:10	<b>bigger</b> 154:19	146:2 150:20
137:3 161:8	199:4 202:8	77:4,10 112:11	binder 13:23	155:24 157:10
178:2 384:17	203:12 204:2	187:17 196:5	14:4	163:2 164:17
385:17 388:19	221:4 226:9	203:3 238:1	<b>bio-</b> 168:22	167:3,8,11,23
388:22	229:16 235:4	265:4 269:15	Biochemistry	168:4,22
BAC-73:5	245:6 254:17	272:20 278:14	25:10 88:17	184:10 188:13
back 16:14	255:12,12	280:14 281:4	bioflavonoids	205:12 219:2
19:24 38:3	256:17 259:3	305:22,22	213:17	220:3,20 222:4
53:19 55:11	267:24 285:10	372:22	biolo 218:22	225:5,6 226:15
56:23 62:19	291:11 294:12	<b>behavior</b> 199:3	biologic 95:1	227:14,18
	294:23 312:2	199:3	biological 5:23	228:11,21
63:1 67:24	319:3,7,13,13	beings 380:7,8	37:3 52:17,20	229:17 230:13
82:15 83:20 92:1 98:7	328:16 333:5	believe 17:13	91:24 93:11,19	231:24 235:11
	334:3 337:6,6	20:13 24:4	94:1,18,21,24	257:15 260:20
106:3 132:4	352:5 364:24	39:3 44:20	95:9 96:3,8,21	267:3 268:1
I	1	1		

				Page 398
275 0 202 11	222.20	D ::: 1 25 4	04 15 05 12	202 22 202 10
275:8 282:11	233:20	British 25:4	94:15 95:13	202:22 203:19
288:1 289:23	<b>bottom</b> 195:5	broad 98:10,23	97:17 100:6	206:16 207:3
290:4,8,14,18	196:3 201:8	120:3 210:7	104:2,9,24	207:15 208:9
292:3 293:3	219:19 327:12	Broadhollow	105:17 108:4	208:19,23
294:1,13,16	331:24 332:8	2:10	110:21 113:14	209:12,19
295:8 296:16	343:6	<b>broken</b> 79:14	114:6 116:16	210:22 213:4
325:23 366:6	BRCA 338:9	bronchial 192:8	117:17 118:6	213:19 214:23
382:14	339:20 344:8	brought 14:20	119:5 120:13	215:14,24
<b>biology</b> 62:17	BRCA1 72:14	15:7,13 39:17	126:17 127:11	216:8 217:8,16
65:14 79:11	72:20,24 73:9	Brown 2:15 4:4	128:2 129:11	218:4,11
81:6 169:6,21	321:2,12,13,17	4:7 7:23 8:2	130:18 131:10	220:17 221:8
175:13 189:20	334:21 335:11	12:10 13:8	131:21 132:6	221:17,21
215:3 217:6	335:16,24	14:13 15:9,22	133:16 134:2	222:17 223:4
263:13 323:5	336:7,8,13	16:6 18:11	134:13 135:2	225:9 226:3
348:7	337:3,13	19:9 20:18	136:5,23	227:16 228:9
bioRxiv 121:11	338:21 339:7,8	21:1,16 22:7	137:20 139:11	229:1,10,21
Birmingham	339:18 340:9	23:5 26:2	141:1,9 142:4	230:21 231:9
91:6 103:10,13	340:19 341:21	27:15,23 28:4	143:20 144:4	231:22 232:5
<b>bit</b> 168:12	342:17 345:7	28:8,14,18,22	145:4 146:3,12	232:15 236:14
186:14 238:14	346:4,13	29:8,14,21	147:3,18	236:22 237:15
307:23 310:12	BRCA1-assoc	30:15 31:24	148:10,24	238:20 239:13
316:2 319:21	73:3,12	32:16 33:9	149:13 150:9	240:14,23
322:21 327:24	BRCA2 334:21	34:19 36:9,18	151:13,22	241:9,23
336:16 346:9	335:11,17,24	37:6,19 40:21	152:13,22	244:17 245:16
368:13 385:7	336:7,8,13	41:16 42:1,7	153:13 154:23	247:5,15 249:7
blacked 18:2	337:4,14	42:11,18 44:1	155:8,16 156:4	249:23 250:7
<b>Blount</b> 123:17	338:21 339:8	44:16,21 46:14	156:19 157:5	250:11 251:2
124:6,10	340:9,19	46:23 48:12,23	158:2,8,18,23	251:12,18
<b>board</b> 299:14	342:17 346:4	49:19,24 52:23	159:14 160:8	252:10 254:1
<b>bodies</b> 248:14	<b>break</b> 10:23	53:11 54:18	160:18 161:15	254:19 255:5
266:14 356:1	37:24 50:16	57:21 58:15	163:19 164:7	255:16,22
<b>body</b> 169:13	79:18,24 82:9	59:9 61:6,14	164:22 166:23	257:14 258:1
170:14 173:3	88:2 158:6,12	62:18 63:11,19	169:22 170:11	258:17 259:15
210:8 231:1	158:14,21	65:18 66:1,11	171:11 173:9	261:9,20
239:10 249:9	166:14 236:16	66:20 67:6,14	174:16 175:3	262:12 263:1
250:16,19,24	237:1,1,6,17	68:2,20 69:3,9	176:11 178:3	264:8 265:12
251:15 253:5	292:24 301:14	70:15 71:10	178:14 179:8	266:6 267:6
254:3,23 255:7	301:16 356:16	72:5,17 74:19	181:7 182:15	268:8 270:24
257:2,19,24	Breakthrough	75:20 76:21	184:7 185:15	271:18 272:16
258:15 267:20	89:4,14	77:3,7,13	186:1 188:11	275:22 276:24
270:17 320:20	<b>breast</b> 89:4,9,14	78:20 79:22	189:6 190:6,18	279:17 280:11
353:24 356:8	89:18 90:23	80:9,17 81:8	191:5,12,24	280:18 281:1
362:8,14,16	91:9 321:3	82:8,17 83:1	192:16 193:2	281:17 282:14
375:21 376:1	336:10 346:6	87:24 88:10	194:6,21 195:2	284:19 286:2
377:12	bridged 92:6	89:21 90:12	196:2 198:3	286:22 287:8
book 86:24	<b>bring</b> 14:23	91:19 92:8	199:14 200:22	288:12 289:7
bookends	220:3	93:2,15 94:5	201:12 202:10	289:14 290:2

				Page 399
290:23 291:7	214:9 216:9,20	94:4,11,19,21	178:6,12,19	266:15 267:17
291:19 292:14	216:22 217:18	95:2,7,12,23	179:2,11,19	268:23 269:8
292:22 293:24	236:5 241:10	96:6,10,16	181:18 182:12	269:10,17,20
294:15 295:14	241:11,14,19	99:4,5,6,7,20	182:20 183:10	270:10 271:5
295:22 296:8	359:14 376:11	100:2,2 101:17	184:12,16,23	271:13,22,23
296:14 297:9	C	102:8,22 103:8	186:12 187:1	273:1,4,7,12
298:5,18		103:14,17	188:3,14,24	273:16,18
299:24 302:9	C 2:1 3:1 391:1	104:5,11,12,20	189:5,9,20	274:5 275:1,13
302:22 303:10	391:1	104:21 105:2,6	190:3 191:15	275:16 276:3
304:1,21	CA 179:20	105:11,18,22	193:10 201:11	276:10,20,23
305:10 306:11	183:13	106:1,17,18,20	201:19 202:3	277:6,19 278:6
306:20 307:16	calculate 131:12	106:22,23,23	203:16 204:15	278:12,17
350:12 356:13	133:10	107:8,13,17,22	204:19 206:19	279:8,16,24
357:23 358:9	calculated 134:4	107:23,24	207:2,7,14,19	282:1,17,22
359:4,24 361:6	calculations	108:6,7,10,12	208:16 209:3	283:1 284:4,13
363:2 364:16	134:10,12	108:17,18,21	211:12 213:6	285:3,13 289:6
365:15 367:1	calendar 101:5	108:24 109:2,7	217:7,7,11	289:24 290:5
368:3 369:18	call 17:11 217:3	110:5,10,14,24	218:15 219:3,8	293:19,19
370:9,20 371:7	217:19 329:18	111:9,15,17	219:13 220:21	305:21,23
372:7 373:16	called 307:24	112:2,9,22	220:23 221:11	310:10 313:21
373:24 374:11	Canada 4:20,23	113:6,13,17,22	221:24 222:20	314:3,7,14,20
375:18 376:23	19:13 21:21	114:9,17 115:5	223:11,17	320:5,7,17,22
377:8 378:1,7	cancer 4:24 5:5	115:19,19,22	224:3,21,24	321:3,8,15,24
378:15 379:13	5:11,19 6:9,15	116:8,18,21,21	226:19 227:9	322:7,15,17,20
379:21 380:6	20:12 21:7,22	116:24 117:2,5	227:15 228:8	322:24 323:4,8
380:15,24	23:12 25:8	117:10,16,18	228:12 229:7	323:11,13,13
382:16 383:19	26:5,8,15,17	117:21 118:2	230:24 233:9	323:15,23
384:14 385:14	32:12,20 35:12	118:12,13,14	233:22 237:23	324:3,4,12,15
386:3,11	36:2,12,22	118:14,17	238:4,10 239:5	324:16,21
388:17 389:2	51:14,20 52:17	119:19 120:7	239:16 240:5	325:6 326:3,13
390:6	52:21 53:10,15	120:24 121:5	242:11,14	327:1,13 328:2
Brown's 313:4	53:16 54:3,4	128:5 135:20	243:16,17,19	328:14 329:1,7
bucket 106:22	55:8,15,21	136:8 156:2	245:15 246:8	330:1 331:6
bullet 63:21	56:9,18 57:2 57:12 50:12	159:2,18	246:17,18	333:5 334:7
bunch 277:1	57:13 59:13	161:10 162:21	252:2 253:8	335:7 336:2,10
<b>burden</b> 323:6	60:11,15,22 61:13 62:17	164:9 165:23	254:4 255:15	336:11,14,20
369:9		166:3 167:4,24	255:21 256:1	336:23 337:2
BURNS 2:7	65:8,13 74:13	168:4 169:1,3	256:17 257:18	337:11,11,20
bursal 363:7	79:11 81:6	169:5,6,9,15	259:18 260:6	338:1,10,12,22
bush 154:16	83:11 84:15	169:21 170:15	260:10,12,21	339:23 340:2,7
business 17:12	89:4,10,14,18	170:22 171:1,8	260:24 261:5	340:15 341:7
Buz'Zard 6:7	89:24 90:4,8	171:8,10,12,14	261:17,23	341:17 344:2
181:20 182:11	90:14,18,21,23	172:1,6,16	262:1,3,14,20	344:24 345:7
182:16 208:11	91:3,5,7,8,9,13	173:5,13 174:6	262:23 263:4,5	346:5,7,18
208:14 209:1	92:2,4,6,7,11	174:10,18,22	263:11,13,19	347:2,11,20,24
209:14 210:24	92:15,21,23	175:1,6,10,13	264:5,6,19,22	348:12,13
211:1,10,13,17	93:6,14,20	175:16 176:19	265:3,18	349:23 350:6
	ı			

				Page 400
250 10 260 16	2 11 100 10		106151055	
350:18 360:16	care 3:11 108:19	252:24 270:13	106:15 107:5	381:3 387:4
363:19,23	109:1 210:6,23	275:7,18 288:4	107:22 112:5	391:14
364:4,7 366:2	career 90:15	288:6 302:24	112:24 113:1,6	cause-and-eff
366:11,13,14	careful 210:11	305:12 352:5	113:12,22	112:16 313:23
368:20 369:10	210:16	365:21 366:24	115:3,18,21	caused 36:21
369:10 373:20	carefully 351:20	370:8,13	117:5,21 118:1	60:16,22
374:8,10,19,24	carrier 342:17	371:18 374:14	128:4 129:20	107:13 118:18
375:5,16	carriers 337:3,4	375:3,13	136:8 137:23	140:8 168:16
376:16 377:13	338:10	389:14,22	140:19 146:5	173:13 178:4
377:18 380:18	<b>carry</b> 321:23	case-control	146:14 147:21	182:18 183:8
381:4,13,13,16	carrying 362:12	120:2 224:16	148:9 151:12	183:20 260:10
cancer-causing	case 1:7 8:12,14	228:6	151:16,24	262:23 263:19
56:19 57:3	8:20 9:1,5,10	case-controlled	153:7,16,18	278:9 335:7
313:17 314:11	13:16 16:21	375:14 376:14	154:21 155:1	364:21
320:10 322:8	18:15 19:23	case-specific	155:10,19	<b>causes</b> 32:20
cancerous 37:10	20:1 24:22	257:5	156:18 157:7	36:12,21 60:4
62:22 63:4	33:5 38:6	cases 1:8 66:6	157:14 159:1,2	60:15 92:11
64:10,23 115:9	39:23 44:24	121:24 169:8	159:17,18	102:7 105:2,18
335:3	45:5 47:15	179:15 181:24	161:9,10 165:8	105:20 106:16
cancers 105:21	57:13 86:8	190:4 299:12	165:13 169:9	110:4 111:15
118:18 205:8	90:10 96:4	330:7 338:4	171:1,12 172:1	111:17 112:2
206:9 217:15	100:15 101:24	374:10 387:1,2	180:1 184:12	112:21 113:17
259:22	102:2 110:3	387:5	186:12 189:24	114:9 115:4
<b>canner</b> 321:18	111:5,24	caught 353:18	208:16 219:3,4	120:24 145:16
<b>CAP-accredited</b>	120:20 121:17	causal 118:24	219:7,8 220:21	147:13 149:2
309:10	123:9,10 124:2	119:4 205:16	226:18 228:12	149:16 150:15
<b>capsule</b> 362:20	124:4 125:3	205:16 221:11	229:7 230:23	162:20 165:20
carcinogen	126:1,11,18	221:23 222:6	230:23 231:24	168:9 169:1
338:13,23	127:12 128:8	223:16 314:6	232:8,20	174:12 175:7
340:7,15 344:2	129:13 130:21	336:5	239:15 241:2	176:17 177:14
344:6,6,15,19	134:14 135:6	causality 205:10	242:22 244:4,5	179:1,10
345:1,13,17	137:1 141:15	causation 221:1	245:9,19 246:7	182:20 184:11
385:9	157:6,12,24	221:4 222:15	246:11,13,21	184:15,16
carcinogenesis	159:3,16 161:7	223:3 224:13	248:3,6 250:24	186:12,24
239:1 351:2	164:8 167:1,21	261:8 262:9,11	252:4 253:16	188:14 189:9,9
353:21	172:5 173:3	264:7	254:3 255:8	207:18,18
carcinogenic	176:6,7,13	causative	259:10 260:16	209:2 211:11
197:10 381:11	177:24 182:11	260:14,23	260:20,24	211:12,14
carcinogenicity	183:14 188:12	265:10	261:3,22 262:3	222:20 227:3
352:10	191:14 192:18	cause 21:7 26:15	262:14 264:2	235:3 241:17
carcinogens	193:8 195:9	51:14,20 53:10	264:22 271:23	245:22 252:1
344:4,14 387:5	196:20 197:19	55:8,14,20	283:17,23	255:13 261:5
carcinoma	198:9 199:5,17	62:20 63:3	315:11,12	314:11 336:1
192:8 331:12	200:15 210:4	91:13 94:3,10	320:22 327:2	355:9 358:21
331:22	219:1 220:1	94:21 95:2,12	336:14 354:21	365:2 367:8
carcinomas	224:16 227:11	96:4,5 102:8	363:19 366:10	381:16
328:20	246:14,14	105:7,10	366:11,12	causing 37:8
320.20	2.0.1.1,1.1	100.7,10	500.11,12	53451195710

				Page 401
00110110	l	10001100	<b> </b>	
92:14 94:19	cellular 25:9	106:24 109:5	57:22 106:8	children's
96:9 167:24	31:7,11 106:8	110:7 111:10	111:20 114:1	323:20
168:3 171:10	106:9,10	114:18 115:3	312:15 315:15	choices 319:20
171:21 172:11	113:24 114:1	116:2,15	315:16 318:18	chromatid
173:13 183:21	115:1 164:19	118:13 122:23	321:18 341:18	355:9
209:8 233:9	169:4 171:20	123:5,15 124:8	changed/reason	chromium
242:8 315:1	171:21,22	129:19 133:21	392:6	235:12 243:6
365:12 375:4	172:12 177:24	135:22 137:18	changes 25:20	353:18,23
376:1 377:12	180:15 187:19	145:9 157:22	26:11 27:10	354:4,13
380:17	188:10 189:20	164:14 165:10	30:1,21,23,24	366:23 367:12
cautious 172:17	229:18 238:21	167:16 176:22	32:3,24 34:14	381:8
264:2	239:21 242:22	180:12,21	36:12 37:10	<b>chronic</b> 6:13
cavity 205:5	242:23 243:8	184:18 189:18	60:16,23 94:21	20:10 21:6
363:12	244:4,5 246:13	191:9 198:20	95:1 114:5	24:2,16 26:4
cell 61:17,19	246:16 248:17	199:11,24	115:5,9 183:21	26:10,14 29:24
62:5,21,22	315:1,1,4,11	200:18 209:10	185:19 186:4	32:2,19 36:1
63:3,4 106:1	315:15,20	209:17 211:7,8	188:3 190:9,24	36:20 37:8
182:9 210:2,4	316:3,4,14	215:6,12 221:2	229:19 314:18	92:3,9,14
210:12 212:7	318:5 344:12	223:12 225:24	314:19 363:14	102:7 106:13
212:12,15,16	344:16 348:4	226:11 228:3	392:5	114:9,13,15,22
215:11 217:3,4	360:22 361:14	229:23 242:18	changing 217:6	115:6,14,21
217:6 218:1,5	362:14	247:19 248:21	channel 363:10	116:6,7,17,19
218:6 241:18	center 91:5,7	259:20 260:6	chapter 86:24	117:4,9,20,24
250:17 270:10	102:22 103:8	266:9 283:6	characteristic	118:8,9,18
316:11 317:5,8	103:14,17	284:23 285:21	346:24	136:6 137:23
317:11,17,18	104:5,11,20	299:13 304:7	characteristics	140:7 151:17
323:7 328:19	108:6,7,9,10	305:24 312:17	209:24	151:24 153:7
360:13,16,21	108:12,14,17	326:4 337:18	characterizati	153:18 155:1
360:23 361:1	108:24 109:7	355:2 363:21	367:11	155:10,19
376:6 380:5	109:14 310:14	366:17,20	characterize	157:8,14
cell's 64:8,20	Central 85:6	367:4 376:9	103:7 104:23	159:18 161:9
316:7	certain 14:23	378:24 381:10	312:17	162:20 164:1,6
cells 60:17,24	15:5 43:24	381:18,24	CHAREST 2:7	164:10 167:23
64:10,22 76:16	53:16 54:4	384:6	check 39:9	168:2 169:8
77:15,17,19	56:19 57:2 109:6 146:4	certainty 390:2	301:11	172:8,12 178:5 180:1 184:11
78:5,7,9		Certified 1:20	chemical 147:22	
171:24 182:12	213:10 221:10	391:19	148:8 315:15	186:24 188:14 191:14 207:18
182:14 209:13	320:6 322:2,8	certify 391:3,11 cetera 10:1	386:21,24 <b>chemicals</b>	
209:21,23 212:22 213:22	certainly 27:16 38:20 43:16	98:10 130:15	235:13 371:12	208:15 209:2 211:11 219:7
216:21 217:17	47:12 50:18	226:7 230:19	371:14,17	226:18 229:6
269:10 270:5	54:16 57:8	234:18 258:13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	230:23 238:8
270:13 286:1	75:2 79:2,8		387:1,3,12,15	
	89:10 91:7	challenge 224:6	387:20,23,24	239:4,11,15
316:6 335:1,2 335:12 360:11	92:2,5 96:14	challenges 256:7 Chan 328:15	388:18 Chicago 3:0	240:1,2 242:8 243:13,18
	97:23,24 103:9	<b>change</b> 33:2	Chicago 3:9 childhood	243:13,18
360:14 361:4 365:9 376:11	103:22 105:4	35:15 37:5,17	323:13,15	244:3,19 245:10,19
303.9 3/0:11	103.22 103:4	33.13 37.3,17	343.13,13	4 <del>4</del> 5.10,19

				Page 402
246 6 15 22	٠ ،	200 ( 17.22	00.22	l .
246:6,15,22	clarification	308:6,17,22	come 99:22	community
247:4,18,22	132:10 215:2	309:2,6,7,11	283:5 284:14	287:1,20
248:3,18 261:3	clarified 380:3	309:13,17,20	284:14 285:4	<b>company</b> 307:7
261:5 266:13	clarify 34:21	309:24 310:4	366:20	compare 47:3
267:19 268:24	132:20 154:10	close 45:15	comes 70:18	318:2
269:19 270:8	169:4 202:7	301:20 303:11	98:12 299:11	compared 200:1
271:3 275:15	233:5 246:16	327:21 387:10	317:8 325:8	337:10
276:1,9 278:4	268:15 346:10	closely 386:9	344:20	comparing
279:7 281:23	365:22	coalition 193:11	comfortable	86:19 215:22
282:23 284:2	clarity 135:16	cobalt 366:23	337:19	263:16
285:10 313:15	classical 168:13	code 62:3 100:7	coming 117:4	comparison
351:2,7	classification	100:18,20	140:7 282:4	236:5
circumstances	108:11 110:24	101:18	comma 53:15	compelling
252:3	305:4	codirector	54:3 55:7,13	193:20 373:5
citations 331:17	classified 328:16	104:18	61:20 62:6	compensation
332:23	classify 144:10	coexist 308:20	73:10 77:24	292:11
cite 34:6 116:5	clean 42:12	cogent 201:9	78:5,7 278:17	<b>complete</b> 107:16
116:15 123:16	clear 112:7	202:1 203:14	commencing	108:2 110:8
271:9,11 272:5	125:21 128:12	204:18 206:17	1:17	112:4 113:5
327:6 328:15	161:24 166:6	373:18 374:2,7	<b>comment</b> 49:18	123:15 137:13
333:13 338:16	214:14 227:7	cohort 120:2	52:16 68:1	143:13 209:7
341:11 356:5	245:24 274:7	176:4 224:7	131:7 137:17	290:13 353:5,5
358:19 370:18	275:3 278:3	228:5 338:3	253:14 279:15	382:6
cited 12:23 39:5	308:9 315:22	375:14,14	340:4 342:3	completed 40:3
73:24 122:13	328:19	coincidental	347:4	45:4 194:2
123:5 124:7	clearance	333:18	commenting	completely
125:11 203:7	163:14	<b>cold</b> 307:10	85:9	255:7
218:18 253:2	clearly 32:13	collaboration	comments 83:13	completing
265:24 273:10	58:7 65:15	323:19	83:19 84:2,4	22:16
273:14 274:2	324:10	collaborator	210:1 250:14	completion
326:16 339:13	CLIA-licensed	312:19	266:19 335:24	39:21 43:7
339:15 340:5	309:8	colleague	Commerce 2:3	294:23 303:5
358:13 362:2	climate 299:5	301:21 303:12	COMMITTEE	complex 73:3,4
367:17 369:17	Clinic 5:11 59:5	colleagues 87:12	2:2	73:12,13
cites 35:20,24	59:12,20,23	97:8,16 287:15	<b>common</b> 259:21	106:23 107:8
citing 333:10	60:3,14,15	312:20	259:23 260:2	172:17 210:8
334:8	61:16 62:19	<b>collect</b> 320:21	268:19 316:10	265:3 348:2
citizen 202:9,21	63:22 64:5	collection 12:23	316:10 327:3	complexities
citizens 6:5	65:20 68:9	74:8 125:7	commonly	105:23
192:19 195:17	69:5	<b>column</b> 187:17	70:23 309:23	complexity
372:9	Clinic's 58:20	272:18,24	330:24	107:5
City 393:10	58:23 62:11	278:14 368:12	communicating	compliance
<b>claim</b> 338:21	63:14 64:14	<b>com-</b> 235:3	307:20	101:21
353:23	65:3 66:14,24	combined 72:14	communication	complicated
claimed 196:1	67:19	72:21	44:13 363:11	107:3 320:14
378:19	<b>clinical</b> 99:1,13	combines 72:24	communicatio	complication
claiming 345:10	99:21 227:24	73:9	44:9,15	363:8
	I		l	I

				Page 403
		l	l	I
complied 100:13	108:6,10,13,23	199:6 203:14	190:5 299:9	consequence
<b>comply</b> 100:17	125:6 127:7	222:19 250:15	323:16 345:6	318:9
component 26:7	145:10 177:22	265:14 355:5	conditions	<b>consid-</b> 173:2
99:6 100:22	301:5 348:19	363:13 373:17	196:15 215:12	<b>consider</b> 58:5,23
118:10,11	comprehensiv	390:12	216:6 277:2	70:2 97:15
127:8,19	223:13 224:1	concludes	285:11 314:4	109:10 111:6
128:15 129:16	comprising	187:20 206:17	317:15 340:3	138:9 149:1
136:15 150:4	330:19	223:16 275:14	369:9	167:17 188:15
151:1 152:10	computational	281:20	conduct 120:18	192:11 193:6
168:3 169:11	121:7	concluding	194:17 196:8,8	200:14,20
171:8,14	computer 11:16	204:17	223:9 231:23	209:13 224:1
242:18 262:1	30:9	conclusion	conducted	243:1 250:4
262:19 263:3	<b>con-</b> 106:3	27:19 31:18	143:22 204:8	252:23 282:3
315:15 316:24	conceded	35:16 111:13	213:5 373:2	284:20 286:9
317:2 320:9	200:10	117:20,23	conducting	289:8 294:1
321:7 347:1	concentrating	118:17 137:21	231:10	297:7 304:22
363:23 366:8	361:11	137:22 140:7	conference 97:5	305:12 309:2
382:3 385:6	concept 70:6	150:14 160:1	confidence	336:13 343:16
388:13	96:17 225:12	160:16 186:10	279:18 280:6	344:14 361:8
components	289:10,20	201:4 202:1	280:14 281:3	372:2
94:3 126:24	319:1	203:20,22,24	confident	consideration
127:22 135:18	concepts 68:18	204:8,9 205:18	299:20	123:9 286:14
136:17 137:7	178:24	215:13 253:22	confidentiality	305:3 365:12
137:14,16	concern 197:7	259:6 283:4	310:3	372:22 373:1
151:7,12	378:23	304:9 319:23	confirm 99:24	considerations
153:23 154:18	concerned 312:4	374:2 382:14	145:13	146:23
210:18 211:9	concerning	382:17 385:4	confirming 52:5	considered
234:16,21	119:17,18	conclusions	273:5	13:15 24:21
243:6 344:16	concerns 173:18	30:22 32:15,17	conflict 270:21	58:3 96:8
352:20 353:3	206:4,12	36:8 87:11	299:14,16,21	111:10 168:22
355:3 380:22	224:12 228:4	111:6 112:16	confusion	181:12 188:17
382:1,6 386:20	255:3 301:7	126:5 138:15	308:19	192:17 200:18
386:21	312:2	138:19 139:4	Congress 3:4	200:19 202:20
composition	concert 53:10	159:12 173:16	connecting	219:9 249:12
147:8,22 148:8	106:11 115:12	174:5 204:5	178:24 276:15	284:23,24
148:15	244:10	216:16,17	278:1	292:8 295:6
compound	<b>conclu-</b> 138:2	219:11,18	connection	336:1 373:11
37:12 232:20	conclude 32:11	220:16 233:17	13:15 17:15	considering
235:3	35:16,17 153:7	268:12 276:23	25:13 58:21	97:12 227:13
compounding	158:21 200:23	279:3,13,13	93:12 100:14	283:16 365:4
177:4	238:2 261:2,4	283:23 284:15	120:19 192:17	382:6
compounds	265:14 267:9	305:6 366:21	193:7 223:6,14	considers
235:11 367:11	276:1 281:23	375:11 381:20	252:23 275:17	126:23 284:11
comprehensive	282:23 283:17	conclusive 205:9	293:12 304:22	388:7
91:6 102:22	concluded	conclusively	305:11	consistent 15:8
103:17 104:5	110:12 185:18	189:5 215:7	connective	87:2 275:5
104:11,19	194:15 195:20	condition 107:9	362:20	consisting 203:6
,			<u> </u>	

				Page 404
		İ	l I	
consists 112:12	63:13 126:12	295:12	156:2	169:15 170:15
consolidate	126:19 145:24	<b>contr-</b> 206:23	corn 150:1	172:21,22,24
106:10	150:12 193:4	contracts 98:13	cornstarch	173:1 180:3
consolidating	contaminants	contradictory	145:7 148:17	185:20 187:9
75:18	137:12 138:21	268:16	148:21 149:1	188:3 194:9,12
constituent 94:3	198:2	contradicts	149:15 150:1,3	195:10,18,19
95:8 126:24	contaminated	206:23	150:7,12	201:22,23
127:22 135:18	128:21	contrary 373:17	Corollary	202:3 203:20
136:15 137:7	contaminating	contrast 76:10	321:16	203:24 211:18
137:13,16	154:1	76:11,16 77:4	correct 10:5	211:24 214:19
151:2,7,11	contamination	77:10,14 78:4	20:2,3,8 22:22	215:8 218:19
153:23 154:18	126:7 129:8	139:1	31:16,19 34:14	218:20 219:18
234:15,15	130:8 131:4,13	contribute 71:4	35:5,6,21,22	219:19 222:20
352:10,20	131:24 132:19	242:10 353:12	36:22 39:13,14	223:17 229:11
constituents	132:21 133:5	387:12	41:11 46:17	237:23 239:5
114:5 139:3	133:11 151:6	contributing	52:11 54:8,12	240:10,16
150:21 243:2	278:19	37:4 262:21	55:2,15,16,18	241:19 244:20
constraints 32:8	content 23:20	contribution	55:22 56:1	244:21 247:8
consult 58:20	45:1,12 74:24	172:1 353:16	57:7 61:1	261:12 262:17
69:14	79:6 85:10	<b>control</b> 214:15	64:15 67:20	264:17 265:22
consulting	90:11 102:5	323:7 348:4	70:21 73:15,16	265:23 269:22
101:10,14,20	116:3 121:23	controlled	74:15 78:16	271:9,14,15
consumer	122:1 131:4,24	374:22 375:2	80:4,11 81:10	274:6 280:8
138:12,14	295:13 339:4	controlling 92:4	81:19 84:9	287:15,16,21
196:13 197:21	377:5 385:22	controls 128:9	85:16 87:15	290:12 293:8
198:1 236:3	386:1	192:10 200:13	88:12,13,14,15	309:15 310:14
contact 17:7,13	contents 48:4	controversial	90:1,2,4,16	310:15,17
contain 20:10	85:14 102:11	264:4	92:11 93:23	316:16 320:7,8
24:1,16 86:3	208:2	conversation	94:12 95:18	321:13 322:10
127:14 131:9	context 54:17	68:1 101:8	96:10 97:1,2,5	322:22 326:13
141:14 146:5	66:5 70:13	106:4	97:6,9 99:15	326:14 327:4,5
150:6,7 235:6	81:16 82:1	conversations	102:22,23	327:8,13,14,16
contained 12:5	128:16 225:13	18:8 291:2	107:13,14,17	328:2,21,22
13:3 15:5 20:6	225:15,22	292:12	109:11 113:17	329:3,15 330:9
22:20 39:12	349:11	convey 44:13	115:8 116:9	330:10,12,13
96:23,23 97:4	continuation	convincing	118:2 122:14	330:21 331:13
97:8,19 124:6	243:12 265:10	194:16 196:6	122:17 132:13	331:14,18,19
130:14 136:21	continue 77:17	copied 58:11	133:8 134:18	332:16,17,19
208:5 211:7	78:8 107:12	copies 15:21	135:8 136:10	332:24 333:11
231:11 256:14	182:6 248:18	copy 13:21,23	137:3,6 142:17	333:16 335:4,5
282:5,11	continued 3:1	16:11 19:12	142:19,23,24	335:8,9,13
286:15 334:1	5:1 6:1 239:24	30:8,16 44:3	144:6,7 151:17	337:5,6,14
389:14 393:8	240:1 243:10	47:2 48:21	152:2 156:22	338:14,15,17
containing	244:6,6 245:23	83:4 84:23	157:1,4,8	338:18 340:10
371:3	264:20 312:14	141:22 191:3	159:19 160:10	343:5,22,23
contains 21:5	continues 136:2	312:21 368:4,7	160:21 164:20	344:2,3 346:1
61:18 62:4	200:9 234:14	core 104:18	167:5,6 169:1	346:2 347:13
01.10 02.1	200.7 23 1.1 1		107.5,0107.1	5 10.2 5 17.15

				Page 405
247 14 240 67	1 147.00	200 12 16 10	102.16.10	1 6 4 242 12
347:14 349:6,7	counted 47:23	389:13,16,18	193:16,19	defective 343:12
351:4,5 352:7	counter 297:1	Crowley's	224:16 240:18	defects 334:24
352:8,11	couple 11:7	371:11 387:18	245:12 256:13	DEFENDANT
354:15 358:11	224:11 236:1	387:23 388:1,8	260:4 268:1,11	2:13 3:2
363:16 373:12	280:1 369:22	CRR 3:21	268:16 273:5	defendants 8:17
373:15,20	coupled 224:8	current 53:8	279:6 319:5	<b>Defense</b> 90:24
375:1,6 391:9	course 12:13	101:21 107:7	354:19 373:6,6	91:2 271:2
392:4,6 393:7	32:14 33:2	160:4 169:6	date 1:18 7:5	defer 114:20
corrected 64:10	35:14 74:22	171:7 180:10	40:22 41:1,1,3	134:10 148:14
64:22	90:14 101:6	260:4 319:14	41:6,10,23	157:22 223:1
corrections 64:8	123:7 131:6	331:6 333:7	42:5,6,10,17	233:11 247:2
64:20 393:5	137:15 163:12	currently 99:3	42:20 43:7	254:15 262:9
correctly 53:17	183:4 293:20	108:3 309:19	85:2 310:2	285:21 354:6
54:5 55:9 64:6	298:2 370:5	336:21	359:3	370:2 371:12
76:6 184:22	<b>court</b> 1:1 3:22	<b>curve</b> 249:6	<b>dated</b> 16:9,10,17	378:24 383:24
192:21 212:11	7:15 10:1,12	<b>cycle</b> 323:7	39:12 83:5	384:5,6 387:18
273:8	10:20 11:2	cyclooxygenase	84:6 358:21	deferring
correlation	182:2	268:5,18,18	359:7	127:20 371:20
222:24	Coussens 5:15	269:10 270:14	dates 42:14	define 138:6
corresponding	75:7,12 76:2	270:19	dating 92:1	167:7 201:17
84:17	76:15 77:14	<b>cysts</b> 368:21	373:3	346:22
cosmetic 177:7	79:7 80:3,22	cytokines	day 142:11	defining 257:24
386:6	Coussens' 75:22	238:23 316:5	172:16 303:14	355:3
COUNCIL 3:11	76:5	349:5	389:20 393:9	definition 139:3
<b>counsel</b> 2:2 3:7	<b>cover</b> 313:3		days 45:22 47:8	139:13 150:5
3:11 7:13	covered 313:4	<u> </u>	<b>dealt</b> 99:11,14	172:10
15:12,23 16:8	create 114:5	<b>D</b> 2:8 4:1 5:1 6:1	<b>death</b> 212:16	definitions
17:3 18:7,8	created 16:12	<b>D.C</b> 3:13	327:2	317:1
19:5 20:17	creates 174:22	Dallas 2:8	decades 242:22	degree 44:12
23:1,1 27:24	175:5	<b>damage</b> 73:1,10	December 5:21	290:22 291:12
28:5,9 38:13	creating 164:19	77:15 78:6	18:23 19:19	291:16 390:2
39:16 41:17	creatively	106:9,10	20:5 23:17,23	deletions 393:6
42:2 43:3	308:16	113:24 115:1	43:9 83:5 85:1	delighted 326:4
44:10,15 66:2	criteria 108:12	164:19 171:21	85:13	delineate 138:23
82:20 185:11	143:18	172:12 189:20	decision 311:7	177:12 244:2
193:24 275:23	critical 164:23	239:21 242:23	DECLARATI	244:21 247:22
291:3,8,12,14	172:9	242:23 243:9	393:1	delineated
291:20 292:7	critique 195:17	244:4,5 246:13	declare 393:3	139:22 235:24
292:12,15	200:8	246:16 248:17	declared 201:1	244:9 247:7
293:2 358:24	critiques 200:14	315:3,5,12,17	decrease 212:20	delineating
359:20 368:4	300:21 379:4	315:24 316:4	214:17 215:2	240:6 246:15
372:12,17	Crowley 40:13	344:8,16	349:4 359:21	delineation
378:8 380:16	40:14,19,22	353:20 354:5	360:22 361:13	165:15 352:16
383:3 386:12	43:2,8 45:3	damaging	361:15	delving 314:17
391:12	371:13 386:13	314:23 316:6	dedicated	demonstrated
counseling	386:17,19	381:9	308:22,23	322:14 324:20
323:21	387:15 388:18	data 85:22 95:22	<b>defect</b> 344:11	354:20 376:14
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

				Page 406
		ĺ	İ	
demonstrating	142:2 185:9	designed 129:23	288:9 323:15	163:12,16,17
375:24	190:16,20	251:11	385:4	176:23,24
<b>denied</b> 312:10	192:24 208:7	desirous 393:6	developing	177:1 185:3,4
denying 195:16	208:11 218:9	detail 53:7	95:17 96:20	197:23,24
deodorant	218:13 249:21	114:16 137:18	282:10 290:18	198:15 201:21
139:17,23	270:22 271:2	244:24 254:14	322:15 324:21	204:8 212:7
145:16	301:18 302:16	263:17 314:16	336:22,22	213:1 236:2,9
deodorizing	326:18 367:21	339:16	338:12,22	247:7 248:4
139:13 145:6	367:22 390:12	details 157:9	339:23 340:6	259:17 262:16
department	depositions 9:11	184:1	340:15 341:17	265:2 277:2,4
90:24 91:2	derived 294:24	detect 258:24,24	344:24 350:21	294:8 315:8
311:8 312:15	describe 48:11	detected 189:24	382:14	317:1,14
departure	79:10 115:17	detecting 256:7	development	329:23,23
312:18	129:4 135:17	379:10	52:21 60:11	330:19 346:9
dependency	137:17 140:23	detection 184:23	123:7 178:13	360:14,15
215:21 247:21	160:6 263:17	227:9 255:4	178:19 179:2	366:8 378:6
247:21 248:22	289:20 365:20	determin-	238:10 242:11	differentiate
dependent	described 9:10	229:22	269:20 275:16	308:10
134:15 135:7	36:8 37:16	determination	276:3 278:17	differentiates
136:9 149:9	56:11 87:9	97:21 149:10	279:8 282:1,24	247:17
156:10 227:19	114:18 129:18	151:14 153:4	284:3 346:5	differentiating
385:5,12	131:1 140:19	196:19 198:8	347:11 350:5	275:10
depending	147:7 149:16	198:11 199:1	350:18 364:7	difficult 47:21
154:17 316:3	180:11 181:5	228:13 230:4	366:5 375:9	174:7 217:22
317:10 324:7	199:22 204:11	251:3 377:7	devoted 89:24	223:24 224:13
349:4,10	234:23 240:9	determine 59:6	90:3,7	320:1
<b>depends</b> 258:22	242:5,13	132:21 140:3	diabetes 95:24	<b>Diplomate</b> 1:19
348:3	247:11 366:8	155:17 203:8	diagnosis	391:19
deponent 7:12	375:12 376:10	271:20 337:17	106:22	direct 33:11,11
deposed 8:5	377:17 384:13	379:1	dice 320:21	33:18 51:2,7
10:4	describes 48:20	determined	dichotomy	60:2,9 63:21
deposition 1:14	86:24 143:16	193:19 340:20	285:1	63:24 72:6,12
4:13,14,15,17	360:10	342:18	die 322:1 361:5	72:19 75:21
4:19,22 5:2,6,8	describing 56:8	determining	diet 318:17	76:6 99:23
5:10,12,14,16	61:12 62:15	155:18 318:4	difference 57:10	167:20 171:19
5:20,22 6:2,4,6	128:17 129:4	347:1	81:9,12,19	180:13 194:14
6:8,10,12,16	131:14 162:1	develop 27:1	147:14 177:6	203:2 237:24
6:18 7:7 8:11	266:18 360:13	56:18 57:2	317:21 318:5	243:7 250:12
9:24 14:9,10	384:12	95:8 111:18	387:22	272:18 275:23
14:11,22 15:7	description	151:8 164:9	differences	276:19 291:14
15:14 16:4,8	61:13 65:8	175:16 289:19	185:4,5,6	293:8 314:10
19:4,7 21:14	141:14 176:15	290:8,14 292:3	different 24:19	314:23 342:7
22:24 23:2,3	187:15 198:22	293:3,11,16	47:6 58:7,13	350:24 353:15
30:13 33:7	219:22 230:15	322:7 323:13	81:4 82:1 94:6	372:20 375:17
39:18 49:22	design 32:9,14	381:19,20	98:20 108:11	directed 51:18
59:7,11 72:3	194:17 196:7	developed 48:19	115:7 144:10	181:5
75:14 82:19,23	209:18 228:4	151:10 173:5	147:4 154:17	directing 78:3
13.17 02.17,23	203.10 220.4	131.101/3.3	17/.7 137.1/	un comg /0.3

				Page 407
291:10 374:1	244:23 268:4	238:1 254:15	106:18 154:15	158:24 159:16
direction 170:8	285:22 314:16	265:14 274:15	154:15 162:9	163:24 164:9
direction 170.8	320:4 340:1	289:5 291:21	184:21 198:14	166:15,24
119:24	discussed 102:1	297:19 319:11	divide 61:21	168:24 169:24
	106:5 129:15	339:22 359:19	62:7	170:12 171:13
directly 107:22	153:24 172:16			
108:18 163:6 171:1 173:12		359:23 360:2 361:22 362:22	<b>DNA</b> 60:17,24 61:16 62:2	174:17 184:8
179:6 180:16	179:13 180:7,8	378:13		185:16 186:2
	185:17 186:14	discussions 9:22	64:1,7,8,9,19	186:22 187:5
218:3 228:2	187:12 189:3		64:20,21 73:1 73:10 76:17	190:8,13,19 191:14 192:1
240:3 314:6,23	205:24 208:4	74:8 92:21		
315:10,11	209:6 216:15	138:21 146:10	77:15 78:5	192:23 194:7
319:22 353:20	226:11 227:4	154:6 197:4	242:24 314:24	195:3,7 197:14
dis-212:17	227:23 237:22	243:5 266:20	315:2,5,12,17	198:5 199:6
disagree 113:20	279:15 282:8	291:13 315:9	315:24 321:11	200:8,23
116:14 173:16	287:13 295:4	365:23 387:7	323:6 344:7,9	201:20 202:11
197:9 202:6	298:9 303:6	disease 95:23	344:17 353:20	202:23 203:2
203:23 204:1	304:11 336:6	106:1 107:3	355:10 381:9	204:6 205:18
212:17 213:2	342:24 359:6	224:2,7 244:13	DNA-damaging	207:5 214:16
245:5 278:23	363:20 365:6	246:19 256:6,6	243:7	214:24 216:1
283:22	374:15 377:16	260:17 261:1	<b>Docket</b> 1:9 7:11	219:23 221:9
disagreement	379:20 382:1	265:7,10	<b>Doctor</b> 11:6	221:23 229:2
197:3	385:3,7	271:13,21	14:5 15:24	236:17 237:4
disclose 100:21	discusses 24:17	272:8 274:4	16:12 17:22	237:16 241:24
102:13 300:2	discussing 19:13	275:11 276:17	18:13 19:3	246:20 249:24
disclosed 97:7	21:21 23:10	276:21 277:23	20:19 21:11,19	250:12 253:4
101:6 257:5	79:4 175:8	282:21 283:4	22:16,23 24:9	255:6 261:21
297:18 298:1	181:21 189:20	284:6 324:7,9	27:13,17 30:16	266:22 268:10
300:6 309:20	193:5 200:8	327:2 347:2	30:18 31:4	269:14 272:18
310:2,6	201:14,22	348:17	33:4,15 35:23	274:17,19
disclosure	205:14,15,24	diseases 96:1	36:10,20 37:8	276:4 278:14
100:11,19	206:15 220:7	277:17	37:20 38:3	279:19 280:13
101:5,19	226:6 237:18	disorder 265:3	44:18 47:15	281:6,18
102:19 298:12	242:6 245:11	displayed 361:9	50:6,21 57:23	286:23 292:1
298:23 299:3	247:14 258:9	361:10	59:10 60:21	301:23 302:1
discontinued	262:18 263:8	dispute 100:1	61:7 64:11	302:10 304:3
163:15	264:3 267:5,18	254:21	66:21 71:14,24	304:14 305:11
discover 120:17	269:18 277:11	distention 363:7	73:17 74:14	357:8 360:9
131:3,23	277:24 280:12	distinction	76:8 78:4,14	361:18 373:18
132:19	281:20 293:23	115:1 171:5,16	80:1 81:18	374:12 377:1
discoverable	295:2 296:22	191:8 196:22	82:18 84:19	382:18 390:7
292:7,10	296:24 303:5	244:16	85:12 98:3	doctors 89:23
discovered	325:9 350:10	distinguish	104:3 105:19	document 1:7
123:14	353:17 365:5	200:4 317:16	114:7 126:11	4:20,23 19:5
discovery	370:16 388:6	317:20 352:9	132:7 133:6	21:10,13,20,24
291:22	discussion 9:24	DISTRICT 1:1	135:12 137:22	22:14,24 83:21
discuss 44:14	97:16 143:12	1:2	138:5 141:11	142:5,10,12,15
93:13 238:7	166:10 233:3	diversity 91:8	141:22 142:10	142:18,21
	l	l	I	

				Page 408
102 5 200 5	05.10.06.10.00	1 250 2	1 250 0 252 4	202245
193:7 200:7	85:18 86:12,23	278:3	350:9 352:4	382:3,4,5
206:24	87:12 88:4,11	drive 3:8 12:16	357:7,11	effort 99:4
document's	93:16 110:3	12:20 13:3,9	361:21 363:20	eight 61:10
193:9	111:6,14 116:6	13:15 40:12	365:6,23	eighteen 32:7
documentation	117:8 122:21	drug 299:9	367:14,15	either 35:18
102:17 119:9	125:15 130:7	drugs 268:21	370:6 382:1	39:16 107:21
documents	158:14 168:11	269:16	earlier-cited	127:1 131:20
13:15 14:23	170:9 177:21	due 101:2	183:5	131:20 162:2
39:16 68:15	179:22 180:10	163:10 185:4,5	earliest 184:4	163:20 167:12
82:20 352:23	181:8,13,15	266:13 267:19	early 17:7 92:1	167:20 171:19
doing 267:4	183:20 203:23	270:18,18	176:1,16 190:1	181:24 240:3
381:23	204:16,16	<b>duly</b> 7:19	306:4 324:2,4	244:9 253:7
dollars 100:24	247:3 260:19	dur- 233:1	EASTERN 1:2	300:21 387:3
dose 159:10,12	272:15 285:22	<b>duration</b> 146:23	easy 125:18	electronic
160:10,15,21	286:3,6,10,13	152:10 159:13	eBay 383:13	102:18
160:24 162:11	286:15 288:22	160:20 161:3	EC 328:19	electronically
212:23 215:22	291:6 292:12	163:3 228:19	331:13,21	40:2
215:23 275:3	296:24 297:11	230:18 233:2	332:14	elevated 273:4
360:5 361:3,12	298:1,7,12	234:5 275:4	<b>edit</b> 71:4,11	elevation 183:13
dose-dependent	299:15 302:12	360:5,6	editable 74:23	elicit 172:24
360:23	302:17 307:4	dust 200:13	educated 198:13	205:6 264:9
dose-response	357:3 359:11		198:18	elicits 281:22
249:5,5	362:22 363:18	<u>E</u>	<b>effect</b> 96:4,5	ELLIS 3:8
doses 180:14	368:9 369:23	E 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1	112:21,24	elucidate 221:1
211:18 212:21	370:12,18,24	5:1 6:1 391:1,1	113:2 114:17	elucidation
215:21,22	371:11,13	392:1,1	140:19 148:9	249:3
360:16	372:8 374:17	earlier 16:22	163:13 165:14	<b>email</b> 5:21 14:18
<b>dosing</b> 176:23	376:12 383:4,7	22:12,14 35:13	176:8 213:11	17:1,13 44:2,6
double-check	383:12 384:2,6	37:15,16 42:21	213:14 217:24	83:5
241:4 271:24	384:8,21	45:9,11 54:24	232:1,21 233:2	Embassy 1:16
Double-sided	385:16 386:13	74:7 86:16,20	234:13,20,23	EMMEL 2:5
60:7	386:17,19	87:3 106:3,3	235:14 239:20	<b>employ</b> 117:3,19
dozen 43:19	387:15,18,22	127:17 140:12	239:20 243:7	118:16 140:6
<b>Dr</b> 5:21 7:12,24	387:23 388:1,8	153:24 168:12	269:15 270:18	188:15 220:8
8:5 15:20 18:8	388:18 389:13	172:16 180:8,9	314:6 323:2	282:3
29:9,22 32:18	389:16,18,19	180:19 184:2,3	349:17 369:7	employed
40:14,15,19,20	389:21	185:8 186:14	381:9,11	141:15 143:2
41:12 43:2	draft 4:20 5:3	198:21 216:15	effective 269:8	150:13 204:7
44:9 49:4,7,13	19:14 23:8	224:4 226:11	effects 4:24	encodes 343:18
49:21 50:10,18	45:24 46:4,10	243:4 258:9	21:22 34:2,11	endeavor 143:3
50:24 51:1,18	46:24 47:5,6	259:9 263:14	34:13,23 35:4	231:12
53:13 54:8,12	47:11,16 49:6	263:16 266:20	95:23 129:22	endeavored
55:2,6,18,24	drastically	277:24 282:8	136:20 165:11	177:5 276:7
56:15,16 68:22	318:18	287:5 291:21	176:5 239:19	277:4
72:6 76:15	draw 32:14	301:11 302:12	315:13 317:24	endo- 331:12
77:9,14 83:6	215:13 253:22	303:6,14	320:10 349:10	endogenously
83:21 84:16	drawing 174:5	321:23 335:6	355:6 362:4	318:3
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 409
			I	I
endometrial	108:20 120:6	174:11 221:12	31:11,14 33:21	52:4,6,7,11,15
205:4	122:5 144:21	221:24 222:15	110:12 113:11	54:11 80:20,22
endometrioid	145:14 146:24	226:23 314:15	113:15,21,23	234:3 334:4
328:19 331:12	148:22 152:9	323:4 347:12	114:8,15 119:8	examination 4:2
331:22	157:21 160:1	347:17 348:21	119:14,15,17	7:22 95:22
<b>ends</b> 330:11	166:7 173:4	establishing	120:23 129:10	307:2 357:1
engage 103:5	222:19 223:1,7	121:2,13	129:12,15,16	372:6 389:11
engagements	223:10 225:1	140:13	129:21 140:15	examine 96:3
101:20	233:11,14,24	estimate 132:20	142:7 162:13	136:20 157:21
enhance 242:11	254:13,15	et 10:1 25:3	164:20 165:21	160:14 224:1
enter 255:7	256:2,15	98:10 130:15	166:1 167:14	228:1 234:7
enters 249:9	262:10 284:14	226:7 230:19	175:9 177:15	365:8,24
250:19,24	288:4	234:18 258:13	181:16 186:2	examined 7:20
entirely 8:21	epigenetics	365:6	187:12,18,19	119:24 159:10
321:6	319:3	<b>ethical</b> 224:12	187:21 188:2,9	177:15 231:7
entirety 339:2	epithelial 6:14	228:4 300:3	190:9,24 192:5	270:7 375:11
entities 111:11	24:18 205:8	ethically 298:2	195:8 197:9	examining
111:13	206:8 207:2	<b>Ethics</b> 100:7,18	199:4,21 200:6	138:10 177:22
entitled 5:11	259:17,20	100:20 101:18	200:20 205:10	198:19 204:12
28:9 59:12	260:9,21 271:5	ethnicity 340:21	220:19 229:17	225:6 234:6
60:10,14 271:3	282:22 305:23	etiology 107:16	242:2,8 244:1	377:5
326:12	328:2,13	224:2 268:23	244:11 247:1	example 38:15
<b>entity</b> 308:12	epithelium	273:1	249:11 250:15	62:15 77:17
entry 16:16	31:13	evaluate 151:5	253:18 256:3	78:7 101:10
18:23	equally 58:12	230:4 353:2	257:18 258:3	122:12 123:2
enumeration	equate 171:9	354:3	268:11 278:18	129:23 143:1,1
148:7	equipment	evaluated	281:21 284:21	143:5,14
environment	308:22	209:14 231:1	305:13 306:3	144:14 145:1,8
106:12 115:8	equivalent	287:21	306:15 312:12	174:8 176:3
164:20 172:13	299:8 320:20	evaluating 5:23	314:10 321:1	177:17,21
174:22 175:6	errors 62:20	117:7 142:6	338:20 349:15	240:22 321:12
240:4 243:14	63:2 64:7,9,20	181:13 200:15	351:10 353:15	321:22 324:18
320:15,16	64:22	202:12 210:17	355:8 363:15	325:12 326:7
environmental	<b>Eslick</b> 220:6	evaluation 8:21	364:21 365:1	340:18
322:16	<b>Esquire</b> 2:4,5,11	101:15 192:12	evidence-supp	examples 123:6
<b>EOC</b> 328:14	2:15,18,21 3:5	205:16 222:6	140:20	168:19 183:18
epidemiologic	3:9,14	230:16,20	evidenced	315:19 316:12
33:20	essential 162:19	231:10 234:2	193:15 224:24	322:12
epidemiological	163:23	379:9	276:16 323:17	exception 82:4
220:5	essentially	event 174:1	evolution 265:5	exceptions
epidemiologist	167:18 212:22	189:19 265:4,6	ex- 135:23	392:4
88:18 288:19	288:9 361:5	323:14 324:4	275:11 361:10	exchange 355:9
288:22	establish 140:16	324:12	exact 54:7 55:1	exclude 275:12
epidemiologists	established	events 106:11	56:12 85:2,10	excluding 380:4
157:23 224:18	116:18 117:21	264:22	176:9 327:11	380:5
257:11	118:1 140:17	evidence 5:23	347:3	exclusive 259:19
epidemiology	161:23 168:4	26:24 31:5,7	exactly 51:23	260:7 339:24
F				

				Page 410
	06 17 07 2 15	25 4 22 0 12	244.24.260.5	225 12 15
exclusively	86:17 87:3,15	25:4 32:9,13	244:24 269:5	235:12,15
262:21 336:10	96:24 97:4,8	196:14 209:18	explanation	240:4,8 248:3
excuse 20:21	97:19 122:9	281:21 314:10	49:11 56:3	248:5,23 252:4
27:12 33:13	141:24 142:2	experiments	361:11,14,15	252:7 253:15
55:11 73:5	143:21 144:6	87:1,7 365:7	expose 320:15	253:16 254:12
76:19 79:14	181:6 185:9	383:9	exposed 56:19	256:17,21
88:8 135:10	190:16,19	expert 4:16 5:9	57:2 99:22	259:9 261:17
152:17 158:5	192:23,24	8:13 40:8,18	153:2 156:11	277:12,22
167:10 170:7	208:7,10 209:1	41:11,20 42:15	161:8 200:4	289:24 304:12
193:24 197:16	218:9,13	43:12,15,20	205:7 206:7	320:16 322:16
225:21 236:12	249:21 250:1	44:23 46:9	207:1 253:6	323:2 324:22
250:10 251:21	270:22 271:2	48:1,14 49:1	258:16 259:14	325:8 344:24
272:12 291:10	275:21 326:17	49:20 50:9	321:8 322:8	360:4 361:13
291:10 302:21	326:18,21	52:18 54:21	338:12,23	374:9,23
345:4 369:24	327:8 357:12	57:13,17 63:12	340:7,15 344:2	375:16
389:10,17	359:14 361:20	69:15,18 72:7	345:12	exposures
EXECUTED	367:21,22	74:12 85:15	exposes 159:17	107:21 119:2
393:9	372:8	93:24 100:14	exposure 94:2	226:2 252:8
exemplary	exhibiting	102:4 114:21	95:9,10 96:5	313:14
143:17	379:16	129:2 134:10	96:16 113:2	express 269:10
exercise 210:23	exhibits 4:12	135:24 148:15	114:4 121:4	expressed
exhaustive	82:23 83:3	181:9 219:22	146:20 150:20	120:12 389:22
364:24	185:12 357:9	223:2 235:5	154:7 156:1,6	expressing
exhibit 4:13,15	exist 116:2	245:7 247:3	156:16,17,21	270:14
4:17,19,22 5:2	168:9 383:22	256:23,23	156:24 157:7	expression
5:6,8,10,12,14	existence 44:7	257:10 266:1	157:13 159:8	268:4,17
5:16,20,22 6:2	exists 205:2	285:22 288:3	160:4 162:3,3	333:14
6:4,6,8,10,12	318:15	288:13 295:3	162:5,7,15	extend 235:2
6:16,18 14:9	exogenous 323:1	296:10,16	163:3,4,11,13	extended 283:2
14:11,22 16:4	exogenously	297:7 352:17	163:15 164:18	extending 210:6
16:7 19:4,7	318:1	385:15	164:21 165:5,7	232:24 252:3
20:4,9 21:5,14	exome 310:7	expertise 371:24	165:15,19,20	extends 108:24
21:18,24 22:8	expand 365:22	experts 39:23	166:2,5,9	extensive 79:5
22:11,14,16,19	<b>expanded</b> 46:7	40:4 52:24	176:22 177:6	exterior 304:15
22:24 23:3,7	85:23 87:8	127:21 201:1	177:24 178:10	external 314:24
23:13,16,18,22	373:2	233:11 254:16	178:24 179:10	extracted
24:1,15 30:12	expect 257:17	262:10 295:16	183:8 196:15	213:17
30:13 33:6,7	258:2,14,18	295:23 296:1	199:7,12	extramural
38:4 39:18	259:7,13	354:6 383:24	204:14 206:2,6	109:16
48:2,4,14 49:2	expected 268:23	explain 70:12	211:14 214:13	extramurally
49:20,22 50:9	270:18 273:2	94:16 118:7	218:2 224:8	300:5
59:7,11,23	<b>experience</b> 10:9	204:6 238:13	226:5,7,10,12	extrapolating
60:2,13 72:2,3	109:23 119:10	278:11 321:6	226:13 228:19	210:12 236:8
75:12,14,22,24	366:19	360:9	228:22,23	285:12
76:6 82:19	experiences	explained	229:20,23	extrapolation
83:4,4,23 84:3	169:14	268:17 315:21	230:5,14,18	214:7
84:5,8,10,11	experimental	explaining	233:7 234:18	
	1	1	1	ı

				Page 411
			İ	<u> </u>
F	22:19 23:19	341:20 348:3,5	332:12,22	371:5 372:2
<b>F</b> 3:13 391:1	28:15,17 32:3	fascinating	334:10,16	Finally 281:18
facility 104:18	38:5 54:21	97:22 154:13	335:19 336:24	305:11
fact 74:6 79:7	85:12 88:5,18	198:18	337:23 340:16	financial 100:10
103:4 106:5	89:13 91:14	fashion 57:15	341:10,22	100:18,20,22
130:3 135:22	95:2,16 99:11	115:15 143:18	342:8,15 343:2	101:18
154:20 173:17	99:12 104:3	228:2 374:22	345:9,18 347:6	<b>find</b> 32:22 37:24
175:13 181:12	109:4 161:19	<b>fat</b> 362:19	349:18 350:11	53:22 63:17
188:8 197:8	163:21,22	<b>FDA</b> 6:5 111:7	351:17 353:6	66:6 68:21
202:13 204:22	189:10 210:13	161:24 192:15	354:8,14 355:7	69:4 143:4
215:15 219:17	214:3,3 217:19	193:11,16,18	355:17 356:4	235:5 257:1
252:6 265:24	218:5 251:19	194:11,15	356:10 389:7	273:17,24
268:10 269:23	263:20 299:18	195:11,15,20	<b>fiber</b> 129:9	288:5 304:7
271:8 273:17	306:21 312:10	196:1,11 197:2	<b>fibers</b> 129:20	339:5 363:15
274:5 276:18	312:11 342:5	197:8 200:7,9	235:7	368:14,20
294:7 298:11	357:22 361:2	200:23 202:8	<b>fibroid</b> 368:21	378:3 380:9
300:17 305:7	379:5 381:16	203:4 204:5,10	fibrosis 30:24	383:12
320:4 323:14	383:8 384:5	204:17 205:2	250:17 363:1	FINDEIS 2:11
358:13 364:13	388:2	205:19 206:4,5	363:10	<b>finding</b> 120:22
370:18 382:20	<b>fairly</b> 100:24	206:14,17,22	fibrous 305:5	141:13 250:3
<b>factor</b> 114:18	108:22 162:8	226:24 305:8	355:24 371:3	271:20 275:5
260:24 286:18	338:2 365:7	357:12 359:1,7	<b>field</b> 116:24	279:19,23
factors 77:19	fall 46:5 297:6	373:11,17	154:13 234:12	280:10 281:6
78:10 107:21	fallopian 199:23	384:16	<b>fields</b> 284:13	281:12 284:20
110:24 172:2,2	304:16 305:22	<b>FDA's</b> 192:11	Fifteen 191:6	304:23 384:2
276:7 277:5	306:2,5	192:18 200:8	<b>Fifth</b> 2:17	384:20
319:8 343:1	familiar 10:8	201:24 202:12	figure 159:3	findings 29:23
369:11	49:5 75:9 89:6	203:12,22	212:2,3,5,5	173:10 188:16
facts 106:4	89:12 96:16	204:9 357:20	213:3 214:24	188:17 192:2
167:13 168:1,5	100:8,10 103:1	358:2 372:9	232:8 360:3,9	192:11 195:6
168:6 327:23	109:19 124:22	feature 350:24	360:10,12	210:7,11 220:9
329:7,20	173:10 192:20	features 328:17	361:9,10	254:22 282:4
factual 74:9	193:9 249:19	<b>federal</b> 29:16,20	figures 211:20	282:15,19
79:10 81:5	306:6 375:21	291:22	212:5	371:1 374:13
140:17 168:19	<b>family</b> 345:21	feel 190:13	<b>file</b> 40:24 42:5,6	375:20 383:7
329:21 331:5	346:6,11,14,21	241:8 313:13	44:7	383:10 388:1
factually 162:14	346:23	female 192:7	files 13:11,12,14	fine 42:8 170:5
faculty 89:8	far 13:11 48:21	Ferguson 3:5	<b>filing</b> 373:3	194:2 237:3
98:4,5,14	92:21 121:19	4:5 301:21	<b>final</b> 21:24	352:1
101:12 103:9	126:2 152:11	303:13 306:23	45:14 46:17	finish 79:23
103:24 310:21	179:3 183:9	306:24 307:3,5	47:7,11,12	152:17 158:11
312:14	186:17 198:11	314:8 317:3	63:21 87:21	158:17 197:16
<b>fail</b> 77:24 78:11	198:19 199:24	320:2 321:4	272:24 369:23	236:16,18
<b>failed</b> 373:5	214:6 234:12	322:4 325:10	finalized 45:21	274:20 292:17
<b>fair</b> 10:6,10,11	263:10 284:7	326:8,20 328:5	45:23 47:4	<b>finished</b> 194:1,1
18:13,16 20:4	299:15 312:7	328:8 329:9,14	97:13	197:17 202:23
	318:4,24	330:3 332:3,6	finalizing 46:8	274:19
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

Pao	re.	412	)

				Page 412
finishing 201.20	Focusing 274:22	87:10,17 88:8	215:19 216:5	220.5 12 17
<b>finishing</b> 301:20 <b>first</b> 7:18 10:9	folks 64:14	89:16 90:6	216:24 217:21	329:5,12,17 332:21 333:2
16:16,20 17:1	89:22 173:4	91:16,22 92:17	220:13 221:7	333:23 335:15
17:13 19:18	195:11	93:8,22 94:14	222:22 223:19	336:4 337:16
20:5 22:11,16	follow 146:1	95:4 97:11	225:3,17	340:12 341:15
23:16 31:5	<b>follow-up</b> 357:3	103:19 104:7	226:21 227:22	342:3,23 345:4
51:2,11 60:22	389:5	105:13 107:19	228:16 229:14	345:15 346:20
61:11 72:7	<b>followed</b> 173:4	110:16 113:8	230:7 231:4,16	348:8 349:13
75:23 76:7,22	173:19	113:19 116:8	232:12 235:21	350:8 351:7,13
77:1 84:15	following 174:2	116:11 117:12	238:17 239:7	352:14 354:2
98:2 116:22	266:12 294:23	118:4,21 120:9	240:12,21	354:11,23
142:9 168:8	328:17 329:20	122:6 124:1,4	241:21 242:16	355:12,22,24
184:9 189:18	330:4 392:4	125:2,24	243:22 245:4	357:24 358:10
189:19 191:22	follows 7:21	126:16,22	246:24 247:10	359:5 360:1
191:22 192:4	53:13 55:6	127:16 128:23	248:8,18 250:6	361:7 362:8
193:6 221:10	footnote 74:4	130:11,23	250:10,22	363:3 364:17
221:18 226:22	foregoing 391:4	131:16 133:4	251:7,21	365:16 367:2
239:20 246:21	391:8 393:4,7	134:8 135:10	252:15 253:10	369:19 370:10
252:22 264:1	foreign 239:10	135:13 136:12	254:6 255:10	370:21 371:8
285:4 307:22	239:10 248:14	137:5 138:18	255:20 257:21	373:14,22
328:24 330:5	250:16 254:23	143:7 144:2,13	258:6,20 261:7	374:5 375:8
362:22 366:4	257:2,19,24	144:24 145:19	261:14 262:5	376:3,18 377:3
366:10 368:12	258:15 266:14	146:8,18	263:22 264:24	377:15 378:6,8
<b>fit</b> 266:16	267:20 362:8	147:17 148:1	266:4 267:1,13	378:10 379:7
267:22	362:14,16	148:20 149:5	269:2 270:3	379:19 380:12
<b>five</b> 236:23	foreign-body	150:17 151:19	271:17 275:20	380:20 382:12
237:2 309:9	205:6	153:10 155:4	276:13 279:10	383:1 384:10
311:9 362:9,17	form 13:6 22:3	155:15 157:3	280:17,21	385:1,20 386:8
387:24	25:22 27:21	157:17 159:5	281:9 282:7	388:4
<b>fix</b> 79:17	29:16 31:21	159:21 160:12	283:20 285:17	formal 9:24 85:4
<b>flag</b> 50:3	32:5 34:16	161:12 162:24	286:12 287:3	87:8 205:16
flaws 194:17	36:5,15,24	164:13 169:17	287:23 289:1	227:24 233:14
195:21 196:1,7	37:12 43:23	170:17 173:15	289:12,17	295:5,7
197:2,18	45:7 46:12,19	174:20 175:18	290:21,24	formation
Fletcher 84:15	48:10,16 49:15	177:10 178:8	291:3 293:14	173:24 242:21
87:6,12	52:13 54:14	178:22 180:5	294:5,19	348:2
Flip 60:7	57:19 58:1	183:23 185:22	295:19 296:4,9	formed 179:24
FLOM 2:20	59:3 61:3,9	188:5 189:12	296:12,19	forming 18:14
FLW 1:7	62:13 63:9	190:12 191:2	298:15 299:1	24:21 44:23
focus 88:20 99:3	65:5,23 66:2	191:18 192:14	302:21 303:2,8	110:2 111:5
114:14 156:3	66:16 67:2,10	195:23 199:10	303:8,20	121:17 129:13
197:13 228:20	67:22 68:13	200:17 201:6	304:18 305:2	146:13 147:20
231:5,7 232:22	69:2,7 70:10	202:5 203:18	306:9,17	173:3 176:13
233:5,7232:22	71:8 73:2,11	205:21 206:21	310:11 314:1	199:5 250:8
301:3	74:17 78:18,24	207:9 209:5,16	316:21 318:11	291:23 374:13
focused 8:21	80:6,13 81:1	210:15 213:13	320:13 321:10	384:21
353:4	81:21 86:10	214:21 215:10	324:24 325:21	forms 168:10
333.7	01.21 00.10	217.21 21J.1U	J47.47 J4J.41	1011115 100.10
<u> </u>				

The color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the color of the					Page 413
30:20   122:20			1.010.001	l	l
124:16 144:5   159:23 160:1, 2   161:2 162:4, 16   33:19 39:4   372:5 379:9   326:13 345:20   326:13 345:20   326:13 345:20   326:13 345:20   326:13 345:20   346:23 348:14   202:12   221:12   221:22   122:1, 1   221:22   122:1, 1   221:22   122:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   221:22   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   222:1, 1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   223:1   22				·	O
159:23 160:1,2					
161:2 162:4,16					S
176:4 187:12   51:2 72:7,20   76:7,22 77:2   76:7,22 77:2   76:7,22 77:2   76:7,22 77:2   76:7,22 77:2   76:2,22 12:1,1   72:1,12 72:8   76:2,11,15,20   76:3,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33 19:4   76:4,33	,				
188:2 202:8					
204:2 219:11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Č	
221:4 252:12		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			O
271:12 272:8 273:11,15,20 306:5 319:4 386:5 319:4 378:19         203:3 205:1 400ction 62:21 63:3 function 62:21 63:3 functions 61:19         future 97:24 330:18 286:24 297:3 311:5 330:18 336:9 344:15 349:2 generate 215:8 generate 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generated 215:8 generation 182:1,9 200:11 198:15 generated 215:8 generation 182:1,9 200:11 198:15 generation 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 198:15 19				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
273:11,15,20   243:2 274:14   330:5   Tunction 62:21   Gays 319:20   Gates 182:22   gain 319:20   Gates 182:22   183:14 208:6   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21   GCA1 360:21					· ·
274:8,23 301:4 300:5 319:4 306:5 319:4 378:19 foundation 65:9 181:4         function 62:21 gain 319:20 Gates 182:22 183:14 208:6 GC1a 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:21 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 GCA1 360:22 G			future 97:24		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
306:5 319:4   378:19   63:3   function 62:21   63:3   63:4   53:41:5   34:15   34:15   34:15   34:15   34:15   34:16   33:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17   34:17					-
378:19   63:3   functionally   299:7 343:11   four 40:12 53:21   four foot 12 57:16;20 58:5   65:19 309:9   331:23,24   fund foot 65:6   fund foot 62:1 fragle at 25:7   fragment 60:21 fragrance   62:16 65:13   371:12,14,17   79:11 81:6   322:14,20,22   386:20   91:18 106:4   332:14,20,22   386:20   91:18 106:4   332:14,20,22   386:20   91:18 106:4   332:14,20,22   386:20   91:18 106:4   334:15   336:23   331:17 332:15   336:9,20   336:9,20   336:14   157:136:1   137:14,15   146:11 154:1   235:14   185:1 217:5   fundamentalls   187:21   frame 101:3   263:12 294:8   fundamentalls   187:21   frame 101:3   263:12 294:8   fundamentalls   187:21   frequency   146:22 160:20   161:4   98:12 109:7   7 frequency   146:22 160:20   161:4   98:12 109:7   7 front 11:7,15   100:15, 338:1 355:23   123:23 33:12   123:23 33:12   123:23 33:12   123:23 33:12   133:13   129:37 199:3   146:22 160:20   161:4   98:12 109:7   17:10 190:3   338:13 355:23   123:20 140:5   17:24:4   130:25 132:3 333:12   130:24   130:25 132:3   130:24   130:25 132:3   130:24   130:25 132:3   130:24   130:25 132:3   130:24   130:25 132:3   130:24   130:25 132:3   130:24   130:25   130:25 132:3   130:24   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   130:25   13					
foundation 65:9 181:4         functionally four 40:12 53:21         Gates 182:22 183:14 208:6         generate 218:1 354:16 generated 215:8 genotoxic generated 215:8 genotoxic generated 215:8 genotoxic generated 215:8 genotoxic generated 215:8 genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic genotoxic ge					
181:4			O		
four 40:12 53:21 57:16,20 58:5 65:19 309:9 331:23,24 fund-65:6 fragile 325:7 fragment 60:21 fragrance 352:12,21 37:12,14,17 386:20 91:18 166:4 134:17,24 136:11 235:7 136:1 137:14,15 frame 101:3 framk 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 free 190:13 frack 31:14 general 70:17 frequency 146:22 160:20 161:4 from 11:7,15 frequency 146:22 160:20 161:4 from 11:7,15 free 190:17 frequency 146:22 160:20 161:4 general 70:17 free 190:17 general 70:17 frequency 146:22 160:20 161:4 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 frequency 146:22 160:20 161:4 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 general 70:17 gener				O	O
57:16,20 58:5         62:6         GCA1 360:21         gene 51:13,13         354:20 355:5         genotoxicity           331:23,24         fund 109:8         51:20 53:9         212:7,21         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:9 211:4,23         355:1         209:11:4,23         360:0         360:0         360:0         360:0         360:0         360:0         360:0         360:0         360:0         360:0         30:0         39:1:2         360:0         360:0         30:0         33:1:3	_				*
65:19 309:9         fund 109:8         gene 51:13,13         182:1,9 200:11         genotoxicity           331:23,24         360:23         fundamental         51:20 53:9         55:7,14,20         212:7,21         355:1         355:1         geographic         198:15         198:15         198:15         germance         62:16 65:13         352:12,21         66:17,24 60:10         64:9,21 217:15         216:3 236:7         221:7,21         198:15         germane 65:10         252:5         germane 66:10         252:5         germane 61:18         24:4         20:3         33:14         334:1         33:11         33:11         33:11         33:11         33:11         33:11         33:11         33:11         33:11         33:11 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>O</td></t<>					O
331:23,24 360:23 fragile 325:7 fragment 60:21 fragrance 352:12,21 371:12,14,17 386:20 fragrances 173:18 183:15 126:20 134:3,4 135:6 226:1 134:17,24 135:7 136:1 137:14,15 146:11 154:1 235:14 frame 101:3 187:21 frame 101:3 187:21 frank 31:14 france 101:3 187:21 frank 31:14 free 190:13 241:8 313:13 Prench 213:17 frequency 146:22 160:20 161:4 Friday 1:11 front 11:7,15 102:23 322:3  55:7,14,20 55:7,14,20 56:17,24 60:10 64:9,21 217:15 260:2 313:8 321:23 322:6 320:2 33:13 321:23 322:6 322:14,20,22 324:20 325:6 310:9 321:22 242:4 270:5 336:9,20 331:7 332:15 336:9,20 339:20 340:22 giant 250:16 give 19:20 27:16 give 19:20 28:20 give 19:20 28:20 give 19:20 28:20 give 19:20 28:20 give 19:20 28:20 give 19:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			O	
360:23         fundamental fragile 325:7         55:7,14,20         212:7,21         geographic           fragile 325:7         52:22 53:7         56:17,24 60:10         214:12 215:17         geographic           fragrance         352:12,21         68:17 74:9         321:23 322:6         318:7         germane 65:10         252:5         germane 65:10           371:12,14,17         79:11 81:6         322:14,20,22         32:123 322:6         318:7         germane 65:10         252:5         germane 70:17         318:14         46:7,19 217:10         217:11         252:23 233:22         224:24 270:5         225:5         germane 65:10         252:23 233:22         224:24:270:5         227:22 321:7         335:14 33:13         329:23 331:2         335:14 33:6         335:14 334:6         331:17 332:15         336:7 338:9         389:20         339:20 340:22         339:20 340:22         339:20 340:22         329:20 340:22         324:14 34:11         347:18,23         329:20 340:22         324:18,23         348:6,16,20         324:18,11         345:1				·	· ·
fragile 325:7         52:22 53:7         56:17,24 60:10         214:12 215:17         198:15           fragment 60:21         57:12 61:12         64:9,21 217:15         216:3 236:7         318:7           352:12,21         68:17 74:9         321:23 322:6         321:23 322:6         322:14,20,22         318:7         genes 61:18 62:4         gertting 38:3           371:12,14,17         79:11 81:6         322:14,20,22         310:9 321:22         242:4 270:5         getting 38:3           386:20         91:18 106:4         324:20 325:6         310:9 321:22         242:4 270:5         getting 38:3           126:20 134:3,4         185:6 226:1         333:14 33:1         332:23 33:13         332:23 33:12         332:23 33:2         336:9,20           135:7 136:1         348:15,16         fundamentally         gene-dependent         346:17         346:17         339:20 340:22         giant 250:16         give 19:20 27:16         38:14 122:4           146:11 154:1         105:5 174:8         321:12         348:6,16,20         348:6,16,20         38:14 122:4           187:21         funded 108:17         116:21 117:6,9         16:21 17:6,9         16:21 17:6,9         16:21 17:3 199:3         322:2 330:8         322:2 330:8         322:2 330:8         329:22 330:8         33:11,1 345:23         32	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	355:1
fragment 60:21         57:12 61:12         64:9,21 217:15         216:3 236:7         germane 65:10           352:12,21         68:17 74:9         321:23 322:6         318:7         318:7         325:23 233:22           386:20         91:18 106:4         322:14,20,22         310:9 321:22         242:4 270:5         326:2 333:13         329:23 331:2         297:22 321:7           126:20 134:3,4         185:6 226:1         333:14 334:6         335:18 336:17         336:17 338:9         389:20           135:7 136:1         348:15,16         348:15,16         346:17         339:20 340:22         389:20           137:14,15         fundamentally         105:5 174:8         321:12         347:18,23         38:14 122:4           235:14         185:1 217:5         general 70:17         348:6,16,20         genetic 51:3         38:14 122:4           187:21         74:13 168:14         109:3 296:24         113:1 115:19         55:10 62:2         284:16 303:24           16ree 190:13         funded 108:17         116:21 17:69         106:8 320:5,23         322:23 33:8         322:23 33:8           16requency         funding 89:9         171:3 199:3         33:11,1 345:23         33:11,1 345:23         329:22 30:8           161:4         98:12 109:7         217:7 224:4				· ·	0 0 1
fragrance         62:16 65:13         260:2 313:8         318:7         252:5         getting 38:3           371:12,14,17         79:11 81:6         322:14,20,22         32:123 322:6         310:9 321:22         242:4 270:5         242:4 270:5         241:4 270:5         241:4 270:5         241:8 313:13         335:18 336:17         336:7 338:9         336:9,20         336:7 338:9         336:9,20         339:20 340:22         336:9,20         339:20 340:22         346:4 347:11         346:4 347:11         347:18,23         38:14 122:4         38:14 122:4         34:17 120:4         34:17 120:4         34:17 120:4         34:17 32:15         336:9,20         339:20 340:22         346:4 347:11         346:4 347:11         347:18,23         38:14 122:4         38:14 122:4         34:18 12:12         34:18 12:12         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:12         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4         34:18 12:4 <td>fragile 325:7</td> <td>52:22 53:7</td> <td>·</td> <td>214:12 215:17</td> <td>198:15</td>	fragile 325:7	52:22 53:7	·	214:12 215:17	198:15
352:12,21         68:17 74:9         321:23 322:6         genes 61:18 62:4         getting 38:3           371:12,14,17         79:11 81:6         322:14,20,22         310:9 321:22         242:4 270:5           386:20         91:18 106:4         324:20 325:6         310:9 321:22         242:4 270:5           fragrances         173:18 183:15         326:2 333:13         329:23 331:2         297:22 321:7           126:20 134:3,4         185:6 226:1         335:18 336:17         336:7 338:9         336:9,20           137:14,15         fundamentally         348:15,16         gene-dependent         321:12         346:4 347:11         347:18,23         38:14 122:4           235:14         185:1 217:5         general 70:17         348:6,16,20         genetic 51:3         38:14 122:4           frame 101:3         263:12 294:8         105:16 106:21         53:14 54:2         215:7,16 216:2           free 190:13         74:13 168:14         116:21 117:6,9         106:8 320:5,23         323:21 328:17           free 190:13         109:3 296:24         118:9 152:11         329:22 330:8         329:22 330:8           frequency         funding 89:9         171:3 199:3         331:1,1 345:23         329:22 330:8         329:33:3           friday 1:11         298:7 299:4,11	fragment 60:21		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	216:3 236:7	O
371:12,14,17         79:11 81:6         322:14,20,22         64:7,19 217:10         152:23 233:22         242:4 270:5           386:20         91:18 106:4         324:20 325:6         310:9 321:22         242:4 270:5           fragrances         173:18 183:15         326:2 333:13         329:23 331:2         297:22 321:7           134:17,24         233:6 329:7,19         335:18 336:17         336:7 338:9         389:20           135:7 136:1         348:15,16         fundamentally         gene-dependent         321:12         346:43 47:11         346:43 47:11         347:18,23         38:14 122:4           235:14         185:1 217:5         general 70:17         348:6,16,20         genetic 51:3         38:14 122:4           46rank 31:14         fundamentals         105:16 106:21         13:115:19         56:10 62:2         284:16 303:24           free 190:13         funded 108:17         116:21 117:6,9         169:21 170:18         329:22 330:8         329:22 330:8         38:16 369:24           French 213:17         gorith 20:22         17:3 199:3         32:21 328:17         328:16 369:24           Frequency         funding 89:9         171:3 199:3         33:1,1,1 345:23         329:33:3         329:23 30:8         33:11,1 345:23         329:33:3           Friday 1:11	fragrance	62:16 65:13		318:7	
386:20         91:18 106:4         324:20 325:6         310:9 321:22         242:4 270:5           fragrances         173:18 183:15         326:2 333:13         329:23 331:2         297:22 321:7           126:20 134:3,4         185:6 226:1         333:14 334:6         331:17 332:15         336:9,20           134:17,24         233:6 329:7,19         335:18 336:17         336:7 338:9         389:20           135:7 136:1         348:15,16         fundamentally         gene-dependent         321:12         346:4 347:11         give 19:20 27:16           235:14         185:1 217:5         general 70:17         348:6,16,20         38:14 122:4           235:14         185:1 217:5         general 70:17         348:6,16,20         38:14 122:4           187:21         74:13 168:14         101:9 102:3         53:14 54:2         215:7,16 216:2           187:21         74:13 168:14         116:21 117:6,9         16:21 117:6,9         16:8 320:5,23         326:16,7 351:24           241:8 313:13         109:3 296:24         118:9 152:11         323:21 328:17         368:16 369:24           French 213:17         297:11 300:5         169:21 170:18         329:22 330:8         331:1,1 345:23           16:22 160:20         90:21,22 98:12         202:6 210:5         346:13 347:1	352:12,21	68:17 74:9		genes 61:18 62:4	getting 38:3
fragrances         173:18 183:15         326:2 333:13         329:23 331:2         297:22 321:7           126:20 134:3,4         185:6 226:1         333:14 334:6         332:12 33:13         329:23 331:2         297:22 321:7           134:17,24         233:6 329:7,19         335:18 336:17         336:7 338:9         389:20           135:7 136:1         348:15,16         348:15,16         346:17         339:20 340:22         giant 250:16           137:14,15         fundamentally         105:5 174:8         321:12         347:18,23         38:14 122:4           235:14         185:1 217:5         general 70:17         348:6,16,20         124:17 144:14           frame 101:3         fundamentals         105:16 106:21         13:1 15:19           187:21         74:13 168:14         116:21 117:6,9         56:10 62:2         284:16 303:24           free 190:13         funded 108:17         116:21 117:6,9         106:8 320:5,23         326:6,7 351:24           241:8 313:13         109:3 296:24         118:9 152:11         329:22 330:8         36:16 369:24           frequency         funding 89:9         171:3 199:3         331:1,1 345:23         32:9 33:3           161:4         98:12 109:7         217:7 224:4         347:10 348:2         92:13 103:24	371:12,14,17	79:11 81:6		64:7,19 217:10	152:23 233:22
126:20 134:3,4         185:6 226:1         333:14 334:6         331:17 332:15         336:9,20           134:17,24         233:6 329:7,19         348:15,16         348:15,16         348:15,16         348:15,16         348:17         339:20 340:22         339:20 27:16           137:14,15         fundamentally         105:5 174:8         321:12         346:4 347:11         347:18,23         38:14 12:4           235:14         185:1 217:5         general 70:17         348:6,16,20         genetic 51:3         38:14 12:4           frame 101:3         fundamentals         105:16 106:21         53:14 54:2         215:7,16 216:2           187:21         74:13 168:14         funded 108:17         116:21 117:6,9         16:21 117:6,9         106:8 320:5,23         326:6,7 351:24           French 213:17         funding 89:9         171:3 199:3         33:11,1 345:23         36:16 369:24           Frequency         funding 89:9         171:3 199:3         33:11,1 345:23         32:9 33:3           16:4         98:12 109:7         217:7 224:4         347:10 348:2         32:13 103:24           Friday 1:11         298:7 299:4,11         300:2,5 312:3         323:3 337:12         348:11         123:20 140:5           12:2,15 13:3         funning 300:10         333:14 334:6 <th< td=""><td>386:20</td><td>91:18 106:4</td><td></td><td>310:9 321:22</td><td>242:4 270:5</td></th<>	386:20	91:18 106:4		310:9 321:22	242:4 270:5
134:17,24       233:6 329:7,19       335:18 336:17       336:7 338:9       389:20         135:7 136:1       348:15,16       gene-dependent       321:12       346:4 347:11       389:20 27:16         137:14,15       fundamentally       105:5 174:8       gene-dependent       321:12       346:4 347:11       389:20       389:20         235:14       105:5 174:8       general 70:17       348:6,16,20       genetic 51:3       38:14 122:4         235:14       185:1 217:5       general 70:17       101:9 102:3       348:6,16,20       genetic 51:3       38:14 122:4         frank 31:14       fundamentals       105:16 106:21       13:1 115:19       56:10 62:2       284:16 303:24         187:21       74:13 168:14       116:21 117:6,9       116:21 117:6,9       106:8 320:5,23       326:6,7 351:24         241:8 313:13       109:3 296:24       118:9 152:11       323:21 328:17       368:16 369:24         French 213:17       297:11 300:5       169:21 170:18       329:22 330:8       33:11,1 345:23       36:16 90:17         161:4       98:12 109:7       217:7 224:4       347:10 348:2       32:9 33:3         Friday 1:11       298:7 299:4,11       329:4 316:2       348:11       123:20 140:5         12:2,15 13:3       100:2,5 312:3	fragrances	173:18 183:15		329:23 331:2	297:22 321:7
135:7 136:1         348:15,16         346:17         339:20 340:22         giant 250:16           137:14,15         146:11 154:1         105:5 174:8         321:12         346:4 347:11         347:18,23         38:14 122:4           235:14         185:1 217:5         general 70:17         348:6,16,20         genetic 51:3         38:14 122:4           frame 101:3         263:12 294:8         101:9 102:3         genetic 51:3         184:19 214:17           frank 31:14         fundamentals         105:16 106:21         53:14 54:2         215:7,16 216:2           187:21         74:13 168:14         113:1 115:19         56:10 62:2         284:16 303:24           free 190:13         funded 108:17         116:21 117:6,9         106:8 320:5,23         326:6,7 351:24           241:8 313:13         297:11 300:5         169:21 170:18         329:22 330:8         given 9:11,16           frequency         90:21,22 98:12         202:6 210:5         346:13 347:1         329:33:3           16:4         98:12 109:7         217:7 224:4         347:10 348:2         92:13 103:24           Friday 1:11         298:7 299:4,11         259:4 316:2         348:11         123:20 140:5           12:2,15 13:3         funning 300:10         338:1 355:23         213:23 214:5         172:6	126:20 134:3,4	185:6 226:1		331:17 332:15	336:9,20
137:14,15         fundamentally         gene-dependent         346:4 347:11         give 19:20 27:16           137:14,15         105:5 174:8         321:12         346:4 347:11         38:14 122:4           235:14         185:1 217:5         general 70:17         348:6,16,20         124:17 144:14           frame 101:3         263:12 294:8         101:9 102:3         348:6,16,20         124:17 144:14           frank 31:14         fundamentals         105:16 106:21         53:14 54:2         215:7,16 216:2           187:21         74:13 168:14         113:1 115:19         16:21 117:6,9         16:21 117:6,9         106:8 320:5,23         326:6,7 351:24           241:8 313:13         109:3 296:24         118:9 152:11         323:21 328:17         368:16 369:24           French 213:17         297:11 300:5         169:21 170:18         329:22 330:8         31:1,1 345:23           146:22 160:20         90:21,22 98:12         202:6 210:5         346:13 347:1         32:9 33:3           161:4         98:12 109:7         217:7 224:4         347:10 348:2         92:13 103:24           Friday 1:11         298:7 299:4,11         300:2,5 312:3         323:3 337:12         348:11         123:20 140:5           12:2,15 13:3         funning 300:10         338:1 355:23         213:23	134:17,24	233:6 329:7,19		336:7 338:9	389:20
146:11 154:1       105:5 174:8       321:12       347:18,23       38:14 122:4         235:14       185:1 217:5       general 70:17       348:6,16,20       124:17 144:14         frame 101:3       fundamentals       105:16 106:21       53:14 54:2       215:7,16 216:2         187:21       funded 108:17       116:21 117:6,9       106:8 320:5,23       326:6,7 351:24         free 190:13       109:3 296:24       118:9 152:11       323:21 328:17       368:16 369:24         French 213:17       297:11 300:5       169:21 170:18       329:22 330:8       331:1,1 345:23       368:16 369:24         frequency       90:21,22 98:12       202:6 210:5       346:13 347:1       329:33:3       68:16 90:17         161:4       98:12 109:7       217:7 224:4       348:11       92:13 103:24         Friday 1:11       298:7 299:4,11       323:3 337:12       348:11       123:20 140:5         front 11:7,15       300:2,5 312:3       323:3 337:12       348:11       123:20 163:9         12:2,15 13:3       funning 300:10       338:1 355:23       213:23 214:5       172:6,7 174:4	135:7 136:1	348:15,16		339:20 340:22	<b>giant</b> 250:16
235:14         185:1 217:5         general 70:17         348:6,16,20         124:17 144:14           frame 101:3         fundamentals         101:9 102:3         348:6,16,20         124:17 144:14           frank 31:14         fundamentals         74:13 168:14         105:16 106:21         53:14 54:2         215:7,16 216:2           187:21         funded 108:17         116:21 117:6,9         16:21 117:6,9         106:8 320:5,23         326:6,7 351:24           241:8 313:13         109:3 296:24         118:9 152:11         323:21 328:17         368:16 369:24           French 213:17         funding 89:9         171:3 199:3         331:1,1 345:23         368:16 369:24           frequency         90:21,22 98:12         202:6 210:5         346:13 347:1         32:9 33:3           161:4         98:12 109:7         217:7 224:4         347:10 348:2         32:13 103:24           Friday 1:11         298:7 299:4,11         259:4 316:2         348:11         123:20 140:5           front 11:7,15         300:2,5 312:3         338:1 355:23         213:23 214:5         172:6,7 174:4	137:14,15	fundamentally		346:4 347:11	give 19:20 27:16
frame 101:3         263:12 294:8         101:9 102:3         genetic 51:3         184:19 214:17           frank 31:14         fundamentals         74:13 168:14         105:16 106:21         53:14 54:2         215:7,16 216:2           free 190:13         funded 108:17         116:21 117:6,9         116:21 117:6,9         106:8 320:5,23         326:6,7 351:24           241:8 313:13         109:3 296:24         118:9 152:11         323:21 328:17         368:16 369:24           French 213:17         funding 89:9         90:21,22 98:12         171:3 199:3         331:1,1 345:23         32:9 33:3           16:4         98:12 109:7         217:7 224:4         347:10 348:2         32:13 103:24           Friday 1:11         298:7 299:4,11         259:4 316:2         348:11         123:20 140:5           front 11:7,15         300:2,5 312:3         338:1 355:23         338:1 355:23         213:23 214:5         172:6,7 174:4	146:11 154:1	105:5 174:8		347:18,23	38:14 122:4
frank 31:14         fundamentals         105:16 106:21         53:14 54:2         215:7,16 216:2           187:21         74:13 168:14         113:1 115:19         53:14 54:2         284:16 303:24           free 190:13         funded 108:17         116:21 117:6,9         106:8 320:5,23         326:6,7 351:24           241:8 313:13         109:3 296:24         118:9 152:11         323:21 328:17         368:16 369:24           French 213:17         297:11 300:5         169:21 170:18         329:22 330:8         given 9:11,16           329:22 330:8         331:1,1 345:23         32:9 33:3         32:9 33:3           161:4         98:12 109:7         217:7 224:4         347:10 348:2         92:13 103:24           Friday 1:11         298:7 299:4,11         259:4 316:2         348:11         123:20 140:5           front 11:7,15         300:2,5 312:3         323:3 337:12         323:23 214:5         152:20 163:9           12:2,15 13:3         funning 300:10         338:1 355:23         213:23 214:5         172:6,7 174:4	235:14		0	348:6,16,20	124:17 144:14
187:21       74:13 168:14       113:1 115:19       56:10 62:2       284:16 303:24         free 190:13       241:8 313:13       109:3 296:24       118:9 152:11       323:21 328:17       323:21 328:17       368:16 369:24         French 213:17       funding 89:9       171:3 199:3       329:22 330:8       31:1,1 345:23       32:9 33:3         frequency       90:21,22 98:12       202:6 210:5       346:13 347:1       32:9 33:3         161:4       98:12 109:7       217:7 224:4       347:10 348:2       92:13 103:24         Friday 1:11       298:7 299:4,11       259:4 316:2       348:11       123:20 140:5         front 11:7,15       300:2,5 312:3       323:3 337:12       genetically       152:20 163:9         12:2,15 13:3       funning 300:10       338:1 355:23       213:23 214:5       172:6,7 174:4	frame 101:3	263:12 294:8		genetic 51:3	184:19 214:17
free 190:13         funded 108:17         116:21 117:6,9         106:8 320:5,23         326:6,7 351:24           241:8 313:13         109:3 296:24         118:9 152:11         323:21 328:17         368:16 369:24           French 213:17         funding 89:9         171:3 199:3         329:22 330:8         given 9:11,16           frequency         90:21,22 98:12         202:6 210:5         346:13 347:1         68:16 90:17           161:4         98:12 109:7         217:7 224:4         347:10 348:2         92:13 103:24           Friday 1:11         298:7 299:4,11         259:4 316:2         348:11         123:20 140:5           front 11:7,15         300:2,5 312:3         338:1 355:23         213:23 214:5         152:20 163:9           12:2,15 13:3         funning 300:10         338:1 355:23         213:23 214:5         172:6,7 174:4	frank 31:14	fundamentals		53:14 54:2	215:7,16 216:2
241:8 313:13       109:3 296:24       118:9 152:11       323:21 328:17       368:16 369:24         French 213:17       297:11 300:5       169:21 170:18       329:22 330:8       329:22 330:8       329:22 330:8       329:22 330:8       329:22 330:8       329:22 330:8       329:22 330:8       329:22 330:8       329:22 330:8       329:22 330:8       329:22 330:8       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:22 330:8       329:33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:32 33:3       329:	187:21	74:13 168:14		56:10 62:2	284:16 303:24
French 213:17         297:11 300:5         169:21 170:18         329:22 330:8         given 9:11,16           frequency         146:22 160:20         90:21,22 98:12         202:6 210:5         346:13 347:1         329:22 330:8         331:1,1 345:23         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3         329:32 33:3	free 190:13	<b>funded</b> 108:17	•	106:8 320:5,23	326:6,7 351:24
frequency         funding 89:9         171:3 199:3         331:1,1 345:23         32:9 33:3           146:22 160:20         90:21,22 98:12         202:6 210:5         346:13 347:1         68:16 90:17           161:4         98:12 109:7         217:7 224:4         347:10 348:2         92:13 103:24           Friday 1:11         298:7 299:4,11         259:4 316:2         348:11         123:20 140:5           front 11:7,15         300:2,5 312:3         338:1 355:23         213:23 214:5         172:6,7 174:4	241:8 313:13	109:3 296:24		323:21 328:17	368:16 369:24
frequency         funding 89:9         171:3 199:3         331:1,1 345:23         32:9 33:3           146:22 160:20         90:21,22 98:12         202:6 210:5         346:13 347:1         68:16 90:17           161:4         98:12 109:7         217:7 224:4         347:10 348:2         92:13 103:24           Friday 1:11         298:7 299:4,11         259:4 316:2         348:11         123:20 140:5           front 11:7,15         300:2,5 312:3         338:1 355:23         213:23 214:5         172:6,7 174:4	French 213:17	297:11 300:5		329:22 330:8	<b>given</b> 9:11,16
146:22 160:20       90:21,22 98:12       202:6 210:5       346:13 347:1       68:16 90:17         161:4       98:12 109:7       217:7 224:4       347:10 348:2       92:13 103:24         Friday 1:11       298:7 299:4,11       259:4 316:2       348:11       123:20 140:5         front 11:7,15       300:2,5 312:3       338:1 355:23       152:20 163:9         12:2,15 13:3       funning 300:10       338:1 355:23       213:23 214:5       172:6,7 174:4	frequency	funding 89:9		331:1,1 345:23	32:9 33:3
Friday 1:11       298:7 299:4,11       259:4 316:2       348:11       123:20 140:5         front 11:7,15       300:2,5 312:3       323:3 337:12       genetically       152:20 163:9         12:2,15 13:3       funning 300:10       338:1 355:23       213:23 214:5       172:6,7 174:4		90:21,22 98:12		346:13 347:1	68:16 90:17
front 11:7,15       300:2,5 312:3       323:3 337:12       genetically       152:20 163:9         12:2,15 13:3       funning 300:10       338:1 355:23       213:23 214:5       172:6,7 174:4	161:4	98:12 109:7		347:10 348:2	92:13 103:24
front 11:7,15     300:2,5 312:3     323:3 337:12     genetically     152:20 163:9       12:2,15 13:3     funning 300:10     338:1 355:23     213:23 214:5     172:6,7 174:4	Friday 1:11	298:7 299:4,11		348:11	123:20 140:5
12:2,15 13:3 <b>funning</b> 300:10 338:1 355:23 213:23 214:5 172:6,7 174:4		300:2,5 312:3		genetically	152:20 163:9
	12:2,15 13:3			•	172:6,7 174:4
	· ·	0	363:24 365:20	216:20	180:13 192:5
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 414
224.21.246.11	200.10.210.5		50.15.125.21	262.21
234:21 246:11	208:10 219:5	growth/survival	59:15 135:21	363:21
258:10 259:4	236:15 312:24	77:19 78:9	249:20 301:20	Heller 6:11
284:18 293:10	312:24 357:5	guarantee	303:12 306:22	249:16 250:13
299:17 301:9	good 7:24 8:1	338:10	<b>handed</b> 16:9	252:11 254:22
321:13 327:18	79:16 267:7	guaranteed	19:6	378:2,11 379:5
347:16 348:12	307:4 312:7	321:14	handing 20:19	379:12 380:8
377:23 389:15	321:22 341:20	guess 109:22	21:17 22:23	Heller's 249:12
391:10	Google 121:10	119:23 253:3	33:4 50:8	250:1,3
giving 106:15	GORDON 3:3	255:2,2 326:17	59:10 75:11	help 47:10 232:7
187:7	gosh 9:2	335:21 362:11	82:18 218:12	240:4
glutathione	GOTSHAL	383:17	249:24 271:1	helped 201:16
183:15	2:14,17	gynecologic	handy 192:22	helpful 83:7
<b>go</b> 9:5 53:19	government	327:2,3 370:3	happen 255:17	135:5 140:24
55:11 56:23	4:20,23 19:13	gynecological	happened	141:2 198:6
61:15 67:24	21:21,21 90:20	340:3	333:19,19	229:2
75:5 120:22	90:22 300:17	gynecologist	happens 251:4	helps 127:23
139:8 140:19	grade 196:13	370:3	happy 10:24	385:9
141:13 166:13	197:21 328:19	<u>H</u>	hard 13:21,23	Henderson
171:24 236:21	330:7,18	half 98:22	30:16 44:3	304:4
238:7,13 260:2	grant 108:18,23		Harper 182:22	hereditary
267:19 290:18	109:5 300:14	309:10	241:11	345:5
301:15 313:1	300:15	halfway 266:12	head 43:18	heterogeneity
313:13 327:24	granted 312:9	hallmark	123:24 347:22	258:11
330:14 338:7	grants 91:3	316:18	heading 327:12	high 322:2
339:11 343:3	98:13 300:18	Halperin 2:21	heal 77:24 78:11	323:22 328:19
348:5	granulocytes	Hamilton 5:7	health 5:24	<b>high-</b> 322:23
goes 221:1 291:2	316:6	25:3,16,18	107:11 108:21	higher 183:16
299:7 331:16	granulomas	26:3,9,14 27:8	109:11,13,15	212:21 260:5
332:15	362:9,16	27:8,14,19	110:1 111:7	335:2 336:22
<b>going</b> 28:10	<b>graph</b> 360:12	29:23,24 30:18	142:8 258:12	348:1
41:19 55:4	great 42:24	31:19,22 32:1	384:16	higher-risk
56:14 79:20	237:7 263:17	32:6,17,23	healthcare	336:17
82:11,11	288:5	34:6,12,22	308:7 309:14	highest 361:12
152:18 158:5	greater 148:9	35:4,7,11,14	healthy 317:11	highly 105:8
161:6 166:17	161:23 192:10	181:21,21	heard 17:1	hired 8:16 91:10
177:2 236:12	345:11	182:16 185:7	hearing 307:12	93:3 95:15
237:9 259:12	greatest 361:4	185:17 186:2,4	391:10	96:7,17 102:14
265:1 301:19	ground 10:7	186:7,9,22	heavy 127:14,22	histologic
302:3 307:10	group 58:5	187:9,11,16	133:7,11,18	259:17
309:8 339:2	87:12 89:8	188:16,18,21	136:9,21 137:3	histological
349:19 356:16	180:10 192:9	189:7,14	137:14 154:2	329:8,20
356:19 357:8	200:5 323:20	191:10 207:19	234:18 352:21	378:23
Golkow 7:4	grow 61:20 62:7	361:20,23	353:7,12,16,18	histopathologi
gonna 14:8	106:13	362:2 374:13	380:21 381:3	259:2
28:19 39:2	growth 77:20	Hamilton's 35:1	381:15 382:3	historical 371:1
41:18,18,22	78:10 106:2	hand 14:8 16:11	held 1:15 7:7	historically
42:13 64:4	348:5	19:3 20:17	297:4 310:18	177:16
	l	l	I	

				Page 415
20444	227 ( 240 0		1.5.5.6.6.6	120 10 146 10
history 284:11	227:6 249:9	82:6 327:20,21	117:15 216:16	139:10 146:19
284:11 345:22	253:5 254:3	329:2 332:19	important 52:15	146:21 148:21
346:6,11,14,23	365:9 376:11	IDENTIFICA	57:10 114:24	150:5 157:20
368:21 383:17	379:22,23,24	14:12 16:5	115:1 137:2,8	195:17 205:13
hoc 101:19	380:7,8,9	19:8 21:15	191:7 215:2,22	212:9 226:23
hold 21:3 115:9	human-based	23:4 30:14	248:1 266:10	254:10 289:4
138:3 145:15	375:13	33:8 49:23	274:12,20	347:2 352:6
189:23 286:8	humans 166:7	59:8 72:4	298:6 300:1	358:7 387:8
363:18 364:6	185:1 210:12	75:15 82:24	319:9 346:24	includes 86:1
388:20,23	351:10 364:21	142:3 190:17	348:18 351:1	137:10 153:22
390:1	365:3,13	193:1 208:8	importantly	328:14
holds 288:14	374:19,22,24	218:10 249:22	197:2 201:7	including 19:2
hopefully	375:6 376:7	270:23 326:19	215:20 269:4	71:6 78:15
308:19 349:21	hundred 338:4	367:23	272:3 274:11	79:11 91:9
hormones	hundreds	identified 55:23	277:9 308:10	92:23 105:21
313:15	100:24	82:20 121:22	impossible	107:24 116:21
hour 79:20	Huntsville 1:16	156:20 159:9	217:1	118:9 119:8
158:6 236:13	1:17 7:8	185:8 187:9	in-depth 365:7	138:11 168:10
hours 17:23	hypothesis	190:7 207:19	Inability 344:18	201:16 206:3
18:14 19:2	32:18 36:1	207:22 249:17	inadequate	219:21 234:16
47:14,18,20,23	116:7 235:9	275:4 323:21	110:12	236:2 263:5,8
HudsonAlpha	289:21 294:3,9	347:18 388:19	inappropriate	263:15 279:14
88:21 89:2,3	hypothesized	identifies 200:10	106:15 114:14	314:4 320:16
89:18,22,23	278:15,16	387:15	256:19 259:5	352:12,20
98:1,3,8 100:7	hypothetical	identify 11:8	inarguable	388:8
100:11 101:22	155:5 166:12	19:10 23:6	129:19 162:1	inclusion 31:2
101:23 102:6	257:22 258:7	24:11 83:7	incessant 351:3	188:21 197:20
102:12,20,24	294:14	193:20 301:7	351:6	274:13 385:2
103:11,16	I	348:14 373:5	incidence	386:23
109:4,9 287:15		Illinois 3:9	268:22	incomplete
299:13 307:24	IARC 305:3	illustrate 106:7	incidences 192:7	155:4 189:17
308:3,13,16	366:22 367:3 367:10	114:15 211:2	include 45:19	204:22 257:21
310:22 311:13		324:2	69:23 92:2	258:6 269:4
311:23 312:6	IARC's 304:23 idea 17:19	illustrated 314:6	124:7 125:11	inconsistent
312:16		Imerys 3:2	139:12 146:22	268:11
HudsonAlpha's	identical 51:19	307:5,6	148:17 168:8	incorrect 265:11
100:18 101:12	54:20 55:17	immune 34:3,11	178:10 200:12	359:3
Huh-uh 41:17	56:1 57:7,17	34:24 35:5,9	219:24 220:4	increase 160:5
Hulfish 2:14	57:20 58:9 61:24 63:2,13	35:10,11	310:6 326:1	211:3,17
human 128:8		181:22 185:6	341:6 359:8	212:19 214:12
182:12,13	65:2,16,20,24	188:20,23	365:11 383:17	214:14 238:3
184:23 190:3,5	66:6,7,13,22	240:2 244:9	included 36:7	238:22 239:22
196:15 201:2	67:8,18 68:21	248:16 251:1	45:12 73:21,22	275:4 314:3
209:20,23	69:4 73:18	350:24	83:13 85:23	324:5 336:8
210:8 214:7	74:5,15,20	impact 130:21	87:11 91:23	337:12,13,22
217:15 218:6	78:16,22 80:3	impairs 344:9	118:15 126:6	338:22 345:11
222:5 225:8	80:11,18,19	importance	129:6,6 138:15	349:3 362:7
	<u> </u>	I	I	

increased 5:18   198:20 318:18   114:22 115:2,6   235:4 239:5,15   129:22,24	,
1 85:11 84:14	
160:3 166:2     345:7,24 353:2     116:6,7,17,20     241:2 243:19     154:22 16       182:9 212:23     353:3 386:21     116:24 117:4.9     244:19 247:12     165:8,18	
254:12 256:16   individually   117:15,20   248:4,6,13   168:15 10	
265:17 267:16   58:4 67:4,13   118:1,8,9,10   252:1,2,4   169:11 17	
273:3 275:1	
276:20 278:11   277:17   119:20 121:4   260:20,23   174:12 17	
279:16 321:3   individuals   128:4,12   261:4,5,12,22   177:23 17	
322:23 336:14	
337:10 344:1	
344:23 346:18   258:16 259:14   137:23 140:8   263:3,11,19   189:4,8 1	
347:19 369:8 284:5 319:6 145:17 146:6 264:5,20 197:5 204	
369:10 323:22 324:5 146:14 147:13 266:13 267:19 205:7 200	
increases 110:13   334:20 347:9   147:21 149:3   268:24 269:19   207:1 209	
181:24 338:11     348:11,13     149:16 150:15     270:8 271:4,23     211:15,10	
340:6,14 345:6 induce 188:13   150:22 151:12   272:20,24   230:17 23	
increasing 160:3   induced 20:12   151:17,24   275:13,15   238:8,23	
160:3 211:18   179:17 363:1   153:8,16,18   276:2,8,10,16   239:11 24	
233:19   induces 167:3   155:1,10,19   276:18,22   240:15 24	
ind- 233:16   178:11,18   156:1 157:8,14   277:16,21,24   242:1,9,1	
<b>independent</b> 191:14 350:5 159:1,8,18 278:4,5,6,9,17 243:10,13	
115:12 229:19   350:18 364:14   161:10 162:20   279:7 281:24   245:2,19,	·
230:14 246:3   <b>inducing</b> 350:5   164:2,6,10,18   282:23 283:18   246:1,6,7	
278:1 295:13   350:17   167:24 168:3,9   283:24 284:2   247:8,17,	·
325:3 385:5   industrial 126:8   169:1,2,8,13   284:12 285:6   249:10,13	
388:1   inert 255:8   169:14 170:14   285:10,15,24   250:20 25	
independently   inevitable 318:8   170:15,20   293:19 305:14   252:13 25	
288:8   infer 256:19   171:4,7,10,12   306:15 313:16   253:17,24	
indicate 214:11   inflamm-   171:18 172:8   316:16,18,24   255:13,14	
274:9 277:15   241:17   172:11,12   349:3,4,9,16   258:10 25	59:1
322:6 327:1   inflamma-   173:13 175:10   349:22 350:3,5   259:10 20	60:13
347:8 381:3 176:17 177:14 350:17 351:3,7 260:16 20	61:19
indicated inflammation 177:17 178:4,5 351:11 353:12 264:10,10	6
281:16 335:6   6:13 20:11   179:1,1,11,17   353:14,16   265:9 268	
indicating 21:6 24:3,16 180:1,16 358:21 362:7 270:6 27	
337:22 24:17 25:19 181:18 182:18 362:17 363:15 271:21 2	
indicative 26:4,7,11,15 182:19 183:8 363:19,22 274:4 27:	
256:20 26:17 27:9 183:20 184:12 364:6,14,21 275:12 2	76:16
indicator 345:22   29:24 32:2,19   184:15 186:24   365:3,13 366:2   276:21 2'	77:2
346:12,15 32:23 36:1,21 188:14 191:15 366:10,11,12 278:18 28	81:22
indirect 167:20   37:9 91:24   191:21 207:6   367:9 376:7   282:17,2	1
314:24 348:2 92:10,14,22 207:14,18 379:11 380:17 283:3 284	4:6,21
indirectly 240:3   93:5,13,14   208:15 209:3   381:3,16 387:4   289:5 31:	5:2,23
individual 61:18   94:3 102:8   211:12 219:8   inflammatory   316:2,13	365:8
62:4 159:17   106:13 114:9   226:18 227:3,5   37:17 77:19   367:13 3	74:18
177:1 179:4,4   114:13,16,22   229:6 230:23   78:9 92:3   375:5,24	376:5

376:10,15,21   333:24 334:5,6   172:20 173:11   interesting   17:23 18:3,18   377:12,22,23   341:8 366:1   185:19 186:5   58:12 106:20   124:23 198:15   involved 91:7   380:23 381:10   385:8 387:12   388:15   informatory   385:8 387:12   388:15   informatory   385:8 387:12   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   information   104:23   infor 118:0   104:23   information   227:0 23:18,20   200:5   inhalation 162:3   inhalation 162:3   information   22:20 23:18,20   307:12   insertif 15:4   interrupt   31:10   interving 13:2   interving 13:2   interving 13:3   interving 13:2   interving 13:2   interving 13:2   interving 13:2   interving 13:3   interving 13:2   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   interving 13:3   inter					Page 417
377:12,22,23   341:8 366:1   377:4 373:10   377:12,22,23   377:4 373:10   377:13 80:10   387:7 388:7   362:9,18   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:388:12   informatory ass:38:12   informatory ass:38:12   interretated ass:38:8   interveut ass:38:8   interveut ass:38:8   interveut ass:38:8   interveut ass:38:8   interveut ass:38:8   interveut ass:38:8   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13   interveut ass:38:13	276.10 15 21	222.24.224.5.6	172.20 172.11	intorosti	17.22 10.2 10
378:18 379:2   371:4 373:10   387:3 888:7   388:7 388:7 388:7 388:7 388:7 388:7 388:7 388:7 388:8   362:9.18   362:9.18   171:20 238:22   233:20   363:17 364:5   informed 236:1   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informacord 277:6 369:7   informing 83:5   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   informacord 277:6 369:7   inf	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				,
379:17 380:10   387:7 388:7   362:9.18   212:4   interrestingly   379:17 380:10   388:8 387:12   56:9   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   institute 98:10   280:14 281:3   310:10   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 99:5   involves 9	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
380:23 381:10   65:9   65:9   385:8 387:12   388:15   informed 83:20   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   infor 118:10   induced 277:6 369:7   104:23   200:2 226:7   infor 118:10   22:20 23:18,20   39:11 84:5   inherit 334:20   inherit 334:20   inherit 334:20   inherit 334:20   inherit 334:20   inherit 334:20   inherit 334:20   inherit 334:20   inherit ance 180:20   347:10   inherited 51:8   information 52:12,19 53:9   22:5 24:1,15   56:17,24 321:6   56:8 58:8 65:7   322:6,13   324:19 326:2   335:17 342:17   74:9,10 75:4   75:18 81:6   270:19   68:52 2 86:2,9   initially 47:20   initially 47:20   11:10 112:4   265:6,8 293:16   119:22 120:3   327:19 348:17   initially 47:20   11:10 112:4   243:12   123:6,11,13   123:10 136:16 139:2   11:10 112:4   15:3 155:6,12   11:10 112:4   15:3 155:6,12   11:10 112:4   15:11 17:20   243:20 23:38   initiated 77:17   15:15   15:6,12   11:10 112:4   15:13 155:6,12   11:10 112:4   15:13 155:6,12   11:10 112:4   15:13 155:6,12   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:					
388:15   informed 83:20   informed 83:20   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 13:5   informing 13			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
388:15   informed 83:20   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 83:5   informing 84:5   insight 75:17   institute 98:10   279:18 280:6   280:14 281:3   300:18   280:14 281:3   300:18   280:14 281:3   300:10   280:14 281:3   300:10   280:14 281:3   300:10   280:14 281:3   300:10   300:14   100:17   ipad 11:10   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:13   331:1   introduced 388:1					
influence 326:1   informing 83:5   infrequent 277:6 369:7   into 113:5   inhalation 162:3   17:17   272:13   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:17   310:10   16:21 89:15   10:11 10:22   3359:23   175:24 299:9   347:10   10:11 10:24   10:21 299:12   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299:15   10:21 299					
influenced   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:23   104:21   106:21   106:21   106:21   106:21   106:21   106:21   106:21   106:22:20   23:18,20   200:5   10herit ance   307:24   108:71,8 109:2   108:71,8 109:2   108:71,8 109:2   109:17   109:17   107:44   109:19   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:15   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17   109:17					
277:6 369:7   info   113:5   infor   118:10   infor   118:10   infor   118:10   infor   118:10   infor   118:10   infor   118:10   infor   118:10   infor   118:10   infor   20:02   226:7   insight   75:17   instigate   239:11   229:13   200:5   inherit   334:20   inherit   334:20   inherit   334:20   inherit   334:20   information   20:10   21:5   56:17,24   321:6   10:21   299:15   22:22   24:1,15   56:17,24   321:6   299:17   300:16   318:1   introduce   52:21   introduce   52:21   introduce   52:21   introduce   52:21   introduce   52:21   introduce   53:15   104:1   104:1   institution   68:17   institution   68:17   institution   68:17   institution   68:17   institution   68:17   institution   68:17   institution   68:17   institution   68:17   institution   68:17   institution   68:18   293:11   initially   47:20   initiate   242:13   123:6,11,13   124:1,6,9   125:1   127:20   135:20   136:2   136:16   139:2   140:3   149:11   156:1   204:15   205:17   220:5   223:22   234:7   223:22   234:7   223:22   234:7   223:22   234:7   223:22   234:7   223:22   234:7   223:22   234:7   223:22   234:7   225:6,23   348:17   interested   100:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:		C			
info 113:5   infor 118:10   200:2 226:7   insight 75:17   insight 75:17   272:13   310:10   involves 99:5   interval 32:7   279:18 280:6   239:11 84:5   inherit 334:20   inherit ance 180:20   347:10   inform-65:9   inherited 51:8   51:12,19 53:9   22:5 24:1,15   24:20 27:5   25:13 66:9   322:6,13   232:6,13   232:6,13   232:6,13   232:6,13   232:6,13   232:6,13   232:6,13   233:17 342:17   67:19 68:14   345:21 346:4   70:18 71:5,15   74:9,10 75:4   75:18 81:6   270:19   initial 74:20   100:4 110:23   248:15 249:2   11:10 112:4   265:6,8 293:16   119:22 120:3   132:19   123:6,11,13   124:1,6,9   125:1 127:20   123:6,11,13   124:1,6,9   125:1 127:20   135:20 136:2   136:16 139:2   136:16 139:2   136:16 139:2   136:16 139:2   136:16 139:2   136:19 22:22   234:7   263:6 306:1   205:17 220:5   263:6,23 286:5   248:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17   346:17					
infor 118:10   inform 20:6   inherited 199:19   22:20 23:18,20   200:5   inherited 334:20   inherited 51:8   inherited 51:8   347:10   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:13   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:17   300:16   institutes 99:18   institutions   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:6   institutes 99:14   institutes 99:14   institutes 99:14   institutes 99:14   institutes 99:14   institutes 99:14   institutes 99:14   ins				_	
inform 20:6   20:20 23:18,20   39:11 84:5   inherit 334:20   inherit 334:20   inherited 51:8   347:10   inform-65:9   information   51:12,19 53:9   22:5 24:1,15   56:17,24 321:6   56:8 58:8 65:7   322:6,13   324:19 326:2   335:17 342:17   74:9,10 75:4   75:18 81:6   270:19   85:22 86:2,9   87:20 97:19   177:14 243:12   100:4 110:23   248:15 249:2   11:10 112:4   265:6,8 293:16   119:22 120:3   131:19 133:22   136:10 139:12   123:6,11,13   124:1,6,9   125:1 127:20   135:20 136:2   136:10 139:2   136:10 139:2   136:10 139:2   136:10 139:2   136:10 139:2   136:10 139:2   136:10 139:2   136:10 139:2   136:10 139:2   136:10 139:2   136:10 139:1   17:16 118:11   205:17 220:5   226:22 2334:7   226:36 306:1   299:16,21   interested   interested   109:15   intoduced   3304:8   introduced   338:13   introduced   388:13   1581   151:10 intoducing   388:13   1581   151:10 intoductory   388:13   1581   151:10 intoductory   388:13   1581   151:10 intoductory   381:12   introductory   381					
22:20 23:18,20   39:11 84:5   inherita 334:20   inheritance   347:10   inform-65:9   information   22:01 0 21:5   53:14 54:2   22:5 24:1,15   24:20 27:5   56:8 58:8 65:7   65:13 66:9   32:14 32:17   345:21 346:4   70:18 71:5,15   346:17 347:17   inhibition   270:19   85:22 86:2,9   initial 47:16,17   11:10 112:4   11:10 112:4   11:22 120:3   11:10 112:4   11:22 120:3   11:10 112:4   11:22 120:3   11:10 112:4   11:22 120:3   11:10 112:4   11:22 120:3   13:19 133:22   13:19 133:22   13:19 133:22   136:16 139:2   136:19 242:13   205:17 220:5   226:17 262:22   223:22 234:7   225:22 234:7   225:22 234:7   225:22 234:7   225:22 234:7   225:22 234:7   225:22 234:7   225:22 234:7   225:22 234:7   225:22 233:4   2265:23 336:17   2265:22 222 222 223:22 234:7   225:22 237:24   interested   interested   invoices 4:18   104:12 128:8   359:23   175:24 299:9   340:8   175:24 299:9   340:8   175:24 299:9   340:8   175:24 299:9   340:8   340:8   359:23   175:24 299:9   340:8   340:8   340:8   340:8   340:8   340:8   327:23   introduced   327:23   introduced   388:13   18:1   introducing   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolations   68:17   introductory   introducion   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   2					
39:11 84:5   inherit 334:20   inheritance   347:10   inform-65:9   information   20:10 21:5   22:5 24:1,15   22:6,13   32:6,13   66:9   67:19 68:14   70:18 71:5,15   74:9,10 75:4   248:15 249:2   177:14 243:12   100:4 110:23   124:1,6,9   125:1 127:20   132:11   133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   133:19 133:22   135:10 139:11   156:1 204:15   168:19,21   168:19,21   180:9 204:13   205:17 220:5   223:22 234:7   245:6,23 286:5   243:20 253:8   205:17 220:5   223:22 234:7   245:6,23 286:5   243:20 253:8   223:22 234:7   245:6,23 286:5   243:20 253:8   223:22 234:7   245:6,23 286:5   243:20 253:8   223:22 234:7   245:6,23 286:5   243:17   245:6,23 286:5   243:17   245:6,23 286:5   243:17   245:6,23 286:5   248:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   245:10 24:10 245:11   245:12   245:20 25:22   245:20 237:34   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20 253:8   245:20					$\sim$
85:14,21	· ·				
180:20			,		
inform-65:9   information   51:12,19 53:9   institution 98:6   20:10 21:5   53:14 54:2   98:9,15 101:9   327:23   introduced   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   38:14   388:13   388:14   388:15   388:16   388:16   388:17   388:12   388:17   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   388:12   3	· ·				
information   20:10 21:5   53:14 54:2   53:14 54:2   98:9,15 101:9   101:21 299:12   318:1   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   388:13   38:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14   388:14					
20:10 21:5   53:14 54:2   98:9,15 101:9   introduced   388:13   ISI 121:10   isolation 96:12   24:20 27:5   322:6,13   322:6,13   312:18   313:5   ISRTP/FDA   345:21 346:4   70:18 71:5,15   346:17 347:17   inhibition   75:18 81:6   270:19   initial 47:16,17   87:2 97:19   177:14 243:12   100:4 110:23   248:15 249:2   111:10 112:4   265:6,8 293:16   119:22 120:3   327:19 348:17   123:6,11,13   123:20 136:2   136:16 139:2   136:16 139:2   136:16 139:2   136:16 139:2   140:3 149:11   158:19,21   156:1 204:15   223:22 234:7   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   299:16,21   interested   109:9 196:12   2265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   26					
22:5 24:1,15   36:17,24 321:6   299:17 300:16   331:5   introducing   331:5   isolation 96:12   SRTP/FDA   335:17 342:17   346:17 347:17   institutions   104:1   instruct 44:14   instruct 44:14   instruction 63:2   299:15,24   instruction 63:2   299:15,24   instruction 63:2   299:17 300:16   331:5   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:12   ISRTP/FDA   201:1   isolation 96:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
24:20 27:5   322:6,13   324:19 326:2   335:17 342:17   65:13 66:9   345:21 346:4   70:18 71:5,15   346:17 347:17   74:9,10 75:4   75:18 81:6   270:19   initial 47:16,17   87:2 97:19   177:14 243:12   100:4 110:23   248:15 249:2   111:10 112:4   265:6,8 293:16   119:22 120:3   327:19 348:17   123:6,11,13   124:1,6,9   125:1 127:20   135:20 136:2   131:19 133:22   135:20 136:2   135:20 136:2   135:20 136:2   135:20 136:2   135:20 136:2   135:30 136:3   131:19 133:22   115:3 155:6,12   136:16 139:2   156:19 204:13   156:1 204:15   177:16 118:11   156:19 204:13   205:17 220:5   260:17 262:22   223:22 234:7   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   299:16,21   interested   190:16 202:15   175:10 235:20   100:10 250:15   100:10 250:15   100:10 202:13   175:10 120   100:10 202:13   175:10 120   100:10 202:13   175:10 120   100:10 202:13   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:10 120   175:1			T		
56:8 58:8 65:7         324:19 326:2         312:18         331:5         introduction         201:1           65:13 66:9         335:17 342:17         345:21 346:4         104:1         68:17         331:5         introduction         381:12           70:18 71:5,15         346:17 347:17         instruct 44:14         381:12         381:12         381:12           75:18 81:6         270:19         instruction 63:2         62:16 65:7         147:4         147:4           85:22 86:2,9         initial 47:16,17         293:1         79:5 330:17         it'd 217:5           87:2 97:19         177:14 243:12         293:1         79:5 330:17         it'd 217:5           111:10 112:4         265:6,8 293:16         61:19 62:5,20         insufficient         193:19 244:11         105:7 107:12         items 11:7 13:2           123:6,11,13         initially 47:20         initiate 242:13         124:1,6,9         17:20 344:7         insulting 235:8         106:19 202:13         30:8,21           135:20 136:2         78:7         135:20 136:2         78:7         106:19 202:13         372:14,14           156:1 9;21         17:16 118:11         117:16 118:11         106:19 202:13         372:14,14           168:19,21         17:16 118:11         17:16 118:11         <		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
65:13 66:9   335:17 342:17   345:21 346:4   70:18 71:5,15   74:9,10 75:4   inhibition   292:15,24   instruction 63:2   62:16 65:7   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4   147:4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
67:19 68:14   345:21 346:4   346:17 347:17   instruct 44:14   292:15,24   56:8 57:12   issues 8:20   75:18 81:6   270:19   initial 47:16,17   177:14 243:12   100:4 110:23   248:15 249:2   111:10 112:4   265:6,8 293:16   119:22 120:3   327:19 348:17   123:6,11,13   initially 47:20   initiate 242:13   125:1 127:20   135:20 136:2   135:20 136:2   135:20 136:2   136:16 139:2   initiated 77:17   177:16 118:11   180:9 204:13   205:17 220:5   223:22 234:7   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   interested   int					
70:18 71:5,15					
74:9,10 75:4         inhibition         292:15,24         56:8 57:12         issues 8:20           75:18 81:6         270:19         initial 47:16,17         293:1         79:5 330:17         it'd 217:5           87:2 97:19         177:14 243:12         293:1         invasive 275:2         It'll 135:4           100:4 110:23         248:15 249:2         61:19 62:5,20         inversely 268:22         It'll 135:4           119:22 120:3         327:19 348:17         193:19 244:11         105:7 107:12         items 11:7 13:2           123:6,11,13         initiate 242:13         171:20 344:7         investigate         125:9           125:1 127:20         243:20 253:8         initiated 77:17         intact 318:6         integration         91:12 203:13           136:16 139:2         initiates 239:15         95:22         298:22 299:2         J.D 2:8           147:4         147:4         147:4         147:4         147:4           151:3 155:6,12         248:17         193:19 244:11         105:7 107:12         105:7 107:12         105:7 107:12         105:7 107:12         105:7 107:12         105:7 107:12         105:7 107:12         105:7 107:12         106:19 20:13         106:19 20:13         106:19 20:13         106:19 20:13         106:19 20:13         106:19 20:13         10					
75:18 81:6   270:19   initial 47:16,17   293:1   79:5 330:17   it'd 217:5   147:4   243:12   293:1   instructions   248:15 249:2   61:19 62:5,20   inversely 268:22   111:10 112:4   265:6,8 293:16   119:22 120:3   327:19 348:17   123:6,11,13   initially 47:20   initiate 242:13   125:1 127:20   243:20 253:8   initiated 77:17   135:20 136:2   136:16 139:2   initiates 239:15   140:3 149:11   151:3 155:6,12   168:19,21   117:16 118:11   205:17 220:5   223:22 234:7   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   245:6,23 286:5   348:17   interested   interested   invoices 4:18   147:4   it'd 217:5   it'll 135:4   it'll 135:4   Italian 124:24   investigate   125:9   items 11:7 13:2   insulf 78:6   213:21,24   investigated   91:12 203:13   investigation   17:12   203:13   investigation   106:19 202:13   372:14,14   J.D 2:8   J.D 2:8   Jackson 2:7   JAMES 3:9   Jackson 2:7   JAMES 3:9   Jackson 2:7   JAMES 3:9   Jackson 2:7   January 1:11   7:5 16:10   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:22 373:4   265:23 273:4   265:23 273:4   265:22 373:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4   265:4	·			•	
85:22 86:2,9	· ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
87:2 97:19					
100:4 110:23		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
111:10 112:4   265:6,8 293:16   193:19 244:11   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:10   105:7 107:12   105:10   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:10   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12   105:7 107:12					
119:22 120:3   327:19 348:17   193:19 244:11   105:7 107:12   330:8,21				inversely 268:22	
123:6,11,13					
124:1,6,9		327:19 348:17	193:19 244:11		
125:1 127:20   243:20 253:8   initiated 77:17   135:20 136:2   78:7   initiates 239:15   140:3 149:11   151:3 155:6,12   168:19,21   180:9 204:13   205:17 220:5   223:22 234:7   245:6,23 286:5   348:17     125:1 127:20   243:20 253:8   initiating 235:8   insulting 235:8   intext 318:6   intext 318:6   intext 318:6   integration   106:19 202:13   372:14,14   J.D 2:8   Jackson 2:7   JAMES 3:9   Jackson 2:7   JAMES 3:9   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10   James.mizgal   3:10	123:6,11,13	initially 47:20	insult 78:6	213:21,24	330:8,21
123.1 127.20   243.20 233.8   initiated 77:17   135:20 136:2   78:7	124:1,6,9		171:20 344:7	$\sim$	
135:20 136:2       78:7       integration       106:19 202:13       372:14,14         136:16 139:2       initiates 239:15       initiating 265:4       integrity 318:5       investigator       Jackson 2:7         151:3 155:6,12       117:16 118:11       117:16 118:11       294:22       investigators       Jackson 2:7         180:9 204:13       156:1 204:15       intensity 233:1       109:9 196:12       3:10         205:17 220:5       260:17 262:22       interest 299:14       200:10 250:15       January 1:11         223:22 234:7       263:6 306:1       299:16,21       invoice 16:17       7:5 16:10         245:6,23 286:5       348:17       interested       invoices 4:18       265:22 373:4	125:1 127:20	243:20 253:8	insulting 235:8	91:12 203:13	
136:16 139:2       initiates 239:15       95:22       298:22 299:2       J.D 2:8         140:3 149:11       initiating 265:4       integrity 318:5       investigator       Jackson 2:7         151:3 155:6,12       117:16 118:11       224:22       investigators       James.mizgal         180:9 204:13       156:1 204:15       intensity 233:1       109:9 196:12       3:10         205:17 220:5       260:17 262:22       interest 299:14       200:10 250:15       January 1:11         223:22 234:7       263:6 306:1       299:16,21       invoice 16:17       7:5 16:10         245:6,23 286:5       348:17       interested       invoices 4:18       265:22 373:4	131:19 133:22	initiated 77:17	<b>intact</b> 318:6	investigation	
140:3 149:11       initiating 265:4       integrity 318:5       investigator       Jackson 2:7         151:3 155:6,12       117:16 118:11       224:22       investigators       James.mizgal         180:9 204:13       156:1 204:15       intensity 233:1       109:9 196:12       3:10         205:17 220:5       260:17 262:22       interest 299:14       200:10 250:15       January 1:11         223:22 234:7       263:6 306:1       299:16,21       invoice 16:17       7:5 16:10         245:6,23 286:5       348:17       interested       invoices 4:18       265:22 373:4	135:20 136:2	78:7	integration	106:19 202:13	·
151:3 155:6,12	136:16 139:2	initiates 239:15	95:22	298:22 299:2	
168:19,21       117:16 118:11       224:22       investigators       James.mizgal         180:9 204:13       156:1 204:15       intensity 233:1       109:9 196:12       3:10         205:17 220:5       260:17 262:22       interest 299:14       200:10 250:15       January 1:11         223:22 234:7       263:6 306:1       299:16,21       invoice 16:17       7:5 16:10         245:6,23 286:5       348:17       interested       invoices 4:18       265:22 373:4	140:3 149:11	initiating 265:4	integrity 318:5	investigator	
168:19,21       117:16 118:11       224:22       investigators       James.mizgal         180:9 204:13       156:1 204:15       intensity 233:1       109:9 196:12       3:10         205:17 220:5       260:17 262:22       interest 299:14       200:10 250:15       January 1:11         223:22 234:7       263:6 306:1       299:16,21       invoice 16:17       7:5 16:10         245:6,23 286:5       348:17       interested       invoices 4:18       265:22 373:4	151:3 155:6,12	initiation 117:1	intending	98:4	
180:9 204:13       156:1 204:15       intensity 233:1       109:9 196:12       3:10         205:17 220:5       260:17 262:22       interest 299:14       200:10 250:15       January 1:11         223:22 234:7       263:6 306:1       299:16,21       invoice 16:17       7:5 16:10         245:6,23 286:5       348:17       interested       invoices 4:18       265:22 373:4	168:19,21	117:16 118:11	C	investigators	_
205:17 220:5       260:17 262:22       interest 299:14       200:10 250:15       January 1:11         223:22 234:7       263:6 306:1       299:16,21       invoice 16:17       7:5 16:10         245:6,23 286:5       348:17       interested       invoices 4:18       265:22 373:4	·	156:1 204:15	intensity 233:1		3:10
223:22 234:7 263:6 306:1 299:16,21 <b>invoice</b> 16:17 7:5 16:10 245:6,23 286:5 348:17 <b>interested</b> invoices 4:18 265:22 373:4					January 1:11
245:6,23 286:5 348:17 interested invoices 4:18 265:22 373:4					7:5 16:10
'			· ·		265:22 373:4
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Jennifer 2:5
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 418
16 24 17 2	17.6	200 12 10	00 10 20 00 2	224 ( 10 12
16:24 17:2	Kferguson@g	280:13,19	98:18,20 99:2	234:6,10,13
Jennifer.emm	3:5	281:3 292:7	308:20	256:5
2:6	kids 71:6	297:13,15	laboratory 89:1	Lau 181:20
JERSEY 1:2	kin 391:12	300:3 307:6,13	99:21 213:8	182:11 241:14
Jewish 340:20	kind 88:1 307:9	307:15,18	308:1,11,12,17	376:11
<b>Johnson</b> 1:4,4	307:11,11	311:5 316:7	308:17,18	launch 310:5
2:13,13 7:9,9	308:7 375:3	319:16 326:5	309:2,3,6,7,12	law 11:3
8:3,3 127:4,4	know 10:17,24	334:3 337:24	309:17,21	lawyer 122:2
127:13,13	12:19 13:13	342:5 347:5	310:4 318:20	372:14
128:20,20	17:10,17,18	348:3 349:15	laboratory-de	lawyer's 12:3,6
129:6,6 130:9	18:3 22:1 27:7	353:20 361:3	309:22	lawyers 17:9,16
130:9,19,20	35:23 36:10	363:21 366:17	labs 99:14	17:20 18:18
134:5,18 135:1	39:1 41:4,5	374:21 380:7	lack 202:8	19:20 84:18,24
135:1,8,8	44:22 49:4	387:14	216:17	91:11 93:4,17
138:11,11	59:15 81:23	knowing 49:16	lacked 194:16	95:16 96:8
139:5,5 151:5	88:2 89:20	110:19 144:17	lacking 201:11	102:15 121:15
359:1,1,20,20	101:4 106:7,14	knowledge	202:3 203:16	122:4 123:21
384:17 386:5	106:14 108:15	12:17 64:16	204:19 206:19	124:17 142:13
387:16	111:24 112:4	66:9 75:19	278:20 281:23	142:21 143:22
Johnson's 134:5	115:15 121:5	99:23 102:3	284:22 373:20	144:5 181:9
134:18 151:5	125:23 127:1,7	103:23 107:8	374:3,9	256:22 297:11
161:7 384:17	128:18 129:19	107:21 110:9	lacks 196:6	lay 68:17 79:17
386:5 387:16	132:17 137:11	127:21 152:1	language 59:19	layman's 65:15
joining 310:22	138:5,12 140:1	160:4 171:7	62:15 65:15	layperson's
312:16	145:5 148:11	175:2 295:20	68:17 79:10	171:3
journal 25:4,9	154:10,18	329:22 331:6	82:5	LDTs 309:23
83:20	160:2 166:3	336:12 353:22	laptop 11:16,21	lead 99:3 109:23
journals 121:8	167:14 168:14	392:4	11:23 12:3,6	115:4,5 117:10
Jude 323:20	168:21 171:6	known 17:6 73:3	12:15	128:4 150:21
judge 292:16,24	174:3,13 175:1	73:12 89:3	large 26:23	162:20 166:2
Judith 5:9 49:21	175:10 177:19	107:6 140:17	61:17 62:3	169:14 181:18
50:10	178:1 181:8	179:18 183:13	69:21 73:2,11	188:3,24 189:5
Julie 3:18 7:3	185:6 186:17	242:22 248:13	74:8 107:22,23	189:21 191:15
July 101:2	194:2 197:3,18	310:7,9 313:16	115:24 119:23	201:10,18
102:13	198:13,18	313:22 314:2	120:4 347:9	202:2 203:15
K	200:19 201:8	320:10 330:23	348:20 387:2	204:19 206:18
$\frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mathbf{K}}$ 2:5	204:12,23	385:8	large-scale	226:18 229:6
keep 29:15 55:4	209:23 210:4,7	knows 291:20	220:2 348:11	261:17 335:2
56:14 170:2	211:1,9,21	L	largely 115:16	373:19 374:8
237:2	214:4,6 216:17	lab 213:6,6	larger 161:1	381:15
<b>KELLERT</b> 2:18	222:5 234:9,13	308:10 309:8	236:6	leading 25:19
Ken 307:5 368:7	235:23 236:4	319:2	largest 99:4	37:4 64:10,22
<b>KENNETH</b> 3:5	241:5 249:3	label 193:12	late 85:2 322:3	94:20 95:1
<b>Keskin</b> 35:24	252:7 253:21	203:9	389:20	106:8 111:19
key 209:24	255:12,24	labeled 209:22	late- 172:7	111:20 112:9
269:20	259:2 265:4	laboratories	latency 172:7	176:6 207:6,14
207.20	266:4 270:18	iavvi atvi ics	186:18 224:2,8	315:17 374:19
	-	-	-	•

				Page 419
275.5	257 15 16 20	224.10	L	272 11 275 11
375:5	357:15,16,20	224:19	listing 43:14	373:11 375:11
leads 26:11 32:2	359:3,7 372:20	limita- 290:19	333:4,24	381:2,19,20
36:1,12 37:9	level 154:7,9	limitation	lists 386:23	382:18,23
114:1 129:17	155:18 172:8	160:13 189:14	literature 12:23	384:11 385:22
170:15 174:18	232:19 243:8	212:14 276:14	12:24 37:1	literature-cited
178:6 209:3	248:19 308:19	292:2 293:10	39:4 46:7,21	122:20
282:17 315:2	319:11 360:4	377:19,20	48:18,20 50:13	<b>litigation</b> 1:6 7:4
380:17 381:4	levels 258:12	limitations	50:14 69:21	7:11 8:12 17:3
learned 352:19	Levy 1:14 4:16	32:13 186:13	93:18 95:6,8	54:21 63:13
leaving 311:17	4:18 7:12,17	186:15 190:4	96:13,19	69:19 91:11
312:13	7:24 8:5 15:20	210:3 214:6	114:19 119:9	181:10 187:8
Leavy 204:16	18:8 29:9,22	290:17 293:2	119:21 120:17	257:1,6 266:2
led 29:24 32:23	32:18 44:9	limited 9:21	120:18 121:2,6	296:2 298:13
34:13 35:12	72:6 88:11	115:17 127:8	121:14,16	363:18 364:5
374:24 375:11	93:16 110:3	139:16 156:9	122:13 123:4,8	little 152:24
376:16 377:12	111:6,14 116:6	165:17 167:16	124:8 125:7,12	158:9 168:12
<b>left</b> 56:16 182:7	117:8 122:21	175:1 186:15	128:6 132:23	236:13,15
<b>Leigh</b> 2:4 16:24	125:15 158:14	224:9 225:4,11	133:21 138:20	238:14 298:21
17:2 332:7	170:9 179:22	230:24 261:10	139:15 143:4	307:16,23
Leigh.odell@	183:20 203:23	266:23	144:6,8 145:10	310:12 324:15
2:5	204:16 260:19	Lin 272:3	148:3 149:6	327:24 346:9
lend 385:10	272:15 291:6	line 24:14	152:9 154:12	368:13
length 228:18	292:12 307:4	167:13 337:1	159:7 160:5	live 322:3
230:19 247:16	357:3 359:11	linear 160:21	161:21 166:4	lives 227:10
248:2,4	362:22 363:18	lines 210:3,4	167:14,15	LLP 2:7,14,17
lesions 305:13	368:9 369:23	215:11 247:24	173:17 174:14	2:20 3:3,8,12
305:16,19	372:8 374:17	320:24 323:9	175:21 177:15	LNP 275:2
306:4,6,13	376:12 387:22	331:23,24	178:15 193:21	local 238:22
362:18	389:19,21	360:16,21,23	202:9,14,20	location 232:20
lesser 148:9	392:3,22	361:1 376:6	203:6,13 204:2	logical 199:11
let's 12:11,14,14	393:16	380:5	204:11 207:13	Lois 1:19 3:21
15:24 49:20	Levy's 77:9	link 221:11,23	220:1 242:6	7:15 391:18
50:20 53:19	LH <b>Ġ</b> 1:7	222:7	252:6 255:13	long 161:22
55:4,11 56:14	Liability 1:6	linked 179:11	256:3 279:1	166:11 224:11
56:23 59:22	7:10	278:16	286:15 289:22	228:14 233:1
61:15 63:20	libraries 121:23	linking 278:18	290:10 293:17	303:8 319:13
71:23 75:5	lies 363:12	284:21	293:18 294:12	longer 106:21
82:9 86:22	life 318:13	Lisa 75:7	295:1 305:16	158:10 191:10
141:24 147:19	lifestyle 313:14	list 12:24 13:10	314:12 325:12	212:23
169:23 170:2	318:17 319:8	39:4 40:8	331:7 334:2	longest 359:22
197:13 272:7	319:20 320:16	122:9,13,20,22	350:23 355:14	Longo 40:13,15
326:11 334:13	lifetime 227:12	330:20 348:19	356:5,8 357:21	40:20 41:5,9
342:1 343:3,20	234:5 254:12	387:23	358:3,6 359:2	41:12 42:17
348:22 352:2	324:5 337:2,19	listed 41:7 84:16	359:8 364:2,11	43:1,8 45:4,9
letter 83:13,18	lifted 48:8,11	138:7 330:9	364:15 365:1	45:11,14,20,21
84:8 194:11	light 200:24	387:2,3	365:24 366:4	46:8,16 47:2,4
195:16 357:12	likelihood 131:7	listen 135:4	367:7 373:2,6	47:8 130:7
1,0.10.00,.12	11101111004 10111	1.50011	307.7 373.2,0	17.0 150.7

				Page 420
2-0-2021-		l	l	
370:7 383:4,7	249:16 256:24	machine 391:5	302:13 303:4	367:4
383:12 384:2,6	277:1 284:5	macrophages	363:6	materials 13:18
384:8,21	297:20 306:12	191:23 192:6	manuscripts	15:7,13,14,19
385:16	306:13 355:1	264:14 348:24	370:15 376:9	24:6 38:10,13
Longo's 45:24	376:5,7 384:7	349:3,11	maritime	38:18,21 39:1
370:12,18,24	384:15	magnitude	213:17	183:5 292:8
longstanding	<b>looking</b> 24:8,13	236:7 247:21	mark 16:7 30:11	296:7,9 303:7
161:22	29:10 30:5,18	248:5,20,24	33:5 49:20	316:6 379:11
look 20:14,16,21	31:4 33:17	258:15 259:11	72:1 76:1	math 337:21
20:24 29:2	42:16 58:17	361:4	135:1 141:24	matrix 362:20
30:6 38:1	60:20 63:23	<b>major</b> 99:3	190:19 192:23	matter 7:8 18:7
50:20 53:12	110:23 132:7	275:16 276:2	208:10 326:16	22:17 23:19
64:7,19 71:23	179:14 181:19	281:24 284:3	326:17 360:11	25:13 232:1,9
75:1 110:3	181:24 182:11	304:9 317:7	marked 14:9,12	307:6 370:4
122:8 123:22	202:24 213:14	327:2	14:22 16:5	391:4
124:13 128:11	233:21 252:16	majority 98:12	19:4,8 21:15	matters 371:23
145:6 160:17	265:15 273:21	123:12 127:9	21:17 22:23	maximum
160:20,22,24	280:4 293:17	231:6 266:1	23:4 30:14	150:24 162:11
175:21 177:15	295:24 313:7	300:13 387:1	33:8 38:4 48:2	<b>Mayo</b> 5:11
186:16 204:24	325:11 338:19	making 52:4	49:1,23 50:8	58:20,23 59:5
214:24 221:9	348:1 351:23	118:24 235:9,9	59:8,10,23	59:12,19,23
229:4 236:3	362:4,11	244:16 267:23	72:4 75:11,15	60:3,13,15
248:13 256:5	368:15	267:24 319:10	76:5 82:19,24	61:16 62:11,19
266:8 272:7,9	looks 16:16	345:16 393:7	86:16 87:15	63:14,22 64:5
276:7 277:4,9	17:22 18:2	malignancy	96:24 142:3	64:14 65:3,20
301:16 302:12	234:3	243:15 327:3	185:8 190:17	66:10,14,23
313:5 325:14	loop 303:11	malignant 114:2	193:1 208:8,24	67:19 68:9
326:11,15,24	Loss 64:1	115:10 169:5	218:10,12	69:5
327:10 328:23	<b>lot</b> 361:21	169:10 243:15	249:22,24	Mbarrie@bur
330:4,15	<b>love</b> 158:6	328:14	270:23 271:1	2:9
331:15,20	low 268:17	managed 299:21	301:18 326:19	MC 328:20
334:13 337:9	328:18 330:7	management	327:7 357:12	<b>MDL</b> 1:9 7:11
339:16 343:20	330:18 338:2,6	98:17	367:23 368:7	22:20 40:4
348:10,22	lowering 270:7	manages 299:16	marker 183:12	46:9
350:19 351:19	<b>LUNCH</b> 166:19	MANGES 2:14	markers 128:12	MEAGHER
355:15 357:19	lung 4:24 21:22	2:17	177:23 179:16	2:20
358:5 360:8	106:22,23	manner 52:22	179:18,19	mean 53:16 54:4
362:21 369:1	165:19 176:5	140:21,24	264:19 319:4	64:9,21 70:4
372:19 386:9	176:18 234:13	MANSUKHA	Marketing 1:5	70:16,18
looked 32:6	<b>Lynch</b> 343:21	3:3	7:9	108:14 112:20
67:17 68:4	343:24 344:9	manu- 25:23	marking 16:2	119:13 120:15
69:18,20,20	344:23 345:2,5	manuscript 5:3	367:20	121:18 125:16
128:14 146:20	345:10,13	5:17 23:8,9	MARTIN 2:8	139:19 167:11
152:8 160:9		25:24 83:6,8	<b>match</b> 68:9	187:5 245:21
166:4 173:2	M	83:18 84:19,23	material 132:23	262:2 264:9
188:1 200:5	<b>M</b> 2:11,15	85:5,13,14,23	180:15,16	272:12 277:21
224:10 226:7	<b>M.D</b> 88:14		201.22.206.6	206.1.217.10
=	W1.D 00.17	86:2,5 87:8	291:23 296:6	296:1 317:19

				Page 421
			l	
317:20 320:6	177:13 184:11	366:6,9,14	mentioning	220:8,14
335:24 336:11	201:10,17	373:19 374:3,7	324:1	222:15 233:18
377:16	202:2,8 203:15	375:10 381:22	Merritt 6:13	255:3 258:23
meaning 17:16	204:18 205:13	382:15 385:11	271:3,9,19	282:3 283:16
87:10 98:11	205:19 206:14	385:12 388:15	272:4,7 279:5	295:9 365:18
112:23 120:16	206:18 210:19	mechanisms	279:11 281:19	365:20,23
131:3 151:11	210:20 219:2	52:20 91:12	282:4 283:10	366:3,16
169:8 185:1	219:15 220:4	113:24 162:15	283:12	379:10
278:4 319:19	220:20 222:5	167:4 210:7	Merritt's 284:20	methods 87:11
321:18 322:2	225:5,7,11	233:7 247:11	mesothelioma	141:10,14
means 70:13	226:1,16 227:3	284:15 290:4,7	174:1 176:7	143:19 220:16
80:19 108:16	227:14,18	314:22	meta 224:4	378:23 379:12
133:10 232:17	228:21,24	mechanistic	377:4	microenviron
308:21 323:15	229:18 230:13	95:23 106:16	meta-analysis	77:18 78:8
meant 131:22	230:13,15,17	232:14 259:24	5:4 23:11	micrograms
measure 212:15	233:20 234:9	262:8	161:2 220:2,19	360:17,18
285:14 317:23	234:20 235:16	mediated	224:17 237:22	361:12
317:24	237:23 238:3	248:15,16	256:15 265:21	micronized
measurement	239:18 242:4	mediator 351:1	376:13	196:12 197:21
318:2 323:1	242:10,20	385:8	metabolomic	microphone
measurements	244:3,15	mediators	319:4	79:14
180:15 285:23	245:13 246:9	238:23	metal 133:11	mid 322:2
measures	247:13,24	medical 97:4	234:18 353:16	mid-'80s 176:1
212:16	249:2 251:24	172:19 310:14	380:22	middle 72:13
mech- 232:14	252:1,5 253:20	meet 108:12	metals 127:14	233:24
mechanism	255:11 257:15	139:3 223:24	127:23 133:7	midway 368:12
20:11 21:6	260:3,7,15	meetings 9:20	133:18 136:9	migrate 162:2
24:2 26:5	261:16 265:16	Melville 2:11	136:21 137:3	165:4 226:17
92:10,14 94:1	266:14,21,23	member 89:13	137:14 146:11	231:13 304:12
94:10 95:12	267:3,9,10,15	98:5 103:13	154:2 352:21	304:16
101:16 107:12	267:20 268:2	members	353:8,12,18	migrates 165:3
113:3 114:4	268:13 275:8	101:12 103:10	381:3,7,15	199:17
116:18,20	277:11 278:9	104:1	382:4	migration 162:6
117:6 126:4,9	278:12 282:11	membership	metastasis	162:7,14
127:24 129:18	284:10 286:18	91:4	366:15	199:22 227:1
135:17 136:3,7	286:19,23	mention 208:6	method 140:23	304:24 305:6,8
137:10 140:18	287:6,10,14,18	385:24	141:18 318:6	milieu 106:8
143:12 145:22	288:1,10 289:4	mentioned	methodologic	108:2 137:16
146:2 150:20	289:4,8,23	84:12 99:11,14	379:15	235:13 369:8
151:10 155:24	290:9,10,12,15	113:4 115:10	methodology	milliliter 360:17
156:9,15,16,18	290:19 292:4	139:5 148:22	117:3,19	360:18 361:13
156:21 157:1	293:4,11,16	176:8 179:5,14	118:16 121:1	mimic 184:22
157:11,19	294:2,13,17	182:8,22 184:2	140:6,12,13	mimics 191:11
159:13 163:2	295:8 296:1,17	207:10 208:11	141:15 143:2,5	234:11
164:18 167:3,8	315:23 325:2,8	260:1 263:14	143:10,13,15	mind 9:16
167:10,18,23	325:23 326:1	321:22 339:9	143:23 150:13	105:19 147:8
168:23 176:17	353:5 365:5	342:12	188:15 204:7	171:13 184:13
<u> </u>		•	•	

105.11.210.5				
	188:10	23:14 82:21	326:2 334:20	329:2 332:18
185:11 218:5 228:13 245:1	188:10 mixture 213:16	83:24 185:18		
	MIZGALA 3:9		335:1,7,10,12 335:18 336:1	necessarily 26:12 66:8
	model 32:8	morphologic 328:16		
			338:9,11,21	103:22 109:12
301:17 389:18	184:5,21 186:9	move 304:3	340:6,8,14	115:12 163:7
mindful 158:10	186:10 190:2	352:2	343:9 344:9	164:6 169:9
mine 10:17	267:22 268:7	moved 13:11	346:4 354:21	174:9 250:20
141:5 371:24	304:9 350:4	311:13	<b>Myriad</b> 345:20	253:6 258:21
mineralogy	376:5	mu- 323:5	N	264:21 270:4
	modeling 185:3	mucin-16	N 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	270:20 325:24
	models 24:18	183:13	6:1 109:13	339:23
384:4	120:1 350:10	mucin-coding	N.W 3:13	necessary 50:16
minimal 162:11	350:13,16,23	331:17 332:15	name 7:2 8:2,24	94:21 114:5
minimum	351:2	mucinous	50:18 89:6,11	118:11 153:17
	modest 100:24	328:20	104:22 145:11	155:17 163:18
	modified 41:1	multi-subunit	307:5 347:17	165:12 171:8
8	modifiers 343:7	73:2,11	347:21 351:20	180:11 184:13
·	modulate	multiple 358:7		222:12 243:14
minus 319:6	319:18	multitude	377:13 name's 49:5	246:18 252:8
	molecular 5:17	113:23		363:22
minutes 79:21	83:9 84:13	mutagenic	named 89:20	need 10:23
158:6,7 236:13	107:2 115:5	77:16 78:6	308:16	20:16,21 21:10
236:23 237:3	177:22 179:16	238:24 355:20	names 127:3	27:22 30:6
349:21 356:17	232:19 285:20	356:2	naming 322:22	42:13 69:10
367:16	285:21 301:4	<b>mutated</b> 217:15	NAPOLI 2:10	157:1 170:3
mismatch 344:9	328:16 365:4	331:2	narrative	172:1 190:14
-	moment 31:7	mutation 53:14	362:13	218:3 237:1
53:5	45:18 52:3	54:2 56:10,17	narrowing	254:2 272:6,11
missing 87:5	113:4 129:15	57:1 64:8,21	114:14	272:14 276:14
218:6 261:21	148:23 175:22	242:24 313:8	narrows 115:13	313:13 322:18
377:9	208:12 252:17	315:3,6 320:5	nation 99:5	379:8,9,9
Misstate 175:18	272:6,11,14	320:21 321:2	national 99:5	needed 55:8,14
Misstates 63:16	303:24 326:5	321:14,17,20	108:7,18 109:2	55:20 95:2
67:22 117:12	369:24	321:23 322:6	109:15	157:14 159:1
	money 300:20	322:13 323:5	natural 258:12	needs 162:7
	monitor 134:24	324:19 325:5	nature 8:10	negative 200:13
	monograph	336:11,13,20	98:11 202:7	274:10 312:18
180:6 202:17	366:22	339:7,24	234:21 377:23	neighborhood
205:21 214:22 <b>N</b>	Monroe 1:16	340:22 341:19	NCI 108:6,14	347:23
	Montgomery	342:18 345:8	109:8,10,12,13	neighboring
251:22 275:20	2:4	346:17	109:17,22,23	165:7,16
296:4 383:15 n	month 32:7	mutations 51:3	110:3,7,11	neither 35:23
mistake 332:13 n	months 32:7	51:13,20 53:10	111:1,4,7	44:4 312:9
mistaken 342:10 N	Moorman	55:8,14,20	NCI-designated	391:11
mitochondria	288:18,22	60:10,17,23	108:9,9	neoplasia 31:15
316:9 317:9 n	morning 7:24	260:2 313:17	nearly 61:24	187:21
mitotic 31:11	8:1 19:2 22:9	314:11 320:19	62:10 123:12	neoplasm 26:1

Paq	e	423

				Page 423
20.21.21.2	060 10 050 15	105010022		44.0.10.45.6
30:21 31:3	269:12 270:15	195:9 198:23	263:23 270:22	44:8,19 45:6
187:13,16	nonsteroidal	200:8,15 201:2	277:4 279:1,12	46:11,18 48:9
neoplasms	268:21 269:16	206:3 207:20	279:21 288:3	48:15 49:14
328:15	normal 62:21	nucleotide 343:4	296:21 310:5	52:12 53:3
neoplastic 25:19	63:3 209:20,23	343:8,15	310:21 311:24	54:13,22 57:18
26:11 27:10	217:4 218:6	number 4:13,15	312:16,19	57:24 59:2
30:1 32:3,23	252:21 317:5	4:17,19,22 5:2	313:7 314:3	61:2,8 62:12
33:2 34:14	317:11 360:14	5:6,8,10,12,14	319:8 326:18	63:8,15 65:4
35:15 37:5,9	normalization	5:16,20,22 6:2	329:21 330:8	65:22 66:15
185:18 186:4	212:13	6:4,6,8,10,12	347:9 348:1,12	67:1,9,21
190:9,24	normally 363:11	6:16,18 7:11	348:20 350:13	68:12 69:1,6
363:14	notably 369:12	9:20 14:11	357:13 367:3	70:9 71:7
neurological	Notary 1:21	16:4 19:7	367:22 368:15	74:16 76:18,24
96:1	notation 203:1	21:14 23:3	368:16,17	77:5,11 78:17
never 92:9,13	notations	26:23 30:13	369:9 374:2	78:23 79:13,19
311:22	333:21	33:7 37:2 38:9	376:4 377:17	80:5,12,24
new 1:2 2:11,18	note 88:6	43:12 49:22	381:6 384:12	81:20 87:16
2:18,21,21	noted 7:13	59:7 61:17	387:2	88:7 89:15
193:20 318:19	362:19 392:5	62:3 66:12,13	<b>numbers</b> 161:1	90:5 91:15,21
373:5,6	notes 14:5	66:21,23 67:17	281:15 387:3	92:16 93:7,21
nice 14:4	301:19	68:8,10 69:21	numerous	94:13 95:3
nickel 366:23	notice 1:15 4:14	70:24 72:3	365:19	97:10 99:16
367:8,11	14:10,21 15:6	74:10 75:14	Nunes 6:17	103:18 104:6
380:17,22	15:15,19 23:2	81:24 85:19,20	326:21 327:6	104:13 105:12
Nicole 84:15	38:8 39:18	91:8,24 105:21	327:10 328:24	107:18 110:15
NIH 111:8	62:10 234:9	106:6,11	330:14 331:9	113:7,18
nine 98:22 311:9	300:13	107:23,23	331:21 333:5	116:10 117:11
NJ 2:15	notorious 210:5	109:3,8 110:6	333:11,21	118:3,20 120:8
Nods 10:15	novel 289:9,19	115:24 119:23	334:1,4,9,18	126:15,21
11:17 156:23	November 20:2	120:4 121:11	nutritional	127:15 128:22
302:19	39:13 41:1,10	123:5 128:7,9	213:6	130:10,13,22
non-asbestifor	42:17 43:9	129:4,5 137:22	nutshell 108:22	131:15 132:2
197:10	84:6 85:15	142:2 146:19	0	133:13,19
non-finalized	180:2 245:18	157:7,13,22	O'Dell 2:4 4:6,8	134:7,19 135:9
47:1	NSAID 268:10	159:9,24,24	12:7 13:5 15:3	136:11 137:4
nonasbestiform	268:16 270:8	168:7,10	15:17 18:5	138:17 140:9
383:22 384:4	270:16	174:23 175:11	20:15,20 21:8	141:4 143:6
nonasbestos	NSAIDs 6:14	177:19 186:20	22:2 25:21	144:1,12,18,23
197:20	268:6,9,19,21	190:16 191:4	27:11,20 28:1	145:18 146:7
nongenetic	269:7,12,16	192:24 201:9	28:6,12,16,20	146:17 147:16
343:1	270:1,11 271:4	206:3 208:7	28:24 29:11,18	147:24 148:19
nonlitigation	NTP 6:3 35:24	211:9 217:15	31:20 32:4	149:4,18,21
296:15	181:23 182:16	218:9 222:23	34:15 36:4,14	150:16 151:18
nonpapillary	190:7,20	224:9 227:4,12	36:23 37:11	152:3,16 153:9
31:12	191:16 192:3	227:19 229:24	40:16 41:14,21	153:19 155:3
nonprofit 98:9	192:12 193:4	230:18 234:5	42:4,9 43:22	155:14,20
nonresponsive	193:22 194:7	249:21 252:8	74.7,7 43.44	156:12 157:2
			•	

				Page 424
157.16 159.4	252.12 254.5	256.15 257.2	90.16.00.6	261.14.262.5
157:16 158:4	253:12 254:5	356:15 357:2	89:16 90:6	261:14 262:5
158:13 159:4	254:24 255:9	358:1,12 359:9	91:16,22 92:17	263:22 264:24
159:20 160:11	255:19 257:3	360:7 361:17	93:8,22 95:4	266:4 267:1
161:11 162:23	257:20 258:5	363:4 364:19	97:11 99:17	270:3 271:17
164:3,12	258:19 261:6	365:17 367:6	103:19 104:7	275:20 276:13
169:16 170:6	261:13 262:4	367:24 368:5	105:13 107:19	279:10 280:17
170:16 173:6	262:15 263:21	369:21 370:11	110:16 113:19	280:21 281:9
173:14 174:19	264:23 266:3	370:23 371:10	116:11 118:4	282:7 283:20
175:17 177:9	266:24 267:12	373:13,21	118:21 120:9	285:17 287:3
178:7,21 180:4	269:1 270:2	374:4 375:7	126:16 128:23	287:23 289:1
182:5 183:22	271:16 272:10	376:2,17 377:2	130:23 131:16	289:12,17
185:10,21	274:18 275:19	377:14 378:5,9	131:16 135:13	290:21 293:14
188:4 189:1,11	276:12 279:9	379:6,18 380:1	136:12 138:18	294:5,19
190:11 191:1	280:9,16,20	380:11,19	144:2,13	295:19 296:4
191:17 192:13	281:8 282:6	382:11,24	148:20 149:5	296:12,19
193:23 194:19	283:19 285:16	383:14 384:9	150:17 151:19	298:15 299:1
194:23 195:22	286:11 287:2	384:24 385:19	155:4,15	302:21 303:2
197:15 199:9	287:22 288:24	386:7 388:3	161:12 162:24	303:20 304:18
200:16 201:5	289:11,16	389:4,9,12	169:17 170:7	305:2 306:9,17
202:4,16	290:20 291:1,9	390:4	170:17 173:7	314:1 316:21
203:17 205:20	292:5,19	oath 9:17,18	173:15 174:20	318:11 324:24
206:20 207:8	293:13 294:4	10:1 11:1	175:18 177:10	329:5,12,17
208:17 209:4	294:18 295:18	OB-GYN	178:22 180:5,5	332:21 333:2
209:15 210:14	296:3,11,18	257:11	183:23 185:22	333:23 335:15
212:1 213:12	297:14 298:14	obesity 313:15	189:12 190:12	336:4 337:16
214:20 215:9	298:24 302:20	313:21 314:4,7	191:2,18	340:12 341:15
215:18 216:4	303:1,19	314:11,14,18	192:14 199:10	342:3,23 345:4
216:23 217:12	304:17 305:1	<b>object</b> 13:6 21:9	200:17 201:6	345:15 346:20
217:20 220:12	306:8,16	22:3 25:22	202:5 205:21	349:13 350:8
221:6,14 222:1	313:24 316:20	27:21 31:21	209:5 210:15	351:13 352:14
222:21 223:18	318:10 320:12	32:5 34:16	214:21 215:10	354:2,11,23
225:2,16,20	321:9 324:23	36:5,15,24	215:19 216:24	355:12,22
226:20 227:21	325:20 328:3	37:12 43:23	217:21 220:13	373:14,22
228:15 229:8	329:4,11,16	44:14 45:7	225:3,17	374:5 375:8
229:13 230:6,9	332:1,9,20	46:12,19 48:10	226:21 228:16	376:3,18 377:3
231:3,15 232:3	333:1,22	48:16 49:15	229:14 230:7	377:15 378:6
232:11 235:20	334:14 335:14	52:13 54:14	231:4,16	378:10 379:7
236:11,20,24	336:3 337:15	57:19 58:1	235:21 238:17	379:19 380:20
238:16 239:6	340:11 341:2	59:3 61:3,9	239:7 241:21	383:1 384:10
240:11,20	341:14 342:2	63:9 65:5	242:16 243:22	385:1,20 386:8
241:7,20	342:11,22	66:16 67:2,10	245:4 246:24	objected 15:5
242:15 243:21	345:3,14	67:22 68:13	248:8 250:6,10	Objection 53:4
245:3 246:23	346:19 349:12	69:2 70:10	250:22 251:7	54:23 62:13
247:9 248:7	350:7 351:12	71:8 74:17	251:17 252:15	63:16 65:23
250:5,9,21	352:13 354:1	78:18,24 80:6	253:10 255:10	69:7 81:21
251:6,16,20	354:10,22	80:13 81:1	255:20 257:21	94:14 104:14
252:14 253:9	355:11,21	87:17 88:8	258:6,20 261:7	113:8 117:12

				Page 425
126 22 127 16	222.5.260.0	10 22 11 5 15	1126.20	162 11 10
126:22 127:16	222:5 269:9	10:23 11:5,15	old 26:20	162:11,19
130:11 132:3	283:3 333:9	11:23 12:2,5	once 8:9 43:21	163:1 164:8,17
133:14,20	369:2,5 376:21	12:19 13:14	oncologist 370:3	164:23 165:2
134:8,20	381:24	14:5,8,20 15:2	oncology 99:2	174:17,21
135:10 137:5	observational	16:14,23 17:2	310:7 323:20	175:5,15
140:10 143:7	375:3	17:15,22 18:12	324:11	178:17,23
144:24 145:19	observations	18:17,21 19:3	ones 263:16	181:17 182:18
146:8,18	116:1 167:20	19:15,23 20:9	ongoing 115:22	183:7 186:23
147:17 148:1	168:20 172:7	21:17 26:13,21	115:24	189:8 190:8
149:19 152:4	210:18 211:8	27:7 30:11	open 251:13	191:13,20
153:10,20	213:3 225:7	35:10 38:1,3,8	operations	192:3 200:20
155:21 156:13	236:1 283:10	38:24 39:6,15	312:6	207:17,23
157:3,17 159:5	284:1,9 323:18	39:24 40:3,22	<b>opin-</b> 93:24	209:11 219:1
159:21 160:12	329:21 376:10	50:3,22 51:11	<b>opine</b> 153:17	223:2,6 224:22
164:4,13 178:8	observed 34:13	60:9 71:23	158:1 165:6	225:10 226:9
188:5 189:2	35:11 99:20	73:20 77:6	<b>opining</b> 184:10	226:14 227:17
195:23 202:17	116:22 183:16	80:21 84:5,10	188:12	228:11,18
203:18 206:21	186:17 197:24	88:1,14,20	opinion 13:16	229:3 230:22
207:9 209:16	224:3 227:8	89:7 93:3,16	26:6,10,14,16	231:20 232:22
213:13 216:5	234:12 258:23	94:9,16,22	27:1,4,6 36:7	235:2 236:1
217:13 221:7	352:22 362:9	95:14 125:19	36:20 38:21	239:14,17
222:2,22	387:13	138:4 141:13	59:4 65:11	241:1,16,24
223:19 227:22	observing 33:1	141:21,24	86:1 93:24	243:18 245:8
229:9 232:4,12	133:1	147:4,9,19	94:18,24 95:8	246:5,20 247:6
240:12,21	obviously 43:6	164:23 167:21	95:17 96:20,22	247:20 250:8
247:10 251:21	177:2 350:2	169:12 170:1,2	102:7 110:8	250:19,23
254:6 255:1	occasion 353:8	193:10 232:16	111:14,16,18	253:5 254:8
257:4 267:13	OCCC 328:20	238:13 240:15	111:23 112:1,5	259:16,19
269:2 286:12	occur 162:6,8	240:24 241:16	112:21 114:12	260:15 261:10
320:13 321:10	164:2 227:1	301:15,22	117:4,9,14	261:15,18,22
325:21 341:3	240:5 344:13	302:16 307:8	118:24 119:3,4	261:24 262:8,8
357:24 359:5	occurred 189:21	307:13,14,20	121:2 122:6	262:13 263:3
360:1 361:7	363:7	307:21 311:18	124:4 126:11	264:6 266:22
363:3 364:17	occurs 227:5	312:24 313:20	126:18,23	267:2 271:22
367:2 369:19	odd 57:16	324:13 325:11	127:12,18	285:19 286:9
370:10,21	311:16	325:15 326:11	128:19 133:4	287:5,7 288:14
371:8 380:12	offer 112:1	326:24 327:17	135:6 136:2,6	290:12 293:22
382:12 388:4	161:6 162:11	327:24 328:13	143:11 145:15	294:22 297:23
objections 15:6	234:19	328:23 330:11	145:22 146:13	298:16 325:4
15:8,11 29:16	offering 52:19	330:14 331:3	147:20 150:19	325:18 351:6
29:19	159:15 266:19	331:11 332:14	151:8,11,21,23	352:5 353:22
obliteration	Oh 29:3 50:2	335:20 339:8	152:6 153:12	354:9,12,17
363:10	175:7 194:24	339:19 342:9	154:8,20	355:19 358:20
observation	301:13 332:10	343:20 348:22	155:22 156:3	363:18,21
31:22 176:20	389:17	351:18 352:3	157:10,19	364:6 365:2
179:7 197:9	okay 8:10 9:9	351.18 352.3 359:18 360:24	159:15 160:5	367:8 373:18
211:6 212:4,17	10:7,14,19,21	361:18 383:11	161:7,14	387:21 388:1,5
211.0 212.4,1/	10.7,14,19,41	501.10 505.11	101./,14	301.21 300.1,3

				Page 426
200.20.22	170:4 180:24	104:12,21	254:4 255:15	373:20 374:8
388:20,23 opinions 18:14	198:12 288:19	104.12,21	256:16,24	374:10,19
20:6 22:20	301:10 302:11	105.2,10,18,21	257:18 258:3	376:16 377:13
23:18 24:21	311:23 312:5	100.23 107.13	259:18 260:10	377:18 378:3
	372:1	,		
25:13 37:3		110:4,10,13,24	260:12,21,24	378:19 379:16
38:19 39:12	opposed 199:18	111:8,15 112:2	261:5,17,23	380:9,17 381:4
44:24 52:19	opposite 269:23	112:9,22 113:6	262:1,3,14,19	381:12,13,16
65:10 84:6	274:6	113:13,17,22	262:23 263:4,5	ovaries 31:13
85:21 96:23	order 28:2 313:1	114:9,17	263:19 264:5,6	199:18,20
97:3,7 101:24	332:23 333:14	115:18,21	265:18 266:15	226:13 231:14
102:1,12 110:2	333:20	116:18,21	267:16 268:23	253:8 254:22
111:5 112:6	organism 210:8	117:5,18,21	269:8,10,17,20	278:19 281:22
114:21 116:4	organisms 318:9	118:2,13,17	270:10 271:5	284:22 362:10
119:7 120:12	organization	119:19 120:7	271:13,21,23	362:18
121:17 124:2	54:17 58:7	120:24 121:5	273:1,3,5,7,12	ovary 31:14
125:2,13 126:1	organized 13:12	128:4 135:20	273:16,18	32:3 37:18
126:3 127:5	62:3	136:8 162:21	274:5 275:1,13	114:1 116:8
129:13 130:21	organs 165:16	164:9 165:23	275:16 276:3,8	161:18 162:4
130:24 134:14	205:5	166:3 167:4,24	276:10,20,22	162:16,22
136:14,24	orient 47:10	168:3 172:6	277:6,19,21	163:8 164:1,11
148:2 156:8	169:19 170:12	182:12,14	278:6,12,16	164:21,24
169:20 173:3	195:7 272:23	184:12,16	279:8,16,24	165:9,13 166:8
176:13 179:24	359:19	186:12 187:1	282:1,22,24	166:11,12
180:11,12	<b>OSC</b> 328:18	188:14 191:15	284:3,12	187:21 199:24
187:7 193:7	330:7,18	201:10,18	285:12,24	200:6 226:18
195:8 199:2,5	<b>OSE2a</b> 360:21	202:2 203:15	289:6,24 290:5	277:22 278:10
199:16 225:4	outcomes	204:19 206:19	293:19 304:13	285:7,15,24
233:12 244:24	108:21	207:18 208:16	305:21,23	304:16 362:5
247:4 249:1	outside 216:17	209:3,21,23	321:3 322:15	363:12
252:24 262:10	226:17 317:18	211:12 213:22	322:17,20,24	overall 137:18
262:18 275:18	ovar- 282:21	216:20 217:4,7	324:15,16,21	176:21 177:4
282:5 288:10	ovarian 4:24 5:5	217:10,17	325:6 326:3,13	209:17 210:19
291:23 304:23	5:19 6:9,14	218:6,14 219:3	327:1,13 328:2	219:10 235:15
305:12 353:11	20:11 21:7,22	219:8,12	328:13,14	247:13 258:8
365:21 366:24	23:12 24:18	220:21,23	329:1,7 330:24	258:11 270:7
370:1,8,13	25:7,8 26:5,8	221:11,24	331:6 333:5	275:1 281:23
371:5,16,18,22	26:15,17 32:12	222:20 223:11	334:7 336:10	339:22 345:6
374:14 382:21	32:20 36:21	223:17 224:3	337:2,11,20	346:23 366:14
382:21 384:21	83:11 84:14	224:21,24	338:1 340:2	368:20 382:7
386:14,17	89:4,9,14,18	226:19 227:9	341:7 346:5,6	386:1
387:9 389:13	89:24 90:3,8	227:15 228:8	346:18 347:19	overgeneralize
389:21 390:1	90:14,18,21,23	228:12 229:7	349:23 350:6	216:16
opportunity	91:3,9,13 92:6	230:24 237:23	350:18 351:1	overlapped
43:11 47:3	92:11,15,21,23	238:4,10	351:11 360:15	45:16 87:22
85:20 87:23	93:6,20 96:9	243:17 245:15	364:4,7 366:2	overly 312:4
97:14 98:19	99:6,19 100:2	246:7 249:17	366:12 368:21	oversee 99:1
134:11 154:12	101:17 102:8	252:2,12,21	369:10 370:2	oversight 98:17
				l

				Page 427
Ozvoroviora	7.25.4.2.5.12	257.5 15 10	201.12.202.19	269.10.22.24
Overview	page 4:2 5:13	357:5,15,19	301:12 302:18	368:19,22,24
327:13 329:1	18:3 24:8,13	358:5 359:17	302:23 303:7	387:9,10
ovulation 351:3	24:16 30:12	362:12,13	303:17,23	paragraphs
351:7	31:4 33:11,18	367:17 368:11	333:6 334:1	330:5
owned 308:15	35:19 50:20,24	368:14,15,16	340:1 341:5,21	parallel 295:4
oxidation	51:3,7 53:12	368:17 370:19	342:24 359:15	parallels 234:9
315:13	53:19 54:1	372:16,19,20	359:21 361:20	288:5 289:6
oxidative 87:1	55:5,11,12	372:21 373:10	361:23 362:2,6	paraphrase
238:22 316:5	56:15,24 60:3	373:10 374:1	365:5,12	158:1
oxygen 182:1,9	60:6,9,20 62:1	Page/Line 392:6	367:16,17	paren 78:7
209:8 211:4,22	63:1,20,22,24	paid 181:8	368:1,8,12	331:13
212:6 214:12	64:19 71:12,24	295:16	369:5 374:18	parens 73:4,5,13
214:18 218:1	72:1,8 73:8,22	panel 200:24	374:22 378:14	77:16 78:6
236:7 239:22	75:5 76:9 77:9	212:8,8 310:7	378:22 379:12	parentheses
240:19 242:21	78:3 112:10	310:11	379:14	60:16,23 78:16
259:3 263:9	119:6 122:12	Paoletti 124:15	papers 121:21	328:18
286:17 315:10	122:16,18	124:18,22	263:8 283:13	<b>Park</b> 6:19
316:8,11,15,17	123:16 124:15	125:2,8,24	284:8 300:22	338:16,19,20
316:23 317:4,7	124:20 125:15	paper 6:13	331:8 376:20	339:1,21 340:1
317:16,18	137:22 138:7	25:12,16,18	papillary 30:24	341:21 367:16
318:1,3,8,12	138:16 147:7	26:3,10,14,23	paragraph 31:5	367:17 368:1,8
318:16 319:11	149:16 187:12	28:2,10 29:2,2	31:8,10 33:12	part 124:5,8
319:24 359:22	187:17 191:21	29:6,10,23,24	33:14,16,19,24	125:18,24
361:16	193:16 194:14	30:5,6 38:5,5,9	34:1 49:12,13	126:2 130:17
	194:22,22,24	38:17 39:5,11	49:17 51:1,2,6	137:18 145:13
P	195:1,5 196:3	79:8 84:12	51:7,12,17	152:5 157:20
<b>P</b> 2:1,1,4 3:1,1	200:9 201:8,21	87:14 102:19	72:7,13,20	168:22 176:16
392:1	203:2 204:24	126:5,6 141:20	75:23 76:8,20	209:7,7 210:19
<b>P.C</b> 2:3	219:16,20	143:17 168:12	76:23 77:2,9	218:22,24
<b>p.m</b> 166:22	221:9,15,18	177:24 179:21	82:2 112:11	219:7,15
237:10,14	238:1 250:13	180:3,10,20	191:22 203:3	226:22 230:15
302:4,8 356:20	269:13 271:8	181:6,21 184:3	205:1,11	235:15 239:18
356:24 390:11	272:19,21,23	187:24 188:22	221:10,19	266:11 289:13
390:12	274:14,16	220:6,15 224:5	265:15 266:12	289:15 306:19
p13/Ras/Notc	275:24 278:13	232:22 236:3,6	268:3 269:14	317:5 325:24
330:12	281:20 313:5,8	239:12 267:21	272:20,24	338:3 341:5
<b>p5-</b> 217:14	320:3 326:12	271:3 272:3,5	274:12,15,22	364:6 376:21
<b>p53</b> 216:21	326:24 327:1	276:15 279:2,5	275:24 278:14	381:23
217:4,9,14,18	327:10,12	279:14,21	279:14 314:22	partial 279:13
217:24 218:6	328:4,9,23	282:4,9,12,15	322:5 328:10	328:10
321:22 334:21	330:6 331:20	282:19 283:11	329:1 330:5,15	participants
335:11,17	332:4,7,8,15	283:12,16,22	331:11 332:18	161:1
336:1,16,19	334:13,13	284:1,8,18	333:20 334:19	participate
338:21 339:8	337:1 338:8,8	285:1,20	335:23 340:9	102:3
340:10,19	347:7,8,8	296:23 297:19	343:3,6,21	participated
342:17	348:22 349:19	297:20,24	345:19 348:23	91:1 104:4
packaged 61:17	349:19 350:22	298:4,8 301:9	362:22 368:13	particle 164:11
	3.7.17.330.22	2,0.1,0,001.9	502.22 500.15	Particle 10 mil

196:15 249:9   250:4   patient 108:19   176:4.24,24   patients 99:22   176:4.24,24   patients 99:22   176:4.24,24   patients 99:22   176:4.23.219   256:20   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4   perjury 393:4					Page 428
250:4	106 15 240 0	1054	256 11 222 12	. 1 106 10	l , ., .
particles 196:20				-	2 0
198:9,16,17   253:6   173:19   175:15   256:20   273:19   175:15   256:20   273:19   175:15   256:20   273:19   175:15   256:20   273:19   175:15   256:24   275:11   216:14   259:12   239:14   256:24   275:11   216:14   259:12   239:14   256:16   273:12,16,18   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16   256:16		_			-
253:6					
particular 24:8         176:4 323:19         256:20         319:19 336:9         266:16         PID 273:2,4,6           32:9 90:10         344:1,23         345:11         percents 322:3         338:12 340:6         273:12,16,18           148:8 151:15         Patricia 288:18         patricia 288:18         62:6 134:12         338:22 340:6         picc 27:5           191:8 199:6         326:4         performed         performed         perspective 71:2         perspective 71:2           220:24 227:19         198:20         pediatric 323:10         performed         perspective 71:2         perspective 71:2           235:17 252:9         236:12 pediatric 323:10         performing         217:23 233:15         percertricing         224:12         performing         224:12         224:12         224:12         224:12         224:12         226:14 29:5         performs         234:15,14         248:17         placing 185:11         placing 185:11 <td< td=""><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td></td<>		_		1	
32:9 90:10					l <del>-</del>
143:17 147:22					
148:8 151:15   180:17 190:1   191:8 199:6   215:11 216:7   220:24 227:19   232:19,20   235:17 252:9   260:6 276:15   285:5 288:11   322:13 324:20   345:12 346:16   377:21   261:8 160:24   174:5 210:4   297:3 364:1,10   253:15 288:3   301:3 353:18   355:24 381:8   205:4 206:6   275:12   275:10 276:16   275:14   275:10 276:16   275:11 375:20   227:11 375:20   227:11 375:20   227:11 375:20   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:12   227:1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	_	
180:17 190:1   191:8 199:6   326:4   performed   99:24 148:4   perspective 71:2   29:4 272:19   232:19,20   pediatric 323:10   per 23:10 299:7   382:22   performing   232:13 324:20   345:12 346:16   377:21   71:3 141:17   26:18 160:24   174:5 210:4   297:3 364:1,10   253:15 288:3   301:3 353:18   332:18   pers 287:19   personal 3:11   39:16 137:1   229:4   pine 213:11,17   place 17:11   15:7 137:9   170:14 228:14   248:17   performing   217:23 233:15   224:12   248:17   performing   224:12   248:18   25:14 292:2   performs   308:18 309:3   perineal 5:4 6:9   perspectives   71:2   placing 185:11   placing 185:11   particularly   253:15 288:3   364:15 366:9   30:18 309:3   30:13 353:18   382:18   pers 287:19   personal 3:11   229:4   pine 213:11,17   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:11   place 17:12   performs 27:12   place 17:12   place 17	= ' '				
191:8 199:6   236:4   pay 144:9   198:20   198:20   232:19,20   235:17 252:9   260:6 276:15   382:22   percreview   326:6 85:18   177:12 184:24   248:17   228:14 228:14   228:14   228:14   228:13 324:20   345:12 346:16   377:21   71:3 141:17   23:11 159:16   26:18 160:24   167:15 288:2   167:25 288:3   364:15 366:9   301:3 353:18   382:18   percs 287:19   226:9,12 227:8   perticulates particulates particulates partner 103:7 partners 102:21 partners 102:21 partners 102:21 partners 103:4,6 parts 48:7 parts 48:7 partner 103:7 partner 84:7 partner 103:7 partner 84:7 partner 103:2 partner 81:10 237:18 265:13 pathologist 370:4 378:24 pathologist 370:4 378:24 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:24 pathology 25:4   292:24 pathology 25:4   292:24 pathology 25:4   292:24 pathology 25:4   292:24 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:18,18 pathology 25:4   292:					_
215:11 216:7   220:24 227:19   232:19,20   pediatric 323:10   peer 23:10 299:7   386:19   386:19   386:19   386:19   386:19   performing   377:21   particularly   25:18 160:24   174:5 210:4   25:15 288:3   301:3 353:18   301:3 353:18   301:3 353:18   301:3 353:18   particulates   particulates   particus 391:12   partner 103:7   partner s102:21   part		-		-	
220:24 227:19   232:19,20   pediatric 323:10   190:1 224:18   115:7 137:9   170:14 228:14   228:17   260:6 276:15   382:22   peer-review   71:2   224:12   234:8 259:24   292:2   203:7   345:12 346:16   377:21   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24			-		
232:19,20   235:17 252:9   260:6 276:15   285:2 288:11   285:5 288:11   322:13 324:20   71:2   24:12   233:15 285:5 288:11   322:13 324:20   71:2   24:12   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7   260:14 295:7					1 -
235:17 252:9   260:6 276:15   382:22   peer-review   71:2   peer-review   71:2   peer-review   71:2   peer-reviewd   70:19,20,24   particularly   26:18 160:24   167:15 288:2   167:15 288:2   167:15 288:3   364:15 366:9   191:11 205:3   301:3 353:18   382:18   peers 287:19   peir est 36:9   227:15,20   237:2 278: 276:21 282:20   peer-review   227:15,20   227:15,20   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   partners 102:21   pa					_
260:6 276:15 285:5 288:11 322:13 324:20 345:12 346:16 377:21         382:22 peer-review 71:2 70:19,20,24 71:3 141:17         performing 224:12 performs         217:23 233:15 234:8 259:24 260:14 295:7 perspectives         placed 193:12 292:2 placing 185:11 plaintiff 40:4 370:21         19:21 29:2 29:22 placing 185:11 plaintiff 40:4 43:20 48:24         19:21 159:16 26:18 160:24 167:15 288:2 162:2 166:9 191:11 205:3 301:3 353:18 3301:3 353:18 335:24 381:8 periculates         162:2 166:9 191:11 205:3 372:10 373:3 16:8 17:3 372:10 373:3 16:8 17:3 16:8 17:3 16:9 21:10 275:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 275:12 278:10 276:12 282:20 275:12 278:10 277:12 278:10 277:12 278:10 270:12 318:14 370:14 32:2 144:5 270:12 318:14 376:15 270:12 318:14 370:15 330:17 270:12 318:14 370:15 330:17 270:12 318:14 370:15 330:17 270:12 318:14 370:15 330:17 270:12 318:14 370:15 330:17 270:12 318:19 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10,17 93:4,10	-	_			
285:5 288:11         peer-review         71:2         performs         224:12         260:14 295:7         plaintiff 40:4           345:12 346:16         70:19,20,24         70:19,20,24         70:19,20,24         70:19,20,24         70:19,20,24         70:19,20,24         70:19,20,24         70:19,20,24         70:19,20,24         70:15 288:2         70:15 288:2         160:22 166:9         192:19 195:17         26:18 160:24         43:20 48:24         266:1         192:19 195:17         26:18 160:24         43:20 48:24         266:1         192:19 195:17         26:18 160:24         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:11         26:18 160:24         26:18 160:24         26:18 160:24         26:18 160:24         26:18 160:24         26:18 160:24         26:18 160:24         27:18 160:		_			
322:13 324:20					l <del>-</del>
345:12 346:16   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   70:19,20,24   71:3 141:17   23:11 159:16   162:2 166:9   192:19 195:17   26:18 160:24   297:3 364:1,10   253:15 288:3   364:15 366:9   191:11 205:3   372:10 373:3   16:8 17:3   301:3 353:18   382:18   218:14 219:12   355:24 381:8   peers 287:19   226:9,12 227:8   71:4 49:21   142:13,22   205:4 206:6   271:4,13,21   228:12,14   88:11,16 92:1   143:22 144:5   partner 103:7   partner 103:7   partnership   283:3 284:6   parts 48:7   partnet 8:12 9:9   9:21   220:6,9 224:5   pathological   227:11 236:18   351:10   pathological   237:18 265:13   pathologist   267:9 269:24   pathologists   256:24   pathology 25:4   321:7,8 345:13   172:8 173:19   pathological   256:22 28:13   pathology 25:4   321:7,8 345:13   172:8 173:19   pathological   299:18   pathology 25:4   321:7,8 345:13   172:8 173:19   pathy 128:14   pathology 25:4   321:7,8 345:13   172:8 173:19   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14   pathy 128:14		_			-
377:21         70:19,20,24         perineal 5:4 6:9         57:12         43:20 48:24           particularly         71:3 141:17         23:11 159:16         162:2 166:9         192:19 195:17         plaintiffs 8:16           174:5 210:4         297:3 364:1,10         167:2 177:7         202:9 203:7         8:18 15:12           253:15 288:3         364:15 366:9         191:11 205:3         372:10 373:3         16:8 17:3           301:3 353:18         382:18         peres 287:19         petitions 202:21         petitions 202:21           355:24 381:8         peres 287:19         226:9,12 227:8         petitions 202:21         18:19 19:6,20           355:24 206:6         271:4,13,21         226:9,12 227:8         27:17 49:21         142:13,22           205:4 206:6         271:4,13,21         230:24 231:5,8         392:3,22         257:1 295:17           partners 391:12         276:21 282:20         233:22 238:3         393:16         plaintiffs' 2:2           partners 102:21         276:21 282:20         253:15 274:23         299:8         pharmaceutical           103:4,6         penalty 393:3         Penninkilampi         376:15         318:15 349:16         93:4,10,17           pathological         227:18 265:13         226:15 231:13         prineally 16:8         35			-		
particularly         71:3 141:17         23:11 159:16         petition 6:5         26:18 160:24         26:18 160:24         167:15 288:2         23:11 159:16         petition 6:5         192:19 195:17         plaintiffs 8:16         8:18 15:12           174:5 210:4         297:3 364:1,10         167:2 177:7         202:9 203:7         8:18 15:12         8:18 15:12           253:15 288:3         364:15 366:9         191:11 205:3         372:10 373:3         16:8 17:3           301:3 353:18         382:18         218:14 219:12         petitions 202:21         18:19 19:6,20           355:24 381:8         peers 287:19         226:9,12 227:8         Ph.D 1:14 2:8         23:1 100:15           particulates         pelvic 6:13         227:15,20         7:17 49:21         142:13,22           205:4 206:6         271:4,13,21         228:12,14         233:2 238:3         392:3,22         257:1 295:17           partners 391:12         276:21 282:20         276:21 282:20         253:15 274:23         393:16         plaintiffs' 2:2           partnership         283:3 284:6         penalty 393:3         Penninkilampi         281:21 351:10         299:8         pharmaceutical         12:3,6 17:9,16           pathological         227:11 236:18         225:14,23         226:15 231:13         318:15 349:16 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>1 -</td> <td></td>		-		1 -	
26:18 160:24       167:15 288:2       162:2 166:9       192:19 195:17       plaintiffs 8:16         174:5 210:4       297:3 364:1,10       167:2 177:7       202:9 203:7       8:18 15:12         253:15 288:3       364:15 366:9       191:11 205:3       372:10 373:3       16:8 17:3         301:3 353:18       382:18       218:14 219:12       petitions 202:21       18:19 19:6,20         355:24 381:8       peers 287:19       226:9,12 227:8       Ph.D 1:14 2:8       23:1 100:15         particulates       205:4 206:6       271:4,13,21       228:12,14       88:11,16 92:1       142:13,22         205:4 206:6       271:4,13,21       228:12,14       88:11,16 92:1       143:22 144:5         partners 391:12       275:10 276:16       233:22 238:3       393:16       plaintiffs 2:2         partners 102:21       276:21 282:20       253:15 274:23       393:16       plaintiffs 2:2         partnership       283:3 284:6       277:12 278:10       299:8       17:20 38:12         103:4,6       penalty 393:3       Penninkilampi       376:15       perineally 161:8       318:15 349:16       84:23 91:10         pathological       227:11 236:18       226:15 231:13       177:4 252:9       102:15 121:15         pathologist       267:9 269:24		, , ,	_		
174:5 210:4         297:3 364:1,10         167:2 177:7         202:9 203:7         8:18 15:12           253:15 288:3         364:15 366:9         191:11 205:3         372:10 373:3         16:8 17:3           301:3 353:18         382:18         218:14 219:12         petitions 202:21         18:19 19:6,20           355:24 381:8         peers 287:19         226:9,12 227:8         Ph.D 1:14 2:8         23:1 100:15           particulates         pelvic 6:13         227:15,20         7:17 49:21         142:13,22           205:4 206:6         271:4,13,21         228:12,14         88:11,16 92:1         143:22 144:5           particulates         275:10 276:16         233:22 238:3         392:3,22         257:1 295:17           partners 102:21         276:21 282:20         253:15 274:23         393:16         plaintiffs' 2:2           partnership         283:3 284:6         277:12 278:10         299:8         77:20 38:12           103:4,6         penalty 393:3         Penninkilampi         276:15         276:15         276:15         277:12 278:10         299:8         17:20 38:12           pathological         227:11 236:18         225:14,23         318:15 349:16         318:15 349:16         93:4,10,17           pathologist         227:11 236:18         226:15 231:				_	
253:15 288:3         364:15 366:9         191:11 205:3         372:10 373:3         16:8 17:3           301:3 353:18         382:18         218:14 219:12         petitions 202:21         18:19 19:6,20           355:24 381:8         peers 287:19         226:9,12 227:8         Ph.D 1:14 2:8         23:1 100:15           particulates         pelvic 6:13         227:15,20         7:17 49:21         142:13,22           205:4 206:6         271:4,13,21         228:12,14         88:11,16 92:1         143:22 144:5           particulates         275:10 276:16         233:22 238:3         392:3,22         257:1 295:17           partners 103:7         275:10 276:16         233:22 238:3         393:16         plaintiffs' 2:2           partners 102:21         276:21 282:20         253:15 274:23         pharmaceutical         12:3,6 17:9,16           parts 48:7         Penninkilampi         376:15         299:8         17:20 38:12           patent 8:12 9:9         219:17,22         161:18 199:8         355:14         95:16 96:7,18           9:21         220:6,9 224:5         225:14,23         phenotype         102:15 121:15           pathological         237:18 265:13         perineum         17:4 252:9         122:2,4 123:8           370:4 378:24         376:9,13<					_
301:3 353:18         382:18         218:14 219:12         petitions 202:21         18:19 19:6,20           355:24 381:8         peers 287:19         226:9,12 227:8         Ph.D 1:14 2:8         23:1 100:15           particulates         pelvic 6:13         227:15,20         7:17 49:21         142:13,22           205:4 206:6         271:4,13,21         228:12,14         88:11,16 92:1         143:22 144:5           particulates         275:10 276:16         233:22 238:3         392:3,22         257:1 295:17           partner 103:7         275:10 276:16         233:22 238:3         393:16         plaintiffs' 2:2           partners 102:21         276:21 282:20         253:15 274:23         299:8         17:20 38:12           partnership         283:3 284:6         277:12 278:10         299:8         17:20 38:12           parts 48:7         Penninkilampi         376:15         perineally 161:8         318:15 349:16         93:4,10,17           pathological         227:11 236:18         226:15 231:13         16:18 199:8         355:14         95:16 96:7,18           pathologist         267:9 269:24         199:18,23         30:17         256:22 288:13           370:4 378:24         290:18,18         200:1 229:6         363:12         physically         297:10 372:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
355:24 381:8 particulates         peers 287:19 pelvic 6:13         226:9,12 227:8 227:8 227:15,20         Ph.D 1:14 2:8 7:17 49:21         23:1 100:15 142:13,22           205:4 206:6 parties 391:12 parties 391:12 partner 103:7 partners 102:21 partners 102:21 partners 102:21 partners 102:21 partnership 103:4,6 penalty 393:3 Penninkilampi 352:11 375:20 partners 48:7         276:21 282:20 281:21 351:10 276:15 274:23 281:21 351:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:12 318:14 276:12 376:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:15 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:16 277:12 278:10 276:12 276:12 276:12 276:12 276:12 276:12 276:12 276:12 276:12 276:12 276:12 276:12 276:12 27					
particulates         pelvic 6:13         227:15,20         7:17 49:21         142:13,22           205:4 206:6         271:4,13,21         228:12,14         88:11,16 92:1         143:22 144:5           parties 391:12         272:8 274:4         230:24 231:5,8         392:3,22         257:1 295:17           partner 103:7         275:10 276:16         233:22 238:3         393:16         plaintiffs' 2:2           partners 102:21         276:21 282:20         253:15 274:23         pharmaceutical         12:3,6 17:9,16           partnership         283:3 284:6         277:12 278:10         299:8         17:20 38:12           parts 48:7         Penninkilampi         376:15         270:12 318:14         84:23 91:10           352:11 375:20         6:9 218:15         perineally 161:8         318:15 349:16         93:4,10,17           pathological         227:11 236:18         225:14,23         phenotype         102:15 121:15           pathologist         267:9 269:24         226:15 231:13         177:4 252:9         122:2,4 123:8           370:4 378:24         376:9,13         200:1 229:6         physically         297:10 372:17           pathologists         people 153:1         363:12         229:24         physicological           256:24         292:18,18 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>· ·</td>				-	· ·
205:4 206:6         271:4,13,21         228:12,14         88:11,16 92:1         143:22 144:5           parties 391:12         272:8 274:4         230:24 231:5,8         392:3,22         257:1 295:17           partner 103:7         275:10 276:16         233:22 238:3         393:16         plaintiffs' 2:2           partners 102:21         276:21 282:20         253:15 274:23         pharmaceutical         12:3,6 17:9,16           parts 48:7         penalty 393:3         281:21 351:10         299:8         17:20 38:12           patent 8:12 9:9         6:9 218:15         perineally 161:8         318:15 349:16         93:4,10,17           psthological         227:11 236:18         225:14,23         phenotype         102:15 121:15           pathologist         237:18 265:13         perineum         phrase 36:16         123:21 181:9           370:4 378:24         people 153:1         200:1 229:6         physically         297:10 372:17           pathologists         256:24         292:18,18         200:1 229:6         physiological         18:18 97:18           pathology 25:4         321:7,8 345:13         172:8 173:19         314:18,19         plan 18:18 97:18		-	· ·		
parties 391:12272:8 274:4230:24 231:5,8392:3,22257:1 295:17partner 103:7275:10 276:16233:22 238:3393:16plaintiffs' 2:2partners 102:21276:21 282:20253:15 274:23pharmaceutical12:3,6 17:9,16partnership283:3 284:6277:12 278:10299:817:20 38:12103:4,6penalty 393:3281:21 351:10phenomenon43:2 54:20parts 48:7Penninkilampi376:15perineally 161:8318:15 349:1684:23 91:10352:11 375:206:9 218:15perineally 161:8318:15 349:1693:4,10,17patent 8:12 9:9219:17,22161:18 199:8355:1495:16 96:7,189:21220:6,9 224:5225:14,23phenotype102:15 121:15pathological237:18 265:13perineum177:4 252:9phrase 36:16123:21 181:9351:10237:18 265:13perineum199:18,23330:17256:22 288:13370:4 378:24376:9,13200:1 229:6330:17256:22 288:13pathologistspeople 153:1363:12physically297:10 372:17256:24292:18,18period 78:10physiologicalplan 18:18 97:18pathology 25:4321:7,8 345:13172:8 173:19314:18,19platy 128:14	_	_	,		-
partner 103:7 partners 102:21275:10 276:16 276:21 282:20233:22 238:3 253:15 274:23 277:12 278:10 299:8393:16 pharmaceutical 299:8plaintiffs' 2:2 12:3,6 17:9,16103:4,6 parts 48:7 352:11 375:20penalty 393:3 Penninkilampi 6:9 218:15281:21 351:10 perineally 161:8phenomenon 270:12 318:14 318:15 349:1643:2 54:20 93:4,10,17patent 8:12 9:9 9:21219:17,22 220:6,9 224:5161:18 199:8 225:14,23 225:14,23 pathologist 351:10355:14 237:18 265:13 237:18 265:13 pathologist95:16 96:7,18 267:9 269:24pathologist 256:24267:9 269:24 376:9,13199:18,23 200:1 229:6177:4 252:9 363:12123:21 181:9 297:10 372:17pathologists 256:24292:18,18 292:18,18period 78:10 172:8 173:19physically 229:24 physicological 314:18,19297:10 372:17 385:15		f f	,	· ·	
partners 102:21         276:21 282:20         253:15 274:23         pharmaceutical         12:3,6 17:9,16           partnership         283:3 284:6         277:12 278:10         299:8         17:20 38:12           parts 48:7         penalty 393:3         Penninkilampi         376:15         phenomenon         43:2 54:20           patent 8:12 9:9         219:17,22         perineally 161:8         318:15 349:16         93:4,10,17           pathological         227:11 236:18         225:14,23         phenotype         102:15 121:15           pathologist         237:18 265:13         perineum         phrase 36:16         123:21 181:9           pathologists         267:9 269:24         376:9,13         200:1 229:6         physically         297:10 372:17           pathologists         people 153:1         363:12         period 78:10         physiological         314:18,19           pathology 25:4         321:7,8 345:13         172:8 173:19         314:18,19         platy 128:14	-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
partnership         283:3 284:6         277:12 278:10         299:8         17:20 38:12           parts 48:7         penalty 393:3         281:21 351:10         phenomenon         43:2 54:20           patent 8:12 9:9         6:9 218:15         perineally 161:8         318:15 349:16         93:4,10,17           pathological         220:6,9 224:5         225:14,23         phenotype         102:15 121:15           pathologist         237:18 265:13         perineum         phrase 36:16         123:21 181:9           pathologists         267:9 269:24         199:18,23         30:17         256:22 288:13           pathologists         people 153:1         363:12         physically         297:10 372:17           pathology 25:4         321:7,8 345:13         172:8 173:19         314:18,19         platy 128:14	_				
103:4,6         penalty 393:3         281:21 351:10         phenomenon         43:2 54:20           parts 48:7         Penninkilampi         376:15         perineally 161:8         318:15 349:16         84:23 91:10           patent 8:12 9:9         219:17,22         161:18 199:8         355:14         95:16 96:7,18           pathological         227:11 236:18         225:14,23         phenotype         102:15 121:15           pathologist         237:18 265:13         perineum         phrase 36:16         123:21 181:9           pathologists         267:9 269:24         376:9,13         200:1 229:6         physically         297:10 372:17           pathologists         292:18,18         period 78:10         physiological         plan 18:18 97:18           pathology 25:4         321:7,8 345:13         172:8 173:19         314:18,19         platy 128:14	_				, ,
parts 48:7         Penninkilampi         376:15         270:12 318:14         84:23 91:10           352:11 375:20         6:9 218:15         perineally 161:8         318:15 349:16         93:4,10,17           patent 8:12 9:9         219:17,22         161:18 199:8         355:14         95:16 96:7,18           9:21         220:6,9 224:5         225:14,23         phenotype         102:15 121:15           pathological         237:18 265:13         perineum         phrase 36:16         123:21 181:9           pathologist         267:9 269:24         199:18,23         330:17         256:22 288:13           370:4 378:24         376:9,13         200:1 229:6         physically         297:10 372:17           pathologists         292:18,18         period 78:10         physiological         plan 18:18 97:18           256:24         292:18,18         172:8 173:19         314:18,19         platy 128:14	* *				
352:11 375:20         6:9 218:15         perineally 161:8         318:15 349:16         93:4,10,17           patent 8:12 9:9         219:17,22         161:18 199:8         355:14         95:16 96:7,18           9:21         220:6,9 224:5         225:14,23         phenotype         102:15 121:15           pathological         237:18 265:13         perineum         phrase 36:16         123:21 181:9           pathologist         267:9 269:24         199:18,23         330:17         256:22 288:13           370:4 378:24         people 153:1         200:1 229:6         physically         297:10 372:17           pathologists         people 153:1         363:12         229:24         physiological         plan 18:18 97:18           pathology 25:4         321:7,8 345:13         172:8 173:19         314:18,19         platy 128:14	· ·				
patent 8:12 9:9         219:17,22         161:18 199:8         355:14         95:16 96:7,18           9:21         220:6,9 224:5         225:14,23         phenotype         102:15 121:15           pathological         227:11 236:18         226:15 231:13         177:4 252:9         122:2,4 123:8           351:10         237:18 265:13         perineum         phrase 36:16         123:21 181:9           pathologist         267:9 269:24         199:18,23         330:17         256:22 288:13           370:4 378:24         376:9,13         200:1 229:6         physically         297:10 372:17           pathologists         people 153:1         363:12         229:24         385:15           256:24         292:18,18         period 78:10         physiological         plan 18:18 97:18           pathology 25:4         321:7,8 345:13         172:8 173:19         314:18,19         platy 128:14	1 =	_			
9:21         220:6,9 224:5         225:14,23         phenotype         102:15 121:15           pathological         237:18 265:13         perineum         phrase 36:16         123:21 181:9           pathologist         267:9 269:24         199:18,23         330:17         256:22 288:13           370:4 378:24         people 153:1         200:1 229:6         physically         297:10 372:17           pathologists         people 153:1         363:12         229:24         physiological         plan 18:18 97:18           pathology 25:4         321:7,8 345:13         172:8 173:19         314:18,19         platy 128:14					, , ,
pathological         227:11 236:18         226:15 231:13         177:4 252:9         122:2,4 123:8           351:10         237:18 265:13         perineum         phrase 36:16         123:21 181:9           pathologist         267:9 269:24         199:18,23         330:17         256:22 288:13           370:4 378:24         376:9,13         200:1 229:6         physically         297:10 372:17           pathologists         people 153:1         363:12         229:24         385:15           256:24         292:18,18         period 78:10         physiological         plan 18:18 97:18           pathology 25:4         321:7,8 345:13         172:8 173:19         314:18,19         platy 128:14	<del>-</del>	· ·			-
351:10       237:18 265:13       perineum       phrase 36:16       123:21 181:9         pathologist       267:9 269:24       199:18,23       330:17       256:22 288:13         370:4 378:24       376:9,13       200:1 229:6       physically       297:10 372:17         pathologists       people 153:1       363:12       229:24       385:15         256:24       292:18,18       period 78:10       physiological       plan 18:18 97:18         pathology 25:4       321:7,8 345:13       172:8 173:19       314:18,19       platy 128:14		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		
pathologist         267:9 269:24         199:18,23         330:17         256:22 288:13           370:4 378:24         376:9,13         200:1 229:6         physically         297:10 372:17           pathologists         people 153:1         363:12         229:24         385:15           256:22 288:13         physically         297:10 372:17         385:15           pathology 25:4         231:7,8 345:13         172:8 173:19         314:18,19         plan 18:18 97:18           platy 128:14         18:14         18:14         18:14         18:14	1				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
370:4 378:24       376:9,13       200:1 229:6       physically       297:10 372:17         pathologists       363:12       229:24       385:15         256:24       292:18,18       period 78:10       physiological       plan 18:18 97:18         pathology 25:4       321:7,8 345:13       172:8 173:19       314:18,19       platy 128:14			-	-	
pathologists         people 153:1         363:12         229:24         385:15           256:24         292:18,18         period 78:10         physiological         plan 18:18 97:18           pathology 25:4         321:7,8 345:13         172:8 173:19         314:18,19         platy 128:14			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
256:24   292:18,18   period 78:10   physiological plan 18:18 97:18   pathology 25:4   321:7,8 345:13   172:8 173:19   314:18,19   platy 128:14		· ·			
pathology 25:4         321:7,8 345:13         172:8 173:19         314:18,19         platy 128:14				-	
			-		1 -
25:/,/25/:5,9   <b>percent</b> 101:13   180:18 188:0   31/:14 319:/   1/4:11	25:7,7 257:5,9	percent 101:13	186:18 188:6	317:14 319:7	174:11
257:13,17   155:1,10   224:8 234:6,10   319:14,21   plausibility 5:23		-			
370:2 255:17 256:10 248:19 349:16 37:4 52:20	· ·		· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
				<u> </u>	l

				Page 429
02.11.10.04.1	214.10.252.21	201-21-24	245.1.247.20	147.6 21 140.4
93:11,19 94:1	314:19 353:21	201:21,24	245:1 247:20	147:6,21 148:4
94:18,24 95:9	playing 388:15	pointing 331:7	249:18 250:24	148:5,11,18
96:4,9,21	plays 116:24	points 186:15,17	253:16 258:9	149:2,15 150:2
111:19 117:7	260:17 264:5	196:11 197:2	259:10 261:11	150:3,3 151:15
121:3 127:24	269:19 273:1	policies 101:22	265:16 266:23	151:23 152:1
131:1 140:14	276:10 321:2	policy 101:12	267:8,10,15	152:11,11,20
142:6 143:19	366:2	poor 319:19	297:24 299:16	153:5,15,17,22
230:4 288:15	please 19:11	population	315:17 344:16	153:24 154:7
288:23 366:7	25:2 29:15	337:12 338:1	352:21 380:23	154:13,24
385:11 388:20	40:23 52:3	portfolio 109:6	381:21 385:9	155:9 159:16
388:24	63:21 83:3	portion 201:21	385:17 387:5	161:8,9,17
plausible 26:4	182:4 185:13	374:16	388:13	167:2,22 168:9
95:12 101:16	190:13 221:16	portions 86:14	potentially	177:8 178:2
112:8 113:3	272:15 326:17	313:2	110:7 139:6	180:1 181:17
114:3 126:3	360:9 372:9	posit 257:16	164:19 176:23	182:13,14,19
129:18 135:17	378:8	position 98:2	177:4 276:7	183:21 184:11
136:3,7 137:9	<b>pleura</b> 172:20	186:3 310:13	353:21	184:14,15
140:20 143:11	173:12	positive 200:12	powder 1:5 5:18	185:20 186:11
145:22 146:2	pleural 174:2	274:10 299:13	6:13 32:19	186:24 188:13
150:20 155:24	pleurodesis	335:18 345:21	37:17 83:10	193:12 196:21
157:11 163:2	129:23 168:13	346:11 371:2	84:14 91:13	198:10 199:8
164:17 167:3,8	172:19 173:5	possibilities	92:11,12,20	208:16 209:2
167:11,23	173:20 174:2	108:2	93:12,19 94:10	211:11,14,18
168:5,23	174:18,21	possibility 35:17	94:19,20 95:1	218:2 219:3,7
184:10 201:17	175:5,15,24	97:24 189:18	95:7,10 96:5,9	222:20 223:10
205:3,12 219:2	176:15 177:3,7	212:19 246:10	96:15 99:23	223:17 225:14
220:4,20 222:4	177:16 178:5	382:2,10	100:3 102:7	225:23 226:10
225:5,6,11	178:11,18	possible 47:12	110:4,9,13	226:15,16
226:16 227:14	PLLC 2:10	50:19 69:17	111:8,15,17,19	229:5 230:22
227:18 228:11	plural 87:17	71:9 75:3	112:2,8,21	231:1,8,13
228:21 229:18	plus 235:12,12	89:10 92:24	113:16 114:4,8	233:7 239:14
230:13 257:15	235:13 319:6	125:8 145:11	119:2,19 120:6	239:18 257:19
260:20 261:16	point 163:9,24	223:13 255:6	120:24 121:4	258:4 261:3,11
267:3 268:2	165:21 176:9	336:18 369:6	126:12,19,24	271:3 278:10
275:8 278:12	183:10 201:9	381:21	127:3,10,13	282:16 290:5
282:11 288:1	203:9 227:10	possibly 87:19	128:11,20	293:18 324:22
289:23 290:4,8	227:24 240:18	146:1 231:13	130:9,20 133:2	352:7,11,18
290:14,19	266:10 268:10	post 173:19	133:12 134:5	353:24 358:21
292:3 293:3	268:14 271:19	potential 4:23	134:18 135:1,8	364:14 365:9
294:2,13,17	288:2 304:9	21:22 83:22	136:8,10,21	366:2 375:4
295:8 296:17	319:10 323:11	91:12 92:10	137:3,23 138:6	377:11 384:17
325:23 366:6	324:1 343:16	93:5 105:2	138:10,13,14	385:6,18
375:10 382:15	360:15,20	121:4 137:12	139:14,21,22	388:19,23
plausibly 375:10	365:1 372:14	163:9 170:21	139:23 140:8	powder-conta
play 275:13,15	374:17	214:6 234:19	144:10,22	145:16
276:2,22 279:7	pointed 106:6	235:7 237:23	145:7,23 146:1	Power 7:9
281:24 282:24	194:7 195:8,12	240:9 243:2	146:5,14,15	practice 89:24
			<u> </u>	-

				Page 430
00.2.7	250.1 276.20	215.0 222.14	172.10 174.4	nuodusta 1.5 6
90:3,7 <b>Practices</b> 1:5	259:1 276:20 315:7,9 338:9	315:8 323:14 primary 121:13	172:19 174:4 <b>procedures</b>	<b>products</b> 1:5,6 3:11 7:10
7:10	338:11 339:7	174:14 183:4	174:12	127:10,14
pre-reviews	339:24 340:5	188:21 211:5,5	proceeding 40:5	127:10,14 128:16,20
312:1	340:13 341:19	226:8,8 232:21	proceeding 40:3	130:9,20 133:3
_	344:19 345:23	305:3	391:4,9	130.9,20 133.3
precancerous 183:21	379:2,16 385:7	Princeton 2:15	process 121:20	133.12,23
precisely 54:16	385:13 388:12	principle 176:21	171:15 176:15	138:6,8,10,12
85:10	present 125:5	364:1	275:10 295:5	138:15 139:5,7
Precursors 25:8	134:5 136:9	principles	350:20 353:13	139:21 140:8
predated 311:23	162:22 163:8	140:18	350.20 333.13	144:11 145:7
predated 311.23	243:9 252:21	printed 19:12	processes 91:24	146:14,16
predict 323.22 predis- 331:1	277:16 300:9	83:4	278:1	147:7,21 148:5
predis- 331.1 predispose	318:13 387:15	printout 14:4	produce 64:14	148:16 150:3,6
107:22 238:9	388:19,22	59:12 60:3	128:12 129:24	151:4,9,24
346:5	presentation	prior 8:22 9:21	157:1 238:8	151:4,9,24
predisposed	92:13,19 93:1	10:8 17:7 22:8	251:15 261:11	154:15 161:8
323:16	97:15 300:7,9	23:13 45:22	282:16	167:2 177:8
predisposes	presentations	46:8 50:11	produced	178:1 180:1,14
53:15 54:3	90:17 92:22	83:23 91:10	263:10 264:17	193:13 196:21
320:5	presented 97:3	95:15,20 96:7	317:9,17,18	198:1,10,14
predisposing	126:4,9 128:1	142:18 310:13	318:3	199:8 230:23
320:19	129:10 136:4	363:17 364:4	produces 181:17	231:2 234:16
predisposition	225:7 290:11	371:4 372:2	186:24 249:9	243:2 258:4
324:2,3,11	303:7	376:13	250:20 253:7	261:3,11 352:7
325:3,5	presents 245:8	private 98:9	product 7:9	352:11 353:24
preliminary	president 101:8	300:12,16	18:10 44:10	354:7 387:16
319:5	101:20,23	309:24	111:4 138:23	professor
premature	press 89:11	privilege 18:10	138:24 139:14	310:16,19,19
279:3	96:14	44:11 291:4,5	143:21 144:22	310:20,24
premise 52:17	presumably	291:11	145:24 146:5	311:2,6,16
52:22 61:12	145:24	pro-inflamma	147:12,20	<b>profiles</b> 310:8
165:5 177:14	prevalence	277:5	148:12,18	profiling 99:4
300:3 323:4	260:5	probability	149:2,15 150:4	program 99:9
prepare 13:23	prevention	99:19 321:24	150:11,15	programs
prepared 112:1	193:11	335:2 336:8,22	151:1,1,5,16	109:17,17
preprint 5:17	prevents 217:10	probably 12:8	152:2,12,20	progress 174:24
23:9 84:11	previous 101:2	19:1 43:18	153:4,6,7,16	174:24 205:8
85:5 86:11	163:11 268:3	105:22 121:12	153:22 154:24	207:2 246:19
87:21	273:6 275:5	158:9 177:19	155:9,18 232:2	310:22 312:2
presence 137:7	384:13	198:7 274:12	236:4 291:5	progressed
154:20 198:16	previously	311:9 313:1	352:6,18 353:3	119:22 174:24
200:6 217:23	84:12 351:22	318:14 319:15	353:5 382:7	189:22 206:8
232:19 234:24	primarily 95:21	321:1 363:9	388:14	progression
235:7 239:9,10	121:9 138:8	problems	production 39:2	26:7 32:12
240:1 243:3	156:8 181:2	200:11	98:17 316:8	96:6 117:2,16
249:18 258:24	188:19 211:2	procedure	319:24	118:12 135:19
		1		

				Page 431
156 2 160 4	220 0 242 0	200 22 200 10	210.1.2.6	
156:2 169:4	239:9 242:9	289:22 308:19	310:1,2,6	Q
171:9,15	246:10 255:12	322:12 324:18	published 48:8	<b>quant-</b> 139:7
176:19 178:13	284:15 286:19	325:23 341:8	69:21 76:14	quantify 130:6
178:20 179:19	286:23 287:9	352:16 387:17	90:13 92:9	130:17 133:17
204:15 240:5	287:13,17	provided 14:3	96:22 140:23	146:10,15
244:12 245:15 246:18 255:15	289:8 296:17	23:1,1 39:21	141:10,14,17	147:1 148:14
	325:2	39:22 40:3,7,9 42:19 43:1,15	141:17 143:1,4	156:5 160:6
256:6 260:18 261:1 262:22	<b>proposition</b> 34:7 35:8,20 271:11	83:20 84:22	143:5,14,23 167:14 235:18	161:17 177:5
264:19 265:6	273:11 274:3	98:23 122:1,2	265:21 279:5	231:12
277:18,23	339:15 381:15	123:6,10 129:2	287:9 350:4	quantifying
310:10 320:17		135:23 142:12	354:19 358:7	139:7
347:24 348:17	propositions 339:14	142:24 151:3	358:14,15,22	quantitate
363:23 366:15	proprietary	202:14 220:22	364:1,10,15	138:22
proinflammat	213:15,16	260:15 290:11	381:2 382:17	quantitated
369:8,11	prostaglandins	293:5 296:7	382:22	166:7
project 91:18	238:24	374:23 384:18	PubMed 121:9	quantitates
projects 89:19	protected 291:4	providers 308:7	pull 27:13 74:23	162:18
91:8 98:21,24	291:5,14 310:3	309:14	124:13 357:9	quantitating
99:10 103:24	protein 73:3,12	provides 24:19	357:13 359:13	152:19
104:11,16,21	216:21 217:4	65:7 98:18	purchased	quantitation
105:1,4 109:3	315:13,16	115:8 220:19	383:13	162:5 179:16
109:19 309:4	343:19	269:17 290:1	purported 257:1	183:12 231:21
proliferate	proteins 329:23	388:14	378:3	249:4 286:16
77:18 78:8	343:12,18	providing	purports 252:11	quantitative
proliferating	protocol 196:14	106:12 157:24	380:9 383:12	387:19
76:16 77:15	protumorigenic	172:13 330:22	purpose 173:12	quantity 387:14
78:5	349:9	333:7	174:15 211:5	387:24,24
proliferation	provide 15:18	PTI 3:7	213:9 214:9	question 9:14
182:10 348:5	36:7 43:17	public 1:21 5:24	219:21 247:23	10:16 20:22
prominent	47:21 84:18	107:11 109:11	362:1	21:9 25:24
321:1	93:11,18,24	109:13,24	purposefully	28:3,15,17
promise 318:23	94:9,17,23	111:7 142:8	172:23	29:9,12,22
promote 239:1	101:9 102:5	300:12,15	purposes 136:24	30:2,3,4,7 31:3 34:18 36:17
253:8 254:4	108:19 111:16	384:16	199:16	44:17 46:22
promoted	114:24 125:6	publication	pursuant 1:15	47:5 52:4
311:16	140:22 143:11	83:22 97:15,18	put 13:2,10	54:24 61:4
promotes	143:14 145:21	179:12 180:9	37:23 48:14	67:15 70:8,14
243:19	150:23 151:20	279:2 300:7	50:23 59:22	71:16 80:1,14
promoting	152:6 153:11	312:3 333:6	76:8 333:19	80:15 81:12,18
239:5	157:18 159:7	publications	357:9 359:11	105:8 113:9
properties 356:3	161:13 171:16	295:2 296:21	361:19	115:13 116:13
386:24 387:13	222:6,14	300:14,19	<b>putting</b> 344:17	125:16 132:10
proposed 20:11	224:22 228:17	318:23 323:10	373:9	132:12,15
21:6 24:2	228:17 231:20	341:8 365:10	puzzle 137:1	134:23,23
219:14 220:3	254:7,8 261:8	367:4 385:24	229:4	135:1,4,14
233:20,23	285:18 287:24	publicly 309:19		155.1,1,1
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	

				Page 432
120 2 145 2	256 12 257 4	. 107.12	124 21 125 2	60.0.60.16
138:3 145:3	356:12 357:4	rats 187:13	134:21 135:3	68:8 69:16
149:24 150:10	367:14 369:23	192:7 363:5	182:4 272:22	70:1 71:21
152:24 154:4	372:12,13,16	RDR 3:21	273:8 277:14	75:7 84:22
155:23 156:15	380:16 383:3	re- 94:23	288:20 392:3	92:19 100:23
157:7,13,21	386:12,15	reach 164:1	393:4	110:11,23
159:23 163:6	389:3 391:6	166:11 204:8	reading 54:24	111:2,3,12
163:14 164:5	quick 236:16	205:4 224:14	68:8 83:15	123:23 132:24
169:24 170:3,9	quickly 319:18	386:17	132:4,4 194:4	139:16,21
170:13,19	319:21	reached 371:17	194:20 202:18	149:8 152:19
171:1 193:17	quite 45:15	386:14	212:10 221:16	175:23 185:9
197:13 198:4	120:3 184:20	reaching 164:11	294:24	185:14 187:15
201:20 208:21	224:10 263:14	166:8 205:18	reads 31:11 34:1	192:20 193:10
216:1 217:2,19	277:14 299:20	365:21 366:24	51:12,19 53:13	193:15,18
220:10 226:23	301:5 314:15	370:7,13 371:5	54:1 61:16	194:5 208:12
228:2 229:14	319:12,18,20	371:18	77:23 274:22	212:10 249:16
230:18 231:18	319:21 327:18	reaction 31:1	275:24	253:1 257:9,12
232:18 235:22	361:21	205:6 211:22	ready 272:17	275:17 277:6
250:18 251:11	quote 62:20	246:21,22	reality 299:10	285:7 305:5
251:14 252:19	64:19 276:1	250:3 253:17	really 106:21	306:18 322:24
252:22 253:4	304:24	254:23 255:8	109:12 143:17	340:23 342:16
255:23 256:4	R	257:2,19,24	170:18 300:4	342:19 353:9
262:16 269:6		315:1,11 316:8	318:23 382:5	357:16 359:23
270:6,9,9	R 2:1 3:1 391:1	316:14 359:21	realm 297:7	361:22 364:22
273:23 281:2	392:1,1 D F 25 0	reactions 250:17	311:1	372:16 378:13
282:2 285:1,4	<b>R.E</b> 25:9	315:4,20	realtime 1:20	380:18 383:4
290:21,22	radiation	reactive 182:1,8	11:11 132:8	recalling 180:23
291:24 292:23	314:23	209:8 211:3,22	135:4,11	receipt 15:10
297:20 305:8	random 320:9	212:6 214:12	391:19	38:12
307:17 314:13	321:7	214:18 218:1	reason 96:12	receive 44:2
319:23 324:14	range 281:15	236:7 239:22	174:14 184:19	45:3
324:17 325:1	ranks 310:23	240:19 242:21	184:19 193:3	received 44:6
335:22 336:17	Rappel@seyf	259:3 263:9	212:20 254:21	85:6,13 87:20
339:6 342:7,14	3:14	286:17 315:7,9	256:5 361:2	90:20,22 173:4
346:8 353:1	rare 95:23 224:7	316:8,11,15,17	reason- 267:23	302:23 303:5
359:5 360:1	rarity 174:4	316:23 317:4,7	reasonable	receiving 17:7
364:22 377:19	rat 32:7 35:16	317:16,17	171:2 267:24	receptors
377:21 379:22	186:19 189:22	318:1,3,8,12	356:2 390:2	330:20
380:2	190:2,2 304:9	318:16 319:11	reasonably	<b>RECESS</b> 166:19
questions 8:4	362:4 379:20	319:24 359:22	106:17 127:6,6	recognized
10:13 11:11	rate 131:4,13,24	361:16	177:21 214:14	222:14
16:3 29:5	132:19,21	read 31:17	220:2 299:20	recollection
41:18 67:5	133:5,10	53:17 54:5,8	reasons 177:2	22:15
105:5 139:9	285:12 324:11	55:2,9 64:4,5	recall 27:18	record 7:2,14
140:12 156:20	336:19 337:24	65:19 66:22	28:11 30:1,3	11:9 12:13
163:13 292:18	rates 146:20	68:14 74:5,14	38:19,20 43:5	15:4 19:10
300:24 301:22	314:3	74:18 80:2	43:18 45:8,15	23:6 24:12
306:22 307:8	ratios 161:5	113:9 116:12	50:16,17 59:18	25:2 31:10
1		l	i	

				Page 433
40 14 00 11 10	47.7.04.3	264 4 270 1	104.21.110.20	220 14 224 10
42:14 82:11,13	47:7 84:3	364:4 370:1	104:21 119:20	320:14 324:10
82:15 166:13	129:3 131:5	regard- 300:11	165:18 193:16	340:2 341:21
166:17,21	132:24 141:10	regarding 4:20	199:12 239:19	386:22
170:5 202:17	142:15,17	4:23 5:3 20:10	239:23 240:3	relationships
237:9,11,13	178:16 180:21	21:5 24:2,16	243:11 259:16	100:22 147:2
272:22 302:3,5	188:18 211:2	26:1,16 31:3	268:24 274:13	159:10
302:7 356:19	214:10 254:18	37:3 65:13	279:6 285:11	relative 174:4
356:21,23	266:9,9 295:16	90:11,14,18	290:7 293:20	186:16 214:15
390:8,10	300:16,18	105:5 110:8	314:18 322:20	215:3 244:12
records 123:22	303:9,13 333:8	111:4 112:16	323:6,6 324:14	280:1 281:14
312:3	337:7 368:2,9	114:22 115:20	339:6 381:21	321:17 339:22
recruitment	376:8	117:14 121:3	relates 1:7 93:18	340:2 341:19
316:4	references 24:19	126:3,7 127:20	111:8 117:18	345:12 377:20
redacted 18:9	24:20 36:6	127:21 130:24	134:3 156:6	relatively
redactions 18:6	45:17 79:12	132:22 133:23	158:24 167:21	186:21 268:17
redirect 42:12	121:24 140:22	135:24 138:21	190:4 191:13	338:6
reduce 269:17	145:12 182:8	143:23 145:23	192:12 204:14	release 316:5,5
reduced 391:7	182:21 183:4	148:3,15 154:8	210:24 217:6	released 349:5
REES 3:3	208:5 241:5	157:10 163:1	220:10 240:7	relevance 201:2
refer 17:16	325:18 331:10	165:18 183:7	250:3 255:4	relevant 119:9
115:2 116:7	333:10 341:6	191:20 204:13	267:8 268:9	120:11 125:7
135:11 138:12	358:7,20	206:5 213:3	270:1 272:4	125:12 200:21
185:12 190:13	referencing	233:12 251:23	329:24 334:6	203:5 210:17
191:21 233:10	331:8	267:3 268:16	350:10 353:4	272:3 357:21
243:17 344:7	referred 302:17	283:3 284:2	381:13 382:8	reliability 70:7
356:7	306:6 309:5,7	285:2 288:10	387:21	70:13,17
refer- 180:24	309:23 330:24	293:2 299:4	relating 120:23	reliable 70:3,5
reference 24:4	referring 86:21	304:24 305:13	relation 6:14	71:15 216:10
25:3,6 34:23	110:18,20	319:24 329:7	249:5 271:4	216:12
35:1 37:22	127:2 150:2	334:5 339:17	326:3 361:9	reliance 12:24
38:9,18,20	179:21 183:1	339:18 347:3	366:23 370:1	187:3
39:4 73:21,24	190:21 211:21	360:3 361:23	371:11,17	relied 75:6
74:1 75:2 79:7	254:9 264:12	367:16 370:4	relationship	129:12 233:17
112:15 119:6	280:2 303:3	371:12,13	56:9 93:13	291:23 292:2
122:9 125:6	305:18 315:5	374:2 387:9,19	100:3 101:14	370:7
132:22 141:20	344:4,5	regardless 325:5	112:17 130:2	rely 44:23 126:5
143:9 175:11	refers 239:4,8	regards 92:20	131:2 148:9	163:3 178:17
176:10,12	344:15	101:18 284:6	161:3 170:21	186:22 187:2,2
180:24 181:2	reflective	341:6	174:6 183:9	205:17 208:14
181:23 219:17	196:14	Registered 1:19	198:15 227:11	208:24 224:15
266:11 279:23	refresh 30:19	391:19	248:23 249:6	228:5 241:18
285:19 298:12	272:14	regular 101:8	254:11 271:12	286:20 288:2
326:15 330:1	refute 166:1	regulated	271:20 273:12	293:1 366:22
339:21 353:7	204:4 275:12	308:10 309:3	273:15,18	370:12 371:16
358:16 385:21	refutes 210:20	rejected 293:12	274:4,9 298:2	374:12 386:18
referenced 18:7	regard 324:18	relate 341:13,16	312:13 313:23	388:9
24:6 25:11	325:12 342:16	related 89:9	314:14 316:13	relying 122:5
		1	1	

_				Page 434
124 1 2 7 0	24 6 25 11	11421164	260.0.260.17	l ,
124:1,3,5,9	24:6 25:11	114:3 116:4	368:9 369:17	represents
125:1,4,15,17	27:2 33:1,4,19	119:3,6,16	370:18,19	108:1 259:22
125:23 147:23	34:1 35:3,15	120:12 122:8	371:1,6,11	391:8
176:12 181:16	35:19 36:7	122:13 123:7	372:2,3 375:3	Reproductive
182:17 186:3	37:3 38:6	126:10 127:5	375:12 383:16	83:12 181:6
208:1 235:17	39:12,22 40:23	129:2,3,18	385:4 387:10	reputable
384:20 385:16	41:5,9,24	130:17 131:1,6	387:18 388:8,9	109:11 299:17
386:13	42:16,17,21	132:24 136:4	389:14,22	request 39:2
remain 266:18	43:2,8,9 45:2,5	137:21 138:7	reported 369:12	325:24 372:22
312:19	45:9,11,12,14	139:20 140:5	369:12 376:20	373:2
remained 353:4	45:15,21,22	141:11,20	378:17	requested 93:9
remaining 312:7	46:1,9,10,16	142:16,19,23	<b>reporter</b> 1:20,20	135:16 150:18
remains 55:3	46:22,24 47:2	143:10 147:8	3:22 7:15 10:1	286:5 287:24
60:1 105:11	47:4,9,9,15,16	157:9 160:2	10:12,20 182:2	289:19,21
106:19 258:10	47:17,19 48:1	173:21 180:2	391:19,19	295:1 325:22
265:18 267:10	48:7,14,17,19	180:12,21	reporting 101:1	requesting
267:17 346:23	49:1,7,12,13	182:22 188:8	101:19	193:11 195:12
Remarkably	49:21 50:5,9	188:19 201:16	reports 39:22	requests 14:23
329:15	50:17,20,24	205:13 206:14	40:8,14,15,19	15:5 293:9
remem- 132:11	51:1,6,12,18	208:3,5 214:10	41:11,20 42:15	require 163:8
remember 8:24	52:15 53:13,20	218:19 219:16	43:1,13,15,21	207:12
37:14 184:3	54:1,8,11,12	219:23 220:11	44:23 45:4,20	required 151:16
198:4 237:19	54:17 55:2,6	231:11 232:23	46:6 48:22	153:6 156:18
303:14 324:14	55:12,18,24	244:16,18	49:17 50:10	162:6,12
357:17 372:13	56:1,15,24	245:17 254:11	53:7 54:21	165:13 172:10
386:14	58:11,18,21	257:10,13,16	57:17 58:6	requirement
remind 124:12	59:20 60:1,10	261:3,19	74:12 114:21	100:21,21
338:24	60:20 62:1	264:14 269:13	129:8 130:7	101:1 169:7
reminding 24:5	63:1,12,16,20	269:24 271:9	134:11 135:24	184:18 298:3
339:4	63:23 64:19	275:7 282:5,12	148:15 235:5	300:18
removed 306:14	65:3,10,11	287:10,14,18	245:7 254:13	requirements
<b>RENÉE</b> 3:14	66:13 67:18	288:19 289:3,6	256:23 257:5	100:11,19
renew 301:19	68:11,22,23	289:9 290:1,11	257:10 266:1	research 75:19
repair 64:1,7,9	69:5,15,19	293:9,12,23	273:6 288:3	86:9,9 91:3,18
64:19,21 323:6	71:20,23 72:7	294:3,24	295:3 296:10	91:23 92:5
344:10,18	73:8,23 75:5	295:12 297:8	352:18 375:19	93:4 95:21
repeat 29:12	75:12,18 76:9	299:15 302:24	383:8	97:23 98:6,9
repeating 334:5	77:9 78:4 79:6	303:9 308:6	represent 8:2	98:14,18 99:3
rephrase 134:22	80:4,23 82:22	312:21 313:2,5	307:5	99:7,8 101:15
207:16	84:6 85:15,22	320:3 322:19	representation	105:2 108:3,20
replicated 106:6	86:3,7,14	327:6 330:6	283:9	108:21 115:20
366:16	87:13,21 88:4	332:19 333:3	representatives	115:24 143:3
report 4:16 5:9	88:5 90:11	334:15,17	9:22	143:21 154:11
13:1,22 14:6	95:19 96:24	335:7 341:12	represented	168:11 172:4
15:20 19:23	97:13,19 101:9	346:11 350:21	15:13 27:1	201:15 204:7
20:1,7 22:12	102:11 110:20	357:6 358:6,16	representing 7:3	210:5 284:13
22:17,21 23:21	112:7,10,11	366:5 367:5,18	279:6	298:3 300:4,5
	. , ,			

Pag	re.	435
ray		エンン

				Page 435
	l	1 . 1		
308:11,13,23	238:9 239:11	responsive	85:21 87:4,20	357:20 358:2,6
309:3,4 310:18	240:2,2,16	15:15,19	87:23 91:2	364:24 365:24
318:19 323:9	241:18 242:1,7	270:10	93:11,18 95:6	366:4 367:7
364:10 366:10	242:9,20	rest 47:22	96:2 101:8	371:19 375:9
researched	243:11,12,13	270:17	104:15 105:3	377:5 381:5,19
364:9	244:4,5 245:9	restate 140:11	109:5 116:3	381:23 382:13
researcher	245:10,20,22	176:14 198:6	119:21,21	382:22 383:16
119:11	246:1,1,6,7	208:21 242:19	121:2 122:3,22	386:2 387:6,18
researchers	247:4,18,18,22	259:8 307:19	124:11 128:6	reviewed 23:22
107:11 109:18	248:14,15,18	restating 164:16	134:11 135:23	25:12,15 26:22
researching	248:24 249:3	388:11	138:20 140:2	27:7 38:5
119:16	249:10,15	restricted	140:15 141:19	45:11 50:11,11
reso- 70:22	250:16,20	309:18	142:18 145:9	50:13,15 52:9
resolve 242:1	251:1,15	result 114:17	145:10,14	59:5 83:12,19
resolved 305:9	252:13 253:7	156:16,21	146:19,21,21	120:5,10 130:7
resolves 242:3	253:24 254:17	167:4 242:23	146:24 148:6	132:23 133:12
resource 71:15	255:14,14,21	270:17 313:16	149:6 154:12	133:21 139:16
121:9	258:10,15	315:5 322:16	157:20 159:7,9	142:9 152:9
resources 121:8	259:1,10,12	324:22 335:11	159:22 161:21	160:2,14
121:13	260:13,17	343:11 344:7	166:3 173:22	173:17 174:14
respect 118:24	261:19 264:16	344:12 362:7	175:20,20	193:16,18
respected 288:8	265:9 270:6	391:13	176:2,10	194:11 195:15
<b>respond</b> 291:17	275:3 278:19	resulted 363:9	183:24 192:1	203:5 223:6
291:18	281:22 282:17	resulting 196:13	193:4 198:13	235:4 251:10
responding	284:21 289:5	239:20	203:12 204:2,5	254:10 267:4
212:23	315:2,23 316:2	results 87:11	204:11 207:12	299:23 301:1
response 23:2	316:14 324:13	126:7 129:7	211:19 218:13	338:3 359:2
34:3,12,24	361:3 365:8	148:6 171:18	219:10 220:1	367:5 372:15
35:5,9,10,11	367:13 372:9	171:21 197:6	220:15,18,19	385:23
39:17 92:3	374:18 375:5	213:2 221:4	222:3 223:9,12	reviewer 84:2,4
129:24 154:22	375:24 376:5	231:11 266:16	223:14 224:4	reviewers 83:19
160:10,15,21	376:15 377:12	267:21 275:5	226:8 227:7	reviewing 34:7
160:24 165:8	377:22,23	295:10 308:6	233:16,18	91:2 96:19
165:18,20,22	378:18 379:2	309:13 315:16	245:7,23	132:14 144:8
168:15 170:24	379:17 380:10	315:24 323:5	256:14 263:15	220:9 253:19
171:19 172:24	380:23	324:4 352:24	283:7 289:22	275:17 277:7
173:24 174:13	responses	360:3 361:9,10	290:7,9 293:17	354:5
175:8 178:10	188:24 189:4	373:4	293:21 294:11	reviews 5:24
178:12,18	209:9 240:10	review 5:3 14:18	294:12 299:7	142:7 224:17
181:22 188:20	242:13 244:9	20:14 23:10,10	299:14,18	295:13 296:23
189:8 192:4,18	245:2,13 246:3	25:8 29:6 37:1	301:19 305:15	350:23
197:5,24	246:12 247:8	37:14 43:12	306:19 314:12	revised 46:6
202:12 204:13	264:10	45:10,16 46:20	322:18 330:22	83:21
205:7 206:1,1	responsibilities	48:18 49:6	333:4,7 334:2	revision 47:24
206:2,7 207:1	89:1 98:16	50:13 75:1,16	334:4 341:4,4	rich 77:18 78:9
211:15,16	responsible	75:17 79:6	341:5 351:15	right 11:10 12:3
230:17 233:8,9	169:3 308:13	81:7,14 85:3	355:13,15	12:4 16:18,19
	1	l l		I

				Page 436
				I
17:4,5,24	313:18 320:11	<b>RMR</b> 391:18	S	141:16 201:9
22:17,18 27:10	327:15 331:2	road 2:10 265:2	<b>S</b> 2:1 3:1,8	202:7 210:10
33:17 35:12	337:8 340:17	348:5	300:17	232:9 293:15
36:2,13 45:22	340:17 341:11	Robinson 1:19	S-transferase	344:22,22
46:10 57:23	351:21 370:19	3:18,21 7:3,15	183:15	says 202:18
61:7 68:3,6	376:24 378:4	391:18	<b>S.W</b> 1:17	221:3 332:14
71:6 74:2,3	378:16 381:4	role 26:17 37:16	Saed 5:21 83:6	349:2 369:5
76:14 86:5,7	382:10	52:19 60:10	83:21 84:16	scale 259:11
88:22 89:5	right-hand	91:23 92:20,22	85:18 86:12,23	312:6
90:15 92:15	272:23	93:5 110:9	123:1 168:11	Scholar 121:10
93:6,20 102:9	<b>rigor</b> 256:8	112:8 117:1,15	177:21 179:12	science 121:10
106:12 113:6	297:4,24	121:5 130:4	180:3 181:4,8	304:24 305:6
117:10 122:10	risk 4:23 5:5,18	135:19 175:9	182:23 183:11	Sciences 83:12
124:13 125:20	6:14 21:22	179:2 191:20	236:2,6 240:18	181:6
130:21 141:22	23:12 83:11	252:2 260:17	241:4,12,19	scientific 70:7,8
142:20 146:16	84:14 110:13	263:11 264:5	247:3 263:8	70:12,17,24
150:10 152:23	110:24 160:3,5	269:20 273:1	285:20,22	71:16 97:5
157:15 159:3	161:4 166:2	275:12,13,16	286:3,6,10,13	109:14 119:11
164:2 165:1	183:16 201:3	276:2,10,22	296:24 298:1,7	120:17 121:16
175:2 183:1	238:4 254:12	277:18,22	299:15 365:6	130:3 143:4
187:13,22	256:16 265:17	278:7 279:7	365:10	144:6,8 148:3
188:24 190:10	267:16 269:17	281:24 282:10	Saed's 87:12	163:17 175:4
194:8,10 195:9	271:5,14 273:4	282:24 284:3	88:4 179:21	175:14 178:15
195:13,15	273:12,16,18	289:5 311:19	180:10 181:13	179:9 185:3
203:16 213:11	274:5 275:1	314:20 321:2	181:15 286:15	187:6 194:16
214:24 215:5	276:20 278:11	326:12 349:22	296:23 297:11	196:6 202:19
221:5 223:7,8	279:16 280:1	350:3 353:21	298:12 300:22	234:22 235:18
228:10 230:5	281:14 282:21	366:1 381:7	302:12,17	241:1 263:2
231:14 240:10	285:3 310:10	388:16	safety 111:4	286:24 287:19
240:19 244:18	313:21 314:14	rolling 320:21	386:24	289:10 295:5
244:22 245:2	321:3,17,19	<b>ROS</b> 215:8,16	Sales 1:5 7:10	297:4,24 299:5
250:14 252:13	322:23 323:2	216:3	samples 99:20	299:10 300:4
258:18 264:10	324:5 334:7	Ross 6:9 218:15	105:6 129:5	373:6 381:2,14
264:14,22	336:15 337:2	roughly 19:2	131:8 308:6	390:2
266:23 267:11	337:10,11,19	98:21 234:10	309:13 371:2,3	scientifically
268:13,24	338:12,22	338:4	383:12,18	70:3,4 136:17
269:21 270:1	339:22 340:2,6	route 199:7,12	384:3,8	216:10
272:5 273:10	340:14,22	routinely 105:6	satisfied 52:9	scientists 89:23
273:13,19	341:7,17,19	<b>RPR</b> 3:21	298:22 299:3	107:11 109:3
276:11 280:2,6	343:1 344:1,24	391:18	saw 20:5 22:16	257:12 288:9
280:7 282:15	345:6,12,23	<b>RS</b> 212:20	27:9 46:4,15	295:9,15 300:9
282:18 283:1	346:13,18	rules 10:7 29:17	47:1,6,10,11	score 249:13,15
287:11,12	347:19,24	29:20 291:22	47:12 90:13	screening 4:20
288:23 295:17	369:10,11	292:20	211:16 385:21	19:14
296:10 302:18	377:17	run 309:24	saying 53:2,6	Scully 3:3 25:9
302:24 303:18	risks 266:15	running 308:6	81:3 107:5	25:12
304:5 308:2,3	273:3 326:2	309:13	01.5 107.5	search 373:3,5
				· ·

				Page 437
120.10	121 12 122 7	100 21 200 2	244 10 240 2	260.10
searches 120:19	131:12 132:7	199:21 200:3	344:19 349:2	369:10
121:6	137:24 148:7	257:10 322:19	362:12 369:1	Serpa 327:7
searching	159:11 173:5	326:22 362:17	372:21	served 15:6,21
322:21	194:18 195:3	363:14	sentences 51:23	20:1 33:5
second 10:3	195:24 196:4,9	seminal 37:15	52:10 53:21	services 7:4
16:15 18:3	196:17 197:6	senescence	54:10,15,19	308:1,5,12,17
33:13,19 41:9	202:24 203:10	212:15	55:24 56:7	309:6,7,11,12
45:21 60:2,6	212:9,10,20	senescent	57:9,17,20	309:17,21
61:15 76:20	218:16 221:13	212:22	58:3,6,9,14,17	310:4
112:10 152:24	221:20 232:6	senior 84:16	63:13 64:4,11	serving 8:13
163:14 187:17	238:5,6,11,14	sense 71:3 77:23	64:13,18 65:2	47:9,9
203:3 238:1	239:2,3 245:1	78:11 105:24	65:19,23 66:4	set 38:18 61:18
253:4 272:18	258:2,18 259:7	116:19 167:19	66:12,13,22,23	122:5 232:17
274:14 278:14	265:19 266:2,7	177:1 189:17	67:7,18 68:9	334:18 365:7
323:13 327:3	271:6 276:4,5	197:22 277:13	68:10,22 69:5	<b>setting</b> 8:9 9:15
328:24 366:11	276:8 277:5	284:9 294:20	73:17 74:5,6	10:4
372:21	278:21 279:18	296:20,21	74:14 75:22,23	SEYFARTH
second-to-last	301:11,17	301:1 315:14	76:7,10 78:15	3:12
269:14	306:14 313:9	379:1	78:19,22 80:2	<b>shape</b> 319:16
secondary	313:19 325:16	sensitive 346:15	80:3,8,16,22	<b>share</b> 197:12
165:11 323:23	326:6 328:11	346:22	81:5,11,17	201:4
324:8	331:23 334:22	sensitivity	82:1 263:16	<b>shared</b> 24:5 44:5
secondly 192:6	339:5 361:3	322:15 324:21	313:12 329:6	44:7 102:11
section 60:3,14	368:13,19,21	347:3	separate 122:9	123:14 179:18
63:23 79:23	368:23 369:3	sensors 73:1,10	131:2 156:17	256:22 287:6
250:14 326:12	369:14 372:23	sent 43:21	176:22,22	308:23
362:22 369:16	373:7,8,10	sentence 33:13	230:19 233:3,3	<b>SHAW</b> 3:12
see 9:4 11:7	374:3,6	33:15 34:1,4	233:4 243:13	<b>Shawn</b> 1:14 7:12
14:17 19:18	seeing 21:13	51:11,17 52:2	270:9,11	7:17 392:3,22
21:10 22:11	49:16 111:3,13	52:14,16 53:1	308:15	393:16
23:16 25:23	187:15 285:7	53:12,22 54:7	separated 145:6	SHKOLNIK
27:22 29:1,6	299:3 334:1	55:1,5,17 57:7	separately	2:10
31:2 33:15,22	352:17 371:23	60:21,21,22	245:14 246:7	short 97:13
34:4 37:24	seek 97:18	61:11,15 62:1	246:11	100:3 186:18
45:24 50:7	291:13	62:19 63:2	separates	189:16 318:20
51:4,9,15,21	seeks 290:22	72:13 74:1,18	144:21	shortcoming
56:21 57:4	seemingly 236:6	76:9 77:21,23	separating	200:24
60:18 61:22	268:15	167:9 196:5	156:14 247:24	shorthand 391:6
62:8,23 63:5	seen 14:15 15:20	238:2,6,18	sequencing	show 25:19 29:4
64:11,24 72:10	19:15 22:8	239:4 265:16	88:21,23	29:24 37:8
72:15 73:6	23:13 31:12	269:5,15	309:18,21	160:10,21
75:1 77:21	32:23 50:1,5	281:19 313:19	310:7	161:24 184:13
78:1,12 86:22	50:19 59:16	314:21 327:11	serious 194:17	190:9,23
87:22 94:6	74:8 82:21	327:18,20	195:21 196:1,7	212:18 215:7
110:3,6 112:18	83:14,23 84:2	328:1,10,24	197:18	252:6 253:23
119:11 122:8	84:4 113:11,15	329:2 330:6,11	serous 259:21	324:5 352:23
123:3,18	113:21 180:2,8	331:21 340:13	275:2 328:18	362:6 367:20
,			=:===================================	

				Page 438
201.7	117 1 161 2	1	252.22	200 10 17
381:7	117:1 161:2	simply 108:16	253:22	389:10,17
showed 129:7,8	179:2 212:18	127:2 130:16	SKADDEN 2:20	sound 16:17
186:4,7 211:3	215:3 228:4	165:4 166:8	Skip 53:20	17:24 75:9
214:16 252:20	253:23 254:2	171:6 177:12	<b>skipping</b> 313:12	311:15
274:3,6 359:21	256:16 280:15	223:24 240:6	slashes 78:15	sounds 16:19
375:15	280:24 281:5,7	248:12 299:6	SLATE 2:20	90:16 131:11
Shower 127:1,1	281:12 324:3	319:15 323:11	slide 300:10	232:8 249:19
127:4,4 138:11	363:22 388:16	356:7	slides 257:17	298:21
138:11 139:6,6	significantly	sing- 112:4	slightly 108:10	source 59:1 70:3
148:12,12	58:8 85:24	single 26:18	115:6,7 265:2	70:19 75:4
150:11,11,14	192:10 274:24	36:11,19 52:14	330:19	124:4 276:17
150:15 151:4,4	signing 46:8	52:16 73:2	slow 182:3	316:10 317:7
178:1,2	signs 214:8	111:13 167:13	small 57:22	317:10
showing 11:11	similar 22:12,13	223:15 228:22	186:21 274:24	sources 65:14
164:20 188:19	57:8,15 62:15	228:22 229:5	363:10	69:21,23 71:1
233:19 236:4	65:16 66:3	229:23 230:5	smaller 154:19	74:10 106:6
239:22 256:16	68:15 74:7,11	252:7 322:20	SNV 343:19	121:12 154:16
263:9 374:18	75:17 78:19	343:4,8,15	SNVs 343:5,11	300:6,11
380:13	79:9,9,12 80:8	374:18,21	<b>soluble</b> 213:16	<b>space</b> 8:22 9:21
shown 34:2,12	80:16 81:5,7	singular 27:5	Somatic 313:8	125:8 174:2
35:4 115:3	81:11,17 82:2	106:15 112:5	somebody	288:4,11
189:4 214:11	82:3,7 85:19	113:6,12,22	320:22 321:19	299:10 308:21
222:24 235:6	96:2 98:6,9	114:16 115:15	321:19 336:11	341:9
306:3 350:4,17	114:21 121:19	115:18 124:3	somebody's	speak 10:9 12:8
360:3 380:23	127:17 128:17	125:5 127:8,19	377:11	40:6 46:13
shows 27:8	130:1 138:20	128:15 129:14	something's	137:2 159:12
36:11 183:20	140:11 143:16	136:15,17	18:2	207:13
207:6 215:15	146:10 154:14	150:4,4 187:3	somewhat 131:2	speaking 109:16
216:2 220:22	204:10 209:24	235:3 282:9	173:8 212:14	167:15 173:22
221:2 300:10	241:3 253:22	286:20	224:7 234:11	256:12 258:8
360:21 375:4	281:14 284:8	singularly 169:3	268:6	259:4 260:23
377:11 379:15	295:9,10,24	209:6	<b>sorry</b> 34:17	278:8 343:13
380:10 381:2	310:11 315:14	sir 88:16 196:20	36:17 39:10	349:3
<b>Shukla</b> 358:13	329:13,15	sister 355:9	40:13 41:8	<b>specie</b> 211:23
358:15 365:11	331:4 333:3	sit 325:19 326:9	50:2 76:1,19	212:6 214:12
sic 75:12	334:12 344:8	355:18	77:1 113:9	<b>species</b> 182:1,9
side 37:23 50:23	345:7 362:18	site 277:21	116:12 134:21	209:8 211:4
50:23 72:18,18	366:18,18	306:1 317:10	134:22 182:13	214:18 218:1
76:8,9 77:8,8	374:15 387:7	sitting 21:2,4	194:20,24	236:7 239:22
298:13	388:6,12	27:18 28:11	195:1 208:21	240:19 242:21
sides 9:23	similarity 79:3	107:15 110:22	214:2 225:19	259:3 263:9
Siegel 327:8	similarly 66:4	149:14 386:4	232:23 272:12	286:17 315:10
sig-212:18	294:24 295:1	situation 29:3	273:22 331:2	316:9,11,15,17
signal 73:11	<b>simple</b> 184:20	six 324:7	331:23 332:2,7	316:23 317:5,8
348:4	293:8	size 154:17	339:20 351:16	317:17,18
significant	simplistic	196:16,20	354:12 360:5	318:1,3,8,12
32:11 96:18	171:16	198:9,16	361:1 368:16	318:17 319:11
L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

				Page 439
	 	1 _	 	1
319:24 359:22	71:22 95:24	Square 2:20	80:7 82:4	stating 81:5
361:16	102:2,10	squarely 283:17	108:16 112:6	135:16 186:6,8
specific 25:24	104:22 110:17	St 323:20	113:20 114:2	205:23 206:24
31:2 38:15	111:2 114:13	stack 357:14	118:8 127:5,10	230:11 329:6
62:5 66:18	115:13 128:10	359:14	131:18 132:15	329:19 337:19
89:19 91:17,17	132:14 138:23	staff 308:21	171:6 203:4	345:2
92:12,19,24	147:2 165:23	stages 318:13	213:15 215:1	statistical 99:19
102:24 103:3,5	174:9 176:14	stand 38:23	248:11,12	statistically
104:16 110:19	177:23 181:23	277:14	259:9 274:8	256:12 280:15
115:18 117:22	184:22 186:7	standard 140:13	280:23 305:7	280:23 281:4,7
118:24 121:24	211:22 221:1,3	297:4	319:15 352:4	281:11 343:13
126:5 128:14	225:23 238:18	standards	387:11	343:17,18
133:1 139:2,4	244:2 253:2	186:19	statement 12:12	status 342:18
139:9 141:20	264:11 266:8	stands 74:1	105:16 110:20	STEERING 2:2
144:14 145:1	275:2 285:7	start 8:3 15:24	111:12 112:24	stenographic
145:12 147:8	295:21 304:20	147:19 169:23	113:1 114:12	7:14
147:12 148:7	305:16 314:9	314:17 345:20	127:18 132:12	step 98:7 169:18
159:23 160:22	322:17 336:5	started 9:13	132:22 133:1	174:3 227:2
164:5 166:5	339:6 342:4,24	11:6	162:1 164:15	steps 167:18
172:5 176:3	344:8 350:19	starting 55:19	170:4 202:7	366:8
177:22 178:1	353:2 355:1,15	60:13 195:4	221:22 229:15	stick 305:13,16
179:16 180:13	357:14 380:14	336:7 368:13	229:16 230:12	305:19 306:6
180:14,14	386:10	starts 328:1	235:10 239:12	306:13
182:10 183:9	specificity 347:4	330:7,18	260:11 267:14	sticking 153:3
183:11 197:19	specifics 45:10	331:12,21	269:3 272:1	stop 62:21 63:3
198:22 199:1	314:17	334:19,20	278:23 317:13	358:3
236:3 244:14	spectrum 95:7	348:23	317:15 322:10	<b>stopped</b> 357:21
249:2 257:8,12	98:23 103:23	state 1:21 25:1	327:19 335:16	storage 44:5
270:5 277:10	120:3 137:13	32:10 35:3	341:12,13	stored 86:20
277:18 282:10	151:6	62:2 65:14	343:24 345:16	Stowers 98:10
283:7,8 284:4	speculate 266:5	74:6 107:7	346:9,10	straight 292:20
284:16 286:17	270:16 297:15	109:21 112:15	347:15 348:10	<b>strange</b> 63:7,10
301:6 314:19	speeches 292:17	162:13 174:10	349:8 363:6	<b>Street</b> 1:16 2:3,7
316:3 318:16	spell 331:22	175:12 205:9	371:21 374:7	2:14 3:13
318:22 322:24	spend 47:14,18	247:2 258:14	376:19	strength 224:20
326:2,7 330:23	spent 18:13,22	265:8 267:19	statements	224:23 233:13
334:6 339:17	96:18 361:21	269:13 288:7	110:7 111:3	stress 87:1
344:5 345:17	spoken 286:3	294:10 299:5	169:20 188:9	238:22 317:12
346:15,22	298:10	299:13,19	206:5 226:24	stretching 26:24
353:2 355:14	sponsored 298:3	314:2 321:21	267:24 277:10	strike 57:16
365:8 383:17	sponsorship	329:22 334:3	277:13 283:8	63:7 218:23
388:9	297:2 298:4	347:14 349:11	284:24 285:2	219:5
specifically	299:11	356:2 381:6	378:21	strong 222:24
25:14 30:23	spray 139:24	393:10	states 1:1 55:6	226:11 347:23
35:15 38:11	sprays 139:13	<b>stated</b> 35:13	110:4 272:24	strongest 345:22
43:6 48:21	139:17 145:7	57:11 65:12	359:7 363:8	structure 82:3
50:17 67:3	145:16	66:4 74:11,22	373:1	137:11
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 440
	201 14 202 5	212 5 0 10	202.20	207.5.260.2.6
struggling 232:6	281:14 283:5	213:5,9,10	202:20	297:5 369:2,6
studied 217:18	285:21 297:2	214:10,14,16	submitting 49:7	suggested 203:9
studies 33:14	297:11 301:5	215:6,12,15,20	subparagraph	359:20
34:2 35:3 37:2	306:3,12 314:5	216:2,7,9,18	64:1	suggestion
37:7 85:19	330:2 334:9	216:20 217:19	subsequent 91:1	58:10 165:17
116:1,5,15	338:3,5 340:5	219:17 220:24	177:18 201:15	358:24
120:1,2,2,2,10	341:11,13,16	221:3 222:10	201:15 224:5	suggests 113:12
128:7,8,9,10	350:24 362:4	222:13,18	352:17	165:19
131:5 132:1	375:13,15	223:15 224:7	subsequently	Suite 2:7,10,14
133:1,12	376:4,6,14,22	233:4,21	297:3 299:7	3:4,8
136:19 137:19	377:4,17,20,21	235:23 239:22	374:24	Suites 1:16
138:22 139:22	377:24 379:20	249:12 250:15	subset 62:5	summarize
140:1,5 145:2	381:7	252:11 253:21	subsidiary	107:4,4
145:6 146:20	study 6:3,7	253:23 273:10	308:16	summarized
146:21 148:22	26:18 27:4	273:17,20,23	substance 57:3	57:14 75:3
149:8,8 152:10	32:14 33:3	274:2,5,11	218:2 291:13	87:10 199:3
157:21 159:9	35:14 36:11,19	275:14 276:6	substances	summarizing
159:22,24	37:13,16,20	277:3 281:19	56:20 154:1	83:18 152:8
160:1,9,14,19	86:10 90:21,22	285:5 286:20	322:9	summary 70:23
160:23,23	133:3 139:7,8	286:20 299:6,8	substantial	140:15,16
161:1,2 162:9	139:8,17,24	299:22 300:2	107:20	143:16 160:23
163:17,21	145:12 152:8	303:13 304:5,8	substantially	164:16 172:11
165:14 166:4,7	152:21 160:15	304:10,14,19	47:6	309:1 320:18
168:7,13	162:17 175:23	319:9 337:7	<b>subtype</b> 260:6	superior 379:15
173:11 175:11	177:22 178:16	340:20 342:19	subtypes 107:2	supervision
179:4,6 180:19	179:14 180:17	350:20 351:21	260:1 273:7	391:8
181:5 184:4,21	183:19 184:6	354:24 355:4	330:24 332:14	supplement
198:20,21	185:17 186:9	363:15 375:3	333:4	25:10 213:11
201:15 204:3	188:7 189:15	375:17 377:10	succinct 65:13	supplemental
206:3 207:14	189:17 190:1,3	378:3,12,17	293:9	38:10,13,18,22
207:21,22	190:7,14,20,23	379:5 380:8,13	succinctly 65:15	supply 338:20
208:1 210:2	191:8,9,11,16	studying 89:24	sufficient	support 26:3
214:11 220:3	191:19 192:12	stuffy 307:11	113:15 114:8	32:1,18 35:24
220:15 222:23 224:9 225:8	193:4 194:7,12 194:15 195:9	subject 18:7	114:16 154:21	37:2 38:19,21
		44:10 92:2,4 127:18 158:11	171:23 228:23 252:8 320:22	77:20 78:10 98:21 108:17
226:7 227:5,6 228:6,7 230:16	195:13,16,18 195:21 196:6	158:17 162:9	336:14 344:11	
,				126:8,9 127:23 136:3 166:1
231:6 233:16	198:23 200:3,9	287:10,14,18 289:9 294:2	354:4	
234:1 236:2,9	200:12,15 201:2 206:3,12		suggest 113:16 125:17 162:16	175:4,14 176:16 179:10
241:3,18 245:6 251:10 253:19	207:5,19,20,20	subjects 119:18 119:20 200:21	187:5 199:21	180:12 181:17
254:9,18	207:3,19,20,20	293:20	205:11 211:20	180:12 181:17
254:9,18	208:11,14	<b>submission</b> 85:7	216:11 242:3	186:23 187:6
267:5 271:12	f f	submit 18:18	244:11 249:11	189:7 191:16
	210:12,17,18 210:19,24	submit 18:18 submitted 23:9	256:2 260:5	191:19 192:3
273:7,11,15 274:8 275:6,9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	38:6 83:11	278:24 279:2	194:16 195:8
275:9 279:1	211:1,3,7,10 211:13,17	102:14 202:9	283:7 294:22	194:16 193:8
413.7 419.1	411.13,1/	102.14 202.9	203.1 274.22	130.0 202:20

				Page 441
		l	l l	4.50.4.5.4.60.0
203:8 205:10	36:20 108:19	surrounding	T 391:1,1 392:1	159:17 162:2
205:12 206:14	108:20,23	62:16 119:19	T.C 25:3	162:15,20,21
207:21 209:1	209:10 211:13	133:4 165:16	table 79:17	163:8,10 164:1
211:10 218:21	<b>suppose</b> 262:7	175:9 197:4	tables 384:12	164:10,11,18
218:24 219:6	296:23	268:2 277:10	<b>Taher</b> 38:5 39:8	164:21,24
234:22 235:18	suppressors	362:17	39:11	165:3,5,7,12
240:4 241:1	73:1,10	surveillance	<b>Taher's</b> 38:9	165:15,19,20
243:14 245:12	supracapsular	73:4,13	take 10:20 16:14	166:2,8,10
253:20,20	362:19	survivors	20:14,24 38:1	168:8,13,16
263:2 269:18	sure 20:14,16,23	323:12	45:18 48:13	172:19,20
271:22 276:9	21:2 24:23	susceptibility	59:19,22 71:23	173:5,11 174:2
281:13 286:18	27:3 29:13	321:6	79:24 82:9	174:9,11,17,21
299:6,8 300:10	33:17,18 34:17	susceptible	98:7 115:9	175:5,15,24
338:20 358:20	34:20 39:19	323:7	124:13 158:6,9	176:17 177:2,6
381:14 385:10	40:10 52:4	suspect 222:8	158:11 165:22	177:14,16
385:17 388:15	81:14 83:16	339:21	166:13 167:17	178:5,10,11,17
supported	87:5 101:4	suspected 96:15	175:21 214:24	178:24 179:10
151:10 167:12	102:16 107:1	sustain 76:16	228:14 236:16	183:9 186:5,11
167:13,19,24	107:10 121:11	77:15 78:5	292:24 301:15	187:13 188:1
179:4 206:2	122:16 125:14	swear 7:16	301:16 302:11	188:19 189:9
225:7 239:21	125:20 139:19	sworn 7:19	326:5 356:16	191:11,14,20
242:6 244:3,15	144:19 149:14	sync 316:10	372:8	195:12 196:12
245:8 252:6	173:22 183:1	syndrome	taken 1:15 66:5	196:13 197:11
260:13 261:16	210:9 219:24	343:21 344:1,9	66:10 81:16	197:20,21
261:18 275:9	262:2 272:17	344:23 345:2,5	189:23 391:5	198:1,14,17,23
281:13 284:10	274:2 278:2	345:11,13	takes 170:14	199:3,12,13,17
295:12 300:14	281:2 293:7	synergistic	248:17	199:18 200:5
300:19 366:9	301:13 307:19	369:6	talc 3:2 4:21,24	201:10,18
supporting 5:17	313:3 315:22	synthesis 263:7	5:4 6:9 19:14	202:2 203:15
5:23 83:9	322:22 335:22	355:10 366:5	20:12 21:23	204:14,14,18
84:13 142:6	339:10 344:21	synthesize	23:12 31:1	205:4 206:1,1
183:5 190:8	348:6 368:17	293:21	34:3,11,23	206:6,18
204:3 208:15	382:13	synthesizing	35:4 36:11,21	207:17 209:8
228:7 230:16	surface 31:13	235:24	37:4,8 94:2	212:21 214:13
supportive	surfaces 24:18	system 185:6	99:11,15	214:17 215:7
129:17 168:20	surprise 52:18	186:9,11	101:16 113:2	215:16 216:2
172:13 174:22	52:24 65:17	200:11 214:7	126:8 127:2	218:14 219:12
175:6 176:20	68:18 79:8	216:18 248:16	128:3,14	220:21,23
178:12,19	surprised 66:8	350:24	129:22,22	221:11,23
207:23 210:20	68:21,24 69:4	systematic 5:3	130:3 135:18	222:16,19
219:14 234:19	69:12,13	5:24 23:10	137:10,11	223:7 224:20
244:6 247:13	surprising 63:17	142:7 220:18	144:20 145:23	224:23 227:9
255:15 260:3	197:23	224:17 227:6	150:6,12,21	227:15 228:8
266:19 268:6,7	surrogate	systems 185:2	151:6 154:16	228:12 233:7
268:12 366:14	340:21	210:1 376:5	155:1,10,18,24	233:22 234:3
supports 26:6	surrounded		156:5,11 157:7	235:3,5,12
26:10,14 35:8	96:14	T	157:14 159:1,8	238:3,8 239:9
L	l		ı	

				Page 442
239:23 240:1,8	139:12,23	183:21 184:11	89:14,20	304:19 347:9
240:10 241:1	talc-induced	184:14,15	technically	371:3 384:3,8
241:16 242:8	26:5 30:21,23	185:20 186:11	311:15	testified 7:21 9:7
245:2,8,18,24	363:9	186:23 188:13	technology	46:15 131:23
246:20 249:8	talc-related	193:12 196:21	32:10	370:6
249:18 250:4	285:6,15,23	198:10 199:8	tell 7:19 8:8	testify 41:19,20
250:16,19,23	talcum 1:5 5:18	208:16 209:2	11:21 21:18	42:3 297:16
251:14 252:1	6:13 7:9 32:19	211:11,14,18	26:13 64:5	testimony 9:16
251:14 232:1	37:16 83:10	218:2 219:2,7	76:19 83:2	11:13,19 13:20
253:6,15,16,23	84:14 91:13	222:19 223:10	100:17 131:22	26:9 42:14
254:2,17,22	92:11,12,20	223:17 225:14	317:21	53:5 67:23
254.2,17,22	93:12,19 94:10	225:23 226:9	telling 61:19	71:14,17,18
256:17 257:2	94:19,20,24	226:15,16	62:5	117:13 118:22
		229:5 230:22	ten 61:10 186:21	
257:18 258:15	95:7,10 96:5,9 96:15 99:22		231:17 236:23	131:17 132:3,5 149:22 152:4
258:24 259:14		231:1,8,12 233:7 239:14		
261:17 263:10 265:17 266:15	100:3 102:7 110:4,9,13		237:3 387:23	153:20 157:24
	/ /	239:18 257:19	tense 57:6,9,22	175:19 180:6
267:15 274:22	111:8,15,17,19	258:4 261:3,11	tenure 311:3,7	184:8 205:22
274:23 277:12	112:1,8,21	271:3 278:10	311:10,12,20	214:22 230:10
277:12,22	113:16 114:4,8	282:16 290:4	311:24 312:1,9	248:9 251:22
278:16,19	119:1,19 120:6	293:18 324:22	312:10	251:23 296:5
279:15,24	120:24 121:3	352:6,11,18	term 138:13	298:23 353:8
281:21 283:17	127:3,10	364:14 365:9	344:6,14	376:12 382:8
283:23 284:12	128:11 133:2	366:1 375:4	terminology	384:13 389:15
284:22 285:2	133:11 136:8,9	377:11 385:6	153:21	389:22 392:3
289:23 303:18	136:21 137:22	talk 147:6,10	terms 70:18,24	393:8
304:12,15	138:6,10,13,14	153:1 193:3	82:2 91:17	testing 99:24
305:4,5 351:11	139:13,21,22	244:18 264:13	117:6 123:8	128:14 129:4
354:20 355:2,3	140:8 144:10	272:19 307:22	128:8 139:17	133:24 148:4
355:6,9,19,23	144:21 145:15	310:12 343:4	146:24 149:10	234:17 235:5
356:1 358:21	145:23,24	343:21 345:19	154:8 159:7,13	308:18 352:23
360:4,5,16	146:4,13,15	350:22	161:4 171:3,3	354:6 370:7,12
361:13 362:4,7	147:6,20 148:4	talked 287:5	181:22 196:15	384:8,12,15
362:24 364:14	148:4,11,17	336:16 341:24	200:4 207:17	tests 309:23
364:21 365:2	149:2,15 150:1	350:12	209:17 220:9	310:5 383:12
365:12 366:10	150:2,3 151:15	talking 41:15	244:12 255:20	384:13
369:12 371:1,3	151:23 152:1	116:6 132:18	255:21 256:1	Texas 2:8 3:4
373:19 374:8,9	152:11,11,20	225:12,13	259:5 278:8	<b>Thank</b> 15:23
374:19,23	153:5,15,17,22	228:10 232:13	305:21 343:7	16:1 40:11
375:15,20	153:24 154:6	257:7 330:16	346:24 360:10	77:12 158:19
376:1,16,24	154:13,24	340:8 350:2	370:24	166:15 302:1
377:6,18 378:3	155:9 159:16	353:13 382:9	terrific 42:22	307:1 359:12
378:18 379:2	161:9,17 167:2	tangential	132:17	361:18 372:5
379:10,16	167:22 168:8	119:24	test 136:17	389:3
380:9 381:24	177:8 179:24	targets 268:19	295:7 309:20	<b>Thanks</b> 390:7
384:1 386:1,6	181:17 182:13	task 290:14	tested 218:3	theoretical
talc-containing	182:14,19	team 89:3,4,13	294:16,20,23	225:12 382:10
	l		l	I

				Page 443
	250 5 22 260 4	165.00 154.0		225.15
theory 32:2	259:5,22 260:4	tie 165:22 174:9	231:17 234:1	325:17
163:23 238:7	263:23 265:11	179:6 222:4	280:1 324:7,7	tool 88:24
238:14 276:9	266:17 267:23	tied 177:23	365:19 385:3	tools 121:7
thereto 391:6	270:20 272:2	time 7:6 8:23	timing 101:17	top 43:17 123:24
thing 81:4	273:20 274:11	9:15 10:3	138:24 258:22	326:24 328:11
125:17 295:24	274:20 277:8	18:22 20:5	tissue 165:7	331:20,23
303:11 316:16	277:12 278:2	22:12,13 27:16	176:18 249:17	332:10,15
316:19	279:4 283:2,5	32:10,14 33:2	250:17 252:12	338:7 347:7,22
things 57:14	285:14 290:3	35:13 48:18	252:21 256:24	topics 96:3
65:14 94:7	294:8 295:6	79:5 82:12,15	258:3 304:13	<b>Torre</b> 327:8
102:20 107:2	296:20 297:3	87:13 88:4	362:20 370:2	total 17:23
194:10 195:20	300:8 301:21	92:5 96:17,19	376:24 377:5	18:14 47:18
196:11 223:5	312:12 314:15	97:12,14,22	378:4,19	54:17
227:13 237:21	323:3 336:6	101:3,13 112:6	379:16,22,24	totality 26:23
240:7 266:18	341:7,17 342:6	119:16 130:14	380:10	50:14 86:3
274:16 292:11	342:12,13	135:11 142:9	tissues 189:22	119:8,13 120:6
300:16 308:7	351:22 352:4	158:10 163:9	246:4 375:20	120:23 123:13
309:16 313:3	354:18,18	163:11,15	title 41:8 89:11	126:23 138:9
315:8	355:2 356:1,11	165:1 166:17	142:5 312:15	140:15 167:17
think 9:13 12:8	365:4 366:17	166:21 173:18	<b>titled</b> 60:4 64:1	246:2 290:9
37:21 40:17,18	367:17 368:6	186:15,17	83:9 103:6	352:5 365:24
49:9 67:3	379:15 381:9	188:6 189:15	218:14	388:7
69:17 71:19	385:9,11 390:8	193:21 202:15	titles 310:18	touched 168:11
81:23 90:13	thinking 92:18	203:9,14	today 8:4 11:2	toxicity 203:6
92:24 105:23	149:7 297:17	204:20,22	11:13 13:20	357:21 358:2
106:5,20 107:6	304:3,4 317:22	215:21 230:19	14:24 19:16	359:2
112:3 113:5,22	338:2 351:14	237:9,13	21:4 27:18	toxicology 195:6
127:19 130:1	369:16	245:17 247:16	28:11 39:17	trace 347:10
134:16 139:18	<b>third</b> 51:17	247:21 248:2,5	43:13 105:11	track 311:3
154:5 158:17	72:19 205:1	248:19 249:8	107:15 110:22	trade 127:3
162:8 166:10	366:12,13	250:19,23	118:13 130:5	traits 345:21
168:7 173:18	thorn 154:16	253:5 255:18	149:14 174:5	transcript 67:24
174:5,7 175:11	thorns 154:17	256:8,10,11,19	201:14 205:24	391:4,9 392:3
176:19 182:7	154:18,21	256:20 259:11	228:3 231:17	393:5,8
184:9,17 191:7	thought 46:15	263:14 279:4	244:23 245:11	transducers
197:1,11,19,22	77:1 194:24	292:16 300:23	257:6 263:17	73:2,11
198:7 201:7	274:21 342:9	302:3,7,17,17	266:20,22	transduction
204:10,16,20	thousands	311:23 318:21	277:11 282:9	348:4
206:10,13	323:19	339:10 356:19	315:9 325:9	transferred
208:3 210:16	three 40:13	356:23 359:22	350:9 357:7,11	143:13
212:24 214:13	53:21 55:23	360:15,20	365:7,19	transformation
215:20 216:9	58:2,14 158:7	361:21 383:2	367:15 370:6	106:9 114:2
216:13,14	292:11 309:10	385:23 390:7	382:21 384:22	115:10 169:5
222:8 230:1	threshold 153:5	390:10	385:3 386:4	169:10 171:22
236:15 244:14	153:12,15	timeline 189:16	389:15,23	172:14 182:12
254:2 255:6	thresholds	times 2:20 8:8	Today's 7:5	189:21 243:15
256:12,18	150:24	81:24 162:7	told 223:5	244:7 344:12
			<u> </u>	

				Page 444
			<u> </u>	
transformative	378:20 382:23	45:3,22 47:8	351:21 355:3,6	14:21 34:17
246:17	391:9 392:4	51:23 52:10,18	<b>types</b> 91:9 92:7	70:6 80:18
transformed	393:7	53:20 54:10,15	98:24 100:2	93:16 94:22
171:24	TruSight 310:8	54:19,20 57:13	106:18 118:15	117:8 118:12
translated	truth 7:19,19,20	57:17 58:6	144:10 169:13	133:22 144:20
125:10	<b>try</b> 10:9,19 29:5	64:4,11,13,18	212:7 247:7	147:14 149:23
traveling 199:19	125:18 157:24	65:2,14 68:15	259:17 260:9	202:11 229:3
treated 182:13	220:3 256:19	73:17 74:4,14	260:12,21	230:2 232:7
182:14 186:20	268:15 293:11	75:21,23 76:7	277:16 328:17	255:23 283:15
treatment 105:7	313:1,3	78:14,19,21	329:8 330:20	305:20 307:17
129:24 168:15	<b>trying</b> 37:21	79:3 80:2,3,7	330:21 348:12	335:22 344:22
184:24 299:9	38:24 127:6	80:16,21 81:4	typically 311:7	352:22 383:20
tremendously	232:7 283:15	81:7,11,16,24		understanding
281:11	291:12 292:3	83:2 87:18	U	12:14 53:8
tremolite 383:21	292:17 293:7	94:6 147:4,10	U 300:17	107:16 153:14
trial 9:5,7	339:14 349:20	156:20,24	<b>Uh-huh</b> 34:8	169:6,10
224:12 228:1	350:15	157:13 158:7	38:2 59:14,24	307:13 329:24
trials 310:1	tubes 199:24	162:15 163:12	60:12 72:9	understood 10:2
tricky 38:24	304:16 305:22	163:16,16	124:19,21	10:22 105:22
135:3	306:2,5	168:19 173:18	168:17 187:10	106:17 172:3
tried 125:5	TUCKER 3:8	173:21 177:12	187:20 190:22	351:24
200:3 223:12	tumor 73:1,9	178:24 186:15	201:13 213:20	undertaken
trigger 228:24	106:12 183:12	205:1 212:5,7	238:21 259:16	147:1 163:20
trouble 307:12	246:18 264:17	212:24 222:24	271:10 301:24	294:11
troubled 298:11	265:5,9 310:8	235:7 236:9	334:23 349:1	undertaking
298:17	tumor- 369:7	239:19 240:7,9	369:4 381:1	295:9 318:20
true 32:24 34:9	tumor-activated	240:13 244:9	ultimately 17:14	undertook
34:10 35:8,9	264:13	244:22 245:1	umbrella 309:5	366:19
65:3 66:14	tumorigenesis	245:13 246:3	unable 132:18	unfair 189:13
68:11 71:2,12	369:7	247:7,11	160:16,16	unforeseen
74:5,20 78:22	tumors 77:24	270:11 308:20	377:6	363:8
80:23 81:13	78:11 264:9		uncertain 238:4	Unfortunately
84:7 90:18,21	273:5 275:3	315:19,19	unclear 265:18	362:24 363:7
96:11,12 104:8	turn 63:20 269:9	317:1,1 329:6	266:18 267:10	unhealthy 314:3
133:6,9 142:16	312:7 335:18	331:17 350:23	267:17 268:13	uninvestigated
143:24 144:3	356:11 357:5	351:17 350:23	uncommonly	165:11
161:10 195:21	357:14 359:17	360:15 361:1	362:19	UNITED 1:1
207:21 213:6	361:19 368:11	type 48:1 137:10	underestimate	universally
216:3 217:11	turned 311:20	144:21 154:6	107:7	169:11
218:7,8 257:16	Turning 73:8	176:22 179:10	undergirding	University 91:6
260:21 271:23	twelve 9:2 76:3	181:18 182:19	365:2	102:21 103:7
300:7 314:9			undergoing	
	twenty 234:10	183:7 189:8,9	256:9	103:10,12,16
317:13,15	two 16:8 17:23	209:13 213:7	undersigned	104:4,10,19
338:19 340:19	24:19 35:20	217:3 259:20	393:3	108:5 310:14
346:3,14 350:3	36:6 40:13,14	259:22 260:8	understand	unknown
351:9 354:19	40:14,18,18	276:17 316:3	10:16 11:1	105:11 107:6
374:20 376:1	41:11 43:1	329:20 350:20	10.10 11.1	215:4 336:21
L				•

				Page 445
unregulated	<b>V</b>	vary 259:12	viruses 313:15	148:13,13
106:1		319:6	Vitonis 338:16	150:23 151:20
unresolved	vagina 199:23	vast 98:12	340:18 341:24	150:25 151:20
279:12,12	226:17 304:15	123:12 300:13	342:4,5	157:18 159:2
unscheduled	vague 130:11	vastly 107:6	vitro 120:1	161:13,20,20
355:10	133:14 145:19	vastry 107.0 verbalize 10:19	128:10 210:2	165:6 208:22
untested 289:20	148:1 192:14	verify 16:24	286:16	228:17 231:19
USB 12:16,19	209:16 251:7	75:10 337:21	vivo 120:1 210:2	254:7 261:8
13:3,9,12,14	253:13 286:12	version 45:14	314:10	285:18 287:24
use 5:4,18 6:9	293:14 384:10	46:16 47:11,13	voice 307:9	312:3 354:3,16
23:12 59:20	388:4	61:24 84:12	volume 130:14	380:2 381:5
83:10 84:14	valid 286:21	85:4,5,6,8	Volume 130.14	387:17
103:3 119:2,19	validate 383:6	86:16,21 87:21	$\mathbf{W}$	water 213:16
120:6 144:21	383:10	143:15 301:8	W 3:9	way 9:1 10:12
146:22,22	validated	301:11 302:12	Wacker 3:8	29:7 31:17
152:12 153:21	309:20		wait 182:3 237:2	
152:12 153:21	validity 233:12	versions 40:4 versus 87:21	want 11:8 12:12	63:10 74:7 114:14 131:20
,	233:13	136:21 139:23	16:14 29:6	
163:15 166:9	valuable 346:24		39:1 44:22	236:5 309:1
167:2 174:8	Vanderbilt 91:5	177:7 244:19	51:1,6 59:22	317:22 331:16
177:7 182:10	310:14,24	247:4,22	67:15 72:12,18	341:1 342:21
191:11 197:20	311:7,11 312:8	308:11 319:2	111:24 125:20	364:13 383:23
208:16 210:6	312:13	360:13	125:23 132:17	Wayne 299:13
212:13 218:14	variability	viability 212:12	135:12 138:5	299:19
219:12 220:23	259:13	360:10,13,22	145:5 147:5,5	ways 74:11 81:4
221:11,23	variable 139:18	361:14	147:10 152:14	116:8 213:1
223:10,17	139:24 140:4	video 1:14 7:7	152:24 175:21	we'll 10:9,24
224:20,24	149:9 318:15	videographer	193:3 216:19	27:16 30:11
226:9,12 227:8	319:13	3:18 7:1,3	230:2 236:18	33:5 37:24
227:15,20	variance 343:4	79:15 82:10,14		72:1 79:17,24
228:8,12,14	variant 343:15	166:16,20	250:2 264:1 272:19 278:2	124:13 190:19
230:24 231:1,5	343:16 346:13	237:8,12 302:2		192:23 236:16
231:8 233:22	360:14	302:6 356:18	292:23 307:22	292:15,24
234:5 238:3	variants 343:9	356:22 390:9	313:2 324:17	339:10 357:9
264:2 266:15	variation 258:12	view 26:4 59:1	326:15 344:21	we're 28:10
268:4,16,20	varies 311:9	115:11 155:2	359:13	30:11 42:13
270:8,16	316:1	155:11 207:21	wanted 141:19	81:3,3 82:15
274:23,23	variety 108:23	208:15 209:2	143:14 315:22	118:23 125:21
275:4 277:12	118:14 119:18	211:11,13	warning 193:12	166:21 189:19
278:3,10	121:7,10	218:21 219:6	195:12 203:9	201:14 220:6
279:15,24	258:14 263:15	245:18,22	warrant 355:2	232:13,13
281:21 299:1	277:15 284:11	251:13 260:9	Washington	237:13 247:14
374:20 375:4	286:14 299:12	260:19 288:22	3:13	257:6 264:1
376:15	348:12 352:23	289:2,3	wasn't 9:24 86:5	265:3 267:5
users 351:11	352:24 384:4	viewed 171:20	111:16 112:23	277:11,24
369:13	various 333:13	299:6	125:4 130:16	278:3,8 280:12
uses 70:22	386:23	virtually 334:11	134:9 143:8,8	281:19 292:17
226:14		virulent 259:21	143:9 146:9	302:7 307:19
			<u> </u>	

				Page 446
220 10 256 22	50.5.10.00	71 20 22 72 1	54.20	102 22 110 10
329:19 356:23	59:5,12,20	71:20,22 72:1	54:20	102:23 110:18
381:12 390:8	60:14 61:16	72:19,24 73:22	Word(s) 392:6	144:16 185:24
390:10	63:14,22 64:14	73:24 74:12,18	worded 340:13	222:3 243:24
we've 14:22 15:5	65:3 66:10,14	74:22 75:2,3	wording 58:14	287:4 301:16
21:17 22:23	66:24 67:19	withstanding	74:21 79:9	312:17 313:20
29:13 48:2	68:9	206:12	82:3 274:7	321:5 329:18
49:1 50:8	websites 74:12	witness 7:16,18	283:8 329:10	wounds 77:24
58:17 59:10,23	week 291:22	8:13 12:11	329:13 330:19	78:11
67:3,3,17	weeks 14:19	100:14 102:4	334:11,12	write 48:4 55:13
68:14,14 74:8	weighing 119:8	141:6 158:16	wordings 66:18	58:16 73:9
75:11 79:4,20	weight 283:12	158:20 170:8	words 48:13,21	78:4 150:19
81:23,23 86:16	weighting 284:7	185:12 225:18	56:12 58:4	278:13
87:14 104:16	284:17	237:5 285:22	61:10,10 62:10	writes 56:17
104:16,20	WEIL 2:14,17	291:11 391:10	317:1 330:12	60:15 72:24
127:2 135:22	welcome 42:12	392:3 393:1	work 18:9 44:10	76:15 77:14
158:5 175:8	166:24 237:16	witnesses 52:18	48:24 51:14,20	383:7
180:24 189:19	302:10	57:14 223:2	53:10 88:20,24	writing 47:17,19
205:14,15,24	well-established	247:3	92:1 98:19,24	58:21 69:14,18
206:15 208:3,4	116:20,23	woman 161:7	99:13 100:14	71:20 95:19
208:24 209:6	117:5 130:3	164:9 226:14	103:15,21	142:19 391:7
218:12 226:5	167:12 168:1	322:13 324:19	104:4 106:11	written 39:14
227:4 236:12	353:19	346:16	108:24 120:19	41:3 54:15
242:6 249:24	well-evidenced	woman's 304:15	131:11 158:1	64:13 96:20
253:19 262:18	112:7 115:4	340:21	181:4,13,15	142:23 187:23
263:8 264:3	well-known	women 199:7	183:6,11,14	203:21 357:17
267:18 271:1	183:12 217:14	205:7 207:2	224:6 236:17	wrong 204:20
279:14 282:8	well-powered	225:13,22	244:9 245:14	272:5 303:23
291:21 293:22	228:1	257:17 258:4	284:11,12	wrote 64:16
295:2,3 296:21	well-supported	306:14 336:9	286:9,13,16	85:15 86:6
296:24 301:18	251:24	338:5 346:3	291:5 297:1,5	87:13 88:5
304:10 323:18	went 67:12	369:11 380:9	299:18 326:6	193:11 195:11
323:20 325:9	116:3 200:23	women's 206:8	worked 104:10	245:17
327:7 330:15	324:15	227:10	104:20	<b>Wu</b> 338:16
336:6 348:14	Werb 5:15 75:7	wondering 47:1	working 11:24	342:1,16,17,24
350:9 353:17	75:13 79:8	110:22	14:3 79:16	X
355:23 363:20	Whatever's	Woodford 184:2	109:4 115:11	$\overline{X4:15:16:1}$
370:15 374:15	237:3	<b>Woodruff</b> 184:2	298:13	232:8,9 387:24
377:16,16	wholly 308:15	207:4,20	works 29:7	232.0,9 307.24
379:20 385:3	wide 32:14	303:14,22	workshop 201:1	Y
388:6	106:18 108:23	word 68:10,10	world 71:11	Y 232:8 387:24
weak 304:24	162:8 184:20	78:14,21 80:2	98:20 289:10	yeah 21:12 22:4
305:6	284:10 286:14	80:10,21 81:9	377:11	79:2 118:23
Web 121:10	widely 102:12	81:13,19 103:4	worldwide	140:11 141:7
web-based	<b>Wikipedia</b> 5:13	264:2 278:3	327:4	157:9 170:18
121:8	69:14,18,24	299:2 331:17	worries 332:13	181:2 190:15
website 5:11	70:2,20,22	332:23 392:6	worthy 125:11	207:11 231:19
19:12 58:21,24	71:3,5,12,15	word-for-word	<b>wouldn't</b> 85:11	
			-	•

				Page 447
227.6.241.14	270.14.10	257.5 259.5	1-4250.7	42.22 101.15
237:6 241:14	270:14,19	357:5 358:5	1st 359:7	42:23 181:15
241:15 248:10	275:24 321:14	142 5:22	2	301:2
296:20 298:19	343:17 360:9	14th 42:17	<b>2</b> 4:15 33:6,7	<b>2018</b> 16:9 18:24
328:6,6 334:17	360:10,12	<b>15</b> 6:2 19:2 99:5	48:2,4,14 49:2	20:2,6 39:13
335:16 343:8	361:9	158:6 190:16	60:2 96:24	41:1,9,10
350:12,14	<b>1.01</b> 280:7 281:2	190:19 203:6	97:4,8,20	42:17 43:8,10
370:17 378:11	<b>1.17</b> 280:1	319:6 337:4	112:10 119:6	45:24 46:16
380:7	<b>1.2</b> 281:15	349:21 370:19	137:22 195:1	84:6 85:13,15
year 85:2 101:2	1.36 280:7	<b>1508</b> 250:13	268:5,18	87:14 88:4
101:5 310:5	1/8/19 4:18	<b>1510</b> 3:4	269:11 270:14	179:21 180:2,3
311:12 357:22	10 5:12 72:2,3	<b>16</b> 4:17 6:4 75:2	270:19 313:7	180:10,20
years 9:2 26:19	75:22,24	192:23,24	321:2,12,14,17	181:16 204:12
27:1 98:22	154:24 348:22	357:13 372:8	327:10,12	245:18 265:22
120:4 161:23	<b>10:15</b> 82:12	<b>16-2738</b> 1:7	328:24 331:20	302:18 333:6
223:23 227:19	<b>10:25</b> 82:16	<b>16th</b> 16:17	332:4,8 339:7	<b>2019</b> 1:11 7:5
234:11 299:19	100 255:17	17 2:14 6:6	341:21 345:7	16:10 107:15
309:9 310:21	256:10,11	137:22 138:7	346:13	393:10
311:6 312:16	10036 2:21	138:16 147:7	<b>2:10</b> 237:10	<b>208</b> 6:6
319:6	10153-0119 2:18	149:17 208:7	<b>2:26</b> 237:14	<b>21</b> 4:22 6:16
Yep 328:7	<b>103</b> 31:4 187:12	208:10,18	<b>20</b> 6:12 47:20	326:18,21
yesterday	187:17 362:12	209:1 359:14	50:24 51:3	327:8
288:20	<b>105</b> 362:13	<b>170</b> 310:8,8	53:12 55:5	<b>218</b> 2:3 6:8
York 2:11,18,18	<b>11</b> 1:11 5:14	<b>174</b> 272:19,23	56:15 101:13	<b>22</b> 6:18 124:15
2:21,21	75:14 76:4,6	274:14 278:13	236:13 270:22	124:20 367:21
younger 319:17	349:19	<b>175</b> 281:20	271:2 337:4	367:22
	<b>11:51</b> 166:18	<b>18</b> 6:8 122:12,18		<b>220</b> 24:14
<b>Zelikoff</b> 5:9 49:4	<b>11747</b> 2:11	123:16 218:9	<b>200</b> 338:4 <b>20004-1454</b> 3:13	<b>23</b> 5:2 24:13,16
49:21 50:10	11th 7:5	218:13	<b>20004-1454</b> 3:13 <b>2002</b> 90:23	<b>233</b> 3:8
56:16	<b>12</b> 5:16 75:12,24	18-milligram	<b>2002</b> 90:25 <b>2006</b> 328:15	<b>24-hour</b> 360:14
Zelikoff's 49:7	76:2 82:19,23	192:9		<b>249</b> 6:10
	84:10,11 86:17	1800s 116:22	<b>2007</b> 181:20	<b>25-fold</b> 337:12
49:13 50:18,24	87:3,15 181:6	<b>19</b> 4:19 6:10	<b>2008</b> 203:7	337:22
51:1,18 53:13	269:13 271:8	249:21 250:1	279:5 357:22	<b>26</b> 5:21
54:8,12 55:2,6	<b>12:52</b> 166:22	190 6:2	358:3,8,22	26th 83:5
55:18,24 56:15	<b>1200</b> 98:19	192 6:4	359:8 372:16	<b>270</b> 6:12
68:22	<b>125</b> 179:20	<b>1971</b> 37:13	373:4	<b>2738</b> 1:9 7:11
Zena 75:7	183:13	184:3 304:4,8	<b>2009</b> 358:15,17	<b>28</b> 41:1,10
zero 360:17	12th 18:23	1979 303:22	365:11	<b>2nd</b> 16:9 41:7
0	<b>13</b> 5:20 79:21	1980 203:7	<b>201</b> 2:14	42:23
<b>08542-3792</b> 2:15	82:19,23 83:4	359:8 372:15	<b>2011</b> 272:3	3
00344-3774 2:13	83:23 84:3,5,8	373:11	<b>2014</b> 6:5 192:18	
1	219:16,20	1984 25:5	193:21 194:11	3 4:17 16:4,7
14:13 14:9,11	324:7	1993 181:23	202:1 204:9,17	60:9,20 62:1
14:22 39:18	14 4:13 5:22	194:8 201:2	357:17 359:7	63:1 194:14,22
193:16 268:5	33:11,19 35:19	<b>1994</b> 201:1	373:4,11	194:22,24
268:18 269:11	142:1,2 143:21	<b>1995</b> 25:10	<b>2017</b> 16:17,22	195:5 196:3
200.10 207.11	144:6 219:16	<b>1996</b> 249:12	17:7 41:7	212:2,3 213:3
	ı	ı	ı	ı

				Page 448
214:24 331:20	54:1 55:12	8		
332:16 360:3	56:24 204:24	<b>8</b> 5:8 49:20,22		
361:10	313:5,8 326:12	50:9 338:8		
<b>3:33</b> 302:3	326:24	367:17 368:11		
<b>3:48</b> 302:8	<b>5,000</b> 98:21			
<b>30</b> 5:6 26:19	99:10	368:14		
<b>30-</b> 338:5	<b>5/2/18</b> 4:18	8-fold 337:13		
<b>305</b> 2:10	<b>5:20</b> 356:24	80s 190:1		
<b>307</b> 4:5	<b>50</b> 155:10	8163:4		
<b>326</b> 6:16	323:12	<b>82</b> 5:16,20		
<b>33</b> 4:15 17:23	<b>500</b> 2:7 310:11	<b>850</b> 1:16		
18:14	347:23,23	8th 16:10		
<b>357</b> 4:6	360:17 361:11	9		
<b>36104</b> 2:4	361:12	9 5:10 59:7,11		
<b>367</b> 6:18	<b>56</b> 371:1	59:23 60:13		
<b>37</b> 371:1	<b>581</b> 359:17	75:5 76:9 77:9		
<b>372</b> 4:7	<b>59</b> 5:10	78:3 347:8		
<b>389</b> 4:8		<b>9:04</b> 1:18 7:6		
<b>3A</b> 212:5	6	90 322:2		
<b>3B</b> 212:5	<b>6</b> 5:2 22:24 23:3	900 2:7		
	23:7,13,16,18	90s 176:1		
4	23:23 24:1,15	<b>96</b> 250:1		
4 2:20 4:19 19:4	38:4 191:21	<b>975</b> 3:13		
19:7 20:4,9	328:4,9,11	7133.13		
21:5 22:14	330:6 372:20			
55:11 63:20,24	373:10 390:11			
64:19 200:9	<b>6:00</b> 390:12			
201:8,9 203:2	<b>60</b> 319:19			
357:15,19	<b>60606-9997</b> 3:9			
372:16 373:10	<b>6950</b> 3:8			
374:1,2				
<b>4:54</b> 356:20	7			
<b>40</b> 161:23 337:3	7 4:4 5:6 30:12			
337:10,20	30:13 71:24			
<b>40,000</b> 338:5	72:8 73:8			
<b>400</b> 2:10	185:9 194:14			
<b>41</b> 371:2	334:13 337:1			
<b>42</b> 221:9,15,18	361:20 372:20			
371:3	<b>7-</b> 337:13			
<b>45</b> 238:1	<b>72</b> 5:12			
<b>49</b> 5:8	<b>72-hour</b> 360:11			
	360:15,20			
5	<b>74</b> 278:13			
<b>5</b> 4:22 21:14,18	<b>75</b> 5:14			
21:24 22:8,11	<b>75202</b> 2:8			
22:16,19 50:20	<b>767</b> 2:17			
51:7 53:19	<b>78701</b> 3:4			